GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

CENTRAL ARCHÆOLOGICAL LIBRARY

ACCESSION NO. 7672

CALL No. 375.8912

Hup

D.G.A. 79.





THE PROBLEM OF SANSKRIT TEACHING

संस्कृतानुशीलनविवेकः ।



7672

BY

Ganesh Shripad (Balashastri) Huparikar,

Professor of Sanskrit, Rajaram College, Kolhapur-

375-8912 1949

Price Rs. 12/8/-

By the same Author

Sanskrit

- An Introduction to the 'घामिकविमधैसमुखयः' of Shree Shankaracharya of Karveer Peeth.
- 2. जुमारसम्भव सर्ग १, with the Sanskrit commentary of Navaneet Rama—Edited.

English

B. Sublimation of Instincts,

Marathi Poetry

4. मधुसमार (Published); 5. अभिसार (Unpublished).

Marathi essays on poetry, philosophy, aesthetics, etc.

- 6. तरवज्ञकवि-श्रीजानेश्वर (Published in श्रीज्ञानेश्वरदर्शन).
- ग. भावनिनाद भूमिका—An introduction to the भावनिनाद of the poet Sumant; 8. काव्य व काव्यवृत्ति; 9. कवीचा अन्तः साक्षात्कार; 10. निरीक्षण; 11. सत्य आणि सौंदर्य; 12 काव्यांतील सामान्यकथन; 13. सुक्लोकगोविद—काव्यपरीक्षण; 14. विचारस्वातंत्र्य; 15. सचि-दानंद; 16. आदिकाव्याचा जन्म; 17. कविवयं तांवे व व्यव्यत्मवादी काव्य; 18. आगरकरांचे वैशिष्टच. (All essays are published in magazines).

Published by The Bharat Book-Stall, Kolhapur City (India) Printed by K. H. Sahasrabudhye, Shree Dnyaneshwar Press, Kolhapur City.

(All rights including that of translation are reserved by the Author).

Dedicated

to

The sacred memory of my revered father THE LATE

PANDIT BALASHASTRI HUPARIKAR

of

The Rajaram College, Kolhapur.

CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGIGAL LIBRARY, NEW WELAL.

375 × 912/ Haps

शुभाशीर्वचनपत्रिका। श्रीशङ्करो विजयते।

मुद्रा

॥ श्री: ॥

अनेकशक्तिसङ्घट्टप्रकाशलहरीघनः। ध्वान्तध्वंसो विजयते विद्याशङ्करभारती।।

स्वस्त...श्रीमच्छङ्कराचार्यान्वयसञ्जाताभिनवपञ्चगङ्गातीर-वासकमलानिकेतनकरवीरसिंहासनाधीश्वरश्रीविद्यानरसिंहभारती-करकमलक्ष्यक्ष्यक्षेत्रवश्रीमद्भिनवविद्याशङ्करभारतीस्वामिकृतानि श्रीमाक्सपरिवारच्छात्राणामनुदिनमेधमानभव्यवनकानि श्रीमच्छ-ङ्कराराधनावसरीयनारायणस्मरणानि—

श्रीयुततुपरीकरोपाहपण्डितवरबालशास्त्रितनुजनुषो नैकविद्याविभृषितस्य 'एम्, ए.'-पदवीधारिणः संस्कृतप्राध्यापकराणेशशर्मणो सञ्घवणस्य भन्याय भूषासः । विशेषस्त-

नितान्तसन्तोषस्थानमिदं यदेतद् भवत्प्रणीतम्, अज्ञानानपञ्चजन-पुञ्जाध्यारोपितविचित्रानेकाश्चेपनिरासपूर्वकं साम्प्रतिकाङ्ग्लविद्यालयीनाध्ये-त्राध्यापकानां गीर्वाणवाण्यध्ययनाध्यापनयोः समुचितपयप्रदर्शनैककार्यनिर-तम्, अमरभारत्या सङ्ग्रथितं, 'संस्कृतानुशीलनविवेकः ' इत्यन्वर्यनामवेयं प्रकरणम् असमद्द्रगध्वनीनं कृतं भवद्गिरिति ।

सन्यस्मिन्यकरणे सम्यङ्निरीक्षितान्यस्माभिवांङ्मयाम्यास—संस्कृता-ध्ययनदुरवस्या—तद्दूरीकरणोपाय—प्राच्यप्रतीच्यशिक्षापद्धतिसमीक्षा—पद्धति-तारतम्य—काव्याध्यापन—शक्तिग्रह—परिशिष्ट—प्रभृतीनि प्रधानतः प्रकरणानि । इतराण्यपि च प्रशावली, उपसंदारः, गद्यपद्यभेदः, तद्ध्यापनपार्यक्यमित्या-दीनि प्रकरणानि विद्यन्ते । आहत्य अष्टप्रकरणात्मकोऽयं प्रन्यः ' संस्कृतानु-श्रीलनविवेको 'नाम । अस्मिन् मन्ये एतःकर्षां समीक्षकारिभिरवश्यमुपादेवं प्रमाणाविरोधि साम्प्रतिकप्रवृत्यनतिकम्णीयं च स्वीयं वैदुष्यं प्रकटीकृतम्।

प्रचित्रायां चास्यां मगवत्येरणया साम्प्रतिकविद्यालयेषु शिक्षणपद्धत्यां छात्राणामतीवोपकारः सिध्येदिति विमान्यते । यतः,

गैर्बाण्यथ्ययनं सुदुर्लभमभूत्लोके यथापद्धति येऽभ्यस्पन्ति पठन्ति ते खलु बृथा जाने परीक्षाकृते। सर्वो विस्मृतिमागमेत्यदृह सोऽभ्यासः परीक्षोत्तरम् केचित्त्वदरपूर्तिरेव हि फलं मत्वा तथाऽचीयते॥ इतिवदेव सुरभारत्यथ्यापनाध्ययने साम्प्रतं सर्वत्र परिहृदयेते।

सर्वथाऽऽलोच्यमानेऽरिमन् अष्टप्रकरणात्मके निवन्ये नृतं मानसा-वासिराबहस्वाहनपुरन्त्रीप्रसादाङ्क्षपितृषितामहादिपरम्पराप्राप्ताऽद्वैतत्रस— विद्यावैमवोऽप्पयं प्रकृतप्रन्थनिर्माता अन्तेवासिसाथीपकृतिवर्धवदः सन् समधीताङ्क्लविद्यापाटवतः प्राप्त—' एम्. ए.—वी. टी.'—पदवीकोऽत्रत्य-राजारामकालेबीयः संस्कृतप्राध्यापकः ' इदं ब्राद्यामिदं क्षात्रम् ' इति रीत्या सन्यसाचीव प्रतिमाति । किञ्चारमत्सविधे समधीतन्यायसिद्धान्तमुकावली— मीमांसान्यायप्रकाश—प्रमृतितकंभीमांसाद्यास्त्रीयप्रकरणः सञ्चारित्रोऽयं मनीधी-त्यतः श्रीचन्द्रमौलीखरश्रीशारदाम्बयोः कृपया सम्प्राभोत्मयविद्ययोः फलं, स्याप्नोद्व च यशसा जनसमानं, प्रत्याययत्व च नैजवैदुष्येणाधुनिकान्, समाप्नोद्व सर्वथोत्कषं चोत्तरोत्तरं, लमतां च निरामयं दीर्घायुरित्याद्यारमह इति द्यम्।।

> महातुशासनं वरीवर्ति। इत्यलम् सुद्रा

PREFACE

After the consolidation of the British power in India the -old order in Indian Education gradually changed yielding place to new. Before the British period Sanskrit learning was patronized by princes, sardars, rich men and several Acharyas of Maths; yet private endeavour was the main feature of this education. After the advent of the English system of education Sanskrit learning began to dwindle day by day owing to the loss of the former support. At the beginning of-its administration the East India Company finding the knowledge of the Hindu Law indispensable to the decision of Hindu cases encouraged in a small measure Pandits and Sanskrit learning. This encouragement, however, seems to have been given particularly with the political aim of reconciling the Hindus to the new Government, since the Pandits and Sanskrit learning had exerted a great moral influence over the Hindu society. With this end in view the Government established Sanskrit Colleges at Benares (1791), Poona (1821), Calcutta (1824) and other places, encouraged the publication of Sanskrit works, conferred titles on the Pandits and granted pecuniary aid to them. The Pandits were, however, left to their ancient methods of instruction, which did not undergo any change,

From the 17th century A. D. onwards the European missionaries and scholars who had developed interest in Sanskrit learning translated into European languages important poetical, philosophical and legal works in Sanskrit wrote books on the grammar of Sanskrit, published the Rigveda with traslations and Sayana's commentary, edited and translated inscriptions, collected Sanskrit manuscripts from the various parts of the country, published catalogues

of manuscripts, attempted to write essays on different. Shastras, particularly the Vedas, and published works on the history of Sanskrit literature. It was Sir William Jones (1784) who first realized the importance of Sanskrit as the most powerful instrument for the development of Comparative Philology, and after him European scholars like Colebrooke, Schlegel, Bopp, Humboldt, H. H. Wilson, Roth, Max Mulier, Bohtlingk, Aufrecht, Thibaut and several others made valuable contributions to the various departments of Sanskrit learning. All these scholars tried to apply the critical, comparative and historical methods of Europe to the Sanskrit literature in general and to the Vedic literature in particular, engaging themselves more in the chronological and philological studies.

In spite of these attempts of some Western scholars to show the cultural value of Sanskrit learning, the British Government thought of gradually changing from its former policy of patronizing Oriental lore to that of introducing Western knowledge. This was quite natural from the viewpoint of the foreign Government which had not properly recognized the cultural value of Sanskrit and had placed in the forefront the utilitarian value of education in the sense of the needs of administration and Government service. As a natural result of all this, there arose between the Orientalists and the Anglicists a great controversy regarding the relative merits of the Eastern and Western learning and the educational policy to be adopted in India. It was at last set at rest after many years by Lord Macaulay's famous educational Minute (1835) which undervalued Sanskrit learning and laid down the general policy that the diffusion of Western knowledge through the medium of English should be the chief concern of the Government. It may, therefore, be said that Macaulay was the first to lay the foundations of the present system of education and establish the predominance of English in India, though some changes were subsequently

introduced in that system from time to time. The educational Despatch of Sir Charles Wood (1854), however, recognized the value of Oriental learning "for historical and antiquarian purposes, for the study of Law and for the critical cultivation and improvement of the vernacular languages." Macaulay himself could witness with joy how English education was thriving with results favourable to the Government. As a consequence of this wide diffusion of Western knowledge the universities of Calcutta, Bombay and Madras were established in 1857; and in their curriculum Sanskrit was given a secondary place in accordance with the policy laid down in Wood's Despatch. It was merely on sufferance that the British Government allowed Sanskrit to remain either as the chief subject to be studied in the then existing Sanskrit Colleges or as one among many subjects prescribed in the universities, though it had not fully realized its value. The literary activities of the Western scholars above indicated perhaps convinced the Government of the value of Sanskrit as an indispensable aid to historical, critical and philological studies; and though Sanskrit was prescribed as a subject in the Universities, it began to be studied there through the medium of English, particularly from the historical, critical and philological points of view. Thus the Western methods of study began to be applied to Sanskrit language and literature and eminent Indian scholars like Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar, MM. Ganganath Jha and MM. Hara Prasad Shastri, who had imbibed those Western methods, came forth as the products of Universities.

Sanskrit literature thus began to be taught in secondary schools and colleges on Western lines, while the traditional methods of the venerable Shastris, though they had proved efficacious for centuries from the point of view of intensive study, were thrown into the background to the extent of being considered obsolete in English schools and colleges. Sanskrit text-books prescribed in the universities continued to be edited or written particularly with the application of Western methods by several Indian and European scholars for the use of schools and colleges. Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar, to whom all lovers of Sanskrit must be greatly indebted for his valuable services to the cause of Sanskrit learning, wrote many historical, philological, chronological and critical essays on Sanskrit literature in the light of Western methods, and published critical editions of Sanskrit classics for the use of Oriental scholars and advanced students in colleges. Again, the demand for school text-books serving mainly the philological and etymological ends was naturally felt; and in this case also Dr. Bhandarkar, adopting from the West the Grammar-translation method of teaching Greek and Latin current at that time, wrote, through the medium of English, his two famous text-books (1864 and 1868) for schools according to the plan laid down by Dr. Haug.

As time passed, the development of psychology in the West and the attempts of the Western Educationists to psychologize Education enormously modified our outlook. The principles of language study, which are a natural outcome of researches into child psychology, are now adopted in the West with great success for language teaching by O. Jespersen, H. E. Palmer and others and even for the teaching of classical languages like Greek and Latin by Dr. Rouse in the Perse School (Cambridge). The same trend in education has induced Indian lovers of Sanskrit like Prof. V. P. Bokil to employ those principles in the teaching of a similar classical language like Sanskrit. Obviously the so-called Direct Method of teaching Sanskrit is an imitation of the up to-date methods of teaching classical languages in the West, just as Dr. Bhandarkar's Grammar-translation method was also an imitation of the Classical Method current in Europe eighty-five years ago. There is nothing wrong in imitation if it is not blind and if things imitated are good. On the other hand, according to the modern psycho-

logical principles of education 'imitation' is a powerful factor in the fearning process. When all our endeavours to improve education have proceeded up to this time on Western lines, it is but natural that Indian Educationists should try to improve the teaching of Sanskrit mainly along the lines indicated by Western scholars of classical languages. Prof. Bokil and others have found in the light of psychology and considerable experience that the Conversational or Direct Method of teaching Sanskrit in schools, if adopted with some modifications, will achieve results more satisfactory than those achieved by the Grammar-translation method. But in spite of some good features of this method the general adoption of it is not as yet recommended. These advocates of the New Method are now dimly beginning to realize the merits of the Shastri Method and acknowledge that a few features of the latter are in consonance with the modern principles of language-study. Yet, to rest satisfied merely with what the Western scholars have done for us as regards the methods of study and teaching would be insufficient. It is high time now to show in detail the definite points of similarity between the Eastern and Western methods, and carry on further researches so as to trace the former as recorded in Sanskrit literature or handed down by oral tradition.

The present work is a modest attempt to demonstrate this fact. The detailed table of contents and the Conclusion (Section XVIII, Pp. 531-544) of my comprehensive essay on 'Hindu Methodology' are calculated to give an adequate idea of the nature and scope of my work. I may, therefore, briefly state here that I have adopted throughout the work a comparative view of the Eastern and Western methodology and of the theories of both about language, bringing out at many places their psychological, philosophical, aesthetic and educational implications. The speciality, if any, of the present attempt may not lie merely in the reproduction of

the ancient and modern principles, but lies, perhaps, in the exploration of untrodden paths and harmonization of the Eastern and Western thoughts for the purpose of placing the reformed teaching of Sanskrit in schools and colleges on a sounder and permanent basis.

This work was undertaken by me in 1937 when I was teaching Methods in Sanskrit to B. T. students in the S. M. T. T. College, Kolhapur. At that time an idea struck me that the modern methods of teaching Sanskritalanguage and literature bore the semblance of the खुण्डान्यय method actually employed in Sanskrit commentaries. I was reminded of an unpublished Sanskrit commentary (on the supremud) which had employed the equer-que method. The use of this manuscript in our possession was recommended to me at the elementary stage by my revered father at whose feet I had the proud privilege of learning Sanskrit literature and Shastras. To my surprise I found that the Sanskrit questions and answers used in the New Method of teaching Sanskrit literature were just like those in the above-mentioned commentary. I then searched for other published or unpublished commentaries (of the खुण्डान्य्य type) on other Sanskrit Classies and discovered that this method had been much in vogue before महिनाय, who followed the दण्डान्वय. This equerage method, I thought, might not have been so widely recorded in Sanskrit literature, unless it had been actually employed in oral teaching in ancient times. I then began to search for the theoretical basis of this method in the Sanskrit Shastras and literature and came to the conclusion that it had been strongly backed up by the amalgamated theories of पृद, बाक्य and प्रमाण, and that there had existed in the Hindu Shastras a sound, consistent and universal methodology, which would compare favourably with the Western methodology. I was also backed up by the details

of the precious Shastric traditions handed down to me by oral transmission. For my special purpose I ransacked the extensive written material available to me in the printed or manuscript form on the Shastras like the square, square मीमांसा, साहित्य and वेदान्त, It is needless to say here that I availed myself also of notable works in English on psychology, language-learning and other relevant subjects, and that I utilized a considerable time to arrive at the above conclusions. I then published a Sanskrit questionnaire on the Prob-Iem of Sanskrit Teaching and sent it to many Sanskrit scholars all over India for the purpose of inviting their opinions about this problem. It however met with a scanty response, I then contributed some Sanskrit essays to Sanskrit periodicals, expressing my settled views on this problem and desiring to know how far my harmonization of the Eastern and Western principles would appeal to the old-typed Shastris. To my great satisfaction I found that they appealed to many notable Shastris and modern scholars. But realizing that the circulation of Sanskrit periodicals was limited and that the English medium would have a wider appeal I set myself to the task of writing in English, and contributed a few articles to English periodicals, All those Sanskrit and English essays with an extensive additional matter have been embodied in the present work, the major portion of which, however, has not been published anywhere.

It will thus be noticed that though I began with investigations into the methods of Sanskrit teaching current in Training Colleges, I had to widen the scope of my work in the light of my experience in the Arts College. I, therefore, concentrated myself upon the wider problem of Sanskrit studies both in Arts and Training Colleges and also upon the higher Western methods of investigation, viz., the historical, comparative, philological, and critical or scientific methods that have engaged the attention of Sanskrit scholars in the East as well as the West. I think that those Western methods, though good in some respects, have their own limitations and that the traditional methods of the Shastris, notwithstanding a few flaws in them, are well worthy of the appreciative notice of modern scholars. Being brought up in the Shastrie traditions I deplore their decay caused by political circumstances and their undeserved condemnation by some modern scholars. I am of humble opinion that a happy combination of the best parts of the Eastern and Western methods in the study and teaching of Sanskrit will ensure better results in future. I have thus made my work comprehensive by devoting my attention not only to the methods of teaching Sanskrit at the initial stage, but also to those at the higher stage; for, I think that there is organic unity in the study and teaching of Sanskrit at all the stages. This is the reason why in the present work the portion dealing with the theoretical basis of methods or general methodology (Parts I & II) is much larger than that dealing with the practice of teaching (Parts I & III). The treatment of the latter, which embodies my practical experience of many years, is, however, full and quite adequate to the requirements of students under training. The present work is, therefore calculated to be useful not only to B. T. students but also to the students of higher classes in Arts Colleges and to all general readers interested in Sanskrit. The future will decide how far this humble attempt of mine will be appreciated by the custodians of Sanskrit learning. It will not, however, be unbecoming to seek their pleasure in the apt words of Kalidasa: 'आ परितोपाद्विद्यपां न साधु मन्ये प्रयोग-विश्वानम '. It is now many years since I began this labour of love, and it is purely by the grace of the Almighty that I could perseveringly come to the end of the projected task in spite of the unforeseen calamities in my life during this period. I shall feel myself amply rewarded, if my labour in any way proves instrumental to the rejuvenation and

popularization of the study of Sanskrit.

The Sanskrit Section (Part I) in my work, it is hoped, will appeal to Shastris and modern Sanskrit scholars, and the English Section (Parts II & III) to all readers knowing English. It may be noted here that the English Section is not the translation of, but is complementary to, the Sanskrit Section, as the same vein of thought runs through the whole work. The Sanskrit medium in this work is partly adopted by me with no other motive than that of bringing the Pandits. not knowing English, into line with the modern trends of Sanskrit studies. Moreover, the literary history of India indicates that Sanskrit has been recognized up to the present day by scholars as a fit medium for recording their valuable. and permanent thoughts. It is my humble opinion that in free India, where English is losing ground, Sanskrit, the immortal speech (अमरमारती), will and should resume its former prominent position as a fit medium for conveying important thoughts at least to the learned public all over India, though not as the lingua franca of India. Dr. F. W. Thomas says : "I, therefore, do not feel that the idea of Sanskrit (in a further simplified form) resuming its place as a common literary medium for India is a hopelessly lost cause." I also wish that in the teaching of Sanskrit at all the stages the medium of instruction should be Sanskrit as well as the mother-tongue. I, however, think that the international importance of English should not be minimized.

It is now my pleasant duty to express my heartfelt obligations to all persons concerned with the progress of my work. In the first place, I heartily express my indebtedness to all the authors and editors whose works and publications I have consulted. I owe a deep debt of gratitude to His Holiness the Jagadguru Shree Vidya-Shankar-Bharati Swami of Karweer Peeth, who has graciously recorded his blessings for this work and at whose revered feet I had the

proud privilege of learning works on the Nyaya and the Meemansa. I have been laid under heavy obligations by my Guru-bandhus Rao Bahadur B. I. Powar and Raja-Vaidya Shankarrao Jagtap, who gave me immense solace during my hard times, and who, realizing the importance of my work, urged me on to progress. Had Rao Bahadur Powar not insisted on me, this work might not have seen the light of day. I am, however, grieved at the sad demise of Raja-Vaidya Shankarrao who could not see my work in the present form. I cannot but mention the devoted indefatigability of my dear brother, Mr. Govindrao, in the publication of my work, though thanking him for this would be thanking myself. I am greatly indebted to Principal Dr. A. G. Pawar of the Rajaram College for his keen interest in my work and for what he has done for me. I am equally indebted to the Committee for Patronage to Authors and the Kolhapur Government for sanctioning substantial pecuniary aid to this publication, I sincerely thank Rao Bahadur Dr. S. K. Belvalkar (Poona) for kindly allowing me to quote a few extracts from the 'Poona Sanskrit College : Prospectus' published by him, My sincere thanks are due to Principal K. S. Vakil, Principal B, H, Khardekar, Principal Dr. A. C. Bose, MM. Principal V. V. Mirashi, Pandit Khuperkar Shastri, Dr. A. N. Upadhye, Dr. R. C. Shrikhande, Mr. N. G. Panditrao, Mr. V. S. Aiyar and many other persons for their hearty sympathy and helpful suggestions. I sincerely thank Mr. R. V. Diwan of the Bharat Book-Stall, Kolhapur, for gladly undertaking the publication of this book. In conclusion, I cannot fail to thank Mr. K. H. Sahasrabudhye and his staff at Shree Dnyaneshwar Press, Kolhapur, for their promptness and cordiality.

Kolhapur, 6th December 1948

G. S. Huparikar.

Contents

Part I-Sanskrit Section.

I संस्कृताध्यापनप्रभाविष्ट: | A comprehensive questionnaire on the teaching of Sanskrit-Questions on the various topics, such as Grammar, Translation, Study of Literature, Textbooks, etc.

pp. 1-6.

II संस्कृताध्ययनदुरवस्था तदद्रीकरणोपायाश्च | The deplorable condition of Sanskrit studies-The ways and means to improve it-Sanskrit syllabus for ordinary and intelligent pupils-The teacher's role in the teaching of Sanskrit, pp.6-13.

III प्राच्यप्रतोच्यशिक्षापद्धतिसमीक्षा । A comparison of the Eastern and Western methods of study-Good points in both of them-A few suggestions for the preservation of both. pp. 13-18.

IV पद्भितारतम्यम् । The relative superiority of the methods of teaching-Comparison of the Conversational Method with similar methods in the East-Amalgamation of the best points of the Conversational Method and the Translation Method for the improvement of Sanskrit teaching-The new method or the 'Eclectic Method' corresponding to the 'स्वान्यपदित'.

pp. 18-25.

V वाज्ययाध्यापनरीति: I The actual method of teaching Sanskrit Prose-Reconciliation of the Eastern and Western principles of language-study-Amalgamation of अभिद्वितन्त्रय and अन्तिताभिषान — Sanskrit commentaries representing लण्डान्त्रय-खण्डान्त्रय method suitable for class-room purposes-The teaching of a description, story, dialogue, etc. pp. 26-34.

VI काञ्चापनम् । The actual method of teaching Sanskrit Poetry-Grammar and literature-Sequence in the teaching of prose and poetry-Aim of teaching poetry-Qualifications of the teacher of poetry-'Questions' versus 'lecturing' and emotional teaching versus intellectual teaching coeffed method stressed-Approach of Shastris to poetry-Figures of speech-Propriety of metres-Teaching of dramas-Methods of analysis and synthesis-Psychological synthesis is the best approach-Limitations of the historical approach to poetry.

pp. 34-49.

VII पाठादशे: | The practical procedure to be followed in a lesson on poetry. pp. 49-56.

VIII शब्दशक्तिमह: | The significance of words-The eight principles of language-study unanimously recognized by the Shastras like इपाकरण (पद), मीमांसा (पानप) and त्याय (प्रमाण):—
(a) Grammar, (b) Comparison, (c) Dictionary, (d) Statement of a trustworthy person, (e) Usage of elders, (f) Supplementary statement, (g) Explanation or Commentary and (h) Contiguity of words.

(a) Grammar: Its teaching based by the Shastris on the Nominal structure of Sanskrit language-4174 (caserelation) and compounds more important than the finite verb and etymology-The Nominal order of grammatical topics followed by various grammarians since the time of पाणिति, (e) Usage of elders : The principles underlying the Conversational Method of the West anticipated by the Hindu schools of thought, (g) Explanation or commentary : Gradual development of the methods of exposition since the Vedic times traced-Those methods are embodied in the oral explanation and written explanation or commentaries-Varieties of commentary literature-Organization of knowledge into commentary literature-Common features (45, बाह्य, प्रमाण) of methodology in Shastras-Oral tradition of the methods of exposition traced-Commentaries are the written evidence of the oral methods-Oral work stressed in ancient

times-Correct pronunciation-Mythological allusions-Sacrificial lore-Vedic literature figure_Concrete examples and experimental knowledge-Questions and answers-questions वेदाङ्ग - संहितापाठ and पदपाठ-प्रातिशाख्य - यास्क ! निरुक्त and method-Expansion and condensation ledge-us literature and its style-Development of later commentary literature and various branches of Shastras from Ha works-Method of questions and answers prominent in some भारतु -Combination of पद, बादव and प्रमाण in the commentary literature-General applicability of the sciences of Grammar and Logic-Comparative outlook in the study of Shastras-Organization and synthesis of the general linguistic principles of qg, बाक्य and प्रमाण lead to methodology-Adoption of this methodology by the anesaifes-Theory and Practice-Practical application of these principles to the methods of exposition-तन्त्रयुक्ति अन्त्रयव्यतिरक-Inclusion of all the seven modes of affects in the commentary literature-guigs-Necessity of studying the commentaries from the view-point of methodology-This methodology applicable to the study of Shastras and poetry-Organization of this methodology for further use in teaching. pp. 56-92.

Part II-English Section Theory

I THE CLASSICS VERSUS THE MODERN SUB-JECTS: The place of Sanskrit in the University curriculum vindicated from the cultural, utilitarian and psychological points of view. pp. 93-113. II HINDU METHODOLOGY OF EDUCATION: [Eighteen sections dealing with a comprehensive survey of the ancient Hindu methodology embedded in the ब्याकरण, मीमांस, न्याय, वेदान्त and

साहित्य.

GENERAL: Necessity of preserving the best traditions of the past-A survey of the oral methods adopted by the Shastris-Literature and Grammar-Psychological aspects of memorizing-Conversational lessons at the initial stage-Stress on case-relations and compounds in the teaching of grammar-Eight modes of learning the import of words-Usage of elders akin to the Direct Method-A summary of the linguistic principles of the Hindus-Gradation in the teaching of literature-Four aggars-Pupils' point of view-Herbartian steps and formal steps of the Hindu Shastras-The analytico-synthetic aspect of the Hindu methods-The role of Hindu Logic (न्याय) in the development of analyticosynthetic methods-The deductive-inductive process in the Hindu syllogism-Categories, the law of causal relation, Enumeration, Definition and Examination helpful in the development of methodology, pp. 113-125.

ii THE PSYCHOLOGICAL METHOD: Logic aided by psychology in the development of methodology-An outline of Hindu psychology-Its comparison with the Western psychology-Its relation to Philosophy-The tenets of group psychology in 1473's science of dramaturgy-These tenets useful in awakening interest in the audience-1473's theory of 78-1470's five Junctures in a drama and their application to teaching-78 theory and its application to literature-The chief features of methodology found in 1473's 31244164—The subject-object relation and the

enhancement of TH_All these principles applicable to the teaching of literature. pp. 126-155.

iii THE METHODS OF LOGIC (न्याय): The distinction between the Psychological and Logical methods-The psychological method more suited to the learning process of a child-Analytico-synthetic aspect of the complete method-Cognition and valid knowledge prominent in Logic-Means of valid knowledge and the attainment of Truth-Scientific theory of method or methodology is a part of Logic-The processes of analysis and synthesis-Deduction and Induction-Scientific explanation-Development of a system or Shastra-Causal relation-The chief features of methodology-Rules of method laid down by Descartes-Comparison of the Western and Indian Logic-Indian Logic, a science of general application-The dialectic method and the Hindu syllogism-Prominence of प्रमाण in the Hindu Logic-Categories of the वैशेषिक and साङ्ख्य -Hindu theory of causal relation-अन्वयव्यतिरेक-ब्यासि and deductive-inductive inference in Hindu Logic-भूयोदर्शन, व्याप्तिप्रहोपाय and Induction-Scientific and critical pp. 155-183. methods in the Hindu Shastras.

iv THE COMMON LITERARY FORMS SUITED
TO METHODOLOGY: आकरण्यः, बाद्यस्यः and प्रकाणसम्बVedic literature-वेदाङ्गः सूत्र literature and its methodologyThe dialogue method and lecture method in the भाष्यः—Condensation, Expansion and Organization—Analytico—synthetic
process.

pp. 183–188.

v THE METHODS OF GRAMMAR (व्याक्त्य): The function of grammar-Analysis of पद (a word)-Phonetics and etymology-पाणिने's अष्टाच्याची and its structure-Devices of पाणिनि for securing brevity-Six kinds of व्याक्त्यासुन-सून' or generalizations arrived at through Induction-पास्क's

etymological method and पाणिनि's analytical method-कात्पायन and पतज्ञिल-Scientific exposition of grammar by पतज्ञिल-Categories of the वैशेषिक, the अन्वयव्यतिरेक method of the न्याय and a few principles of the मीमांसा accepted by पतजाल-जालादि-415-Interpretation or Explanation-Etymological and dialectic method-4051-44-Inductive and deductive method of पतञ्जलि_Necessity of the partial adoption of the inductive method of teaching grammar-exleque and the sentenceaspect of language-वाक्यपदीय and काशिकाष्ट्रित-I-tsing on the ancient method of grammar teaching-Yuan Chwang on the spoken Sanskrit-Gradual development of Sanskrit from the Verbal into the Nominal style-Chief characteristics of the Nominal style-Dr. Bhandarkar on the Nomial style-Corresponding change in the order of grammatical topics to be taught-Nominal part of Sanskrit first dealt with by the The same order of grammatical topics more or less followed by grmmarians up to महोबी-Contribution of महोबी to Grammar- वरदराव's three epitomes of the विदान्तकीयुदी and their order of grammatical topics- स्वामी द्यानन्द on grammar teaching-गङ्गासहाय's सुगमकीपुरी and the concentric method of teaching grammar-Teaching of ready-made forms of nouns and verbs-Manuals on grammar stressing the \$175-Amalgamation of grammar and literature in some works-Practical conclusions arrived at from the foregoing treatment.

pp. 188-240.
vi THE METHODS OF THE मीमांसा: Interpretation of बाक्य stressed-The sacrificial cult and the मीमांसाSystematization of the मीमांसा by जैमिनि—Deductive logic and
मीमांसा-अधिकरण of the पूर्वमीमांसा adopted by the वेदान्त-प्रमाण,
the analytico-synthetic method and categories of the न्यायवेशिषिक adopted by कुमारिस and प्रमाहर-Characteristics of

methodology observable in the मीमांसा-Importance of the interpretative aspect of the मीमांसा-Contribution of the मीमांसा to the general linguistics जातिबाद अमिहिताल्य and अल्वितामियान -A sentence, the unit of language-Five divisions of the Veda-Psychological process of भावना and खण्डाल्य्य-उत्पत्तिविधि -विनियोगविधि and its importance for the interpretation of literary and scientific works-प्रयोगविधि-अधिकारविधि-अपूर्वविधि, नियमविधि and परिसङ्ख्याविधि-मन्त, नामधेय, नियेष and अर्थवाद-प्रयुत्तिस्त -उपसंहार-Three kinds of अर्थवाद-विधि in alliance with अर्थवाद leads to the synthetic meaning or Purport-Analytico-synthetic methodology of the मीमांसा-Its wider application to वेदान्त, धर्मशास्त्र, व्याकरण and साहित्य-Its application to language teaching.

pp. 240-283.

vii THE COMPARATIVE METHOD: Scientific character of the combination of qc, qqqq and qqqq Existence of comparative, critical and scientific methods in ancient times-Correlation of studies-qqq on comparative studies-Sanskrit authors and their works exemplifying the comparative method-Wider specialization.

pp. 283-286.

viii THE SYNTHETIC METHOD: THE METHODS OF THE वेदान्त-शङ्कराचायें scientific and synthetic exposition of the Vedanta with the help of पर, वाक्य and
प्रमाण-Relation of all Upanishadic sentences to ब्रह्मन्, the main
goal-Identity of the Individual soul with ब्रह्मन्-शङ्करावाये indebted to वादरायण and गोडपाद-Six तालपाळक्क of the
मीमांसक adopted by शङ्कराचाय-Recognition of श्रृति, युक्ति (तक)
and स्वानुमन as प्रमाण the hypothesis of अविद्या-Higher
synthesis in the establishment of अद्वेत-Upanishadic sentences relating to कमन्, उपासना and श्रान useful for different
grades of people-Three planes of existence postulated for
gradual approach to the Ultimate Reality-Adwards philosophy and modern scientific inventions- तदस्यळक्षण and

स्वरूपलक्षण of ब्रह्मन् based on the विवर्तवाद-Inadequacy of the theory of causation and inexplicability (अनिवचनीयता) of the universe-Comprehensive aspect of the विवर्तवाद-The implications of the words ' माया ' and ' मिया '-The idea of मार्थो in the Vedic literature-The empirical experience of भाषा illuminated by साधिन-प्रमाता, प्रमाण and प्रमेष are the products of primal Nescience-Superimposition-The five ख्याति - शङ्कराचाये 's comparative outlook-अध्यारीपापवाद based on the विवर्तवाद is a method of exposition-विवर्तवाद and अजाति-बाद-Different methods (प्रक्रिया) of the exposition of बहान्-विवर्तवाद, a comprehensive method-वाग्रत, स्वप्न, सुपृति and सत्तात्रय-Unbroken presence of साधिन, established by the अन्वयव्यतिरेक method- तत्त्वमित and जहदबहछक्षणा-Analyticosynthetic aspect of the methods of the Vedanta-15511414's harmonization or higher synthesis of divergent systems-शहकराचार्य's method of refutation and comparative outlookpp. 286-316.

ix THE HISTORICAL METHOD: History embedded in the Sanskrit literature—Absence of the Historical method—Use of approps in the Historical method—Historical truth and literary truth—The modern idea of 'history'—Relativity of the historical truth from the philosophical point of view—Six stages of existence—Time and place, the predominant factors—Culture—Historical discipline or the amalgamation of the historical method with the critical and scientific methods—Causal relation of historical facts—analytico—synthetic process in the organization of historical facts—Importance of chronology—Approx used in the Historical method—The task of the historian—Application of the Historical method to different branches of knowledge—This method deserves to be adopted by the Shastris—Science of History and Philosophy of History—Superiority of the Hindu

outlook on the Philosophy of History-Shastris, deficient in the historical sense-Are the Shastris uncritical t—Merits and demerits of the Historical Method-Inadequacy of the Historical method in the appreciation of literature-Psychological and synthetic approach to literature is the best one-Theories of the and used in alliance with those of the and used in the teaching of literature and Shastras.

pp. 317-335.

* THE METHODS OF TEACHING LITERATURE (दवहान्वय and ल्व्डान्वय): Analytico-synthetic methods of teaching कालिदास, भवभृति and Yuan Chwang on ancient teachers-Explanatory methods of Shastris-The method of synthetic literary criticism necessary in the exposition of literature-Sanskrit works on synthetic appreciation-Logical and psychological aspects of the steps of teaching literature as adopted by Shastris-qcook and reading-Methods of constraing, viz., gogjesq and gogjesq - Their principles and application to Sanskrit commentaries-Question-forms of खण्डान्त्य - Psychological aspect of खण्डान्त्य-आकाङ्शा, योग्यता and समिधि-Comparison of दण्डान्यय and खण्डान्यय-खण्डान्त्व traced in standard Sanskrit works खण्डान्त्व useful at the initial and advanced stages-querieq in alliance with 240445K is akin to the Direct Method-Dissolution of compounds-Explanation of individual words-The place of apprand other lexicons-Utility of translation and Sanskrit explanation-The analytico-synthetic aspect of gazpag-Purport is the synthesis of various parts of language,

pp. 335-377.

xi THOUGHT AND LANGUAGE: Discussion of topics preliminary to apple Upanishadic view about the origin of language—Relation between thought and word—How thought is transformed into language—Language

related to thought and objects in the universe—The view of the नैयाविकs about thought and language—The word essence or स्पोट of the नैयाकरणs—Refutation of स्पोट by शक्कराचार्य— Synthetic mental activity—Usage of elders stressed by the बेदान्तिन्³, नैयाकरणs and अन्वितामिधानवादिन्³. pp. 377-391.

xii THE UNIT OF LANGUAGE (A SENTENCE OR A WORD): 'A sentence is the unit of language '— Usage of elders and its psychological process—Usage of elders identified with the Direct Method—Natural or spontaneous capacities for learning a language taken into account is the 'usage of elders'—Both सिद्धवान्य and विधिवान्य are meaningful according to the नैयायिक and वेदान्तिन्ड. This principle useful in language—teaching—The principles of the अमिहितान्यवादिन्ड stress the studial aspect of language—अमिहितान्यवादिन्ड start from a word to a sentence—The principles of अन्वितामिधानवादिन्ड and अमिहितान्यवादिन्ड should be amalgamated for language—study—The combination (रमुख्य) of both is profitable, pp. 391-407.

xiii EXPRESSION (अभिषा) : Psychological process in the comprehension of words—The law of mental association—Power or convention of words dealt with—The relation (वृत्ति) between word and meaning—Whether अभिषाद्वि resides in जाति or अफि—The views of the नैयायिकः, मीमांसकः, वैयाकरणः, वैदान्तिन्, and बोद्धः about this problem—These views correspond to the conceptual and perceptual aspect of words according to Western psychology—The psychological process behind this—Implications of comparison between the Eastern and Western views and their use in teaching—Four kinds of words according to the Hindu schools of thought—Superiority of कृदि over बोन—The sanction of the सीमांसकः to this.

xiv PURPORT (ताल्पं): आकाङ्का, योग्यता and सन्निष्ठि or आवल्पि again explained from a different point of viewSimultaneous recollection ' leads to the synthesis of meaning- पदारवाहार and अर्थारवाहार-अवान्तरवाक्य lead to the understanding of महावाक्य - Syntactical unity-The views of नैयायिक , नागेश and वेदान्तिम्ड about ताल्पं-ताल्पंवृत्ति useful in the teaching of prose and Shastras.

pp. 433-457

xv IMPLICATION (इञ्चणा) : तारपर्यानुपपति leads to इञ्चणा The psychological process in the use of इञ्चणा अन्यपा नुपपति is not the only cause of इञ्चणा Principles of इञ्चणा, necessary for expounding and understanding the inner spirit of literature and Shastras-Divisions of इञ्चणा Mental process in the प्रयोजनवती इञ्चणा अपनार के इञ्चणा सारोप and साव्यवसान इञ्चणा etc.

xvi SUGGESTION (ब्युझना) : Importance of the speaker's purpose or intention containing a delightful idea-Association of ideas in Suggestion-McDougall on 'Suggestion '-His view reconciled with the theory of Suggestion-Establishment by आनन्दवर्धन of ध्वनि as the soul of poetry-Stress on रसस्यनि by अभिनवगुत-ध्वनि, as the synthetic principle of criticism-Suggestion different from sifiral-The संस्थ्यकमध्यङ्ग्यध्वनि and असंस्थ्यकमध्यङ्ग्य or रसध्वनि—The mental process in both—Examples—अभिषामुख्यनि—व्यक्तना differs from लक्षणा_Suggestion based upon लक्षणा_Suggestion and secondary sense (मक्ति, उपचार) -अविवक्षितवाच्यव्वनि-अत्यन्त-तिरस्कृतवास्य and अर्थान्तरसङ्क्रमितवास्यध्वनि—Examples—हयज्ञना distinguished from ताल्य-ताल्पयमृति indispensable to the under. standing of prose and intellectual subjects in Shastras-व्यक्तना prominently emotional-Mental stages in the appre hension of the suggested sense and Purport-Location of ताल्ये în a sentence—ट्युब्रना, necessary for the appreciation of poetry अञ्चल and अनुमान. pp. 480-512.

XVII THE AESTHETIC EXPERIENCE: MPHAGUH'S contribution to the theory of स्तरवृत्-Views of लोलह. श्रीराङ्कुक, भट्टनायक etc.-Psychological stages in the realization of रस according to अभिनवगुप्त-साधारणीकरण of महनायक partially accepted by अभिनवगुस_According to अभिनवगुस the निष्पत्ति ' of भरत is equivalent to अभिन्यक्ति (suggestion)— जगलाय's view on this The three psychological stages of अभिनवतुत्र compared with the six stages of I. A. Richards-Limitations of the psychological approach and the necessity of the philosophical approach to to The views of Coleridge and Shelley on poetry and philosophy-साधिमास्यल of रस according to ज्यानाय_Harmonization of the theories of the वैयाकरण⁸, मीमांसक⁸, नैयायिक and साङ्ख्य in the Sanskrit Poetics-The theories of रस and ध्वति in the Sanskrit Poetics useful in the appreciation of poetry and worthy of being employed by the teachers of Sanskrit literature. pp. 512-530.

xviii CONCLUSION : The main results of the survey of Hindu Methodology and their practical implications.

pp. 531-544.

III READING AND RECITATION: Its importance-Its principles culled and organized from the पाणिनीयशिका, Hindu Rhetorics and und's science of dramaturgy-Application of these principles to the reading and recitation of Sanskrit literature. pp. 545-557.

Part III-Practice

IV STUDY AND TEACHING OF SANSKRIT LITERATURE : Essentials of good Sanskrit text-books-Detailed practical suggestions for the teaching of Sanskrit literature.

(Those suggestions presuppose all general principles as detailed in the former chapters on Hindu Methodology, the principles of language-teaching propounded by Western authorities and practical experience. The teaching of Sanskrit literature at the initial and advanced stages has been pp. 558-614. differentiated and dealt with in detail).

V SYLLABUS IN SANSKRIT: (i) The Syllabus followed by Shastris in qualities and Sanskrit colleges-Critical remarks on the same in the light of the principles of curriulum construction. (ii) The syllabus to be followed in secondary schools-The place of grammar in Sanskrit teaching-The most essential grammatical portions to be studied at the school stage-Place of memorizing-Translation into Sanskrit-Sanskrit composition, etc. (iii) Criticism of the syllabus followed in Arts Colleges at present, and suggestions for its improvement-Criticism of the comparative, scientific and historical methods as followed at the Universities-Urgency of preserving the analytico-synthetic methods of intensive study as adopted by the Shastris-Importance of preserving the Shastric traditions and Hindu culture-Opinions of distinguished Western and Eastern scholars about the Shastris and Hindu culture-A happy combination of the Western or extensive methods of study and the Eastern or intensive methods is a desideratum.

THE PARTY OF THE P After the Parish of the Parish of the Annual Printers and THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

pp. 614-659.

Bibliography

Sanskrit Books

१ अद्भैतन्नससिद्धि-नाश्मीरकसदानन्दयति, २ अन्वयप्रबोध-ओङ्कार-दास, ३ अभिधावृत्तिमातृका-मुकुल, ४ अर्थशाख-कौटिल्य, ५ अर्थसङ्ग्रह्—लीगाविभास्कर, ६ अष्टाध्यायी-पाणिनि, ७ आप-स्तम्बर्णस्त्र, ८ आश्रलायनरहास्त्र, ९ उत्तररामचरित-भवमृति, १० ऋग्वेदमातिशास्य, पटल१, ११ ऋग्वेदमान्यभूमिका-सायण, १२ कवि-रहस्य-इलायुघ, १३ काव्यचिन्ता-कालीपदतकीचार्य, १४ काव्यप्रकाश-मम्मट, १५ काव्यमीमांसा-राजशेखर, १६ काव्यादश-दण्डिन्, १७ काव्यानुशासन-हेमचन्त्र, १८ काव्यालङ्कारसूत्र-वामन, १९ काशिकावृत्ति-वामनजयादित्य [न्यास-जिनेन्द्रबुद्धि], २० कुमारसम्मव-कालिदास, २१ काँमुदी (सार, लघु, मध्य)-वरदराज, २२ चरकसंहिता, २३ जातकमाला-आर्थग्रर, २४ तत्त्वसङ्ग्रह-शान्तरक्षित, २५ तर्कभाषा-केशविमध, २६ तकसङ्ग्रहदीपिका-असमह, २७ दशस्पक-धनज्ञय, २८ दशोपनिषदः, २९ इषाश्रयकाव्य-हेमचन्द्र, ३० धर्मा-कृत-व्यम्बकरायमखिन्, ३१ ध्वन्यालोक-अभिनयगुप्तकृतलोचनसह, ३२ थातुकाव्य-नारायण, ३३ नाट्यशास्त्र-भरत (अभिनवभारतीसह), ३४ निरुक्त-यास्क (दुर्गाचार्यकृतटीकासह), ३५ न्यायकोश (झळकी-कर-अम्पेकर), ३६ न्यायसिद्धान्तमञ्जरी-जानकीनायमद्वाचार्य, ३७ न्यायसिद्धान्तमुक्तावरि-विश्वनायन्यायपञ्चानन, ३८ न्यायसूत्र-गौतम्, ३९ पञ्चदशी-विद्यारण्य, ४० परमल्खुमञ्जूषा-नागेश, ४१ परिभाषेन्दु-शेखर (बाडेगांवकरकृत अनुवाद), ४२ पाणिनीयशिक्षा, ४३ पातञ्जल-योगसूत्र, ४४ प्रस्थानभेद-मधुसूदनसरस्वती, ४५ ब्रह्मसूत्रशाङ्करभाष्य, ४६ महिकाव्य-जयमञ्जलाटीकासह, ४७ भाषापरिच्छेद, ४८ माण्डूक्य-कारिका-गौडपादशाङ्करमाध्य, ४९ मानसोछासवार्विक-सुरेश्वराचार्य, ५० मालविकामिमन-कालिदास, ५१ मीमांसान्यायप्रकाश-आपदेक (अभ्यंकरकृतटीका), ५२ मीमांसापरिभाषा-कृष्णयञ्चा, ५३ रघुवंश-

विमर्श-आर. कृष्णमाचारियर, ५४ रसगङ्गाघर, ५५ वाक्यपदीय, काण्ड १-२-मतृंहरि, ५६ वारकचरुष्यह (विवेन्द्रम्), ५७ वासुदेव-विजय-वासुदेव, ५८ विद्युक्तता (मेघसन्देशव्याख्या)-पूर्णसरस्वती, ६९ वेदान्तपरिमाषा-घर्मराजाध्व-रिन्द्र, ६१ वेदान्तसर-सदानन्द, ६२ वेयासिकन्यावमाला -मारतीतीर्थ, ६३ व्याकरणमहाभाष्य-पतञ्जले, अच्याय १, ६४ शब्दव्यापारिवचार-मम्मट, ६५ शृङ्गारतिलक-चद्रमट, ६६ श्रीशङ्कराचार्यविरचितप्रकरण-अन्यसङ्ग्रह (अष्टेकर, पुण), ६७ क्ष्रोकयोजनिकोपाय-रमुराम, ६८ घड्दर्शनसमुख्य-हरिमद्रसूरि, ६९ सज्जनेन्द्रप्रयोगकल्पद्रम (चौखम्या, काशी), ७० सत्यार्थप्रकाश-दयानन्दसरस्वती, ७१ सर्वदर्शनसङ्ग्रह-माधवाचार्य (अम्यंकरकृत टीका), ७२ साङ्ख्यकारिका, ७३ साहित्य-दर्पण-विश्वनाय, ७४ सिद्धान्तकिन्दु-मधुस्दनसरस्वती (अभ्यंकरकृत टीका), ७६ सुगमकीसुदी-गङ्गासहाय (विकटेश्वर, मुंबई), ७७ सुश्रुतसंहिता, ७८ हर्षचरित-वाण.

Printed Books of the following Schools of Sanskrit Grammar

कातन्त्र, प्रक्रियाकीमुदी, मुग्धबोध, शाकटायनव्याकरण, सारस्वत, सिद्धहेमचन्द्रशब्दानुशासन.

Sanskrit Manuscripts

१ कातन्त्रलखुवृत्ति—भावसेनत्रैविद्यविरचित, २ कुमारसम्भव—नवनीत-रामकृतल्लण्डान्वियटीका, ३ प्रवोधचन्द्रिका—वैजलभूपति, ४ बालवोध— नरहरि, ५ लघुवाक्यवोध, ६ वाक्यपदीय (सटीक)—गङ्गादास,७ व्याख्यान-प्रक्रिया—शशिदेव, ८ व्युत्पत्तिसार—माधवपण्डित, ९ शब्दरूपाविद्य (1612 & 1701 A. D.), १० सारसिद्धान्तकौमुदी—वरदराज.

English Books

1 Art of Teaching and Studying Languages-F. Gouin; 2 Billingualism-M. West; 3 Biographia Literaria-S. T. Coleridge; 4 Classics in Education-A Report (H. M. Stationery Office, London); 5 Collected Works of Sir R. G. Bhandarkar-Vol. I; 6 Constructive Survey of the Upanishads-R. D. Ranade; 7 Dasa Rupaka (Eng. Trans.)-G. C. O. Haas; 8 Defence of Poetry-P. B. Shelley; 9 Descriptive Catalogues of MSS, on Grammar and Kavya; 10 Discourse on Method-Descartes; 11 Doctrine of Maya-P. B. Shastri; 12 Education in India (Modern Period)-K. S. Vakil; 13 Education, its Data and First Principles-Nunn; 14 Groundwork of Educational Psychology-Ross; 15 History of Indian Literature-M. Winternitz; 16 History of Indian Logic-Satischadra Vidyahhushana; 17 History of Indian Philosophy, Vols. I & II, S. N. Dasa Gupta; 18 History of Sanskrit Literature-A. B. Keith; 19 History of Sanskrit Poetics, Vol. II-S. K. De; 20 How to teach a Foreign Language-O. Jespersen; 21 Indian Philosophy, Vols. I and II-S. Radhakrishnan; 22 Intermediate Logic-Welton & Monahan; 23 Introduction to Social Psychology-McDougall; 24 Introduction to the Sahityadarpana-P. V. Kane; 25 Introduction to the Vedanta Sutras-G. Thibaut; 26 Karm-Mimansa-A. B. Keith; 27 Language in Education-M. West; 28 Language, its Nature, Development and Origin-O. Jespersen; 29 Latin-its Place & Value in Education-C. W. Valentine; 30 Latin on the Direct Method-Rouse & Appleton; 31 Learning to Read a Foreign Language-M. West; 32 Mimansa Nyaya Prakasa (Eng. Trans.)-F. Edgerton; 33 Modern Language Instruction in Canada, Vols. I & II (Publications of the American and Canadian Committees on Modern Languages); 34 New Teaching- The Classics -W. H. D. Rouse; 35 Outline of Indian Philosophy-M. Hiriyanna; 36 Outline of Psychology-McDougall; 37 Panini-Goldstucker; 38 Philosophy of Sanskrit Grammar-P. C. Chakravarti, 39 Poona Sk. College: Prospectus-S. K. Belvalkar, 40 Principles of Language Study-H. E. Palmer, 41 Principles of Literary Criticism-L A. Richards, 42 Progress of Education, Vols. I to XII, 43 Progressive Methods of Teaching-Martin J. Stormzand, 44

Record of the Buddhist Religion-I-taing, 45 Scientific Study & Teaching of Languages-H. E. Palmer, 46 Six Systems of Indian Philosophy-MaxMuller, 47 Special Reports on Educational Subjects, Vols. 2, 6, 8, 20, 28 (Board of Education, England), 48 Studies on Panini's Grammar-B. Faddegon, 49 Substitution Tables (100)-H. E. Palmer, 50 Systems of Sanskrit Grammar-S. K. Belvalkar, 51 System of the Vedanta-Paul Deussen, 52 Tarka-Sangraha-Y. V. Athalye, 53 Teaching, Vols. II, V. VII, (Articles by V. P. Bokil), 54 Teaching, its Nature & Varieties-B. Dumville, 55 Theories of Rasa & Dhwani-A. Sankaran, 56 This Language-Learning Business-H. E. Palmer & H. V. Redmen, 57 Vedanta Sutras-Vireshwarananda, 58 Via Nova-W. H. S. Jones, 59 Wilson Philological Lectures-R. G. Bhandarkar, 60 Yuan Chwang's Travels in India-T. Watters.

शुद्धिपत्रम् ।

प्रष्टम्	पङ्किः	अञ्चदम्	राजार
3	9	अवस्तना	शुद्धम्
26		वर्षित्य-	अधस्तनी
26	88	आधुनिक:	वर्षिन्यः
25	23	अतोऽय	आधुनिक—
ইও	9		अतोऽयं
83	2.3	प्रारम्म अध्ययनाहीण छात्रमनसु	प्रारम्भेऽध्ययनाहांणि
80	4	प्राप्त्येते प्राप्त्येते	छात्रमनः सु
86	25	नायकयो	प्राप्स्यतः
42	Y	पदनापि	नायकयोः
40	१६		पदेनापि
40	25	मित्यवधारयन्	मित्यवाधारयन्
40	23	सुबन्तप्रधान सरस्वति	सुत्रन्तप्रधाना
46	24		सरस्वती
49	14	सन्या सविशेष	अन्याः
E 4	20	समीक्षां	सविशेषं
E 4	28		समीक्षा
60	20	कारिका।	कारिका
68	4	मुखेना समाश्रित	मुखेन समाश्रिता
98	20	विविक्तवेन	विविक्तत्वेन
७५	₹0	संमव	संमतं
64	28	वार्तिकग्रन्था—	वार्तिकमन्थाः
64	25	स्थिरी:	स्थिरी-
00	25	चोपनग्मी	चोपजगाम
69	25	वलम्बन्य	वलम्बन्यः
60	8.8	वचनेसाचे	वचनैः साध
	44	सहितायां कौटिलीयंशास्त्र	संहितायां
			कौटिलीयार्थशास्त्रे

पृष्ठम्	पङ्कि:	अशुद्धम्	शुद्धम्
28	6	तथा	वया
29	2	तवृद्धम	तर्ह्म
20	₹0	कस्यचिद्वज्ञीयविदुषो	श्रीविद्याशङ्करमारती- स्वामिपादानां
22	- 3	प्राप्ता इत्येव	प्राप्ता, इत्येव
58	2.5	मार्गोपकृता	मार्गोपकृताः
52	8.5	शनाप्रधान्ये	शानप्राधान्ये
55	25	टिपण्यादिम्यो	टिपण्यादिभ्यो

ERRATA

Page	Line	Incorrect	Correct
95	10	forms	form
96	35	Thorndyke	Thorndike
99	9	the longer is it	the longer it is
106	1	in the senior years	from the senior years
115	31	in coversation	in conversation
136	15	emotins	emotions
141	22	and the उद्दीपनविमाव	and उद्दीपनविभाव
143	24	consiouness	consciousness
159	27	assumas	assumes
166	23	यथ '	यथा
176	2	for some-	for, some-
176	11	co-existance	co-existence
198	16	अर्पकप	अपकर्ष
205	20	qenerlization	generalization
219	30	of the root at & are	of the roots a & sag
222	14	a prose sentece	a prose sentence
243	17	पूत्रमीमांखा	पूर्वमीमांखा
243	22	अपूर्व	अपूर्व
251	12	with the	with the

Page	Line	Incorrect	Correct	
252	15	while principal thing	while the prin-	
#55E		THE PERSON NAMED IN	eipal thing	
255	20	whols मन्त	whole मन्त्र	
258	28	अतिदशे	अतिदेश	
275	1	प्रतिपध (प्रतिषेध	
976	3	प्रतिषष	प्रतिषेध	
288	23	the seven	the six	
291	6	श्रति	श्रुति	
1200	10	settle.	संविद	
306		सविद्	the negation	
307	24	ihe negation Upanishands	Upanishads	
308	25	Total Control of the	ईश्वर	
310	13	इश्वर	2003	
310	15	इश्वर	र्देश्वर methodology	
313	21	methd ology	forms	
343	30	froms adjectives	adjectives	
347	13 28		उमयथा	
413	8	यथा object	object	
422	17	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O		
443		आङ्काश्चा	आकाङ्खा	
451	28	स्रप	. श्रेष	
475	20	गाणी छक्षणा	गीणी लक्षणा	
508	13	तात्पर्याथ	तात्पर्यार्थ	
541	3	by higher	by advanced	
553	12	componud	compound	
584	32	languge	language	
607	9	rytional	rational	
630	31	success	success	
650	32	aer A	As	
653	12			
[Misprints easily recognizable are left out.]				

संस्कृतानुशीलनविवेकः ।

[The Problem of Sanskrit Teaching]

संस्कृताध्यापन-प्रश्नावलिः।

अपि गीवांणवागनरागिणो महामागाः ! नैतदविदितं भवतां यद-खिळेऽप्यस्मिनभारतवर्षं आङ्ग्डपाठशास्त्रस्यमृभिन्नां स्थीयमानेषु विविध-विषयेषु 'संस्कृतम्' इत्यन्यतमो विषयः । आङ्ग्लपाठशालासु प्रायश्चतुर्थश्रेणीतः संस्कृताध्यापनमारम्यते । प्रतिसप्ताहं चत्वारिशन्निमेषपरिमिताश्रत्वारः पञ्च बा कालांशा (periods) लम्पन्ते संस्कृताध्यापनाय । एतेम्योऽनध्याय-दिनानि व्यवकळनीयानि । एवं संस्कृतभाषाधिगमाय न पर्याप्तः कालो लम्पते छात्रै। अपि चेदानी न केवलं विप्राः किन्वविप्रा अपि संस्कृत-मध्येतुमीइन्ते । गीर्वाणमापा च वर्वेपामपि मारतीयानामनव्येविचाररला-नामाकरः, आचारधर्माणामधिष्ठानं, नानाशास्त्रविद्याकलाकलापानां महोद्धिः। एतस्या गीवाणवाळायनिलीनायाः सुविचाराचाररूपायाः संस्कृतेः परि-त्राणसमुखस्ययं गीवाणमापाज्ञानं तद्विजिगांसुभ्योऽशेषच्छात्रेभ्यो वितरणीय-मिस्पपरिहार्थे मञ्जातम् । तस्मात्मुकुमारमतीनां सक्तळवातीयकुमाराणां गीर्बाणवाण्यां सुगमप्रचाराय सर्वेरपि प्रशाबद्धिर्मिरतिशयप्रेमास्पदीभृतायाः मुरमारत्या अध्यापने कापि कविशेषयुक्ता पद्धतिराविष्करणीया समाश्रयणीया चेत्यत्र न कोऽपि सन्देहः। ये संस्कृताच्ययनमात्रेण शास्त्रेष्यसाधारण-नैपुण्यमचित्रिगामिषान्ति, न तेषां इतेऽयं विमर्शः। ये तु गीवांणमारस्या-मन्तर्हितं महाकाव्यनाटककथादिप्रबन्धाना सौन्दर्ये मुलास्वादनेनावगन्तु. मिच्छन्ति, तेषां सामान्यच्छात्राणां कृतेऽयं प्रस्तावः। अधुना देववाचः सार्वत्रिकप्रचारार्थे विशिष्टशास्त्राचीतेरपेसया काव्यनाटकादिवास्त्रयस्य तद्रत-माधासरण्यास्वाध्यपनमेव हिततरं भवेदिति सुनिर्णीतम्। 'रण्डागीतानि काव्यानि 'इति बदतां पण्डितप्रकाण्डानां कालस्तु समाप्तकस्यः, यतो विशिष्टशास्त्रनेपुण्यं समासादयन्तो सना यत्र कुत्रापि काचित्का एव ।

अधुनातने काल वैभवस्य परां कोटिमधिरुदायामपि सपत्नीस्यानी-याङ्ग्लमायाया, सुरसरस्वतीतेवारंखकाः केचन विद्वद्वरेण्या प्रवक्तुत्व-प्रनथपकाधनादिभिविविधोपाँयः सुरमारत्याः सार्वित्रकप्रचारार्थे भारतीय-संस्कृतिरक्षार्थे च प्रयतमानाः संबद्धयन्ते । किन्तु गीवांगभारत्याः सार्वेत्रिकः प्रचारः सम्बगध्ययनाध्यापनाधीन इत्यपि न परीक्षं प्रक्षावताम् । अक्केश-पुरस्धरं रहोत्पादकमध्यापनमेवाध्ययनसीकर्याय गुणनिकया ज्ञानविद्वद्वये च भवतीति विद्यंत हेतुहेतुमद्राबोऽध्ययनाच्यापनयोः। सम्प्रति भारतवर्षे बालानां वंस्कृताध्यापनार्थे वंस्कृतपाठशालासु मातृभाषोपयोगपूर्वकमुत्तरोत्तरं संस्कृतभाषाव्यवद्दारं प्रोत्साद्दयन्ती प्राचीनपण्डितपद्धतिरनुस्वियमाणा वर्तते, आङ्ग्लपाठशालामु चाङ्ग्लमाषाव्यवद्दारप्रमुखाव्याकरणाङ्ग्लानुवादप्रधाना भाण्डारकरपद्धतिरित्येतत्पद्धतिद्वयं प्रायेण प्रचारबहुल परिहरवते । आदितः संस्कृतभाषाव्यवद्वारसीकर्यसम्पादयित्री तृतीया संवादयद्वतिस्तु पर्यासप्रयोगा-भावाजिएणाध्यापकविरहाच विरलत्वेनैवोपयुज्यते । आङ्ग्लपाठशालासु तु संस्कृताध्ययनस्य प्रायो दोषसङ्कुल्स्वादद्विकरत्वाच तत्रत्यारछात्राः संस्कृताः ध्ययनं विद्वायान्यभाषान्यासमबळम्बन्ते। अतक्षाङ्ग्ळविद्याळयेषु संस्कृतमाषा प्रतिदिनमस्तिपश्चेन्दुकलेव श्रीणत्वसुपयातित्यही दीर्भाग्यं सुरभारत्याः ! प्रचलितबहुविधपद्विपरीक्षणपूर्वकं पूर्वतनानां गुणानज्ञीकृत्यैव न्तनसरण्य-नसरणं श्रेय इति निपुणं विचायं, संस्कृताध्ययनाध्यापनदुरवस्थाया दूरी-करणाय भारतवर्षीयविविधविद्यां समुचिताध्यापनपद्धतिविषयऽभिमतान्य-भिकाङ्क्षता मया नानाप्रयोगसमीक्षामूलिका 'संस्कृताच्यापनप्रशावलिः ' वर्षपट्काट्यागुपनिवद्धा, या भिन्नप्रान्तस्थानां विदुषा शतकपञ्चकस्य सविधे प्रत्युत्तरप्राप्तये सप्रश्रयाम्यर्थनापुरस्तर प्रेषिता, संस्कृतपत्रिकास् च प्रका-शिताऽऽसीत् । तदानी गीर्वाणवाग्वद्वादराणां विदुषां त्रयोदशमिः संस्कृत-भाषया, अष्टमिमंद्राराष्ट्रमापया, त्रिभिश्चाङ्ग्लभाषयेति केवलं चतुर्विश-तिसङ्ख्याकेंद्रस्तलेखरूपेण सानुमद्भृत्तराणि प्रेरितानि मत्सविध । संस्कृती-त्तराणि प्रेययता विदुषामेकः स्युक्तप्रान्तीयः, एको गुक्तरदेशीयः, ही मह विभागस्यी, नव च महाराष्ट्रियाः सन्ति । उपरितनविद्यां प्रतिप्रश्न

विविधोत्तराणि संस्कृताध्यापनपद्धतिजिशासूनां सम्यन्त्रोधाय समुत्कृष्टपद्धतिग-वेषणाय च मत्प्रश्नैः साकं 'बेळगाव'नगरस्थायां 'मधुरवाण्यां' अयोध्यास्य— साप्ताहिक—'संस्कृते' च प्रकाशितपूर्वाण्येव । सत्यवसरे सकलान्यपि प्रत्युत्तराणि प्रन्यस्थेण पृथक्तया प्रकाश्यरक्षेत्र ।

संस्कृतभाषाजिशासूनामाङ्ग्लविद्यालयच्छात्राणामध्यापने ये विवाद्याः प्रश्नाः समुद्रवन्ति तानुहिदय मुद्रितपूर्ववेयं ' संस्कृताच्यापनप्रश्नावितः' संस्कृतानुरागिणां समीक्षाय सम्यक्तिणयाय चात्र पुनरपि प्रकारयते । अन्य-च्चेयं प्रश्नावित्रत्यप्रवन्धोपन्यासस्येव, यतस्तदन्तगैतानां केषाञ्चित्रश्रमानां प्रत्युत्तराण्यपि लभ्यरस्त्रशोपस्यापितेषु प्रवन्धेषु । अधस्तना प्रश्नावित्रस्यानपि विद्वषः प्रत्युत्तरकेखनाय प्ररचेत् , संस्कृतानुश्रीलनविषये युक्तायुक्तमिणांयको विविध्ययनस्वयतो मदीयविमर्शक सरससर्व्यथ्यापनरीतिगवेषणायोपयुक्ततरो विद्वधवनसम्मतश्च भवेदिति वक्वदाशास्यते ।

सामान्यप्रशाः ।

१ (अ) बालानां कृते या संस्कृताध्यापनपद्धतिः पुरातनकाला-दारम्याय यावद् गीर्बाणमाषापण्डितैः समाधिता समाधीयते च, तस्याः स्वरूपं कीटक्, कि च तहत्विषयाणामानुपूर्वम् १।

(आ) उदीक्यभारतीयानां दाक्षिणात्यानां च पदत्योः के भेदसाम्ये !।

(इ) गीर्वाणमाधापण्डितानामध्यापनप्रणास्य के गुणा दोघा वा ! सा प्रणास्याङ्ग्लपाठशालास्वनुस्तियमाणा चेत् किं परिवर्तनमिध्यते !।

२ त्रिदिवं गतानां द्धाः भाण्डारकरमहोदयानां संस्कृताध्यापनपरिपाटी बालानां मुखबोधाय कियन्मात्रं गुणावहा ?।

३ इदानी पाश्चात्यदेशेषु केश्चिद्यापकेः संस्कृततुस्यानां 'ग्रीकृळॅटिन्' इत्येतासां प्रविरल्प्पचारमापाणामध्यापने तो तामेव भाषामाश्चित्य
संवादपद्धतिः प्रयुज्यते । वस्याः कस्या अपि भाषाया अध्ययनाध्यापनविषये
तद्धिष्ठानम्तानि कानिचिद् व्यापकतस्वानि समयलस्व्यमानानि वर्तन्त इति
सन्द्रस्य तैस्तानि बालमनोविज्ञानानुसरिण (Child psychology)
समुद्मावितानि सङ्क्लीकृतानि च । तेषां तस्वानां तस्याः संवादपद्धत्याश्च
(Conversational method) संस्कृताध्यापने कियानंशो प्रासः १।

४ आङ्ग्लतृतीयश्रेणीतः चंस्कृताध्ययनारम्भे कियमाणे कः प्रत्यवायः १ तथा कृते चोपकमाध्यापनं कथा रीत्या कार्यम् १।

व्याकरणम्।

- ५ (अ) कि भाषामुखेन व्याकरणे पाठनीयमुत व्याकरणमुखेन भाषा ! करमात् कारणाच !।
- (आ) सामान्यच्छात्राणां संस्कृतवाङ्मयप्रवेद्याय कियन्मात्रं व्याकरण-शानमेपेश्यते, के व्याकरणविभागाश्चात्यावस्यकाः !।
- (इ) सौकर्योपयोगदृष्ट्या व्याकरणविभागानामध्यापने कोऽनुक्रमः समीचीनः स्यात् !।
 - (ई) शब्दरूपावलिसमासचक्रयोः प्रस्तावः कदा कार्यः ?।
- (उ) अध्यापकेन सुकोमलमतिभिष्ठात्रैः कि व्याकरणनियमानुरोधेन रूपसिद्धिः कार्यितव्या, तैः पुस्तकस्थानि सिद्धरूपाण्येव कण्ठे करणीयानि चा !।
 - (क) संस्कृताध्ययनाय मातृभाषाव्याकरणज्ञानस्य कियत्यपेक्षा? ।

अनुवादः।

- ६ (अ) अपि 'मानुमाषयानुवाद ' इति संस्कृतमाषाध्यापनस्यैकमेव साधनम् १ अन्यान्यपि साधनानि स्युओत्कानि तानि ।।
 - (आ) संस्कृतस्य मातृभाषयानुवादः केषु केषु स्थलेषु हितमाबहेत् ।।
- (इ) मात्मापानुवादस्य का पद्धतिः अयसी, संस्कृतशब्दानुसारिणी मातृमापासरण्यनुसारिणी वा ! उभयोरण्युपयोगो यथासम्भवं कुत्र कथं चेष्टः !।
- (ई) मातृमाषाया आङ्ग्छभाषाया वा संस्कृतेऽनुवादः सामान्य-च्छात्राणां कियत्परिमाणेनोपकारकः १ उपकारकः स्याच्चेत्त आङ्ग्छविद्यालयेषु कतमस्यां अण्यामारच्चच्यः कया रीत्या चाध्यापयितव्यः १ ।

वाङ्मयाभ्यासः।

७ (अ) उपक्रमावस्थायां किस्तरूपं वाङ्मयं छात्रैरन्यसनीयम्, कि विकिष्टवाक्यानि (Disconnected sentences) उत कथासंवाद-वर्णनात्मकाः संक्षिप्टपरिच्छेदाः (Connected passages)! किमर्ये च १। (आ) संस्कृतवाङ्मयं केन भाषासाधनेन (Medium) अध्यापिय-

तब्यम्, संस्कृतभाषया मातृभाषया वा रै

(इ) वाङ्मयाध्यापनेऽध्यापकेन (१) प्रश्नप्रणाखी (Questioning), (२) अनुवादप्रणाखी (Translation), (३) कयनप्रणाखी (Telling) चेत्येताः कुत्र कथं वा प्रयोज्याः ! प्रश्नकथनार्थविवरणानि कतस्या मापया कार्याण, मातृमाध्या संस्कृतभाषया वा ! किमर्थे च ! उमयमाधोपयोगः कर्तव्यक्षेत्कुत्र संस्कृतं प्रयोज्यं कुत्र च मातृभाधा !।

(ई) कथाकाव्यवंवादवर्णनादीनामध्यापनं प्रत्येकं कया विभिन्नपरि-

पाट्या कार्यम् ?।

(उ) बाङ्मयाच्यापने प्रायः कः कल्पोऽनुसर्तव्योऽध्यापकेन, कया

दृष्ट्या च ।।

(क) गीर्वाणवाङ्मयगताः पर्यायश्च्याः (Synonyms), भिन्नार्थ-श्च्याः (Homonyms), वावसम्प्रदायाश्च (Idioms) कया पद्धत्या-ध्येतव्याः । अमरकोशप्रस्तावः कदा कथं च कार्यः ।।

पाठ्यपुस्तकानि ।

८ (अ) बालानां मुखबोबाय समीचीनानि संस्कृतपाठ्यपुस्तकानि कृच्छ्रेणैव लम्यन्ते । न्तनेषु विरचयितव्येषु कानि तस्यानि लेखकैरात्मनः पुरः संस्थाप्यानि १ पुस्तकगतपाठानां कमविषये स्थूलतया किं तस्यमाअयणीयम् ।।

(आ) बालानां पाठ्यपुस्तकेषु कीहशी माथा के च विषया उपयो-क्तव्याः श्रिमचातमायायाः (Classical language) प्रस्तावस्तेषु कियन्मात्रं शक्यः श्रिमचातप्रन्यानामभ्यासः कतमस्यां श्रेण्यां कतमे वयसि वा साध्यः १।

(इ) संस्कृतवाङ्मयस्थाः कथाकाल्यनाटकादयो विविधमकारा

बाळानां पाठ्यपुरतकेषु अण्यनुरोधेन यथाक्रमं कथं संनिवेदयाः । ।

(ई) पाठ्यपुस्तकेषु व्याकरणविभागाः कथं संविभाज्याः कया रीत्या च लेखनीयाः !।

(3) आङ्ग्लभाषायां स्रमान्यासाईन्यः पाठ्यपुस्तकेभ्योऽतिरिक्तानि बालोचितानि बहुनि स्यूल्याचनपुस्तकानि (Books for Rapid Reading) छन्यन्वे।ताहशेषु संस्कृतभाषायां वेखितव्येषु कानि तस्त्यानि वेखकैरनुसर्तव्यानिरै

सङ्कीणेप्रश्नाः।

- ९ 'अस्पारम्मः क्षेमकरः' इति न्यायात् कथावर्षनपत्रादिपरिच्छेदरूपेण प्रवन्यकेखनं छात्राणां कियद्विताय भवेत् । अयं विषय आङ्ग्लविद्यालय-शिक्षाप्रणाल्यां कथं संनिवेश्यः कथा रीत्या चाध्याप्यः ।
- १० वाङ्मयव्याकरणयोः के भागाः कण्डस्थाः करणीया अकरणीया वा १ पाणिनीयसृत्राणामध्ययनं यथाप्रसङ्गमाङ्ग्लपाठशालासु कियन्मात्रं साध्यम् १।
- ११ आङ्ग्ळविद्यालयेषु संस्कृतभाषायाः पाठ्यकमनिर्धारणे (Curriculum construction) का दृष्टिः समवलस्वनीया १ केषु विभागेषु च पाठ्यक्रमपरिवर्तनमिष्टम् १।
- १२ लीकिकवार्येषु संस्कृतज्ञानां संस्कृतवाय्ययद्वारः सुसाध्यो दुःसाच्यो बा १ दुःसाध्यक्षेत्केन कारणेन १ सुसाध्यक्षेत् कैरपायैः १।
- १३ आङ्ग्लमहाविद्यालयेषु प्रधानत्वेन संस्कृतमधीत्यापि विश्व-विद्यालयोपाधिधारिणोऽध्यापका अध्यापनेऽन्यत्र वा संस्कृतमापया सौक्येण संमापणादिव्यवहारं कर्तुं न पारयन्ति । तेपामध्यापने संस्कृतभाषाव्यवहार हृषोऽनिष्ठो वा !। इष्टक्षेदध्यापकैमीधाव्यवहारनैपुण्यं कथं सम्पाद्यम् !।
- १४ संस्कृतशिक्षकाणां संस्कृतवाग्व्यवहारक्षमस्वाय महाविद्यालयीने संस्कृतपाठ्यक्रमे कि परिवर्तनिमध्यते १।

संस्कृताध्ययनदुरवस्था तद्द्रीकरणोपायाञ्च ।

कतिपयवत्तरेभ्यः प्राग्मारतवर्षस्य नानादिग्मागेषु प्राचुवेण समुपाळ-भ्यन्त गीर्वाणमापाप्रवीणाः पण्डितप्रकाण्डा ये सुवोधमधुरया गीर्वाणवाण्या संख्यन्तः श्रोत्रसुखमुत्पादयन्ति स्म । नानाशास्त्रपारावारीणानां तेषां तेषां पूर्वतनपण्डितप्रवराणां गीर्वाणवान्विकासं स्मारं स्मारमद्यापि सुखदो- कामस्यारोहति चेतः । प्राकालीना परिपाटी त्वियं यहाक्षिणात्याः पण्डिता उदीन्यभारतं गत्वा तत्रात्मनोऽगाधपाण्डित्येन प्रथितयशसः स्वकीयमुरसरस्वतीनेपुण्येन प्रगादशास्त्रावसाहनेन च वाराणसीमद्याप्यलङ्कु-बांणाः सन्ति दाक्षिणात्याः पण्डितप्रवरा इति महद्रीरवास्पदम् । दक्षिणभारते स्वधुना का दुर्गतिर्दृष्टिसर्णिमायाति ! अत्र न केवलं हीनत्वमापनाऽस्मदीया निसर्गमधुरा देवभारती, अपितु केचनाधुनिकपण्डितम्मन्याः प्रलपन्ति यद् " इयं देववाक् पञ्चत्वं गता। आधुनिकभाषाविचाराणामपेक्षया सा न्यूनतमा, निकृष्टतमा च । कि प्रयोजनमस्मदीयमेताहस्या मृतभाषया ?" इति । एतस्प्रल-पितश्रवणेन दूयेत करवापि गीर्वाणवागनुरागिणश्चेतः । सीमाग्यस्यायं विषयो यदेतस्मिद्यप्यनगुक्ले काले कैश्चिदङ्गुलिमेयैः पण्डितस्थाविच दक्षिणमारते गीर्वाणभाषागतविविधशास्त्राणा पठनपाठनयोः परम्पराऽनाडम्बरपूर्वकमिन च्छिन्नत्वेन प्रचालिता । किन्तु सुरसरत्वतीशेवधिरूपास्ते ते प्राचीनपण्डिताः प्रायः प्रतिदिन कालवशस्त्रभुपयान्ति,ये च विरलत्वेनोपलस्यमानास्ते वयस्रक्षरमं भागं प्राप्ता इति हेतोः संस्कृताध्ययनपरम्परा जिल्लवाया संवृत्तेत्यहो दीर्मांग्यं विबुधवाण्याः । एतःकारणश्चेणिवशादेवेयं सुरभारती मृम्पुंत्वमापन्ना, एपा च तस्या दुरवस्या "अहो कष्टं सापि प्रतिदिनमघोऽघः प्रविशति " इति मर्तृहरिवचनं स्मारयति । अद्यत्व आङ्ग्ढभाषाच्ययनस्थापरिहार्यापेक्षा वर्तत इति विवादातीतमेव । किन्तु संस्कृतभारत्याः समुचिताध्ययनेनावि सव्धाय-काबीन मान्यं, यती भारतीयसंस्कृतः परित्राणं संस्कृतभाषासमुद्वाराधिष्ठितमेव ।

उदीच्यभारते संस्कृताच्ययनिषये यावानसमादरो इच्यते न तावानमोहमयीविभागे। उदीच्यभारते प्रतिमण्डलं संस्कृतपरीखासमितिभिः प्राच्यशास्त्रप्रावीण्यलञ्चये विविधपरीक्षा विहिताः सन्ति। निर्धनत्वादाङ्ग्ल-भाषामध्येतमक्षमाः पादोनलक्षसङ्ख्याकादछात्राः प्रतिवपेनेताः परीक्षाः समुत्तरन्ति। किन्त्वेताहशे संस्कृताध्ययने छात्राणो न समिषकं प्रलोभनं इद्येत, यावत्परीक्षोत्तीर्णानां राजशासनद्वारा जीविकोपाया नोपकत्यिताः स्युः। आङ्ग्लोपपदधारिभिर्यावती इत्तिर्लभ्यते तावत्यपि संस्कृतपण्डितेर्नाधिगम्यत इति महद्विषादस्थानम्। उदीच्यभारते गीर्वाणमाषाभिष्टद्वयर्थमन्येऽपि विविधोषायाधिन्यन्ते। तत्र संस्कृतमापामात्रव्यवहारशरणः पण्डितप्रवरेः पुरसरस्वतीसंबर्धनोपायभुतानि संमेलनानि विधीयन्ते विविधा नियतकालिक-पत्रिकाश्च प्रकाश्यन्ते । केचिद्मन्दोत्साहाः पण्डिता गीर्बाणभाषाया राष्ट्र-भाषात्वमापादियतुमपि प्रयतन्ते, येषां समुद्यमं क्षाराम्बुधेमेष्ठुबिन्दुनिपातनेन माधुर्यापादनिमवासुकरं मन्यमानाः केचिदन्येऽपि सन्ति ।

मोइमयीविमाय न स्वेताहशी स्थिति:। अत्र चंस्कृतपाठशालाना संस्कृतपरीक्षाणा च बैरस्यादाङ्ग्लपाठशालामहाविद्यालयेषु गैर्वाण्या यवज्ञाः नमधिगम्यते तत्तु गाधीदककर्ष, यतः संस्कृतविषयः प्राय आङ्ग्लमहा-विद्यालयेष्ट्राङ्ग्लभाषाद्वारैवाधीयतेऽध्याप्यते च । संस्कृतमधिकृत्य विश्व-विद्यालयपरीक्षास्वाङ्ग्लभाषायां प्रश्नाः प्रव्छवन्ते, तेषां प्रत्युत्तराणि चाङ्ग्ल-भाषयेव प्रायो लिख्यन्ते छात्रैः । छंस्कृतानुवादार्थे परीक्षासु दीयमान आङ्ग्लमापापरिच्छेदो विरहेरेवानूयते संस्कृते । विश्वविद्यालयपरीशासु संस्कृतप्रवन्भलेखनविषयकाणां प्रशानामत्यन्तामाव एव । संस्कृतनैपुण्यद्शेकं विश्वविद्यालयोपाधि लभमानामां छात्राणां स्तोकांश्रेमापि पाणिनीयपरिमापा-परिचयो न भवति । कैश्चिच्छात्रैः पाठयत्वेन निर्दिष्टाः संस्कृतम् लग्नस्था अपि नाम्यस्यत्ते, किमुत तत्त्वम्बन्धिनः चंस्कृतटीकाग्रन्थाः । चंस्कृतमूल-प्रस्थाध्ययनं विनाऽऽङ्ख्मापानिष्ठानां प्रन्थानुवादरिष्यण्यादीनां वाचन-मानेण ते सम्यक्तयोत्तीर्णा भवन्ति । येषां चाङ्ग्लमायायां प्रावीण्यं स्यात्त एव संस्कृतपरीक्षासु समधिकगुणाँस्टब्धुमीशते । संस्कृतवणींचारानजानाना एताहशास्त्रात्राः संस्कृतगद्यपद्यपरिच्छेदान् समीचीनतया प्रोचैर्वाचिवतं न प्रभवन्ति, यतस्तेषां सुविशदवर्णोचारपूर्वको वाचनाभ्यास आङ्ग्लपाठ-बालामहाविद्यासयेषु कदाचिदेव भवति । एताहस्या पढस्या संस्कृतनै-पुण्योपाधि लभमाना जना यदाऽऽङ्ग्लपाठशालानु गीर्याणभापाशिक्षकपदे नियुज्यन्ते तदा तेयामध्यापने कियती रतिः को वा गुणः स्यात् । सम्य-ङ्ज्ञानापेश्वयाऽन्धपरम्परान्यायेन यया कयाच्यध्यापनरीत्या छात्राणां परीक्षा-समुचरणमेवादिमं प्रयोजनमेतेषां शिक्षकाणाम् ।

प्रत्याङ्ग्लिविद्यालयं संस्कृताच्यापकपदे प्राचीनपण्डितो नियोक्तव्य इत्यासीरपूर्वे राजधासनम् । मातृमापया गीवाणमापया वाऽच्यापयितं अमा अप्येते शास्त्रिप्रवरा आङ्ग्लमाषाज्ञानामावात्तन्त्रापागते भाण्डारकरमहोन दयविरचितसंस्कृतपाठ्यपुस्तकद्वयं पाठियतुमक्षमाः । छात्नैः परीक्षाप्रश्रोत्तराणि त्वाङ्ग्छभाषायां हेखितव्यानीति नियमकद्रावात्प्राचीनपण्डिताननुपयुक्ता-न्मन्वाना आङ्ग्लोपपद्घारिणः । शक्षकाः शास्त्रिणां विषयेऽनादरभावमभज-न्त । आङ्ग्लमाषागतसंस्कृतपाठ्यपुस्तकद्वमध्यापयितुमीषद्।ङ्ग्लमाषाध्ययनं शास्त्रिणामवस्यकरणीयत्वेनापतितमित्यासीद् व्युत्कमः संस्कृताध्यापनविषये । संस्कृताच्यापने शास्त्रिप्रवराणामपेक्षयाऽऽङ्ग्लोपपदघारिणोऽच्यापकानुपयुक्त-तरानीक्षमाणमाण्डारकरमहोदयैः प्रथमपाठ्यपुस्तकस्थे प्रस्तावे लिखितं वत् "Sanskrit would be considerably more easy than it is, if there were men educated in our English Colleges to teach it. "-अत्र वयमेतद्वक्तुकामा यद्राण्डारकरमहोदयहशा सन्तु नाम केऽपि दोषाः शास्त्रिणामध्यापने । किन्तु तेषां गीवांणभाषात्रानेन साकमाङ्ग्लोप-पदधारिणो संस्कृतकानं न मात्रयापि तुलामईति । उपरितनमतमनुसुत्यैव सम्प्रति शास्त्रिणं आङ्ग्लविद्यालयेषु काचित्कत्वेनाध्यापकपदे नियुज्यन्ते, ये च नियुक्तपूर्वास्तेऽप्यचिरादेव विश्वान्तिवृत्तिभाजो भविष्यन्ति । अधुना तु मातृभाषायामनृदितयोरपि भाण्डारकरकृतपुस्तकयोराङ्ग्लोपपदधारिणाम-ध्यापकानां च संस्कृतानुरागोत्पादने चिरानुभविद्धमिकञ्चित्करत्वमेव प्रत्यक्षीक्रियते ।

वाराणधीविद्दारकिकातालवपुरमद्रादिविभागेषु यथा प्राच्यशालतेषुण्यसम्पादनार्थे विश्वविद्यालयद्वारा राजशासनद्वारा च विविधपरीक्षाः
परिकित्यताः संस्कृतमद्दाविद्यालया वा प्रतिष्ठापितास्तया मोद्दमयीविभागे
तद्रथे न केऽण्युपायाश्चिन्तिताः । चिन्तिताः स्युश्चेचे दिश्वाणां मनोरया इव
विफलतां गताः । कियदिदमीदासीन्यं मन्दादरो वा मोद्दमयीविभागस्थानां
शीवाणवाणीविषये । महदेतत्सीभाग्यं यत्पुण्यपत्तने 'वेदशास्त्रोचेजकसभा
'वेणुप्रामे' च मधुरवाणीपित्रकासञ्चालनित्येते गीवाणभाषासमुत्कर्षोपायम्ताः
केचित्तसमुद्यमाः प्रचलिताः सन्ति । किन्तु समुचिताश्चयं विना ते कथं
सुफलतामावदेशुः ! । गीवाणभाषां प्राच्यविद्यां वा निमित्तीकृत्याङ्ग्लभाषामुखनैव प्रस्तुताः प्रयत्नास्तु कियन्मात्रं गीवाणभाषोपकारका इत्ययं विषयो
विजेरेंव निर्णयः ।

एतस्यो दुरवस्थायां संस्कृतसापासमुद्धारमन्तरेण नयनपदवीमापतन्तः केऽप्युपाया अत्रोपन्यस्यन्ते । आङ्ग्लविद्यालयेषु संस्कृताध्ययनस्य द्विविद्येन पाठ्यक्रमेण भाव्यम्। एकः सामान्यन्छात्राणां कृतेऽन्यश्च समधिकनैपुण्य-लिप्सूनां कृते । रामायणमहाभारतादिसदृशपद्यरचनाया हितोपदेशपञ्चतन्त्राः दिसहशगद्यरचनायाश्च परिमित्याकरणोपकृतमर्थनिर्धारणक्षमत्वमेदास्त भमुलप्रयोजनमाङ्ग्लकलाशासागते संस्कृताच्यापने । अयं पाट्यक्रमः सामा-न्यानां बुद्धिमतां च च्छात्राणां समान एव मवतु प्रवेशपरीक्षापर्यन्तम्। अनन्तरं महाविद्यालयेषु प्रथमवर्षादारभ्येव सामान्यानां मतिमतां च न्छात्राणां भिन्नी पाठ्यक्रमी प्रशस्येते । सामान्यच्छात्राणां कृते लघुकी मुदीपटनसमार्थ साहित्यशास्त्रपरिचयसमेतं च महाकान्यनाटकादीनां सम्यगर्थावगममात्रं भवतु संस्कृताच्ययनप्रयोजनम् । सविशेषप्रावीण्यलिप्युभिमैतिमङ्गिरसात्रैविशिष्टः शास्त्राणा प्रमादाध्ययनं समाश्रयणीयम् । महाविद्यालयेषु लशुक्रीसुद्या मध्यक्रीमुद्या वा पठनपाठनयोनांन्तरीयकत्वं संस्कृताध्ययनेऽत्र परिगण्यते । विश्वविद्यालयपरीक्षापत्रिकासु केचित्प्रकाः संस्कृतनापया प्रष्टव्यास्तेषां अल्बुत्तराष्यपि संस्कृतमापयैष न्छात्रैलेखनीयानीति विधिविधेयः। संस्कृतानु-वादेन सार्थे संस्कृतानुवादस्थाने वा वाक्यवृद्धिसङ्खेपविधानरूपाः पाठ्यम्बस्यसारकथनस्वरूपाः क्यावणनपत्रादिप्रवन्धलेखनात्मका विशिष्टवा-नप्रयोगाकाङ्खां स्चयन्तश्चापेश्चितसंस्कृतोत्तरा विविधाः संस्कृतप्रशाः परीश्चा-पत्रिकायां समिवेश्याः । एताहशाः प्रभाः संस्कृतानुवाद्प्रभापेक्षया सुगमतराः संस्कृतज्ञानोपचयकराश्च सन्ति । सामान्यच्छात्राणौ तु संस्कृतभाषयाऽनुवाद-प्रबन्धादिलेखनं नेष्यते । तै: परीक्षीत्तरेषु प्राय: पाठ्यप्रस्थमागानां मातृमापयाऽनुवादः कार्यः । उपरिदर्शितविविधप्रशानां तु केचित्सुगमप्रकाराः सामान्यच्छात्राणां कृतेऽप्युपयुक्ताः स्युः। आङ्ग्लभाषापेक्षया संस्कृताध्यापने संस्कृतमाया मातृमाया वा यथाप्रसङ्गं प्रयुक्ता सती महद्वितमावहेत्। पाबीण्यवरीक्षासु न केवलं मातृभाषयाऽऽङ्ग्लमाषया वा मूलसंस्कृतस्यानु-वादोऽपेक्षणीयः, किन्तु मूलगद्यपद्यविभागस्य संस्कृतटीकानुसारि संस्कृत-व्याख्यानमपि च्छात्रेस्योऽपेक्षणीयं, येन ते छात्रा मूलप्रन्येन सार्क टीकाग्रन्यानप्यस्थेष्यन्ते । एतेन नृतनपाठ्यक्रमानुसरणेन संस्कृतमापायां

समिषकनेपुण्यमधिगच्छन्निरेव विश्वविद्यालयोपाधिधारिमिः संस्कृतशिक्षकः पदमन्वर्थतया मण्डित स्यादित्यल नास्ति शङ्काकणिकाऽपि ।

संस्कृतभाषासमुक्रतेनं कापि प्रत्याशा यावदेताहवा गुणयन्तः शिक्षका न स्थ्यन्ते । किन्दु यावद्विश्वविद्यालयस्यविद्वांत उपरिवर्णितं पाठ्यक्रम-परिवर्तनं विधातुं नेहन्ते तावदध्यापननैपुण्यमधिक्षियमिषुभिर्मीवाणमाणा-शिक्षकः किं विधेयमित्यत्र विषये स्युविमर्थाः कियते ।

आदौ गीवांणभाषायाः प्राथमिकाध्यापनार्थं प्रयोगनिपुणा अमन्दोत्माहाआध्यापका अपेक्ष्यन्ते, यत एताहशा एवाध्यापकाः सततं बालच्छालाहताय प्रयतन्ते । उत्साहशीलानामेवाध्यापकानामुत्साहश्छात्रेषु सङ्कम्य
तेषां मनत्यध्ययनं प्रति ऋजुमावं समुत्यादयति । पुनश्चाध्यापको रस्त्रः
स्याब्वेत्तस्य साह्यध्यमावेणोबाश्रीणस्यानामन्तेवासिनां साहित्यरसामिकविः
सुद्रु समाहिता मवति । एतद्ये काव्यविवृतिकाले रसमावालङ्कारविवरणं
यथासमयं रुचिरतया विधातं प्रत्येकमध्यापकेन प्रयतितस्यम् । अध्यापनविश्वयेऽध्यापकैः

"शिष्टा किया कस्यचिदात्मसंस्था सङ्कान्तिरम्यस्य विशेषयुक्ता । यस्योमयं साधु स शिक्षकाणां धुरि प्रतिष्ठापथितस्य एव ॥"

इति श्रीकालिदासवचनं सर्वदा पुरः स्थापनीयम् । अपि चाध्यापकस्पोत्साइशीलता रसज्ञता चाविरताध्ययनेन सङ्गन्छताम् । अधिगतविश्वविद्यालयोपपदैः साम्प्रतिकैः शिक्षकैगंन्मइाविद्यालयेष्वधीतं तत्प्राय
उपपदलाभानन्तरं मालिन्यमूपागन्छति कालव्यवधानादपुनरावृत्तिवद्याच ।
तस्मानैरध्यपनगत्यातयामतानिरासार्थे सततमध्ययनिरतिमान्यमिति नित्तरामुपपन्नमेव । गीवांणभाषायाः स्वभावसीन्दर्ये तेषु तेषु महाकाव्यनादकादिप्रन्थेषु कथं व्यक्ततामापन्नं, प्रकृतिसुमगायां भाषामञ्ज्यायां कानि
भावविद्याररत्नानि तैस्तैः कविप्रवर्शनिहितानि, के पुरातनानां जनानामाचारा
इत्येतत्क्रत्स्नमध्यस्कृदध्ययनादते न केनापि समुपलभ्येत । टीकासादाय्येन
कृतं मूल्यन्थवाचनं सम्यगर्थविद्यतिपरिचयदानेन महान्तं लाममावहति ।
एपैव मालिनायादिटीकाकृतामथिनग्रदीकरणपदितरध्यापनकालेऽध्यापकैः
समान्नता सती सात्रदिताय कत्यते । इदानीन्तना व्यास्थाकाराः कथं

प्राचीनग्रन्थार्थे प्रतिपादयन्ति, ऐतिह्याचारविधीनां कि रहस्यं ऋथयन्ती-रयेतदपि गीर्वाणभाषाच्यापकेन संद्रष्टव्यम् । एतच ज्ञानं वया शिष्याणां श्रुति-विषयं इष्टिविषयं च सुगमतय।ऽऽगच्छेत्तया तेन प्रयतनीयम् । एवमेव पूर्वा-चारविचाराणामाधुनिकाचारविचाराणां च सम्बन्धदछालाणां मति सौकर्येणा-धिरोध्यति । भारतीयाचारधर्मस्य सम्यग्बोधनायाध्यापकेन ब्छात्रेम्यः प्राचीनैतिह्यान्यपि यथावसरं कथनीयानि । काव्यविवरणसमकालमेव कविचित्तिति कयवितव्यानि । कदाचित्काव्यनाटकानां यथासम्भव सारबाहिणी समीक्षापि कार्या । सा यथा-काव्यनिर्माणकाळे कि कवेर्मन-सोऽधिष्ठानं, के के गुणाश्च तस्य तस्य कवेः प्रतिमाविशेषं प्रदर्शयन्तीस्येतेषां विषयाणां सम्यगालोचनमपि प्रत्येकमध्यापकेन यथाप्रसङ्गमनुष्टेयम् । मौद्रच्छालाध्यापने न वाक्यानां श्लोकानां वा वाच्यार्थमात्रशापनं पर्यासं भविष्यति, किन्तु शब्दान्ताईतो यः कोऽप्यपूर्वो व्यञ्जनारूपोऽर्थस्तं रुज्या स्वयमेव प्रथममास्वाद्य पुनः । विष्याणां पुरतः सकीशलमाविष्कार्यः । यदोतत्सकलमप्यध्यापकस्य कर्वेव्यत्वेनापतति ताई तेन यथानिर्देशं पूर्वसूरि-प्रन्यानशीस्य ते तेऽध्यापक्रगुणाः सम्पादनीया एव ।

नाटकवाचने शिक्षकेण विशिष्टपदवाकप्रयोगाः संवादप्रकारा वाक्प्रचाराख्य सविशेषमञ्चानीया येन तदानीन्तनानां संवादप्रकारा वाक्प्रचाराख्य सविशेषमञ्चानीया येन तदानीन्तनानां संवादपीति विशाप स्वीये सम्भाषणे लेखने वा नाटकस्यानि सुन्तिष्ठप्रदानि सामीचीन्येन प्रयोक्तुं स्व प्रमाविष्यति । एषा अन्याध्ययनिरतिरप्यापनकालेऽविरतस्यन्दिन्या मधुर-माषया परिणमेत्कलदाविनी च सवेच्छात्राणामित्यत्र नात्रित संश्चयलेशावकाशः। सावन्मात्रमञ्चापकः पूर्वस्रित्रन्थाध्ययननिरतः स्याचावन्मात्रं तस्य वाक्याटवं प्रागहस्यमुपागत्य च्छात्राणां संस्कृताध्ययने रति जनयिष्यति । अभ्यासेनै-वैतत्त्ववे सुकरं मवति । 'स्वाध्यायमचन्ताभ्या न अमदित्वव्यम् ' इत्युपनिष्यस्यनमध्यापकेः सत्तत्रम्यस्यनशिल्यान्तम्यापकेः सत्तत्रम्यस्यनशिल्यान्तम्यापकेः सत्तत्रम्यस्यनशिल्यान्तम्यापकेः सत्तत्रम्यस्यनशिल्यान्तम्यापकेः सत्तत्रम्यस्यनशिल्यान्तम्यस्यनस्यानिष्यस्यन्ति।

अन्यदिप किञ्चिद्धक्तव्यमम यहावाँणमाणाध्यायिनः प्रायो विविक्तः वेविनो मितमापिणस्य हरपन्ते । विशेषतोऽभिषेयमम यत् "प्रायः समान-विद्याः परस्यरयशःपुरोमागाः" इति कालिदासोकेर्निदर्शनमृता स्थामानमेस सृतार्थे मन्यमानाः केचन प्राच्यपण्डिताः सरस्यन्यविरचनमृति विहास पक् कुत्राप्यन्येपामघरोत्तरव्यक्तावेष स्वरुचिमाविष्कुर्वन्ति । महदेतिचित्रं यस्सासुपाः यरस्यरकुरसापरितृष्टाश्चेमे परेषा वैदुष्पविषयेऽकारणमेव कृषणमतित्वं प्रकट-यन्ति, तेषामधःपातनायापि यथा कथिक्षत्ययतन्ते च । अधुनातनकाल-निदाषन कृशीभृतायां संस्कृतवाक्सोतित्वन्यामियं प्रवृत्तिनं कमि गुणमा-वहति, प्रत्युतात्मनाशायेव कल्पते । अतः सर्वेः संस्कृतनुरागिभिः ' संहतिः कार्यसाधिका ' इति वचने संस्मृत्य स्वदोषपरिमार्जनाय पतित्रसञ्चार-न्यायेन वीर्वाणवावप्रसाराय च प्रयतित्यम् । तैः प्रतिनगरं संस्कृतस्यास्त्याः समाः संस्थापयितन्त्याः, यासु कमि दिचरविषयमिक्कत्य संस्कृतन्यास्त्याः नानि संविषयानि, रम्याः संस्कृतकथाः कथनीयाः, संस्कृतनाटकस्थाः समुद्धष्टप्रवेशाश्च नाटियतन्त्याः । तादशीयु समासु च्छात्राणामप्यस्यापकैः सार्च संविभागोऽस्तु येनास्यापकानामस्यापनपाटवं वृद्धि प्राप्त्यति च्छात्राणा-मपि संस्कृतास्ययने समुचितकविषयत्स्यते च ॥

प्राच्यप्रतीच्यशिक्षापद्धतिसमीक्षा ।

भारताम्युदयसाधिका का शिक्षापद्धतिमेवेदित्यत्र विषये बहवः पाश्चात्य-विद्याविद्यव्यमानसिक्षन्तनचतुरा जना विविधोपायश्चिन्तयन्ति । किन्तु यस्यो भाषामञ्जूषायां भारतीयसंस्कृतिरत्नान्यन्तिहेतानि तस्या गीर्याणवाण्या मृतत्वहेयत्वे प्रमाणीकृत्य पाश्चात्येकविद्याप्रसारमेव परमोत्कृष्टोपायं मन्यमाना हमे जनास्तरोर्मूलं परिहृत्य द्याला जलेन सिद्धात्वि, स्विपतरो विस्मृत्यान्येपां कृतकपुत्रत्वं परिग्रह्णन्ति, प्रकृति परित्यव्य वा विकृतिमुररीकुवेन्तीत्वृक्तिं प्रायोऽसामञ्जस्यमावहेत् । विशातसुरभारतीगौरवेषु सन्ततं च सुरवागध्य-पनसंसक्तचेतःसु सत्स्विप केपुचित्याश्चात्यपण्डितेषु, प्रतीव्यविद्योकश्चरणाः केचन मारतीयविद्यांचे मेकॉलमहोदयोदीणांनि मायिकवचांति अहवानाः प्राच्यविद्यापरिवादरताश्च परिहत्यन्त हत्यहो कालवेपरीत्यम् । एतिसम्बपि व्यसने केचन नयनगोचरीमवन्ति विरलाः संस्कृतपण्डिताः, ये वेदुष्यविमृ षिता अपि विनीतवेषाः, सरस्वतीनिकेतनायमाना अपि निजगुणप्रस्यापने मुकाः, विविधशास्त्राध्ययने कृतम्रिपरिश्रमा अप्यलक्षपर्यातधनाः, अधना अपि मानधनाः, पाश्चात्रीकपश्चपातिभिश्च तृणाय मन्यमानाः सन्ति ।

सन्तु नाम केऽपि दोषाः संस्कृतिबहुषाम्। पदपदार्थव्याख्यानपुरस्सरं पाङ्क्तवन्यविवेचने तेषामप्रतिमैव प्रतिमा विद्योतत इत्यत्र नास्ति सन्देहलबोऽपि। प्राच्यानामध्ययनाध्यापनपद्धतिबंहुको मुखाविष्टिता, न केवल प्रन्याविष्टिता। तेषां न तथा पछवग्राहिपाण्डित्ये समादरो, यथा विद्यते मुख्याहिपाण्डित्ये, येन ते ग्रन्थगतं प्रधानविषयं यथाययं प्रवक्तुं पारयन्ति। एपेव प्राच्यविद्वयुणसम्पद्तन्द्रितेन मनसा परिरक्षणीयेति स्तरामावश्यकम्।

नात्रास्मासु प्राच्यपव्डितगुणैकपक्षपातिःवं स्वदोधनिगृहनपरत्वं बाऽध्यारोपयन्तु मनीपिणः । प्राच्यगुणेध्विव प्रतीच्यगुणेध्वपि वयं बद्धादराः । यया पाश्चात्यपण्डिताः संस्कृतग्रन्थाध्ययने सारग्राहिणी विचिकित्सापद्धति समबलाव्य स्थलकालेतिवृत्तादिविमर्शपूर्वकं गवेपणापूर्णान् सिद्धान्तानुपः पाद्यितुमीशते, न तथा पीरस्त्यपण्डिताः । प्राच्यविद्वांशे विश्लेषणपद्धति-माश्रित्य काव्यगतकोकानामेकैकशो रसमावालङ्कारविवरणे मतिप्रकर्पमावि-ध्कुर्वन्ति । पाश्चात्यरसज्ञारत्वन्यादृशीमेव काव्यसमीक्षापद्धति प्रकटयन्ति । संक्षेपणपदस्या तस्य तस्य कवेः काव्यजातमालोख्य शब्दान्तर्हिता विविध-प्रवृत्तीः संपरीक्ष्य च तस्य तस्य मनसो मुलाधिष्ठानं सङ्कलनेनाभिन्यकक्तं काव्यरसमास्वाद्यितुं च ते क्षमाः । दर्शनशास्त्रेषु तौलिनकीमैतिहासिकी च पद्धतिमङ्गीकृत्य कछपमतयः सन्तोऽपि ते विभिन्नसंस्कृतिसाधम्ये तत्त-लिखान्ततुल्यत्वं च प्रदर्शयितुं प्रयतन्ते । संस्कृतानुशीसनम्स्कं नृतनं भाषाशास्त्रं समुद्राव्य तद्बलेन वेदवाङ्भयार्थनिर्धारणे कृतसमुद्यमाः पाश्चात्याः प्रस्तवन्तोऽपि प्रशंसामाजनं सञ्ज । अद्यस्य तु 'स्यागुर्धं मार-हार: किलाभूदघीत्य वेदं न विज्ञानाति योऽर्थम् ' इत्युक्ति सार्थतां नयतां बैदिकधर्मानुवायिनां वर्षत एव बेदार्थविषयेऽज्ञानविकसितम् । अधुना भार-तीयपण्डितानां मतिवेदाञ्चानामेकदेशमात्राध्ययने पर्यविधेता दशेहत्यते, न तु साक्षादेदाध्ययने । विरक्षा एव ताहवा अनेकवास्त्रबळपूतमानसाः पद-बाक्यप्रमाणशा विद्वद्वीरेया याद्याः पूर्वे प्राचुर्येणाध्यगम्यन्त । वर्तमान-

श्रताञ्चां भारते प्रायः पदश्चपकव्याकरणैकशास्त्रस्य, वाक्यशपक्रमीमांसैक-शास्त्रस्य प्रमाणशायकत्यायशास्त्रमाश्रस्य वाऽध्ययने यापितबहुवस्तराः पण्डिताः समजायन्त । पौरस्यिवद्वांस्थ्य मूलप्रन्थाविवेचने निपुणतमाः सन्तोऽपि प्रन्यसम्बन्धिनः स्थलकालेतिवृत्तायानुषङ्किकविषयान्न जानन्ति, न वा तत्र समवद्यते । तेऽभिप्रयन्ति यद् "नैताइशैविषयेरस्मदीयं प्रयोजनम् । पतदन्वेषणं नृतं काकदन्तगवेषणमेव जलताङ्गप्रकारो वा । पुश्यस्त्रपानं परित्यस्य किमेतया कुनुमदलपरिगणनया" इति । पक्षकावलिक्तनी नैया प्राच्यपण्डितोक्तिरपादेवतामईतीति मुख्यक्तमेव । अतो दृष्टिसङ्कोच दूरी-कुवाणा विततालोकं विद्धाना च प्रतीच्यानामध्ययनाष्यापनपद्रतिः पौरस्वपण्डितरवद्यमम्युपगन्तव्या ।

एतस्य तु प्रतीच्यपद्धतिविज्ञानस्याऽऽङ्ग्लभाषादिगतज्ञन्यनिष्ठत्वात् तत्यद्धतिपरिचयस्तत्तद्भाषाज्ञानमपेक्षते । विभिन्नभाषाधिगमस्य पुनः कति-पयज्ञनानामेव सुकरत्वात् तत्तद्भाषानभिज्ञानां कृते विविधभाषाक्क्राक्रेस्त-त्यद्धतिविद्धिः संस्कृतेन भातृभाषया वा ताद्दश्चप्रन्यविरचने मितः कार्या, येन संस्कृतादिवाक्ष्यमपि परमां स्प्तीतिभियात् । इदं त्वत्रावधेयं यन्मूल-प्रन्थाच्ययनादते पद्धतिप्रयोगविज्ञानमात्रमिकञ्चित्करमेव । संलक्ष्यते हि मोघत्वं मूलजन्थाच्ययनविद्दीनस्य पद्धतिविज्ञानमात्रस्य पाश्चात्यसम्प्रदायिषु विश्वविद्याक्ष्येषु, यत्र संस्कृताच्ययनेऽप्याङ्ग्लभाषाव्यवद्वारस्य सर्वमूर्धन्यत्वान्त्रस्कृतमूलजन्यानां पाङ्कज्ञानं न यथावदिधगन्तुं पायते । पर्याप्तसंस्कृत-ज्ञानासन्तेऽपि पाश्चात्यप्रन्येकशरणात्तत्रस्या भारतीयच्छात्राः पद्धतिविज्ञान-वद्यादेव संस्कृतपरीक्षाः सम्यक्समुत्तीर्यं प्राच्यविद्वदृदृशा पञ्चवप्राद्विपण्डित-कोटिमाटीकन्त इति नितरामुपप्रचमेव ।

नात्र केनापि मन्तव्यं यत्क्रपणमतिता मतप्रहिलता वा प्राच्यविदुयान् मेव व्यवच्छेदिकेति । उपरितनकोटिमवगाइमाना आङ्ग्लोपपदधारिणोऽपि गाधशानवशाद् गीर्वाणवाणी शास्त्रिवरण्यां आनुपयुक्तान्मन्वाना दृष्टिसङ्कोचं दुराराप्यत्वं च प्रकटयन्तीत्यतः पाक्षात्यपद्धतिविज्ञानमेव केवलमालोकं विस्तारयतीति मास्तु कस्यापि मिथ्याग्रहः । वरमत्र प्राच्यपण्डितानां प्राचीनसम्प्रदायसंरक्षकमनेकसंश्रयोग्लेटि परोक्षार्थदर्शकं च शास्त्रिकाष्ययन- परत्वं, न पुनः परभरोच्छेदि प्रत्यक्षार्थमात्रदर्शकं दुराराध्यताजनकं च पाखात्यसम्प्रदायानुसारित्वभिति नो मतिः । 'उपयुक्तत्व'-पदेनोदर- मरणधमत्वमेवावबुध्येत चेदिदानी संस्कृतविद्येवाङ्ग्लविद्यापि कथमनुप- युक्तत्वं न भजेत । तदर्थे क्षपितविपुल्धना आङ्ग्लोपाधिधारिणः कति जना पर्यासबीविकां लब्धु प्रभवन्तीत्याङ्ग्लविद्याभिमानिनः प्रष्टव्याः । तत्मादुपयुक्तत्वविषये प्राच्यविद्या प्रतीच्यविद्या चासंश्यं तुस्यकक्षतामेवा- धिरोहत इत्यस्मदाशयः ।

महदेकं विपादश्यानं यत्मंत्कृतवाक्ष्यस्मिष्कृत्य केचन भारतीयपिढता अपि तृतनिविद्धान्तसमित्वतान् स्वीयप्रस्थानाङ्ग्लभाषयेव विरचयत्ति, येन सुरभारती विश्वतोपोपिता सती सुम्धुंत्वमापयते । अद्यत्वे पद्यपरिपुष्टे संस्कृतवाद्यये न तावती पद्यप्रण्यनस्यावश्यकता प्रतिमाति, यावती
सरसरलानां गद्यकाव्यकथानामभिनविद्धान्तसमेतानां गद्यप्रवन्धानां च ।
अतो 'सद्यं कवीनां निक्षं वदन्ति ' इति वचनमनुत्मृत्य तत्तद्वाद्यायविद्यानिपुणैः सुरसरस्वतीश्रेयःसाधने प्रयतितव्यम् । एतद्यन्यविरचनायान्याद्या एव पण्डिता अपेष्यन्ते, ये काव्यद्याख्वादीनां प्रगादानुद्यीकनप्रभावात्संस्कृताभिभाषण्डेखनवेदर्थ्यं प्रकाशयन्तः पाश्चात्यपद्धतिप्रयोगपरवोऽपि भविष्यन्ति । एवं प्रतीच्यपण्डितपद्धतिसनाथं प्राच्याना
मूलग्राहिपाण्डित्यमनस्यक्षाय कर्यतेत्यत्र नास्ति संश्वावकाशः।

अतो दोषवर्षमुभयविषविद्यागवानां गुणानां परिरक्षणोपायभूवानि कानिचन वस्त्त्यत्र प्रस्त्यन्ते—

१ साहित्यव्याकरणन्यायमीमांशावेदान्तवर्मशास्त्रायुर्वेदादिविषयाणां सम्यगच्यनाय वाराणसीविद्दारकालिकास्थानलवपुरमद्रादिविमागेषु यथा संस्कृतमहाविद्यालया राजशासनानुरोषेन प्रतिष्ठापितपूर्वाः सन्ति, तथा मोहमयीविमागेऽपि राजशासनानुमत्या संस्कृतमहाविद्यालयः प्रतिष्ठापितव्यः। अत्राधिशास्यालय्यौतिषसङ्गीतानुपयुक्तविषया अपि पाल्यकमे स्विवेदयाः । तौलनिकज्ञानसीकर्यार्थं संस्कृतस्थवद्दारप्रधानेन शास्त्राध्ययनेन साकं तत्र च्लाजणामाङ्ग्लमापाया अपि ज्ञानं प्रवेशपरीक्षापर्यन्तं वैकरिएकं मवतु । शास्त्रनेपुण्योपाविमधिगत्य वा छात्रैराङ्ग्लमापाऽध्येतव्या ।

२ पाश्चात्यविद्यासम्पादनार्थे प्रतिप्रान्तं प्रतिष्ठापितेषु भारतवर्षीय-विश्वविद्यालयेषु पाश्चात्यविद्याविमागेन सार्थे प्रकल्पितो विद्यतं प्रान्यविद्या-विभागोऽपि (Oriental Faculty) यत्र प्रान्यविद्यानेषुण्यलिप्सनां इते 'एम्, ओ. एस्.'- परीक्षा शास्त्रिशिरोमण्यादिपरीक्षाश्च तत्तद्विश्वविद्यालय-द्वारेव व्यवस्थापिताः। तथा मोइमपीविश्वविद्यालयसमित्यापि प्रान्यविद्या-विमागं प्रकल्प परीक्षोपक्रमः कार्यः। अत्र परीक्षाप्रक्षोत्तराणि संस्कृतमापयैव व्यात्रैलेखनीयानीति विधिविधवः। संस्कृतविषये 'पीएन्, डी.'-उपाधि-लाभार्ये विरन्तनीयः प्रवन्धः संस्कृतेनैव लेखनीय इत्यपि नियमो गीर्वाण-भाषासमुत्कर्षाय विधातव्यः।

भोहमयीविश्वविद्यालयीयसंस्कृतपरिक्षास्वाङ्ग्लभाषेव मूर्चन्यपरं भवते। प्राच्यविद्याविषये पाश्चालैराङ्ग्लभाषायां लिखितं वाङ्मयं छात्रैरवश्य-मध्येयं, पाश्चालामां विचिकित्सापूणां गवेषणापद्धतिरपि स्वायत्तीकायां। किन्तु संस्कृतपरीक्षासु च्छात्रैः प्रलङ्क्षयं पञ्चाश्चरङ्कपरिमितानां प्रश्नानापुत्तराणि संस्कृतभाषयेव लेखनीयानि, अवशिष्ठानि च मात्भाषयाऽऽङ्ग्लभाषया वेति वैकल्पिको विधिविदेशः। संस्कृतोपाधिपरीक्षायाः कश्चिदंशो मौलिक एव

मबतु वर्णीबारसंस्कृतसंखापादिशक्तिपरीक्षार्थम्।

४ संस्कृतमद्दाविद्यालयोपाधिचारिणां विदुणां राजशासनद्वारा जीविकोपायाः परिकल्पनीयाक्षेत्रे यथापूर्वे प्रत्याङ्ग्लपाठशास्त्रं प्रत्याङ्ग्लमहा-विद्यालयं च संस्कृताच्यापकपदे नियोक्तच्याः । अध्यापकपदिनियोजनात्पूर्वे संस्कृतपण्डितैरप्यध्यापनमद्दाविद्यालये वर्षमात्रमध्यापनशास्त्रनेपुण्यं सम्पाद-नीयमिति नियमो विषयः । तेषां मासिकी वृत्तिक्षेतरविषयाच्यापकवृत्तेरम्यूना मवत् ।

५ मारतीयसंस्कृतिरक्षणे गीर्वाणवाण्या महत्त्वं समवतुष्य भारतीयनृपै: सम्प्रदायाचार्थैः श्रेष्ठिभिरन्यैर्वा सनैः स्वप्रेरणया प्रतिनगरं संस्कृतपाठशालाः प्रतिष्ठापनीयाः, प्रचलितपूर्वाश्च संरक्षणीयाः। पाठशालाना
पर्यवेश्वकपदे राजशासनातुरोधेन नियोक्तव्यः प्राच्यप्रतीच्यविद्यानिपुणः
पण्डितो यस्याभिमतानुसारेण राज्यशासकैः पाठशालासञ्चालकाः समुचितसाह्ययसनदानेन परिपोपणीयाः। एतासु पाठशालासु प्रायः संस्कृतमहाविद्यालयोगाधिमन्तः शास्त्रपारकृता विद्वालोऽत्यापकृत्वे नियोक्तव्याः।

६ आङ्ग्लमहाविद्यालयपाठ्यक्रमे पर्यासन्याकरणज्ञानाय पाणि-नीयपरिभाषापरिचयाय च बी. ए. परीक्षापर्यन्तं ल्युकीमुखा मध्यकीमुखाश्च-पठनपाठनयोनान्तरीयकत्वं परिगणनीयमिति नृतनो विधिमोहमयीविश्व-विद्यालयसमित्या स्वनियमावस्यो समावेश्यः।

७ संस्कृतमापाप्रसाराय मोइमयीमण्डले तेषु तेषु नगरेषु प्रतिवत्सरं संस्कृतसाइित्यसंमेलनं सम्पद्मतामिति हेतोः सम्मेलनान्तर्गतिविविधकार्यदर्शिका चिरत्यायिनी विद्वत्समितिरेका संस्थाप्या, या नियतग्रुटकप्रदानपूर्वकं संस्कृत-प्रणयिभिः सदस्यैः संवर्धनीया । प्रतिनगरं च स्थापनीया गीवाँणवान्यार्थिन्य-समाः याः संस्कृतसाइित्यपरिवत्संस्थया सङ्ग्ल्यन्ताम् ।

८ संस्कृतस्य सार्वजनीनप्रचारायं संस्कृतानुरागोत्पादनायं च चिरस्थायिन्या विद्वत्समित्या दिन्दीप्रचारसंस्थावद् व्युत्पत्तिपरीक्षात्रयं प्रकल्पनीयं, यस्मिन् सुगमरीत्या प्रौदाप्रौदैः सामान्यजनैरपि वर्षत्रयाम्यन्तरे संस्कृतभाषायां व्युत्पन्नत्वं सम्पादितं स्थात्।

९ गीर्वाणभाषाप्रचारसौकर्यार्थमाङ्ग्लशन्दस्याने आधुनिकः विविधन्यवहारोचितानां नृतनसंस्कृतपर्यायाणां निर्माणं नितरामावद्यकम् । तद्यमेका विद्वत्समितिरधिकर्तन्या, याऽऽधुनिकसंस्कृतवाद्याये प्रयुक्तपूर्वान् पर्यायान् सङ्कृत्वत्य नृतनसमुचितपर्यायां निर्माण शन्दसूर्ची प्रकाशयेत् । एषा सूची प्रान्तीयभाषायमयसंवर्धनायापि मवेदिति सन्देहातीतमेव ।

१० आङ्ग्ढदेशीयैराङ्ग्लमापाया जागतिकप्रधाराय तस्या मीलिकं (Basic) स्वक्षयं कल्पयित्वा ८५० सङ्ख्याका उपयुक्ततमाः शब्दाः सङ्क्रिताः सन्ति । ताहशीमेव रीतिमाश्रित्य कथापि विद्वत्समित्याऽध्ययनसीलम्याय सङ्क्रतमापागतान्युपयुक्ततमानि पदानि परिगणव्य सङ्क्रलनीयानि । तान्येव पदानि प्रयुक्त बालोपयोगीनि संस्कृतपाठ्यपुस्तकानि विरचयितव्यानि च॥

पद्धवितारतम्यम् ।

प्रारम्भिके गीवीणमापाध्यापने हृदयङ्गमत्वमिन्द्रियप्राह्यत्वम् (Concreteness) आनुपूर्वी (Gradation) परिमितशब्दसङ्महोऽमिनयवैपुरुषं

छात्रचित्तरज्ञकाश्च विषया इत्येतानि संवादपद्धतितरवान्युपादेयानि । एप संवादपद्धतितरवाश्चय आङ्ग्लमायाध्यापनपद्धतेरनुकरणमात्रमिति मास्तु कस्याध्यकारणमन्यथाप्रदः । पाश्चात्यानां बालमनोवित्तानानुसारौणि यान्यनव-द्यानि मायाध्यासतस्वानि तान्येवोपास्यानि संस्कृताध्यापने, न त्वितराणीति वयमत्रामिप्रेमः । यस्य कस्याप्यनवद्यवस्तुनोऽङ्गीकारो न कदापि दोषाय, प्रत्युत हितायेव मवतीति जानन्त्येव सदसद्यक्तिहेतवः 'परीक्ष्यान्यतरद्धज्ञमानाः' सन्तः । एपा संवादपद्धतिभारतीयरविदितपूर्वा काचिन्त्तना सरणिरित्यपि न वयं मन्यामहे । भारतीयरेषा परिपाटी कदाचिदविकलतया नानुस्ता स्यात् । किन्तु तस्या मूलरहस्यमाविष्युवद्धस्तनमवतरणं तर्वस्वक्ष्यइदीपि-कायाम्रपल्यते । यथा—

"शक्तिप्रह्थ दृद्धन्यवहारेण। व्युत्पित्सुवांको ' गामानय ' इत्युत्तम-दृद्धवाक्यअवणानन्तरं मध्यमदृद्धस्य प्रवृत्तिष्ठुपलम्य गवानयनं च दृष्ट्वा मध्यमदृद्धप्रदृत्तिजनकश्चानस्यान्वयव्यतिरेकाम्यां वाक्यजन्यत्वं निश्चित्य 'अश्वमानय' गां वधान' इति वाक्यान्तरं आवापोद्धापाम्यां गोपदस्य गोत्वविशिष्टे शक्तिरश्चशब्दस्याश्चवविशिष्टे शक्तिरिति व्युत्पद्यते ।"

अतः संवादपद्धतिसमाश्रयः केवलं पाश्चात्यानुकरणमिति न केनापि मन्तव्यम् । रचिवैचिव्याद्विविधाण्यापनपद्धतीरनुसरद्धिः सर्वेरपि शिखकैः सत्तम्युचेतसा छात्रहितायैव प्रवितव्यमिति सन्देहातीतमेव । संवादपद्धति-सहशा ये ये पन्यानरछात्रहिताय कल्पन्ते ते तेऽखिला अपि ग्रुमा प्वेति स्वीकृते मास्तु कोऽपि विवादावसरः । किन्तु तेषु गुभेष्वपि यत्तारतम्यं तद्विवेकाई भवति ।

अत्र संवादपद्धतिविषयेऽअद्द्षानाः केचिद्धदन्ति यत्संकृताध्यापने संवादपद्धतिसमाश्रयोऽनैस्गिको, यतः संस्कृतमाषाया दैनिकव्यवद्वारेष्वनु, पयुस्यमानत्वात्मृतत्वाद्वा तस्याः प्रकृतिसुमगं संकापत्वरूपनिद्यंनं न कुत्राप्यसुनाधिगम्यते । अन्यस्य संस्कृताध्ययने छात्राणां संवादक्षमत्वोत्पादनं नादिमं प्रयोजनं, किन्तु पूर्वतनमारतीयसंस्कृतेः परिचयार्थे सम्यग्वाचन-पूर्वकमर्यनिद्यारणक्षमत्वजननमेव प्रमुखं प्रयोजनम् । संवादश्रमत्वनिर्माण-कर्मणोऽथांवगमञ्चमत्वोत्पादनस्य सुकरतरत्वात्संवादपद्धत्यनुसरणं निष्ययोजनं भूरिक्षेशकरमयुक्तमसाध्यं च । पुनश्चाध्यापने यत्संस्कृतं शिक्षकेः प्रयुक्येत तक्ष तथा शोभनं संस्कृतं यथा कालिदासवाणादीनां ग्रन्थेपूपलभ्यते । अध्यापने च यत्सम्यन्वोधकरं प्रासङ्किकविषयावेदनमावश्यकं भवति तद्यभिकान्ततः कियमाणो गीर्वाणवाणीव्यवहारो न किमपि फलमावहेत् । अपि च संवादपद्धत्या गुणावहत्वं यतो निपुणाध्यापकायत्तं ततः संस्कृत-संलापाङ्कुश्लैः सामान्यशिक्षकैः सा पद्धिनौनुसर्वे शक्यत इति ।

व्यादावेवात्र सुविधदं स्पष्टीक्रियते यन्न वयं संवादपद्धतिविषयेऽ
तिरिक्ताभिमानिनो,नापि च सर्वेषामेव च्छात्राणां संवादसम्त्विनिर्माणं सुधकमिति मन्यामहे । न च सामान्यच्छात्राणां विषये संकापसम्प्रतितादमम्
सम्देतुः, अपि तु प्राचीनप्रत्यार्थपरिज्ञानपूर्वकं सम्प्रवाचनसम्प्रतियादमम्
प्रयोजनमस्मदीयम् । यावान् कालः संस्कृताध्ययनार्थमधुना सम्प्रते तावताऽपर्यातेन कालेन च्छात्राः संवादपद्धत्यां स्वीकृतायामपि न संस्कृतभाषया
संविद्ते पार्ययुरित्यनुभविद्धं नो मतम् । न पुनः सर्वेऽपि संस्कृतपाठाः
संस्कृतमापयेवाध्यापनीयाः, न वा तेषु मातृभाषोपयोगो न कदापि कार्य
इत्यस्मित्रवन्तः । प्रत्युत समप्रमपि व्याकरणमाङ्ग्लभाषास्मममुद्धित्वा
मातृभाषयेवाध्याप्यमानं सम्यग्वोषाय करुपत इति चिरानुभृतिरिद्धा नो
मतिः । वाङ्मयाध्यापनेऽपि दुरुद्धपद्वाक्यादिवोधनाय प्रासङ्कित्वयावेदनाय व्याकरणविशेषशापनाय च मातृमापाया एव निधात्यद्वीपयोगोऽसमद्दशा प्रशस्ततरो भाति । किन्तु संवादपद्धत्या हेयत्वं सिधाषयिपुभिर्वनैयान्याक्षेपवचनान्युदीर्यन्ते तान्यिषकृत्य किञ्चद्वोपन्यस्थते ।

आङ्ग्लभाषायाः प्रचारप्राचुर्येण विविधविषयाणां पाठ्यक्रमसस्विवेशेन चानिषगतपर्यातावकाशा गीर्वाणमाणा दैनिकव्यवहारेष्वनुपयुक्यमाना सती सम्प्रति तिनमानं भवत इति सुव्यक्तं परयामः । किन्तु सा मृतेति वत्या-श्चात्यविचारमात्रशरणैर्धनैर्मन्यते तत्तु सुतरां वितयम् । पाश्चात्यदेशेषु यथा ' श्रीक् लॅटिन्' इत्येताहृदयो भाषाः पञ्चात्वं गतास्तथा च मारतवर्षियेयं सुरवागपीति साधम्याभासानुमानेन गीर्वाणवाण्या मृतस्वं तिपाधिपन्ति केचिदुपरितना जनाः । न तथा सुरसरस्था मृतस्व स्थापयितं शक्यते यथा ' श्रीक् लॅटिन् ' इत्येताहृशीनां भाषाणां, यतः सुरभारतीरागरिज्ञतं भारतीयसंस्कृतिकविरमद्यापि वहति भारतीयानां घमनीषु । सर्वेत्रामपि
बैदिकचमानुवायिनां नित्यनैमित्तिकानि घार्भिककमाणि संस्कृतभाषयेवाषुनापि
विघीयन्ते । घार्भिकव्यवहारेषु प्रयुक्त्यमानाया एतस्याः संस्कृतभाषायाः
सुबोधत्वं कृतिदर्शनपूर्वकमुक्तार्थमाणैवांक्येः सुष्ठु प्रतीयते। दैनिकव्यवहारेष्वपि
विविध्यान्तरथेर्गीवांणभाषापण्डितैरस्योन्यबोधनार्थं संलापप्रन्यविरचनादिषु
गीवांणवागेवोपयुत्यमाना दृश्यते । संलापिकसंस्कृतस्य च निसर्गमधुरं
निदर्शन संस्कृतनाटकेषु भृश्यमुपलन्यते । अपरं च संस्कृतोदृभूतामु विविधप्रान्तीयभाषासु प्रतिशतं प्रायः पष्टिसङ्ख्याकानां ग्रुद्धसंस्कृतस्य सान्तीयभाषावैभवसद्भावात्, आधुनिकव्यवहारोचितनृतनश्चदिनमाणिन प्रान्तीयभाषावैभवसंवर्षने चाळङ्कर्मीणत्वान्मातृस्थानीयेयं गीवांणवाणी सम्प्रत्यपि जीवत्ती
तिष्ठति । इत्यंभूते व्यतिकरे कथं केचिव्यनाः सुरसरस्या मृतत्वं प्रमाणी-

कुवन्तीति त एव जानन्तु महाभागाः।

शिक्षकैरध्यापने प्रयुज्यमानं संस्कृतं कालिदासवाणादीनां संस्कृतिमव न शोभनिम्त्युच्येत चेद्वयमत्र प्रत्युत्तरामो यत्र सर्वेऽपि कालिदासवाणा-दयः। निल्लिलेऽपि संस्कृतवाङ्मये कालिदासवाणादयोऽङ्गुलिमेया एव नव-नवोन्मपशालिनः कविप्रवराः। तान् हित्वा पतित्रकञ्चारन्यायेन यैविद्वित्तः संलापप्रन्थप्रणयनादिकमं कृतं तेषां सर्वोऽपि समुद्यमो नितान्तं निष्पयोजन-स्तेषां प्रन्थाश्च सर्वथा सुदूरमपास्या इत्युक्तिनं किञ्चिदिप सामञ्जरवम्हति। गैवाणी वाणी न केवलमकविषरीतः किन्तु विविधरीतीनां निद्यानम्। एतासां विविधरीतीनां इडानुशीलनं शिञ्चकमाषायाः शोभनत्वं पृष्णीयात्। अपरं च गीवाणवाक्यण्डितानां संलापसरिण आवं आवमपि शिञ्चकः स्व-भाषां प्रागरस्यकोटि नेतुं पारयति। गीवाणमाषाध्यापकेनैतदनुष्ठानं भूरि-क्रेशकरमसाध्यं निष्पयोजनं वा मन्येत चेत्स गीवाणमाषाध्यापकपदं कथ-मन्वर्यतया मण्डिष्यति। अस्यवेतनत्त्वाजीविकाचिन्तासन्दानितैः सतत-सुदरपूर्तिकर्मव्यापृतत्वाच्चालक्षावसरैः सामान्याध्यापकैनैतत्कमं विचातं शक्यत इत्युच्यत चेद्वयमत्र प्रतिवृमो यदुपरितनस्वादपद्वितत्त्वेष्वस्थिपग् तेषु न प्रगादज्ञानमपेक्ष्यते संस्कृतमाषाया न चापि संश्वपकुश्वलत्वं तस्याम्।

उक्तपूर्वमेवास्माभियंत्वंबापपद्धतितस्वानामुपादेयत्वे वामान्यन्छात्राणां सम्यगर्थमरूणपूर्वे बाचनक्षमत्वजननमेवास्मदीयमपि गीर्वाणमापाष्यापनः प्रयोजनमिति । किन्तु वाचनाध्यापनात्प्रागध्यापकेनानुसरणीयाः केऽप्यनि-बार्याः कमाः, वैविना वाचनं न सामीचीन्येन कर्ते शक्येत । संवादपद्धति-विषये केषांचिदन्यथाप्रहोऽस्ति यत्पाठ्यपुस्तकसाहाय्यं विना स्वतन्त्रतयैव च्छात्राध्यापकैः संस्कृतसंयादाः क्रियन्त इति । एतत्तु विपर्यस्तं मतं, यतो बाळच्छात्रा न स्वातन्त्रवेण संवदितुं प्रभवन्ति प्रारम्भिके काले । तस्मा-स्पाठ्यपुस्तकगतपाठानुहिस्त्रेव कानिचन संवादपद्वतितस्वान्युपयोक्तब्यान्य-ध्यापने । तेषां तत्त्वानामनुसरणे हेतुस्त्वयं यदिदानीमाङ्ग्लपाठशालासु प्रतिवर्गे छात्राः प्रायः पञ्चत्रिंशत्सङ्ख्याकाः । बहुविषवातीयत्वासेषा वर्णोबाराः स्वसंस्कारानुगुणं प्रायो दोषसङ्कुलाः । संस्कृतवर्णीबारविषये च समधिकमवधानमपेद्यत इति नैव निवदनीयम् । अतौऽद्य यावाधुण्णा व्याकरणप्रधानानुवादैकपदवी न च्छात्राणां श्रेयसे भवेत् । अनुवादैकपरि-पाठ्यामनुस्तायां छात्रास्तन्द्राख्योऽनवधानाश्च सम्पयन्त इति द्रीहर्यत एव धानुभवैः शिक्षकैः । अनया पद्धत्या बह्बोऽध्यापकाः कथनैकपरिपाटी-मवलम्बय पुस्तकस्थपाठांश्च वाचियत्वा पङ्क्तिशः स्वयमेवानुवादं कुर्वन्ति मातुमापयाऽऽङ्ग्लभाषया वा, छालैश्च केवलमध्यापकोक्तं श्रोतव्यम् । अतरछात्राणामिकिञ्चित्करत्वादेव ते तन्द्राख्यः सम्पद्यन्ते । अदत्तप्छात्र-बाचनावकाशया चानया पदस्या छात्रा न कदापि बाचननैपुण्यमधिगन्तुं प्रभवन्ति । तस्मादियं हान्तिकरा पद्धतिईया, छात्रसमवधानजनका काप्यन्या पद्धतिद्यादेया चेति सुनिर्णीतम्। येऽध्यापका आत्मनो मन्दौत्सुक्याद् व्याकरणप्रधानामनुवादैकपरिपार्टी सुकरां नैस्मिकी च मन्यन्ते त एव संवाद-पद्धति प्रभृतक्षेशकसमनैसर्गिकी च पश्यन्ति । न ते पुनर्जानन्ति यद्घ्यापक-इशा या पहतिनेसिंगिकी सुकरा च सेव च्छात्रहशा भ्रिहेशावहा कृत्रिमा च, येन बहवरछात्राः संस्कृताद्विरव्येतरभाषाध्ययनमबलम्बन्त इति ।

अतो बालच्छात्राणां संस्कृताध्ययनं प्रति समुचितप्रकृत्यनुरागोत्पाद्-नार्थे संवादपद्धत्या उपरितनानि कानिचित्तत्वानि पाठ्यपुरतकं समाधित्या-मुस्तंव्यानि। तदनुरोधेन पुरतकगतपाठस्य साक्षाद्वाचनात्प्राक् तं पाठमुद्दिश्य सामिनयभाषणप्रशादिकपं मौखिकाध्यापनं कार्यमध्यापकेन, तदनु पौर्वापर्येण अवणोचारणप्रतिवचनरूपो भाषाम्यासतत्वानुसारी नैस्तिकोऽनुक्रमोऽवलम्ब-नीयस्छात्रेण। अनेनोक्तिप्रसुक्तिकप्रव्यवद्दारेण च्छात्राः सोल्याई सावधानं चाध्याप्यमानविषयांश्वभाजो भवन्ति । पाठगतपदानां सन्दर्भसमन्वयपूर्वकं सङ्घरो व्यक्तिश्वश्रोचैरसङ्दावर्तनं, ल्यस्वरसङ्क्रमविरामादिसमन्वरं साङ्धिकं वैयक्तिकं च वाचनं, संस्कृतप्रश्रद्वारा लव्धानां प्रतिवचनरूपाणां पाठगतवाक्यानां विविधच्छात्रैरथैपरिष्रद्पूर्वकं सुस्पष्टसुचारणमित्येतत्सकलमपि मौस्किममनुष्ठानं छात्राणां वर्णोचारखद्वये, भाषापरिपाकनिष्पचये (Assimilation) साधुवाचनाय च कस्पते। अत्र नेत्रसंस्कारात्पूर्वे कर्णसंस्कारा, उधारणात्पूर्वे अवणम्, वाचनातपूर्वे मौस्किमावर्तनम्, लेखनातपूर्वे वाचनं चिति भाषाभ्यासतत्त्वस्थाऽऽनुपूर्वो समब्धेया। एतत्मौस्किमावर्तनम्पि स्वाध्यायद्यीक्ष्मीरतीयैः सुविदितपूर्वमेव। एतत्सकलमनुकरणप्रधानं कमे यस्मिस्छात्रा गुकमनुकुर्वन्ति । गुक्जनव्यवद्यारानुकरणनेव भाषाध्ययनं सुलभं भवति, न तु व्यक्तरणनियमशानेनिति प्रथितं मनोविद्यानाधिष्ठितं भाषाभ्यासतत्त्वम्।

अत्र संवादपद्धत्याः कानिचन तत्त्वान्येवान्युपगम्यन्ते, न तु साकत्येन संवादपद्वतिः प्रयुक्यत इति सुष्टु विज्ञातं मवेडिपश्चिद्धिः । पुस्तकगतपाठ-मिवकृत्य योऽनुयोगप्रतिवचनरूपो व्यवहारः स एवात्र संवादः । तत्मादस्याः परिपाट्याः ' सवादपद्वतिः ' इलमिधानात् ' प्रश्नोत्तरपद्वतिः ' ' खण्डा-न्वमगद्धतिः ' इति वामिधानं वरम् । उपरिवर्णितकस्पे धंवादपद्धतिः (प्रश्नोत्तरपद्वतिः) कथनपद्वतिरनुवादपद्वतिरित्येताः सकला अपि यथा-प्रसङ्गं प्रमुख्यन्तेऽध्यापने । तासां सर्वासा श्रीभनसमन्वयेनैव समीचीनोदर्केण भाव्यमिति नो मतम् । तस्मादियं परिपाटी ' सर्वसारमाहिणी पद्वतिः । (Eclectic Method) इति नाम्नाभिषातच्या । प्रश्नविवरणादिकं संस्कृत-माश्रित्य कार्यमित्युक्ते, न तु सर्वेऽत्यध्यापका अस्य कर्मणः शका इत्युच्येत चेद्रयमनुभूतिमधिष्ठाय प्रतिवृमो यदस्यास्यासवशमेवैतदनुष्ठानमिति । सरीकसंस्कृतमूळ्यन्यानामसक्दाचनेन शिक्षको साधानेपुण्यमधिगत्याच्या-पनकर्मापि रसोत्पादकं विवादं प्रभवेदिति बलीयाको विश्वासः। प्रीदन्छा-त्राध्यापने पूर्वतनपण्डितरनुस्ता मिलनाथादिटीकाकृतामधैविकृतिपद्धतिरी-यत्परिवर्तितानुविधेया । परिवर्तनं स्वेतदात्कथनैकपरिपार्टी विद्याय संस्कृत-टीकाकृतां पद्धत्या सममनुयोगप्रतिवचनानुष्ठानरूपो नृतनः करपः समबल- भ्वनीयः । संस्कृतमाशित्य वस्तव्यमिति यदाप्युक्तमत्र तयापि नैप सार्वत्रिको विचिः । ययाप्रसङ्गं मातृमापापि विवरणार्यमुपयोग्येन्युक्तपूर्वमेव ।

अध्यापनपद्धत्वोपपद्धारिभिः शिक्षकेश्परिस्चितपद्धत्यनुसारिभिर्माः व्यमिति मुतरामाद्यास्यते । केचित्पद्धतिपटवोऽपि शिक्षका वदन्ति यद् ''अध्यापनमह।विद्यालयेऽनुस्ता पद्धतिरस्मामिः स्वकीयपाठशालासु नानुसर्वे शक्यते । यत्रास्माकं प्रतिदिनं पञ्चपड्होरात्मकः कालोऽध्यापनकर्मण व्यतीतो भवति, तत्र प्रतिपाठं टिप्पणीलेखनार्थमस्माभिः खञ्चव्यः। एतद्भृरिक्वेशवशास्त्रथमस्माभिः प्रतिदिनमविरतोत्साहैमैवितव्यम्^अ इति । एपोक्तिनांनुपपन्ना । किन्तु वयमेतद्वकत्वकामा यद्व्यापनमहा-विद्यालयगता पद्धतिः सतताभ्यासवशादध्यापकबुद्धौ सुस्थिरा सती प्रकृतिः माधमापद्यते । इत्यंभूते पाठटिप्पणीलेखनप्रयोजनं नावशिष्टं भवति । उपपदलाभानन्तरं शिक्षका न केवलमध्यापनमहाविद्यालयस्थां गीवाण-भाषाध्यापनपद्धतिमेव परित्यवन्ति, अपि त्वितरविषयाध्यापनपद्धतीरपीति सर्वजनविदिता परिस्थितिः । पद्धतिपदुरध्यापकोऽमन्दोत्साइरछात्रहित्तनिर-त्रश्रेस पाटटिप्पणीलेखनं विनापि स्वीयेनाच्यापनकर्मणा सर्वदैव च्छात्रचेत:सु पाठ्यविषये रति जनयेदित्यत्र नास्ति विवादावकाशः । अध्यापकः पुनर्मन्दोत्माइरछात्रहितानवहितश्चेत्तदाध्यापनपटुत्वोपपदधारिणापि तेन न किमपि विचेर कियेत।

अपरं च पद्धतिपटवः शिक्षका अन्यूनत्वेन इत उत्तरमुपलभ्येरन्, यतः केवलं भीहमयीविभाग एवाधुना चत्वारोऽध्यापनमहाविद्यालयाः प्रतिष्ठापिताः सन्ति । एतेम्यः प्रतिवत्सरं ये इतिवद्या भूत्वा बहिर्गन्छन्ति तेषु केचन लधुपत्तनस्थपाठधालास्वपि शिलकपदे नियुज्यन्ते । अतः पद्धतिपदु-शिक्षकवेरस्यशक्का न पदं समते । विधिस्त्वयं कार्यो यत्पद्धतिपदुभिः शिक्षकरेष्यापनमहाविद्यालयगतपद्धते स्विरत्वं समववुद्ध्य साऽक्यभिचारेण मनसा स्वशालास्वनुसरणीया ।

अनासादिताच्यापननेपुण्यैः सामान्यशिक्षकेदपरिदर्शिता पद्धतिनीनुसर्वे शक्यत इत्यस्य पूर्वपक्षस्य प्रत्युत्तरमेतदात्सामान्यशिक्षकेरनुवादपद्धतिरनु-विषेया । तथाप्यनिपुणैरिव निपुणैरिप शिक्षकेरेपैवानुवादपद्धतिरेकान्ततः समाअयणीया, सा चैव नैस्तिकीति चेत्कस्यापि दुराम्बस्तिहि तद्दृष्ट्या सर्वेऽप्यध्यापनमहाविद्यालया निष्प्रयोजनास्तेषु च शिक्षकाणामध्यापननेपुण्य-समादनोद्यमो निर्श्यकक्ष । एषा त्वित्मृत्यकारिणामेव दृष्टिरित्यतो न सा मनागपि मनोयोगमहाति । अनुवादपद्धतिस्तु नास्मद्दशा तथा दोषभूथिष्ठा यथा संवादपद्धतिविषयेऽतिरिक्ताभिमानिभिर्गण्यते । व्याकरणप्रधानाया विकिष्टद्याक्यात्वादपद्धतिविषयेऽतिरिक्ताभिमानिभर्गण्यते । व्याकरणप्रधानाया विकिष्टद्याक्याद्वयद्धति स्वानि स्तिक्ष्यति । स्वाकरणप्रधानाया विकिष्टद्याक्यात्वादपद्धति स्ति । स्वान्य स्वान्य स्वान्य स्तिक्ष्यात्व स्वान्य स्वान्य स्वान्य स्वान्य प्रस्तिकान्य प्रस्ति । स्वान्य स्वाप्य स्वाप्य स्वान्य स्वाप्य स्वाप्य

प्रथमं तैः समुचितप्रस्तावानन्तरं मातृभाषया पाटसारं कथवित्वा-ध्याप्यमानः समग्रपाटः पाठांशो वा सन्धिविक्छेषणपूर्वकं सुस्पष्टं चकुद्रा-चनीयः। तदनु शिक्षकेण च्छात्रैः साकं स एव पाठांशो सङ्घशो वाचनीयः। अनन्तरं छात्रेस्तस्यैव पाटांशस्य मनोगतवाचनं कार्यम्। मनोगतवाचन-समय शिक्षकेण कठिनपदार्थकोधने साहाय्यकारिणा भाव्यम्। छात्रैर-परिचितपदशानानन्तरं स्वयमेव पाठार्थनिर्घारणे प्रयतितःयमिति मनोगत-बाचनप्रयोजनम् । अविति मनोगतवाचने प्रत्येकं छात्रेण वाक्यानि ययाई-मुखेर्वाचित्वाऽनुवादप्रयत्नो विधेय:। अत्रापि शिक्षकेण च्छात्राणो क्रिष्ट-पदमंहतिबोधन एव साहाय्यं कर्तव्यं, न स्वन्यथा । अनुवादे छात्रसंविभाग एव समिवको भवत, येन सर्वेऽपि शिष्याः सावधानाः स्थास्यन्ति । अनु-बादमतु कैश्विच्छात्रैः समप्रपाठांशः सुन्यकं वाचनीयो येन सर्वेषामपि बाचः शुद्धिमेंबेत्, सर्वे च वाचनितपुणाः सम्पर्धरन्। छात्रवाचनार्थः माङ्ग्लपाठशालासु न कदाप्यवकाशो दीयत इत्यसमञ्जसमेव । इयं बाक्षायाध्यापनरीतिः सामान्यशिक्षकैरप्यनुसर्ते शक्या स्यादिति भाति । अन्यस वाङ्मयपरिचयपूर्वकमेव व्याकरणमध्याप्यमिति यद्राधाभ्यासतस्वे तद्पि सामान्यशिक्षकैव्यंबहायंतां नेतुं शक्येत ॥

वाङ्मयाध्यापनशितिः।

बाङ्मवाध्यापने विश्विद्यानि (बृदिवानि) वाक्यान्युपक्रमावस्थायां नाध्यापनीयानि । यतस्त्रुदिववाक्येषु नैकाकिनमेव विषयं वस्तु वा पुरस्कृत्य सम्बद्धं वर्णनं कथनं वा क्रियते, येन च्छात्रचित्रानुरक्तनं सम्पद्धेत । अपि च बृदिववाक्यद्वारा व्याकरणमेवाध्याप्यते, न तु वाङ्मयं, व्याकरणाध्ययनाथंमेव बृदिववाक्यान्युदाहियन्त इति च्छात्रहृदयस्यः संस्कारः प्रमाध्युं न शक्यते । अयं संस्कार उपक्रमावस्थायां ' संस्कृतमाधाध्ययनं क्रेशकरम् ' इस्बुद्देगमुत्पादयति । अत उपक्रमाणव्यपुस्तकेषु प्रतिपाठं विषयकमित्रकृत्य सुगमतुर्गमक्रमेण लेखनीयाः सङ्कलनीया वा कथासंवादवर्णनकाव्यासमाः संक्षिप्रपरिच्छेदाः, येपूचरोत्तरं गीवाणमाणागता विविधवाक्यमेदा विविधमाव-भदाश्च सम्पतुर्पद्यिता मवेषुः । विषयाः पुनर्जातपूर्वांस्छात्रमनोविनोदकाः प्राच्यसंस्कृतिबोधकाश्च स्युः । यथा-शरीरावयवाः, परिचितक्रियाः, परिचितवस्तृनि, परिचितप्राणिनः, परिचितहस्थानि च । शरीरावयवानां परिचितक्रियासु वचनप्रतिवचनपूर्वे प्रदर्शितासु प्रारम्भ उपयुक्ततिङन्तानां पाठनं सुकरं भवति ।

"वाकायाध्यापने प्रायः कः कल्पोऽनुसर्तन्योऽध्यापकेन, कया इष्ट्रया च" इत्यन्यतमः प्रश्नो विद्यते मम संस्कृताध्यापनप्रश्नावल्यां, यस्य प्रस्मुत्तरं काचित्कत्वेन लब्धं मयाद्य यावत् । विरत्न एवं ते जना ये साङ्गुलिनिर्देशं साक्षाद्राद्यायाध्यापनरीतिं वर्षयितुं पारयन्ति । अतः सम्य-गवधारणार्थे मनोविज्ञानाभिष्ठितमाधातस्यावलन्विनी प्राच्यसम्प्रदायान-पायिनी च बाद्यायाध्यापनरीतिः समास्तोऽत्रोपस्याप्यते ।

१ प्रस्तावः [पदपरिचयः]-प्रस्तावे ज्ञातविषयेण सममज्ञात-विषयः कुत्इल्जननपूर्वकं संयुष्यते । विषये ज्ञातपूर्वे सत्यप्यधीयमाना माधाऽत्राऽज्ञाता, यस्या ज्ञानमधिगन्तव्यम् । अत एवाऽऽदावध्याप्यमान-पाठगतानामपरिचितपदानां परिचयो वस्तुचित्रामिनयादिदर्शनपूर्वकं सन्दर्भ-समन्वयपुरस्सरं चाध्यापकेन कार्यः । प्रथममध्यापकेन प्रत्यक्षं वस्तु चित्रं चा प्रदस्यं, तद्वाचकं पाठगतपदं समकालमेव मुस्पप्टममिषाय, पदं कलके स्थूलाक्षरैविलिख्य च तस्य परिस्फुटमुचारणं द्विलियां छात्रमुलैः कारिय-तथ्यम् । शब्दार्थशापने विविधाः क्रियाः श्वरीरावयवैविधाय चित्रादिदर्शन-द्वारा वस्तुसंस्थानगुणधर्मादिकं वर्णनीयं मुखेन । भाषाया धटकावयवो वाक्यं, न तु पदमित्यन्विताभिधानवादिनां मतानुसारेणापरिचितपदानि वाक्येपूप्युव्यवाध्याप्यानि, न तु विलिष्ठश्लेन पाठनीयानि । यतः पदशापने बृद्धव्यवद्वारस्य अयस्त्वमभिमन्वाना अन्विताभिधानवादिनो वाक्यस्य संहतार्थग्रहणेनैव बालानां सम्यग्बोधो भवति, न पदमानश्रवणेनेति वदन्ति । तथा रीतिमनुसत्य भाषाया व्यवद्वार एव कार्यो मौखिकाध्यापने । विशेषतश्च बाळ्यकात्रैः संहतवाक्यश्रवणपूर्वकमेव पदान्यभ्यसनीयानि । यथा-

एषः वृक्षः (अस्ति)। एषा वृत्तस्य शास्ता (अस्ति)। शासायाम् एषः स्वगः। वृत्ते एतत् फल्णम्। फलं वर्णेन पीतम् (वर्णेऽत्र प्रस्यकं प्रदर्शनीयः)। स्वगः एतत् फलं अक्षयति (अभिनयदर्शनम्)। एवम् "गच्छ-आगच्छ, उत्तिष्ठ-उपविश्व, वाचय, वद " इति क्रियापदान्यभि-नयद्वारैव पाठ्यन्ते।

प्तःसर्वे गीवांणभाषयेव वक्तव्यम्। अनया रीत्या छात्रा मातृभाषो-पयोगं विनापि पाठगतानपरिचितशब्दार्यान् सन्दर्भमात्रेण गातुं पारवन्ति। अत्र च्छावज्ञानेन्द्रियेषु प्राधान्येन त्रिविधः संस्कारो भवति, यथा-शब्दश्रवणे अतिसंस्कारः, शब्दोक्चारणे वाक्संस्कारः, चित्रवणांभिनयादेखित्वितशब्दस्य चावलोकने चञ्चःसंस्कारश्च । शब्दानां कण्ठस्थीकरणेऽयं त्रिविधः संस्कारः स्मृतिसाहचर्योतादनेनातीवोपकारको भवति । अध्यापकेन फटके लिखिता नृतनशब्दारछात्रैः स्वटिप्पणीपुस्तिकासु प्रतिलेख्याः । अत्र बोधपुरस्तर-निद्यापलेखनार्ये छात्रइस्तेष्वपि संस्कारः कार्यः । अपरिचितमाधाष्यापने पाश्चात्वमापातस्वविदां मतानुसारेण शब्दार्यज्ञापनं प्रायः (१) उपरितनरीत्या प्रत्यक्षदर्शनेन (व्यवहारेण), (२) मातृमाधानुवादेन, (३) ल्खणपर्यायादिक्यनेन, (४) वाक्यगतसन्दर्भेण चेति चतुर्धा विधीयते। अत्रोत्तरीत्तरस्मात्पूर्व-पूर्वः प्रकारः श्रेयान्, किन्तु बालाध्यापने प्रथम एव श्रेष्ठः ।

पद्परिचयसमये शिक्षकेण च्छात्रेः पदानां साङ्धिकमावर्तनं दिक्षिकां कारियत्वा व्यक्तिशः शब्दोचारणं कारियतव्यं छात्रमुखैः । एतः

दसकृदावर्तनं वैदिकानां वेदपटनावर्तनस्य सुतरां साहर्यं वहति, अग्रे च च्छालाणां संग्रुदोबारणाय साधुवाचनाय च कर्यते । साङ्घिकावर्तने छात्रा अन्यच्छात्रसमागमेन स्वोद्यारणस्य युक्तायुक्तस्यं परीक्षितुं शक्नुवन्ति, विक्रममं च लमन्ते । अतः साङ्घिकावर्तनं वैयक्तिकोबारणाल्युवं कार-यितव्यमध्यापकेनेति माणान्यासतस्वम् । साङ्घिकावर्तने प्रयममध्यापकः सुविश्वदं शब्दमभिद्याति, तमनु च सर्वे छात्रा यौगपद्येन तमेव शब्दं वदन्ति । एतद् बृद्धव्यवहारानुकरणरूपं कर्म प्रतिसमयं दिख्यिवां विधीयते।

२ वाचनम्। यं विषयमुद्दिश्य शब्दगरिचयावर्तनादिरूपं मौलि-कमनुष्ठानं कृतं स एव विषयः पाठ्यपुस्तकं लिखितरूपेण वर्तत इति निर्देष्ट्रं पाठ्यमानविभागो वाच्यतेऽधुना । अत्र वाचनातपूर्वे मीलिकावर्तनं, छेखनातपूर्वे वाचनं चेति भाषाभ्यासतस्वानुसारि पौर्वापये समबधेयम् । अतोऽवस्ति शब्दपरिचयादौ शिक्षको यथाप्रसङ्गं व्यक्तवर्णीचारलय-काकु-बिरामादीनि सम्यग्वाचनतस्वान्याश्रित्य स्वयमेबोचै: समग्रमध्याप्यमानपाठं बाचयति । कदाचित्स सङ्घशस्छात्रैः सार्धे वा वाचयति । साङ्घिके बाचने तु शिक्षक उपरिद्शितपद्धला पूर्वमेकैक बार्व वाचयति, पश्चाच च्छात्राः सङ्घग्नः शिलकमनु तत्तदेव वाक्यं वाचयन्ति । पाठगतमाबानुगुणं छञ्जुरू चारण - छय - काकु - विरामादियोजने नैव समग्रपाठाथौँ मातृभाषा -नुवादं विनापि च्छात्रैः सामान्यतोऽवगन्तुं शक्यते । सम्यग्वाचनमेवार्थाव-गमस्य गमकमित्यवबुद्धवाध्यापकेन इदानुशीलनपूर्वकं वाचननेपुण्यं सम्पादः नीयम् । केविद्विश्वविद्यालयोपाधिधारिणोऽप्यध्यापका गद्यपद्यारमकाम् संस्कृः तपरिच्छेदान् समीचीनतया वाचियतुं नेशते, किमृत च्छात्राः । अत एव सनिर्वन्यमञोच्यते यःप्राचीनसम्प्रदायिनां शास्त्रिप्रवराणां परिहासं परि-त्यच्याऽऽङ्ग्लोपाधिचारिभिर्गीर्वाणभाषाध्यापकैस्तेषां सुमनोहरा बाचन-पद्धतिरनुकर्तव्येति ।

३ वाचितभागमधिकृत्य प्रश्नाः । अनन्तरं पाठसन्दर्भानुसारेण शब्दार्थयोः समुचितसाइचये छात्रमनःसु सुस्थिरं भवतु, वाक्यगतान्योन्य-पदकारकशानेन समप्रपाठः सुविशातार्थो भवतु चेति हेतोः पाठमुहिस्या-ध्यापकेन यथाक्रमं खण्डान्वयपद्धत्या प्रश्नानुशानं कार्यम् । शुतार्यप्रहण- सौक्यांय ययाऽ न्विताभिधानवादिनां मतमनुसरणीयं तथा लिखितार्थ-ग्रहणसीकर्याय पदबोधपुरस्तरं वाक्यगतान्योन्यपदान्वयमवगच्छतामभिहि-तान्वयवादिनां मतमप्यत्र बाह्मम् । इत्यमभिहितान्वयान्विताभिधान-योः समुचय एव बालानां मुखबोधाय अतलिखितभाषाध्यापने कार्यः। लिखि-तभाषागतवाक्यकोषाय वाक्यस्थान्योन्यपदानां साकाङ्शत्वमन्वयश्चेत्युमयं बोद्धन्यम् । अत एव वाक्यस्थपदानां खण्डद्योऽन्वयः प्रश्लोत्तरतित्वा क्रियते । इयं खण्डान्वयपद्धतिराकाङ्खायोग्यतासंनिधितस्वं पुरस्कृत्य प्राचीनभारतीयदार्धनिकैरेव समृद्भाविता, विस्तरेण चानुस्ता काश्मीरक-बल्लभदेविकरिचतायां शिशुपाळयघटीकायां नारायणविरिचतायां नैपधकाच्य-टीकायां च। मिछिनायकृताः पञ्चमहाकाव्यटीका द्ण्डान्वयपद्यवलम्बन्यः। दण्डान्वयात् खण्डान्वयो बाळवृद्धिबोधनविषये प्रशस्यतरः, पाश्चा-द्यानां संवादपद्धतिरिवानुयोगप्रतिवचनात्मकः प्रकारो भाषाया हडानुशीलनार्थमतीवोपयुक्तश्च । अतोऽय टीकाप्रन्थनिविष्टः प्रश्नोत्तरहृपः खण्डान्वयो मौखिकाध्यापनेऽध्यापकैर्विस्तरेणोपयो-जनीय इसस्मद्भिप्रायः । खण्डान्वयपद्धःयां प्रधानवान्यगतं कियापदं पुरस्कृत्यैव कर्तुकमंपदानि विशेषणाद्यवशिष्टपदानि च प्रश्रद्वारा निष्का-स्यन्ते, सुबोधपर्यायेश्च व्याख्यायन्ते । अनेनैव क्रमेण समुचितप्रक्र-विधानपूर्वकमध्यापकैदछात्रेस्यः पुस्तकपाठगतपदान्याश्चित्य मत्युत्तराणि खण्डको सम्बन्धानि । अध्यापकेन प्रश्नाः सर्वानेव च्छात्रानुद्दिस्य प्रष्टव्याः, न तु विधिष्टमेव च्छात्रमुह्दिय, येन सर्वेऽप्यतन्द्रिताः स्थास्यन्ति । अत्र च्छात्रैर्देयानि प्रतिवचनानि सम्पूर्णवास्यरूपाण्येवापेक्षणी-यानि । यस्मिन्कस्मिम्नपि छबुपरिमाणे परिच्छेदे बहवः प्रश्नाः सम्मवन्ति । अतः परिन्छेदबोधनार्थंमस्खिलितोच्चारणार्थे च प्रारम्भिकाच्यापने प्रश्न-विधानपाल्यंमवरुम्बनीयमध्यापकेन । प्राथमिकावस्थायां यावस्छक्यं पाठ-गतपदान्युपयुज्यैव प्रश्ना विरचनीयाः, येन च्छात्राः प्रायः पुस्तकस्थपदान्ये-बोपसुक्य प्रत्युत्तराणि दातुं प्रमवन्ति । उपक्रमावस्थायामनया पद्धत्या पाठ्यमानपरिच्छेदो मातृमाधोपयोगं विनापि सम्यगवगतायों मवति। प्रारम्भे छात्राः प्रश्नकाले पुस्तकपुर्वाठ्यैव प्रतिभाषन्ताम्, पश्चाच प्रत्युत्तरः समये तेषां पुस्तकानि पिहितानि तियन्तु । एतत्मक्षीचरविधानं शुक्रपटन- बद्मबोधभूथिष्ठमिति न केनापि मन्तव्यम् , यतद्यक्तिः प्रबोधपूर्वकं "किं म्रअस्वरूपं, को विषयः प्रत्युत्तरे समावेदयः, कयं च प्रत्युत्तरे पद्वाक्यादिः विन्यासः कार्यः" इति निपुणं विमुद्देयव प्रत्युत्तरे देयम् । एवं याते कियत्यपि कार्छे प्रश्नप्रतिवचनानुष्ठानस्थितं पुस्तकगतमाधानुसरणं छातः वोधानुसारेण श्रानः श्रानः परित्यक्तव्यम् । यश्मिन्करिमस्रपि वाक्ये कर्षुकर्मः कियाविश्रेषणाव्ययवाचकाः प्रश्ना यथाशक्यं सम्भवन्ति । ते च किक्यम्भूता-दीनि पदानि प्रयुव्य विरचनीयाः । यथा बालाव्यापनेऽधस्तनो गणेश्चवर्णन-परः प्रारम्भपाठो गृहीतः स्याचेत्रस्याय्यापनमधोष्टिस्तितरीत्या कार्यम् ।

ॐ नमः श्रीगणेशाय।

"गणेशः गणानाम् ईशः । गणेशस्य पिता शिवः माता च गौरी । गणेशस्य मस्तकं सिन्दूरेण आरक्तम् । तस्मात् मस्तकात् सुगन्धिः मदः स्रवति । अतः एव छ्व्धाः भ्रमराः तद् मस्तकं परितः भ्रमन्ति । गणेशः कर्णौ इतस्ततः चालयति भ्रमरान् च अपसारयति । गणेशस्य मस्तकं रत्नयुतेन किरीटेन चन्द्रस्य कळ्या च भूषितम् ।

"तस्य हो बामी हो दक्षिणो च इति चत्वारः इस्ताः छन्ति । ते इस्ताः सुवर्णस्य वल्यैः भूषिताः । गणेशः एकेन इस्तेन अङ्कृशं, दितीयेन कमलं, तृतीयेन च मोदकं वहति । चतुर्थ इस्तं सः अग्रे प्रसारयित, तेन च सः भक्तेन्यः वरं यच्छति, 'सर्वेषां मङ्गलं मवतु ' इति ॥ "

अश्मिन् प्रारम्भपाठे विस्वित्वेखनमनुस्त्य मानुभाषायां प्रजुरत-योपयुज्यमाना एव ग्रव्दा नुद्ध्या प्रयुक्ताः। तेषां शब्दानां वान्यगत-संस्कृतरूपपरिकानमेवात्र प्रमुखं प्रयोजनम्। परमत्र "आरकः, परितः, इतस्ततः, वाम-दक्षिण, वल्यम् "इति पदानि, "स्वति, भ्रमन्ति, चालः मति, अपसारयति, प्रसारयति, बहति, यञ्चति "इति प्रत्यविक्रयावाचकानि पदानि च च्छात्राणामपरिचितानि । एतान्यपरिचितपदानि वस्तुचित्रादि-प्रदर्शनेन ग्ररीराभिनयदर्शनेन च पाटारम्मे कथितपूर्वेण साङ्धिकावर्तनादिना कमशो शापयितं शक्यन्ते । ततः परिच्छेदस्याध्यापककृतास्प्रकटवाचना-दनन्तरमञ्चलनरीत्या प्रश्मतिवचनस्त्यो व्यवहारः प्रचालनीयः। प्रशानु-श्चनेऽप्यत्र चित्रान्नुपयोगः कार्यः। छात्राणामपेश्चितोत्तराणि शिक्षकस्य विशेषविषानं चार्षचन्द्रचिह्नद्रयेस्थापितानि । यद्यपि प्रत्युत्तराण्यशं छेखन-सङ्क्षेपार्थे न सम्पूर्णतया छिखितानि, तथापि तानि च्छात्रेम्यः सम्पूर्ण-बाक्यरूपेणैव निष्कासनीयानि ।

प्रश्नोत्तराणि विवरणं च। (चित्रमुद्दिस्य) कः एषः ? (एपः गणेशः)। एषः केषाम् ईशः ? (एषः गणानाम् ईशः ।-अत्र ' गण '-शब्दमुहिश्य मातुमापायामध्यापकेन किमपि कथनीयम्)। कः गणेशस्य पिता ? (शिवः)। का च माता ? (गौरी)।-पार्वतीत्वप्यभिधानमध्या-पकेन कथनीयम्। अत्र गणेशः पार्वतीपरमेश्वरयोः पुत्रत्वं कथं प्राप्त इति पौराणिकः सन्दर्भो मातुभाषया समासतः कथनीयः। अनन्तरमारको वर्णेंदलां विश्वित दर्शयितव्यः । तदनन्तरं प्रशः) । गणेश्वस्य सस्तकं केन आरक्तम् ? (सिन्दूरेण)। मदः स्रवति, कस्मात् ? (तस्मात् मस्त-कात्)। की हकाः सदः स्रवित ? (सुगन्धिः)। असराः असन्ति, कुत्र ? (तद् मस्तकं परितः।- परितः ' इत्यत्र क्रियामिनयः कार्यः)। कथम्भूताः भ्रमराः ? (लुब्बाः)। भ्रमराः लुब्धाः, कुतः ? (भरत-कात् सुगन्धः मदः सवति, अतः एव ' इति सम्पूर्ण कारणनिर्देशकरं वाक्यं पुनरछात्रैरुचारणीयम्)। गणेशः कणौं चाळयति, कथम् ? (इतस्ततः। -अभिनयदर्शनम्)। गणेकाः अन्यव कि करोति ? (अपसारयति।-अभिनयदर्शनम्)। सः कान् अपसारयति ? (भ्रमरान्), । गणेशस्य मस्तक भूषितं, केन ? (किरीटेन)। किविशिष्टेन किरीटेन भूषितम् ? (रत्नवृतेन ।- रत्नैः युतेन युक्तेन सहितेन ' इति विश्रहपुरःसरं सुबोधपर्यायः कथनीयः)। पुनञ्ज तद् मस्तकं भूषितं, कथा ? (चन्द्रस्य कल्या। चन्द्रकलाया आकारस्य रचिरत्वं,किरणानां सुखशीतकत्वं चोद्दिश्य च्छात्राणां परिचितानुमवो मातुभाषायां छात्रेम्यः पश्चपूर्वकं निष्कासनीयः)। गणेशस्य कति इस्ताः सन्ति ? (चत्वारः । हात्रैस्त्र ' एकः हो त्रयः चत्वारः ' इति रीत्या इस्ताः गणनीयाः)। चत्वारः इस्ताः कथं तिष्ठन्ति ? (दौ वामौ ही दक्षिणी च इति)। इस्ताः भूषिताः, कैः ? (सुवर्णस्य वलमै: ।-चित्रे छात्रैवंलमानि प्रदर्शयितव्यानि)। (चित्रमुहिश्य) गणेकः एतेन इस्तेन वहति, कम् ? (अङ्कुशम्)। सः कमलं वहति, केन

हस्तेन ? (दितीयेन इस्तेन)। सः मोदकं वहति, केन इस्तेन ? (तृतीयेन)। सः अपे प्रसारयति (अभिनयदर्शनम्) कम् ? (चतुर्थे इस्तम्)। तेन सः किं करोति ? (वरं यच्छति)। स वरं यच्छिति (अभिनयदर्शनम्) केभ्यः। (भक्तेभ्यः)। कः सः वरः ? ('सर्वेपां मङ्गळं भवतु ' इति।—अन्तिमं समग्रं वाक्यं छात्रैमातृभाषायामनुवदि-त्व्यम्। इत्यं विशिष्टवाक्यानां दुवीधवाक्यानां च मातृभाषयानुवादस्क्षान्त्राववीधपरीक्षार्थे मध्ये मध्ये समाश्रयणीयः)।

स्यालीपुळाकन्यायेन बाळाध्यापने खण्डान्वयपद्धतेरुपयोजनमुपरि द्शितम्। अत्राध्यापकेन न केवलं प्रश्नाः प्रष्टव्याः, अपि तु वाक्यगततु सह-पदसंहतीना सरलमुदोषसंस्कृतेनैव यावच्छन्यमर्थो विद्यदीकर्तन्यः । खण्डा-न्वयावलम्बिटीकाकृतामर्थविष्ट्रतौ यथा समासविष्ठहः सुवीवपर्याय-कथनममरादिकोशपङ्किप्रस्ताबो वाग्विशेपविवरणं वात्पर्यकथनं पाराणिकसन्दर्भक्यनमित्याद्यो विविधप्रकारा उपयुज्यन्ते तथैव कमोऽध्यापकेन भौखिकाध्यापने समवलम्बनीयः । अन्या परिपाल्या वाक्यस्थान्योन्यपदानां कारकमर्थंश्च सम्यक्तया छात्रैरवगम्येते। केपाञ्चिद्वाक्य-रचनाविशेषाणां रधुणानिखननन्यायेन हदीकरणाय तत्तद्रचनाविशिष्टानि बाक्यान्तराष्युदाहस्य तासहशानां मातृभापास्यवाक्यानां संस्कृतेऽनुवादः इछात्रैः कारयितव्यः । कदाचिदनेकवाक्यतात्पर्यश्रोधका एकवाक्यतासूचका ब्यापकप्रशा विशेषतरछात्राणां प्रीदावस्थायां प्रष्टव्याः । प्रीदच्छात्राणां पञ्चमहाकाव्याध्यापनेऽपीयमेव शीतः प्रश्नवरिमाणं छप्कृत्य खण्डान्ययिटीका-अन्यानुसरेणोपयोज्या । अध्यापकेन प्रशास्त्रात्रहिमग्रे निधाय सीलासं सकौशलं च प्रष्टव्याः । अच्यापने सर्वदैवाच्यापकमनोवृत्या तथा सोह्यस्या भाव्यं, यथा सैव च्छालेष्यपि सङ्कम्य तेषामध्याप्यविषये कुत्रहलमनुरागं चोत्पादयेत् । यद्यप्यध्यापने प्रश्नप्रतियचनायविष्ठतिकर्मणि संस्कृतमेय प्रयोक्तव्यं, तथापि वान्विद्येषशापनार्थे पौराणिकसन्दर्भकथनार्थे परिचिता-नुमवनिवेदनार्थे दुरुइकल्पनाविश्वदीकरणार्थे च मातृमापापि प्रवज्ञवश्चेन च्छालबोधसीकर्याय प्रयोज्या । प्रश्ना वैविध्यपूर्णाः सुतकानुसारिणआ-पेश्यन्ते । समुचितप्रत्युत्तराणि देशिय्छात्रैः ऋमशः पुनदय्चारणीयानि ।

एवं वैयक्तिकवाक्यानां पर्याप्तं मीखिकावर्तनं भाषासंस्कारहदःवाय सम्प्र-परिच्छेदस्य साधुवाचनाय च कल्पते ।

४ पुनर्वाचनम् । प्रश्लोत्तरसङ्कृतेऽर्थविश्वदीकरणे समाप्तेऽधिगतज्ञानस्य सङ्कलनाय च्छात्रचेतः सु रियरीकरणाय चाध्यापकेन पुनर्यथापूर्वमेवाधीतमाणे वाचनीयः । आवश्यकं चेद्ध्यापकेन च्छात्रैः साकं
पुनरि साङ्धिकवाचनं कार्यम् । तदनन्तरं प्रत्येकं छात्रेण सक्ताध्येतृणां
पुरत आगत्य समधीतपाठांशः सुरपष्टं वाचनीयः । अत्रात्यच्छात्रैवांचनप्रमादपरिमार्जनं कर्तव्यं साध्चारणाभ्यासाय । पाठस्य मुहुमुंहुद्धैवांचनं
यावन्मात्रं विद्यार्थिभिः क्रियेत तावन्मात्रं तैर्माषाऽयोत्रपूर्वं स्वायचीकृता
स्यात् । अपि च गीवांणवाण्याः श्रुतिमुखदं पदलालित्यमर्थगौरवं व्यञ्जकत्वं
च तदेव प्रतीयन्ते यदाऽस्खलितव्यक्तवर्णेचारयुतं प्रोचेवांचनमारम्यते ।
अतश्चित्रवेश्वकण्ठवाचनाम्यासो यथाकमं परिवर्धितव्य इत्यत्र विषये
सविश्वेशोद्यमोऽध्यापकेरनुष्टेयः ।

५ संयोजनम्। अधिगतशानस्य ध्ववत्वापादनाय तस्यान्यस्मिन् व्यतिकरे संयोजनमपि विधीयते। तद्ये पाठगतमाधाविशेषानवरुम्ब स्छात्राभ्यासार्थे गद्यसमस्यापूरणात्मका अन्ये च भाषाव्यवसायाः (Language Exercises) उपकल्प्यन्ते, ये नास सङ्क्षेपेणापि वर्णयितुं पार्यन्ते।

इयमेव सामान्यतो वाद्ययाच्यापनस्य रीतिः। अस संवादपद्धतिः कथनपद्धतिरनुवादपद्धतिशेत्येताः सकला क्षेपि यथाप्रसङ्घं यथास्यकं च कथं प्रयुक्यन्ते तथाऽन्यिता।भिधानाभिद्दितान्वयसमुख्यपूर्वकं खण्डान्वयपद्धतिः कथमवलम्बय इति सम्यग्द्धशं स्थात्। सृष्टिवर्णनाच्यापनमिव कथासंवाद-काव्याद्यच्यापनमपि प्रागुक्तरीत्यैव विधातन्यम्। तस्तद्भिये भेदास्तावद्भ उपस्थाप्यन्ते—

कथाध्यापनम् । १ कथाध्यापनेऽपि समुचितविषयप्रस्तावरूपेण कथान्तर्गतापरिचितवदानां परिचयः कार्यः । २ पश्चादध्येष्यमाणा समप्रकथा गुक्रणा सामिनयं काकुविरामपुरस्तरं च गैवांण्यां कथनीया। एताहश्चं कथाकथनं वाचनमात्रासुतरां परिणामावहम् । ३ अनन्तरं अवणमात्रेणैव च्छात्रैः कथा कियदंशेनावगतेत्याकलयितं शिक्षकेण कथायाः पिण्डितार्थे-मुद्दिय त्रिचतुराः स्थूलप्रकाः प्रष्टव्याः । ४ तदनु पुस्तकस्था सैव कथा कथांशो वा सुरमाध्ययनार्थमुपरिवर्णितरीत्या वाचनीयः शिक्षकेण । ५ अवशिष्टं सुरमप्रश्रविवरणादिकं तु पूर्वोक्तरीत्यैव विधेयम् ।

नाट्यसंवादाध्यापनम् । (१) अपरिचितशब्दपरिचयरूपेण स्छात्रानुमवाश्रविप्रश्रपतिवचनरूपेण वा प्रस्तावः कार्यः मातृमावायां संस्कृते वा । (१) शिक्षककृतं परिणामावहमभिनयपुक्तं च द्विवांचनम् । प्रथमवाचनसमये छात्रैः स्वीयपुस्तकान्यनुद्धाट्येव केवलं श्रोतव्यम् । (३) प्रश्नविवरणानुवादादिविधानं संवादगतरचनाविश्रेषाणां सोदाहरण-मध्यापनं च । (४) साङ्घिकं वाचनम् । (५) छात्रविहितं साभिनयं संवाद-वाचनम् । नाटकस्थाः संवादाः प्राय एवमेवाध्यापनीयाः ।

काव्याध्यापनम्।

सम्प्रतितनं काव्याध्ययनम्।

आङ्ग्लपाठशालामु भूपान् कालस्तिङन्तरूपसाधनिकायामन्येषां
निध्ययोजनन्याकरणविभागानामध्यापने शुक्कत्पटने च व्यतीयते। वर्षचद्धष्टयपरिमित आङ्ग्लपाठशालागतसंस्कृताध्ययने प्राप्तो वर्षत्रयं यावत् प्राधाः
न्येन व्याकरणाध्ययनं व्याकरणोदाहरणपराणां जुटितवाक्यानामाङ्ग्लानुवादः
क्षेति परिपाटी पूर्वे प्रचलिताऽऽसीत्। बाङ्मयाध्ययनं प्रास्त्यत तृतीये वर्षे,
यदा पञ्चतन्त्रहितोपदेशादिगद्यग्रन्यानां केचिदंशा रघुवंशसहश्यकाव्यग्रन्यानां
कक्षित्सर्गक्षेति बाङ्मयमध्येतव्यमासीत्। प्रारम्भे कानिचित्सुमापितान्यप्यध्याप्यन्त, किन्तु तानि प्रायो नीत्युपदेशमात्रपराणि, न तु रसमावोद्बोधकानि।
आङ्ग्लपाठशालागतस्यैतद्वाङ्मयाध्ययनस्यापर्यातत्वादध्येतारः पूर्वे बहिः

(数) धंनि-

,दिवासमय

,व्याङ्ग्लमापा-

उद्योगामध्य रेपत्या

पाठशालायाः केषाञ्चिन्छान्त्रिणां सविधे वाङ्मयाध्ययननिरता अभवन्। अतः पूर्वतनाध्येतृणां संस्कृतनैपुण्यसिद्धावाङ्ग्डपाठशाळीयाच्यापनपद्वतेरस्पीया-नेवांश इति सुविशातं भवेत् । इदानीमियं परिपाटी किञ्चत्परिवर्तनमापना विद्यते । यथा-आङ्ग्डभाषामाध्यमं विद्याय मातृभाषामाध्यमं संस्कृताध्ययने प्रयुज्यते, नृटितवाक्यस्थले च प्ररोचककथासुभाषितप्रस्तावी प्रारम्भत एव कियते । किन्तु वाङ्मयाध्ययनापेक्षया व्याकरणाध्यापन एव गरीयानामहो विद्यतेऽद्याप्यतृश्हष्टीनामध्यापकानाम्। वाङ्मयवोधाय सद्. व्याकरणशानमनिवायं तस्माद्व्यविके निष्प्रयोजनव्याकरणपाठनेऽद्यापि काल. यापनं विधीयते। एतःपरिपाटीपलं त्विदं यत्रिध्ययोजनव्याकरणपठन-गुरुमाराद्, बाङ्मयबोधायातिमात्रमपेत्रितं परिमितव्याकरणज्ञानमपि न स्थिरीभवति च्छात्रचेतःसु । एतावानाङ्ग्लपाठशालाध्यापकानां समादरः संस्कृतवाङ्मयाध्यापने, किमृत रसविवृतिपूर्णे काव्याध्यापने । गीवांगमापाया निसर्गमाधुर्यमर्थगीरवं विविधरसभावसम्प्लुतत्वं च कदा ज्ञातस्यान्यध्येतु-भिस्तेयां संस्कृताध्ययने वा कदा दिन: सञ्जनितन्या, यदा तेयां भूरिकालं बाबद्वाङ्मयेऽनधिगम्यमानानां व्याकरणरूपाणामेव पाठनं प्रचलेत् । अतो बाङ्मयबोधोपकारि तिङन्तस्थूलज्ञानसहितं सुवन्तकारकसमास-त्रद्धित-कृद्न्तादि परिभितं व्याकरणं छात्रवुद्धौ स्थिरीकृत्यानतिकान्त एव काले प्रथमं गद्यवाङ्मयाध्ययनं तदुत्तरं च काव्याध्ययनमारव्यवम् । सम्प्रति बदस्यीयः काव्यपाठनमाङ्ग्लपाठशास्त्रासु भवति तस्मिस्तु रसप्रहणापेक्षया माधावत्रोधमात्रदृष्टिरेवाधिकतरं पुरस्कियते।

सुक्ष्मच्याकरणज्ञान।धिगमस्य परिणते वयस्येव सुशकत्वादाङ्ग्ला विद्यालयेध्वेव लघु-मध्य-कीमुद्यादिद्वारा व्याकरणाध्ययनं पाठ्यकमे वेशमईति । किन्तु तत्र व्याकरणाधीतेरत्यन्तामावे काव्यनाटका मात्राध्ययनं प्रवर्तते । आङ्ग्लमहाविद्यालयेषु तु सर्वत्र' माध्यममेव संस्कृताध्यापने प्रमुज्यते, येन परिणतः संस्कृतरीकावाचनापेक्षयाऽऽङ्ग्लानुवाद एव भूयसी व प्रविधिति नैस्मिक्मेव। अल तु लामोऽयं यदाङ्ग्लभाषागतानां काव्यसमीः जाबास्त्र न्यांनामध्ययनेः भावसङ्कलनप्रधानाया (Synthetic) इच्टे ध्यांपकलं वेशवं च च्छात्रे विगम्येते, ययोदपयोजनं संस्कृतकान्याध्य .बनेडिं विवार्त शक्यते । शा

स्तेषा यद्धीतिनां संस्कृतभाषाज्ञानं स्तोकमात्रमवशिष्यते, तेषां पळवज्ञाहि-पाण्डित्ये विचर्वधेते च ।

प्रयोजनम्।

काव्याध्यापने न केवलं पदकारकज्ञानं पर्याप्तम् । पदकारकज्ञानेन तात्पर्यार्थावगतिः सम्यन्वाच्यार्थवोषो वा गद्यस्यैव प्रयोजनम् । अतो विपुत्तमद्याध्ययनानन्तरं काव्याध्ययनं महते हिताय कल्पेत । 'वाक्यं रसात्मकं काव्यम् ' इति काव्यक्ष्यणमधिकृत्य न केवलं तात्पर्यार्थायगमो बाच्यार्थबोधो वा, अपि तु व्यङ्ग्यार्थस्चनं नवरसाभिव्यञ्जनं चोमाभ्यामपि " सद्यापरनिवृतिः " इत्येव काव्याध्ययनाध्यापनयोः प्रमुखं प्रयोजनम् । या कस्पनाशक्तिर्मनुबमात्रेऽन्तर्हिता कविजने चोद्रेकेणामिव्यक्ता तस्याः कवि-बचोभिरेबोहोधनं, नवनबोन्मेपशालित्या प्रतिभया प्रसादास्पदीकृतस्य कविविद्ञुमस्य भावसङ्कल्पपक्षाबारुद्याजलोकप्रमामासुरेऽन्तरिक्षे सञ्चारः -यया, सीतारामान्यां सह व्योमयानमध्यास्थोदधिमेखलालङ्कृतां लङ्का परित्यच्यायोध्यानगरी गन्तच्या, रामगिर्याश्रमान्मेवदृतेन सह वा विहासि सञ्जर्भ यक्षेत्रराणां वसतिरलका गन्तल्या च विविधान् चिचचक्ष्ट्रयाना-बोकानवलोकपद्भी रसत्रजनैरिति सकलमौलिभूतं प्रयोजनं काव्यस्य। काव्यस्य नीत्युपदेशपरं प्रयोजनं यद्यप्युररीकृतं मम्मटेन, तथापि तत् 'कान्तासम्म-ततया ' एव, न तु प्राधान्येनेति समवबुद्धा काव्याध्यापने रसाभिव्यञ्जनपरैः सद्यःपरनिवंती चैकाग्रदृष्टिभिः काव्याध्यापकैभीव्यम् ।

पद्यकाव्ययोर्भेदः।

अत एव मर्मतैः संस्कृतसाहित्यमीमांसकैः काव्यक्षदो स्स्हिष्टि पुर-स्कृत्यैव प्रयुक्षते । तद्दृष्ट्या गर्थ पद्यं चेत्युमेऽपि स्सप्ताधान्यात्काव्यकोटि-मित्रोहतः, न केवळ पद्यमेव काव्यव्यवच्छेदकम् । पद्यतुन्दिलेऽपि संस्कृत-बाङ्मये तत्त्वचिन्तापरा बाच्यार्थकयनबहुला बहुवदछन्दोबद्धा प्रत्या सस-वेकस्यात्काव्यपदवी नाहंन्तीति पूर्वतनसाहित्यविदामेव सिद्धान्तः । अन्यथा प्राक्तने काले बाणमहकृती कादम्बरीहर्षचरितप्रन्थी, दण्डिनो दशकुमार-चरितं, सुबन्धोवांसवदत्ता चावांचीने कालेऽभिक्षादत्तव्यासविरिचतः शिवराजविजय इत्येते प्रत्या गद्यवसनं परिद्धाना अपि भूयःपरिमाणेन काव्यरससम्भूताः कथं भवेषुः ।।

कीदृशानि काव्यान्यध्यापनीयानि ।

नीत्युपदेशः काव्याध्ययनस्य गौणं प्रयोजनमिति विज्ञाय रीत्युपदेशप्रधानानि सुभाषितानि नादावध्यापनीयानि । नीत्युपदेशपराणि सुभाषितान्येव काव्यमित्यन्ययाग्रहः परिवर्जनीयो मग्मटमतानुसारेण । सुभाषितरतन्माण्डागारस्थानि पशुलगाद्यन्योक्तिपराणि, 'उष्ट्राणां च ग्रहे लग्नम् 'इति परिहासविनोदपूर्णानि, सुपुत्रज्ञपुत्रादिविपयैकावल्म्बीनि ह्योपमाहष्टान्ता-दिमिः प्रपूरितानि सुवीधसुभाषितान्येव प्रारम्भ अध्ययनाहाणि। तदनन्तरं रख्क्मारिकातादिपञ्चमहाकाव्याध्ययनात्प्राक् तेम्योऽपि सुगमतराणि नैस्रिक-कवित्वेनोद्रासमानानि, अपाणिनीयप्रयोगवाहुल्याच्छाक्षिमिर्गजनिमीलिक-या हरयमानान्यपि प्रथितयशसां महाकवीनां स्कृतिप्रदानि रामायणमहाभारत-गतानि विविधरसपरिष्ठतप्रसङ्गवर्णनानि विभिन्नतुंमनोहरहरयवर्णनानि चादावेवाभ्यासमहानिति । किन्तु रामायणमहाभारतस्था वृत्तान्तकथनमात्रपरा-रक्त्योरुपेणावतीर्णां अपि काव्यरसवेरस्थाद् गद्यसहशा विभागा नातुशीकन-योग्याः । पञ्चलानेन्द्रयगाह्यहरपानां वर्णनेन सुमनोहरकल्यनामिश्च चित्त-यशुर्माह्याह्यस्यान् वर्णनेन सुमनोहरकल्यनामिश्च चित्त-यशुर्माह्यस्याह्यस्यान् वर्णनेन सुमनोहरकल्यनामिश्च चित्त-यशुर्माह्यस्याह्यस्यानं वर्णनेन सुमनोहरकल्यनामिश्च चित्त-यशुर्माह्यस्याह्यस्यान्यस्यानं क्रियमायत्यस्य भ्रेयान्।

अध्यापनाधिकारः।

न केवलमरिकत्वेऽपि परीक्षोत्तरणार्थमेव साहित्यशास्त्राध्ययनं शुष्ककाष्ठमेदनमिवानुतिष्ठन्तः काव्याध्यापनेऽधिकारिणः। नैसर्गिकसहृद्यत्वेन बीविते सृष्टी च प्रतिप्रसङ्गं प्रतिश्यलं काव्यं परयन्तस्तद्वसं चाकण्ठं निपीयाऽऽवन्दस्य परां कोटि गच्छन्तः, सारिवकमावैस्तं बहिरनुमावयन्तः, काव्यं जीवितपयोनिधिमिथितं नवनीतमेवेत्याकलव्यं प्रत्यगतेऽपि काव्यरसेऽ-सुलां निर्वृतिमनुभवन्तः, काव्यरसामिव्यञ्चने साहित्यशास्त्रं साधनमात्रमिति यायार्थ्यमवसुद्धसं सहृद्यतया तच्छास्त्राध्ययननिरता एव जनाः काव्या-ध्यापनेऽधिकारिणः। अन्येपामध्यापनं वाच्यार्थमात्रबोधनाय शुष्कपर्या-स्रोचनाय कर्येत, न द्व रसोस्कर्षयः। प्रस्तावः। छालाणां परिचितानुभवमधिष्ठाय तत्कस्पनाशकिप्रतिबो-धननकैः प्रश्नैः प्रस्तावः कार्यः। शक्यं चरप्रस्त्पमानिषयसन्दर्भानु-सरिणवाध्येष्यमाणकाव्यगतानां केषाञ्चिदपरिचितपदानामयः सुवोधपयाय-विश्वदीकर्तव्यः। छात्रैर्शातपूर्वाणां विशिष्टपर्यायाणां तम्यो निष्कासनादनन्तर-मेव तत्समानार्थानि करोकरियतान्यपरिचितपदानि तैः पर्यायैः संयोज्य प्रवक्तव्यानि फलके लेखनीयानि चेत्ययमत्र क्रमः। किन्तु नृतनपद्परिचय-काले न कथमपि काव्यकेन्द्रवर्तिनी कस्पना सूचनीया। व्यन्यथा प्रस्ताव-पदस्य योगिकोऽर्थः परिवर्ततः। प्रौदावस्थायां साङ्घिकावर्तनं चित्रोपयोगो वा नापेष्ठयते। चित्रोपयोगः काव्यशब्देश्यस्यमाने जङ्गिकातिशायिनि मनश्चित्रे व्यावातं जनयति। तस्माश्चित्रोपयोगः प्रौदावस्थायां विश्वेषतः काव्याध्यापने परिवर्जनीयः।

वाचनम्। समुचितप्रस्तावानन्तरं पाठपमानकाव्यांग्रस्य सन्दर्मप्रसङ्कादिकथनमुखेन लय-स्वरसङ्क्रम-विरामादियोजनया भावानुगुणे
विश्वं वाचनं, यथाश्ववं सुस्वरं चाध्यापकेन कर्तव्यम्। शास्त्रिप्रवराणो
हरिकीर्तनकराणां पुराणप्रववन्तुणां च श्रुतिमनोहरा स्ठोकपठनपद्धतिरव प्राह्मा।
पुस्तकमाश्रित्य काव्यवाचनित्ययं सम्प्रतितनानामध्यापकानां निकृष्टः
पन्याः। अध्याप्यमानं काव्यं कण्ठस्यं चेत्तत्यठनं स्विशेषं परिणामावद्दं
मवति। प्राचीनसम्प्रदायिनः शास्त्रिवरेण्या विपुत्रपद्धपद्धानां कण्ठरातत्वानम्रखपठनमानेणापि काव्याध्यापनेऽस्क्कर्माणाः। अत एव तेषां पठनं प्रत्यबन्धनं समुत्वायं लय-काकु-विरामादिभिरनिर्वन्धं रसभावाभिध्यक्षकं भवति।
अधुनातनाध्यापकेरपीयभेव सर्णिरनुसर्तव्या। वाचनं पठनं वा वयावस्यं
दिक्षित्रां कार्यम्। तत्परिणामावदं चेदस्शयं काव्यस्थुलार्थमतीति जनयति।

अध्यापकस्य सुमनोहरा वाचनपद्धतिरविवादं छात्राणामनुकरणबुद्धिः प्रवोध्य तानिष सम्यग्वाचनपटून् विद्धाति । अतः काव्याध्यापनाध्याग-व्यापकेन ग्रहे स्वयं काव्यवाचनाभ्यासः कार्यः । समझकाव्याश्यपठनं सामान्यतोऽर्थप्रतीतिकारिण्या गत्या समं अधस्मन्यतं भवतु, येन काव्याश्च-रुछात्रैरिकातार्थः स्वात् । काव्यगतः स्थायिभावो स्थमिचारिभावसनायो बोपकारिणा ' 'अपंणाख्य '-नाद्घमण कथं प्रकाशनीयः, पाठ्यमानकाव्ये विशिष्टपदवावयाशाः हछात्रसम्बद्धानवन्तर्नार्थं कथं 'विसर्गपुरस्सरं (वर्णावात-पूर्वक) सुविशदसुक्षारणीयाः, काञ्यपङ्क्तावसमासायामप्यर्थावसानानुसरण मध्येऽपि कथं 'विरामानुबन्धी प्रदर्शनीयी, विविधमावानुगुणं कण्ठे कथं 'दीरनप्रशमने सम्पादनीये, भावपरिवर्तनानुरोधेन कथं स्वरेण काङ्गरं मिन्यङ्क्तव्या, उच्चदीसमन्द्रनीचहुतविल्धितादयः पाठ्यालङ्काराः समुः चितस्योक्षनेन भावव्यक्तये कथमुपयोजनीया इत्यादि क्रस्तमपि भारतीयनाव्यशाल्यस्यानुरोधेन पूर्वे सम्पाद्यस्य प्रकृत्यस्य प्रकृत्यस्यासोऽन्थ्याक्षन रहे कार्यः, काञ्याध्यापनकाले छात्राणां पुरतः सकलं च तदानिकरणीयं, थेन तेषां काञ्यस्यूलार्थप्रतीतिः स्यात्, काञ्यवृत्तचाकता यमकानुप्रासादिशब्दालङ्काराश्च च्छात्रहृदयसन्तपंकाः स्युः।

स्थूलप्रश्नाः । छात्राणां काव्यस्यूलार्यप्रतीति परीक्षितुं द्विताः प्रश्नाः प्रष्टक्याः, यैभिविक्तः लोकत्वितः समग्रकाव्यगतो मुख्याभिप्रायोऽभिव्यक्तः स्मात्, व्यभिचारिभावसङ्कृत एकत्वपर्यवसायी स्यायिभावस्कात्रचित्तेषु सुत्थिरश्च भवेत् । मुख्याभिप्रायपरिज्ञानभेवाध्येतृन् काव्यस्य सुक्ष्माध्ययने प्रवतंपति ।

सूद्माध्ययनम् । स्थ्माध्ययनार्थे खण्डान्वयपद्धता रसमावपूर्णे-नान्तः करणेन प्रश्नविवरणादिकमध्यापकेन प्रचालनीयम् । 'रमणीयार्थप्रति-पादकः सब्दः काब्यम्' इति पण्डितराजजगन्नाथोत्त्यनुतारं रमणीयार्थे

१ अपेणं नाम बीवावर्णस्वरेणापूरयदिव रङ्गं यत्पठ्यते (मा. नाट्यशास्त्रम्) । २ विसर्गो नाम वाक्यन्यासः (मा. नाट्यशास्त्रम्) ।

३ अर्थसमाप्ती कार्यवद्यान च्छन्दोवद्याद् इदयन्ते हि एकद्वित्रिचतुरस्वरा विरामाः, 'पदवर्णसमासे च '। 'समीक्ष्य वृत्ते कर्तव्यो विरामो रसमावतः। ते विरामाः स्मृताः काव्ये वृत्तपादसमुद्भवाः ॥ उत्कम्यापि कमं तव्हैः कार्याक्षेऽर्यवद्यासस्तरा ॥ अनुबन्धः पदान्तरेष्विच्छेदानुच्छ्वासनम् ॥ ४ दीपनं नाम त्रिस्थानशोमि वर्षमानस्वरञ्ज । प्रश्नमनं नाम तारं गतानां स्वराणामवैरवर्षणावतरणमिति ॥ (भा, ना. शास्त्रम्) व्यक्त्यार्थे वा प्रतिपाद्यितुमर्थानुसारिणः शब्दाः कथं काव्ये प्रयुक्ताः इति शब्दार्थोमयदृष्टिमाश्रित्य व्हानाणां करपनाशक्ति प्रस्तोरयन्तो रसमावोद्वोधकाः प्रशा विरचनीयाः, न पदकारकवोधमात्रपराः। काव्य-रसप्रदृणं पदकारकवोधमवलम्बत एवं, किन्तु विपुलगद्याध्ययनेन व्हानदृद्येषु परिपाकं प्राप्त एव कारकशाने काव्याध्ययनमारम्भणीयम्। अस्मिन्न-वसरेऽवेखितमपि तव्यानं काव्यास्प्रदृणापेखया गीणत्वं भजते। कारकवोधः काव्याध्ययने रसमावकरपनादिकमग्रे प्रस्थाप्येव स्वयं साधनमूतः सन् कृतार्थीभवति। अतः प्रश्नविवरणादिकं प्राधान्येन रसमावकरपनाभिव्यक्षकं यया परिपाट्या भवेत्सेव काव्याध्यापनेऽङ्गीकर्तव्या।

धास्त्रिवेरण्यानां काव्याध्यापनशैली प्रायो रसोद्वाहिनी, यतस्तेषां प्रगादवाङ्मयाध्ययनं मनःसमाधिमाधायोजतामिविष्युत्पाद्य च तांस्तादाः स्मोत्साहसम्प्रज्ञानेवाध्यापनकर्मणि नियोजयति। विपुलवाङ्मयास्वादज्ञनितः मध्यापकगतं तादात्म्यमध्येनृष्वपि प्रतिफल्लितं भूत्वा तेषां वाङ्मयामिक् सर्चि संवर्धयति। लोकशास्त्रकाव्याद्यवेद्यणाङ्कव्या निपुणता काव्यन्नशिक्षयाः प्रभासक्ष शक्तिसहकृतौ यथा कवेः काव्योज्ञवे तथा व्याख्याद्वरपि सरस्वपाख्यानकौशले हेतुः। 'कियासिदिः सत्वे भवति महतां नोपकरणे' इत्युक्त्यनुः सोरण रसविदो व्याख्याद्वर्मोहात्म्यमेवात्र हेतुः, रीतिरिक्षिक्षत्करेति यद्यपि सत्यं,तथाप्यावेदनरीतिहानं प्रज्ञावतोऽख्यापकस्योपोद्दलकं,न द्वहानिकरमिति—

शिष्टा किया कस्यचिदात्मसंस्था सङ्क्रान्तिरन्यस्य विश्वेषयुक्ता। यस्योभयं साधु स शिक्षकाणां धुरि प्रतिष्ठापयितस्य एव॥

इत्येतस्मात्कालिदासवचनात्विध्यति । पूर्वतनानां शास्त्रिवराणां विततं हानं संस्कृतिसूचकानां विविधयौराणिकसन्दर्भाणां प्रपूरणे पर्यातम्। मनो-विनोदनपराणां कथादृष्टान्तादीनां प्रकृष्टावगाहनवशात्तेषां काव्यविवरणोपयुक्तं कथनकोश्रलमपि च्छात्रानमन्त्रपुरधानिव चित्रविन्यस्तानिव करोति । अत-स्तेषां काव्यपाठनमतितरां निवृतिमाद्धद्ध्येतृद्धद्येषु स्मृतिसाहचर्योत्पादनेन चिरसंस्कारकरं भवति । आधुनिकमनोविज्ञानानवगतावपि ते मनोविज्ञाना-धिष्ठितान्येवाध्यापनतस्वान्ययोधपूर्वमेव केषुचित्स्यलेषु परिपालयन्तीत्यक्र विषये प्रत्यक्षविद एव प्रमाणम्।

किन्तु तेपामध्यापनिमदानीन्तने काळे कथनैकपरिपाटीमेवावळम्बते, न तु प्रश्लोत्तरपरिपाटीम्।तैः कथनप्रधानां दण्डान्वयपद्धति हित्वाऽऽधुनिक-संवादपद्धतेः साधम्यमापन्ना प्राच्यैरेबोद्भाविता प्रश्लोत्तरप्रधाना खण्डान्वय-पद्धतिरच्यापने समाश्रयितव्येति साधीयान् पन्याः। यतो दण्डान्वयपद्धते-मौतिकाध्यापनेऽनुसरणं—

पदच्छेदः पदार्थोक्तिर्विमहो वाक्ययोजना । आक्षेपोऽय समाधानं व्याख्यानं पद्धस्रक्षणम् ॥

इति रीत्या यद्यपि सम्यन्बोधाय कल्पते, तथापि तत् पदच्छेदकरां समास-विम्रहादिपरा कर्तुकर्मिकियाद्यस्यस्यिष्ठा व्याकरणदृष्टिमेवामे निधाय काव्य-रसशोपण एव पर्यवस्यति । काव्याच्यापने खण्डान्वयपद्धत्या विरचिताः प्रश्नास्तु कर्तृकर्मादिव्याकरणसंज्ञानुकारेऽपि 'किमो रूपं पुरस्कृत्य' द्रव्य-गुणजातिकियादिवाचका विधातुं शक्यन्ते, येन प्रश्नसंस्चितद्रव्यगुणकियादि-द्वारेव बाक्यगतपदानां कर्तृकर्मक्रियाबोधश्छात्रमनसु स्वयमेवाविभूय, बोध. प्ररोचनपूर्वकप्रत्युत्तरदाने तन्मति नियुष्य च शनैः शनैः काव्यरसं समु-छासयतीति प्रयोगनिपुणानामध्यापकानामनुभूतिः । अतः खण्डान्वयपद्धति-ने केवलं पदकारकवोधाय, अपि तु पदान्तर्हितल्यञ्जनासंवेदनाय रसोहोधाय च कल्पते । काव्याध्ययने पदकारकवोधो रसास्वादश्चान्योन्यावलिकनो सन्तौ सम्बाटमेव प्रतीतिविषयत्वं गच्छतः, शब्दार्थयोनित्यसाहचर्यात् । तस्मा-न्मीमांसकरीत्याऽऽदी क्रियावाचकपदं गृहीत्वैवाऽऽकाङ्खायोग्यतासिवि-बकेन तत्सम्बद्धानि कर्तुकर्मादियाचकपदानि तत्तिद्विशेषणानि क्रियाविशेषणा-दीनि च यथोत्तरं छात्रेन्यो निष्कासनीयानि, तेषा समुचितविवरणं च रसदृष्ट्या कार्यम् । संस्कृतवाक्ये द्व प्रायो गुणवाचकपद्प्राचुर्योन्मुहुर्नुहुः पृष्टाः ' कीट्य '-शब्दनिवद्धाः प्रश्नारछात्राणां शिरोवेदनां जनयिष्यन्तीत्यतः ' कीइश '-शब्दस्थाने 'किम्भूत-कथम्भूत-किविशिष्ट-किलक्षण'-इत्यादीनि पदानि प्रश्रेषु यथारुचि प्रयोक्तब्यानि । प्रदीर्धसमासस्थलेष्वपि सण्डान्वयः मुखेन चतुरप्रभविधानेन पदविषद्वपूर्वकं तत्तत्पदानां धमुचिवसुबोधपयीयाः कथपितुं शक्यन्ते । विशेषतीऽत्र शातव्यं यत्काव्याध्यापने विच्छेदकदृष्टेर-वेखवा भावेकत्वपर्यवसायिनी सङ्कळनदृष्टिरेव रससम्पदं वर्षयतितरामित्य-

नुभविष्ठितः विदान्तः । वैयक्तिकः छोकस्य रसाङङ्कारविवरणात्मप्रम्यः काल्यपरिच्छेदस्य सङ्किलतं वेदन्ते व विचरतरम् । अतं एव मुख्याभिप्रायं रसहिष्टं च प्रमुखीकृत्य शब्दार्थयोः समुचितसाइचर्यविषये प्रश्नविवरणादिकः मपेश्यते । तद्यमेव शब्दस्यशैक्षपरसगन्वसंवेदनं काव्यगतपदस्चनेन पुनव-द्रावयन्तिश्चलक्ष्याचेत्राया हश्यसन्तिकःपादने समर्थाक्ष प्रश्ना विरचन्त्रीयाः । भवतु नाम प्रश्नानां कार्यकारणवीवकं तकीनुसारित्वं, किन्तु तकीऽन काव्यकत्यनावश्य एवं रससाहाव्यमाचरन् काव्यकीन्दर्याभिव्यव्यनाय भवतु, न तु रसविवाताय ।

काव्याध्यापने न केवलं प्रश्नाः प्रष्टव्याः, किन्तु कथनपरिपाटीमाश्रित्य क्रयकाकु विरामाद्यलङ्कतेन संस्कृतभाषणेन होत्साई रसभावकल्पनाप्रति-दोधकरं मनश्चित्रमालाजनकं विवरणमपि कार्यम् । प्रश्नप्राञ्जयं काव्यविच्छेदने पर्यवस्यद्रसोत्कपं प्रतिबद्धाति । कथनातिरेकस्तु कविवचनसौन्दर्यं निह्नुत्य च्छात्राणां तन्द्रिलत्वमुत्पादयति । छात्रैः सम्यगवबुद्धायां काव्यकल्पनायां विवरणकथनयोः पौनःपुन्यातिरेकः कदाचिद्रसप्रवाहं स्तम्नाति, कविवचन-वपुषो मूलसीन्दर्ये वाष्योति। अतः 'नामूलं लिख्यते किञ्चिनानपेश्वितमुच्यते ' इति महिद्रनायोक्तिमनुस्य कव्युक्तपदेवदरव्यं यया प्रश्नकथनप्रणाल्या प्रकारपेत सेवाध्यापकेन मौखिकाध्यापनेऽङ्गीकार्या । कथनप्रणाली प्रश्नप्रणाली चेत्येतयोः समन्वयेन कविवचनं पुरस्कृत्येव प्रश्नमुखेन विहितं विवरणं यशस्त्रितामाप्नोतीति न कदापि विस्मरणीयम् । अध्याप्यमानकाव्यद्वारा कवेरेव च्छात्रै: साध विश्रम्मालापो भवतु, न स स्वीयमापणाधिक्येना-ध्यापकेन प्रतिष्टम्मितन्य इति सारः । विवरणसमये दुरूहस्थलेषु विशिष्ट-स्थलेषु च पौराणिकसन्दर्भप्रपूरणाय प्रासङ्किकविषयावेदनाय सामान्यज्ञान-संबर्धनाय च मात्मायोपयोगोऽनिर्वन्धं कार्यः, यतस्छात्रसुबोधविदिरेवा-च्यापनस्य परमं प्रयोजनम् ।

शासिको वैयक्तिकरुठोकव्याख्यानं यथा पद्यदार्थविवृतिपुरस्सरं कुर्वन्ति तथा पूर्वोत्तररुठोकयोरन्योन्यसम्बन्धिस्वदेऽपि तयोर्भध्ये टीकामन्थानु-रोधेनावतरणिकामुपन्यस्यन्ति । एषाऽवतरिकोत्तररुठोकाभिप्रायं संसूच्य तक्षिपेयं विशासो चोत्याप्य रुठोकरूपाणां विविधानयवानामन्योन्यसङ्घटनेन समुचितिवन्यासं साधयन्ती चित्तचक्षुपः पुरतोऽलण्डार्थरूपं रस्वपुः संस्थापयति । एतदीषद्विच्छेदनसङ्कतमयंसङ्कलनं काव्यरस्थामाहनस्या-तीवोपकुकते । तस्मादेतद्वीकायन्यस्य ग्रास्त्रिमिरनुस्तं चावतरिकापूरण-माधुनिकाध्यापकरप्युरीकर्तव्यम् । आदावध्यापकेन प्रत्येकस्ठोकविवरणारम्मेऽ-वतरिकास्यपन्यस्य पूर्वोत्तरस्रोकयोः सम्बन्धः सङ्घटनीयः, तदनन्तर-मध्याप्यमानस्रोकस्य प्रश्चविवरणसमात्ती तं स्ठोकं पुनरप्ययप्रतीतिस्यैपीय वाचित्वा तदुत्तरस्र्ठोकावतरिका प्रस्याप्या, तदनु पुनर्विवरणमारम्भणीय-मिति सामान्यः पन्धाः । सुख्यामिप्रायमनुष्य काव्यपरिच्छेदगतानां विविक्तस्रोकानामुपरिवणितमध्यापनमेव सुकुमारमतीनामध्यतृणां समीचीन-बोधकरं भवति ।

कवेः करपनावैभवं वर्णनचातुर्ये रसप्रकर्णाऽलङ्कारयोजना पदलालित्यमिति विविध्दर्शरङ्कीकृत्य स एव काव्यपरिच्छेदः पुनः पुनरप्यच्येतुं
ध्रव्यते । अस्मिन् व्यतिकरे काव्यपरिच्छेदरियतानां न्छोकानां पूर्वापरक्षमं
परिवर्ण्यं तां तामुपरिदर्शितदृष्टिमनुक्ष्येव यद्यद् वस्तु परिच्छेदे लम्यते,
तस्य तस्य सङ्कलनाय प्रश्नविवरणाद्याश्रीयते। एवं कल्पनावैभवदृष्ट्या
वर्णनचातुर्यदृष्ट्या या सञ्जाते प्रश्नविवरणादौ, रसप्रकर्षविषयिण्या पदलालियसम्बन्धिन्या वाऽन्यदृष्ट्या प्रश्नविवरणादिभः समप्रपरिच्छेदेऽविगस्यमानानि वस्त्नि सङ्कल्पन्ते । इत्यं प्रभिन्नदृष्ट्यवलम्बेन स एव काव्यपरिच्छेदस्तचिद्विधिष्टवस्त्पल्य्वये मृहुमुहुद्वलात्रैर्वाचनीयः । एतादृशे काव्याध्ययने सङ्कल्पनदृष्टिरेव प्रधानपदं लमतः परमनिवगतकाव्यायानामनधीतकतिपयकाव्यानां मुकुमारमतीनां लात्राणामियं पद्धतिनोपकारिणी भवेत् ।
अम्यस्तपर्यापकाव्याः परिणतमतय एवं शिष्या इँदशास्ययनकर्मणः सक्ताः
सन्तो महान्त लाममावदेयः । तस्माकिञ्चलक्तालं क्ष्रोककमानुसारेणैव काव्यमध्याप्य सञ्चातपर्याप्ताम्यासानामेव च्छात्राणामध्यापनेऽयं पन्याः
समाश्रयणियः ।

खळङ्कारङ्कानम्। प्रारम्भिकात्यापने वृत्तालङ्कारहानं नापेक्यते, किन्द्र च्छात्राणां प्रीटावस्थायां काव्यविवरणप्रसङ्कतस्तद्यथावकाशं स्तोकमात्रं वितीर्य शनै: शनै: परिवर्षनीयम्। उपमाऽनन्वयक्षपक्रान्तिमदपह्तुत्युत्प्रेकाः हण्टान्तव्यितिरेकाप्रस्तुतप्रशंसाक्षेत्रार्थान्तरन्यासादयः केचिहिशिष्टालङ्कारा अध्याप्यमानकाव्यसन्दर्भानुरोधेनैव बोधनीयाः। उदाहरणभृतक्षोकस्यमुप-मानोपमेयवाचकपदानां साहर्यं तुस्यकश्चत्वं वा समुचितप्रश्चैरछात्रेभ्यो निष्कास्य, उपमेयपदान्युपमानपदानि च स्तम्भद्वये समानपङ्कावेव फलके विलिख्य साधम्ये विश्वदीकर्तव्यम्। एवं विपुलोदाहरणैः परिचयं प्रापिते साधम्ये केनचित्कालेनालङ्काराभिधानल्क्षणानि क्रमशोऽस्पास्पमानयाः कथितत्यानि। अधिगते चावसरे साधम्यमूलकालङ्कारमेदाः समानोपमानो-प्रमेययोविभिन्नमङ्ग्यैव कथमुत्पद्यन्त इत्यधस्तनोदाहरणैः पृथक्कृते पाठे स्माख्येयम्।

यथा-'मुखं कमलिव'(उपमा), 'मुखं मुखमिव'(अनन्वयः), 'मुखमेव कमलम्' (रूपकम्), 'कमलिति अमरा मुखमनुधावन्ति' (आन्तिमान्), 'इदं कमलं, न मुखम्' (अपहृतुतिः), 'नृतं मुखं कमलं मन्ये' (उत्पेक्षा), 'बले कमलं, स्थले तब मुखम्' (इष्टान्तः), 'मुखं कमलादितिस्थिते' (व्यतिरेकः) इति। स्थालीपुलाकन्यायेन पाठशालायामेतावतैवालङ्कारशानेनालम्। इयमीपदलङ्काराभिक्चिर्महाविद्या-लयेक्षेव समुचितवेलायां काव्यप्रकाशादिग्रन्थगतालङ्काराणां स्क्मान्यासेन इदि प्राप्तुयात्।

वृत्तज्ञानम् । वृत्तवानिषये तावद् वृत्तनामकयनमात्राविकं किमिप काव्यरसम्बद्धणसमये कर्तुमिष्यते, यतो वृत्तव्यक्षणादिविवेचनेन काव्यरसोद्दोषो निरुद्धो मवतीत्यनुभवः । अतः पृथक्तया मातृभाषयेव बहुपयुक्त-वृत्तव्यव्याप्यानि, मातृभाषायामिष संस्कृतवृत्तानां प्रयोगप्रात्तुर्यात् । स्वतन्त्वे पाठे 'यमाताराजभानसक्त्यम् 'इति पङ्क्तेः सामिप्रायत्वं लघुगुरुक्त्रमेण गणपरिगणनोपयुक्तत्वं च विद्यदीकृत्याक्षरसङ्ख्यानुसारेण "अनुष्टुम् आर्या—उपजाति—द्वतविकव्यत्—सुवन्नप्रतिः—स्वतिक्काः—मालिनीः—पृथ्वी—मन्दाक्रान्ता—शिलरिणी—इरिणी—धार्व्विकीश्वित—सम्बरा—"इत्या-दीनां प्रसुरप्रयोगाणां वृत्तानां गणनिक्षपणं छात्रैः कारवितव्यम् ।

वृत्तयोजनाविवरणम् । अर्थानुसारिण्यास्तु वृत्तयोजनाया विवरणं काव्यरसमङ्गकालेऽपि रसाभिक्विवर्धनपुरस्सरं विधातुं शक्यते । यथा- कुमारसम्भवे ' अस्त्युत्तरस्यां दिशि देवतात्मा ' इत्यादिकावेषु गुक्वर्यन् प्राञ्जयेण नगाविरावस्य दिमवतो गुक्त्वविस्तीर्णत्वे कथमभिन्यव्येते, मेघवृते वा मन्दाकान्तावृत्तं यश्चद्वदयस्यं विप्रसम्भवनितं बहिमानं कथं द्योतयतीति विवरणसमेत्या विशिष्टपठनशैल्या ज्ञापनीयम् ।

वया बृत्तस्यार्थानुसारित्वं तथा विशिष्टवर्णसहतेः समुचितार्थस्चकत्वं पदलालित्यं वा सुविशदपटनपूर्वकं प्रदर्शियतुं पार्यते । यथा कुमारसम्मवे—

य द्रप्रयन्कीचकरन्ध्रभागान्द्रीमुखोत्थेन समीरणेन। उद्गास्यतामिच्छति किनराणां तानप्रदायित्वमिवोपगन्तुम्।। इति क्षोके 'पूरवत् ' इत्यस्मात्यदात्पूर्वमुपध्मानीयप्रयोगोऽवशिष्टे भागेऽ-नुनाविकप्रयोगश्च पवनाध्मानं पवनध्वनिमपि कथं सूचयतः, तथा—

क्योडकण्ड् द्र करिभिविनेतुं विघटितानां सरल्डुमाणाम् । यत्र स्वतक्षीरतया प्रम्तस्मान्नि गन्धस्मुरभीकरोति ॥

इत्यत्र ककारटकारपोजिङ्गामूळीयस्य संयुक्तवर्णानां च प्रयोगः करिणां कपोळ-घर्षणे इदत्वं, कण्ड्रसंवेदनाया असहनीयत्वं, द्वितीयपङ्क्ती च रकारो इञ्च-श्वीरस्य क्षटिति बहिर्गमनं, तदुत्तरं सकारो वातप्रेरितं गन्धमसरणं च कथं व्यञ्जयन्तीत्यादिरीत्या कृतस्तमपि वर्णविन्यासवेदग्ध्यं प्रदर्शनीयम्। तथा मेघदते च —

सङ्गीताय प्रहतमुरजाः स्त्रिग्धगम्भीरथोपम्।

इत्यस्यां पङ्की पठ्यमानायां 'सङ्गीताय' इति पदेऽनुस्वारेण मधुरगीतं अतिपयमायातीन, तथा 'प्रइत' इति पदेन सङ्गीतसहकारिणि मृदङ्गे कराधातः, तमनु 'स्निग्धगम्भीरघोषम्' इति पदेन मृदङ्गानिष्यद्यमानो स्वितः भूयत इवेति प्रकटपठनपुरस्थरं प्रदर्शे तत्र न्छात्रावधानं समान्वर्षणीयम्। एवं यमकानुप्रास्युक्तान्यनुक्ररणवाचकानि च पदानि विशेषतोऽ वधानम्हन्ति। तथा च समुचितपद्ययोगपूर्वकं कविः कथं शब्दचित्रमालान्मुत्रपद्ययोगपूर्वकं कविः कथं शब्दचित्रमालान्मुत्रपद्ययोगपूर्वकं कविः कथं शब्दचित्रमालान्मु

पुनवीचनम् । एवं सप्रसविवरणात्म्द्रमाध्ययनादनन्तरं सक्तस्या-व्यचीतकाव्यांशस्य संहतपरिणामनिष्यचेयेऽस्यापकेनादी तदुचैः पठनीयं यथाशक्यं सुस्वरं गेथं वा । तदनु तेन च्छात्रैः सार्थं स एव काव्याशः सङ्बशः पटनीयो गेयो वा, येन काव्यार्थसौरभं स्वरमाधुर्येण सात्रं तेषां समृतिसम्पुटमभिव्याप्य ताननुरणनकर्मणि नियोजयेत्, मृच्छकटिकनायकेन चारदत्तेन समं च –

तं तस्य स्वरसङ्कमं मृदुगिरः ऋष्टं च तन्त्रीस्वनं वर्णानामिष मूर्छनान्तरगतं तारं विरामे मृदुम्। हेळासंयमितं पुनश्च ळळितं रागद्विहवारितं यत्सत्यं विरतेऽपि गीतसमये गच्छामि शृण्वन्निव॥ इति रीत्या तान् सञ्जातसंवेदान् विदश्यात्।

इत्थमेकस्मिन्याठे त्रिचतुराः रुठोकाः समाप्यन्ते । काञ्यपरिच्छेदः प्रदीर्षक्षेत्रस्य यथोचितखण्डान् परिकरूप प्रतिपाठं ते ते खण्डा उपरितन-प्रश्नविवरणरीत्या समाप्याः । आदिमे पाठे समग्रपरिच्छेदस्य स्यूच्योषं विधाय पश्चात्प्रतिपाठं नृतनरुठोकाः पूर्वरुठोकेः सह प्रश्नक्षमन्पूर्वेकं संयोच्याः । एवमविति समग्रकाव्यपरिच्छेदाध्यापनेऽध्यापकः पुनराष्ट्रतिपाठेनाविधिष्टं छात्रवीधवैकर्वं परिहर्ते व्यवस्थेत् ।

नाटकाध्यापनम्।

नाटकस्य दृश्यकाव्यान्तर्भृतत्वात्तद्ध्यापनमि पूर्ववणितरीत्यैक
प्रायः कार्यम् । विशेषस्वयं यन्नाटकस्य स्थाध्ययनात्माम् दृद्यद्वारिण्या
सरण्या नाट्यकयावस्तुपरिचयो मुखतो विचातव्यः, येन ज्ञातपूर्व
नाट्यसंविधानकं छान्नाणामन्तःकरणेषु सामग्न्येण विस्पष्टत्वेन च स्थिरीभूय बोषकचिपुरस्यरे स्थाध्ययने तान् प्रवत्येत् । नाट्यवस्तुकयनमन्तु
प्रतिसमयमध्येयान्नाटकखण्डान् परिकर्प्य ते पृथकपृथक् कथितपूर्वानाट्यप्राविसमयमध्येयान्नाटकखण्डान् परिकर्प्य ते पृथकपृथक् कथितपूर्वानाट्यप्राविसमयमध्येयान्नाटकखण्डान् परिकर्प्य ते पृथकपृथक् कथितपूर्वानाट्यप्राविसमयमध्येयान्नाटकखण्डान् परिकर्प्य ते पृथकपृथक् कथितपूर्वानाट्यप्राविसमयमध्येयान्नाटकखण्डान् परिकर्प्य ते पृथकपृथक् कथितपूर्वानाट्यप्राविसमयमध्येयान्तरेयापने सम्यग्वाचनस्येयती महती यदिवरणं विनापि
समीचीनवाचनमात्रेण प्रायो नाट्यविमागाः स्थूळ्त्वेनावगतार्या मवन्ति ।
अतोऽत्र विषयेऽध्यापकरादी सविशेषोद्यमः कथिः । तदन्त प्रश्रविवरणादीनि
यपापूर्वमेवानुसर्वत्यानि । कथावस्तुकमान्नाट्यप्रवेशादिकमः कथं भिद्यते,
कथं च परिणामावद्द इत्यपि विवरणसमये विवेकतस्यम् । स्थाप्ययने ते

ते पात्रस्वभावविश्वेषा नाट्यप्रवेशानुरोधेन च्छात्रेम्यः प्रश्रद्वारा निष्कासनीयाः, अध्यापकेन स्वयमपि कथनीयाः । समाते तरिमस्तिमन् परिकश्चितत्वण्डा-ध्ययने प्रतिसमयं पुनर्वाचनमिष्यत एव । सत्यवसरे छात्रैविविधभूमिकाः परिग्रद्धा समुत्कृष्टप्रवेशा नाटवितव्याः, येन तेषा भाषाज्ञानं रसभावसंवेदनं च परिग्रकं प्राप्त्यतेते ते च संस्कृतसभाषणिनपुणा अपि सम्पद्धरम् । एवमविति समग्रनाटकाध्ययने प्रत्यक्कृमुण्डब्धा विशिष्टपालस्वभावविशेषाः पर्याक्षोचनन् पुरस्तरं छात्रसहारयेन मुखतो लेखनतक्ष सामन्त्र्येण सङ्कलनीयाः । प्रतिपात्रसमुमेव विधिमनुस्त्य तत्तत्त्वभावविशेषाणामन्योन्यपोपकत्वं प्रदर्शनीयम् । एतत्तु संस्मरणीयं बन्नाटकाध्यापनमपि अव्यक्तव्यमिवावयव-विश्वेदनविरदेण रसग्रधानां सङ्कलनहिं पुरस्कृत्येव भवतः ।

उपसंहारः।

काव्यपुक्षस्य रसक्तपमात्मानं, शब्दार्थक्षं शरीरं, रीतिक्तपमवयव-संस्थानविशेषमुपमानुपासादिकपानल्ङाराञ् छन्दोरूपा गति चोहिरय-विहितानि प्रश्नविदरणानि शश्चदेव तत्सीन्दर्यनिर्वर्णने प्रचीयमाना-मभिद्यं सम्पादयन्ति, अलं भूयसा, कवेनिग्दान्तःकरणतस्वमेवावगाइन्ते च । किन्तु रसरूपमालमानं हिलाऽन्यः सकलोऽपि च्छन्दोरीत्यलङ्कारादि-निरीक्षणव्यापारो विवेचकबुद्धितन्त्रस्वात्परिणतप्रज्ञानाभेवाध्येतृणामध्यापने पदमईतीति न कदापि विस्मर्तेन्यम् । यथा पाठशालाध्येतृणां बालानां तथा महाविद्यालयस्थानां प्रौदच्छात्राणामध्यापने रससाधारण्यं नान्तरीयकमेव । तथापि च्छन्दोऽलङ्कारादिविवेचनं शिष्यबुद्धिवृद्ध्यनुरोधेन यथोत्तरं संवर्धनीयं, न त्वेकपदे । शास्त्रिपद्धती काव्याध्ययनानन्तरं माहित्यप्रनथपठनपुरस्तरं यया छात्राः काव्यस्थालङ्कारगवेषणाशीला अक्रियन्त, तथाऽधुनापि महाविद्यालयेषु क्रियन्त एव । किन्तु विच्छेदकबुद्धिनिष्ठमलङ्कारपरिज्ञानं रसतस्वप्रधानस्य साहित्यशास्त्रस्यैकतममङ्गमेव सन्न सामग्न्येण काव्यरस-प्रइणायालम् । अतः प्रीटच्छात्राच्यापनेऽपि मनोविज्ञानानुसारेण भावैत-तस्वं समवलम्ब्य संक्षेपणदृश्येव काव्यविवरणमिध्यते, न त्वलङ्कार-भेदनिरूपणपरया विश्वेपणदृष्ट्या । विदितमप्यसङ्कारविवरणं काञ्यगत-स्थायिमावाभिव्यक्तेः साधकं भवतः, न त बाधकम् ।

बालाध्यापने पुनरेतद्विवचनं पाठशालामु लम्बमानं संस्कृताध्ययनकालाविभवलम्बते, न च सकलमित तत्र सम्पूर्णत्वेन विधातुं शक्यम् ।
लतः प्रियतपश्चमं महाकवीनां का॰्येम्यः समुत्कृष्टावतरणानि सङ्गुद्धीव
तेषामध्यापनं पाठशालामु विधेयम् । सर्गद्धयात्मकमित काल्यमुपरिदार्शितरीत्याऽध्याप्येत चेदन्यर्थं काल्यरसानुरागं जनयेत् , व्यासवादमीिककालिदासभवभृत्यादीनां चिरन्तनवात्रस्थाराया आविकलास्वादनाय च्छात्रान् प्रवर्तः
येदित्यत्र न कापि विप्रतिपत्तिः सम्माव्यते । अपि च लण्डान्वयपदत्या
संस्कृते विद्दितं मौलिकविवरणं ताहशिलिखितविवरणप्रधानानां टीकाप्रन्थानां
वाचने कृत्हलमुत्याच तत्साहाय्येन च्छालांध्यीकानुशीलनपरान् पूर्वतनशालिशिष्यानिव स्वयमेवार्थनिधारणामिमुखान् द्वर्यात् । समासतो वक्तव्ये
सति, गीर्वाणमारत्यां तद्रतिवशालवाङ्मये च कियद्रावविवध्यं, कियान्
रसप्रकर्षः , कियद्वर्णमापुर्ये, कियान् पदवाक्यपाकः , कियद्वा रीतिवेचित्रयमित्यत्र विषये स्थालीपुलाकृत्यायमनुसत्य समुत्कृष्टावतरणाध्ययनेनापि
पाठशालाङाङालहदयेषु यदीपदुद्वोषः स्याचदा काव्याध्यापनमसंश्चयं साफ्रस्थिमात् ।

पुनरेकस्यैव कवेः काव्यकृतिषु रितिविलापालिकापयोरिव प्रसङ्गवर्णनादिसाहरयं विभिन्नसन्दर्भानुसारेणापि कथमुपलम्यते, समानप्रसङ्गमिकृत्य
प्रभिन्नकितृतिषु कुन्दमालोक्तरामचरितयोरिव कथं भदसम्ये परिलक्ष्यते,
शकुन्तलानुष्यन्तयोमालिकाग्निमित्रयोधवंशीपुक्तवसीरिव नायिकानायकयो
पूर्वरागो विशिष्टकिता विविधकविभिन्नां कथं प्रदर्शितः, कविविशेषस्य
प्रकृतिवर्णनविषये कि प्रवृत्तिवैशिष्ट्यं मनोऽपिष्टानं वा, कश्च तस्य बीविततस्त्रालोक इति विविधविषयान् परिलक्ष्यीकृत्य काव्यनाटकादिसमीकाऽनुष्टातुं
शक्या। नाटकसमीक्षायां पुनर्नाट्यवस्तुनः पुरातनकथावलम्बः कस्पितत्वं
वा, पुरातनकथावलम्बेऽपि कवेरसाधारण्यं प्रतिमानवत्वं वा, नाट्यगतघटनासिद्धयेऽपेक्ष्यमाणां कालमयादा, नाट्यकवेदपलम्यमानिमितिष्ट्यं, तत्काछीना समालित्यतिः, नाटकस्थरसानां कथोपकथानां चाङ्गाङ्गिमावः, नाट्यशालितयमानां कविकृतं परिपालनमतिकमणं वा, कविकृतो प्रतीयमानस्य
वीविततत्वालोकस्थान्यक्षविभीक्षा विशिष्टयमिति विविधविषया अपि विवेचनाहाँ
भवन्ति। एपा सम्बकृतिसमीक्षा विशिष्टयमिति विविधविषया अपि विवेचनाहाँ
भवन्ति। एपा सम्बकृतिसमीक्षा विविक्तत्रोक्षालङ्कारादिकथनाट् मिला

सती भावसंश्रेषणमेवाधिकतरमधिष्ठाय कविक्तावगाइनौपयिकी भवति ।
परमेपा महाविद्यालयीनमीद्रक्छात्रेष्वेव युक्यते, न बालेषु । पूर्ववर्णितरीया
सञ्जाते काव्याध्यापने छात्रा वयोबुद्धिविकासानुरोधेन निसर्गत एवोपरिवणितायां काव्यक्मीक्षायां सम्प्रवृत्ताः स्युः । कविकालनिर्णयः, कवीनां पूर्वोत्तरभाव इत्यादयो विषया अमन्दमतिप्रयत्ना ऐतिह्यदृष्ट्या चोपयुक्ताः
सन्तोऽपि कविद्वदयसमीक्षापेक्षया वहिरङ्गभूताः काव्यस्पिपासूनां रसारवादपत्यूद्रपरा विफलकालयापनकराक्ष । कविकालीनायाः समाजित्यतेः संस्कृतेक्ष
काव्योपलव्यसन्दर्भोर्निरीक्षणं तु कविव्यक्तिस्वनिदर्शनोपकारकमपि विवेचकबुद्धिनिष्टमेव । अतः कविविचावगाइनिष्ठां काव्यसमीद्यां समाधित्यैव
रस्त्रसमीक्षकेलेखनमतिर्विधेया । संस्कृतवाङ्मवस्यमीद्दवसमीक्षान्यूनत्वं पूरविद्यं यावन्तो नृतनसमीक्षका बद्धपरिकरा भविष्यन्ति तावन्तोऽपेक्ष्यन्त एव ॥

कान्याध्यापने परिशिष्टम्। पाठादर्शः।

अणि:-आङ्ग्लपाठशालीया पञ्चमी । कालावधिः-सार्थघटिकापरिमितः । विषयः-श्वरद्वर्णनपराः श्लोकाः ।

शरद्वर्णनिविषयकाणां केषाञ्चिन्छ्लोकानां प्रतक्षाच्यापनशितिरत्रोपस्था-प्यते । प्रकृतिवर्णनिविषयकाः स्ठोकादछात्रेम्योऽतीव रोचन्ते । अतस्तादछा एव प्रारम्भिकाष्यापने प्राह्माः । ऋतुषट्कवर्णनपरेषु स्ठोकेषु तरिमस्तिसम्बेव ऋतौ पाठितेषु तचद्दश्यानां प्रतक्षानुभूत्याऽच्यापनमितमात्रं रसप्रवोधकरं भवति । पाठादर्शगताः प्रश्नाः, प्रश्नोत्थापका विवरणपराश्च वाक्यांद्याः अध्यापकेन प्रयोक्तव्याः । छात्राणामपेश्चितानि प्रायुक्तराणि () इत्यर्थ- चन्द्रचिह्नद्वये स्थापितानि । अध्यापकेन कर्तन्यं विवरणं कदाचिद्धै-चन्द्रचिह्नद्वयाद्वद्दिः कदाचिक्च [] इति समकोणचिह्नद्वये स्थापितम् । प्रायोऽत्र विसन्धिलेखनमनुस्तम् ।

१ प्रस्तावः । छात्राणां परिचितानुभवमधिष्ठाय प्रश्नाः ।

यदा पर्जन्यः वर्षति तदा कः ऋतुः ! (वर्षाः)। पर्जन्यकाले-वर्षांकाले मेवाः जलं वर्षन्ति । मेवाः वर्णेन कीहशाः ? (कृष्णाः)। मेघाः कुत्र हश्यन्ते ? (आकारो)। आकारास्य कानि नामान्तराणि ! (नभः, गगनम् ,अम्बरम् इति) (छात्रेशांतपूर्वाणामेव पर्यायाणां निष्कास्तमम शक्यम्। यदि पर्यायाः स्त्रोकगतारछात्रैरज्ञातपूर्वाश्च, तहि तेऽस्मिन्नेव सन्दर्भेऽध्यापकेन कथनीयाः. प्रत्ये ज्ञातपदै: समे देखनीयाख्य)। वर्षाकाले बलं वर्णेन कीट्यम् रै (आरक्तम्)। इस्मात्कारणात् आरक्तम् १ (तत्पक्कन महिनं, तस्मात्)। वर्षाकाले वायुः कथ वहति ? (वेगेन)। वायोः कानि नामान्तराणि ! (पवन:, बात:, अमिल: इत्यादीनि)। वर्षाकाले वर्न वर्णेन की हक्ष मू ? (इरितम्) । वर्षतीः अनन्तरं कः ऋतुः आगच्छति ! (शरदृतुः)। शरदि आकाशं वर्णन इयम्भूतम् (नीलम्)। कस्मारकारणात् नीलम् । (तत्र मेघाः न सन्ति, तस्मात्)। [अतः आकाशं निरभ्रम् । तस्य वर्णः नीलः निमंछः विधीतः वा,-इति विवरणेन स्रोकगतः 'विधीत'-झब्दः नूतनत्वात् कथनीयः पत्ने हेसनीयस] शरत्वाहे वहं वर्णन कथम्भूतम् १ (निर्मेलम्)। शरत्काले वायुः कथं बहति ! (मन्दं मन्दम्)। शरत्काले बनभागाः वर्णेन किम्भूताः ! (पीताः प्रस्ताखः)। तहि वर्षाकालः श्रातकालः च इति उभी ऋत् युष्माभिः दृशै । तयोः कः असीव रम्यः है (शस्कारः)।

२ सन्दर्भादिकथनम् । अद्य वयं शररकातस्य रम्यं वर्णनं वाच-याम । एतद्दर्णनं श्रीवास्मीकिना रामायणे कृतम् ।

३ अध्यापकस्य वाचनम्। पूर्ववर्णितरीत्या द्विः कार्यम्। प्रथम-बाचनसमये छात्रैः पुस्तकानि नोद्धाटयितव्यानि। केवलं अवलेनैवार्थः पदमाधुर्ये च बोद्धव्ये, अध्यापकस्य पटनरीतिरमिनयश्च सन्द्रष्टव्यौ। प्रथम-बाचनात्पूर्वे " एतेषु कोकेषु यानि श्रुतिमधुराणि पदानि तानि चित्तेषु स्थिराणि कुरत । वाचनानन्तरं कथवत च " इति च्छावसमवधानजननार्थे निवेदनीयम् । द्वितीयवाचनात्पूर्वे तानि पदानि च्छात्रेम्यो निष्कास्य फलके लेखनीयानि । द्वितीयवाचनसमये छात्रैः पुस्तकान्युद्घाटनीयानि । एव-मध्यापकस्य द्विवाचनं समाप्ते स्थात् ।

पाठनीयाः श्लोकाः।

जलं प्रसन्ने कुसुमप्रद्वासं कौञ्चस्वनं भालियनं विपक्षम् । सृदुश्च वायुर्विमलश्च चन्द्रः शंसन्ति वर्षव्यपनीतकालम् ॥१॥ विपक्षशालिप्रसवानि भुक्त्वा प्रदर्षिता सारसचारपङ्क्तिः । नभः समाकामति शीघवेगा वातावधूता प्रथितेत्र माला ॥२॥ व्यक्तं नभः शस्त्रविधौतवर्णं कुशप्रवाद्दानि नदीजलानि । कह्यारशीता = पवना = प्रवान्ति तमोविमुक्ताश्च दिश = प्रकाशाः॥३॥

४ छात्राणां मनोगत वाचनं, स्थूलप्रश्नाश्च । तदनु १ के शंसन्ति १ २ सारसपक्षिणां पङ्किः कि करोति १ ३ कथम्भूताः पवनाः प्रवहन्ति १ इति स्थूलप्रश्रवयमुद्दिस्य व्छात्रैः स्त्रोकानां मनोगतं वाचनं कार्यम्। अस्मिन् समये कानिचिदपिचितपदान्यव्यापकेन व्छात्रसन्देहानुसारं विश्वदीकर्तव्यानि । अनन्तरमुपरितनेरेव स्थूलप्रश्रेष्टात्रवोधः परीक्षणीयः।

५ सृक्षमाध्ययनम् । तदनन्तरं प्रश्नविवरणादिद्वारा पूर्वदर्शितरीत्या स्थमाध्ययनं कार्यम् । यथा —

(अ) प्रथमश्लोकविवरणम्। जलं कथम्भूतं दृश्यते ? (प्रस्त्रम्)। कस्मात् कारणात् तत् प्रस्त्रम् ! (तत् निर्मलं स्वच्छं वा, तस्मात्) [वर्षाकाले तत् प्रक्रम् ! (तत् निर्मलं स्वच्छं वा, तस्मात्) [वर्षाकाले तत् प्रक्रम्]। तस्मिन् जले इसन्ति-प्रइसन्ति-प्रकर्षण इसन्ति, कानि तानि ! (कुषुमानि) [पुष्पाणि, प्रस्तानि वा]। यदा यूर्य इस्य, तदा युष्माकं मुखानि विकसन्ति । अत्र कुषुमानि प्रइसन्ति, तर्षि तानि कीहशानि ! (तानि विकसितानि)। कुषुमानी प्रदासः जले वर्तते, तर्षि तत् वलं किम्भूतम् ! (कुषुमप्रदासम्) [अत्र समासविष्रदः प्रकारान्तरेण कर्ते शक्यते इति दृष्टं स्थात्]। पुनश्च तरिमन् वले स्वनः स्वरः भूयते, केषाम् ! (कीञ्चानाम्) [अत्र च्छात्राणां विस्तप्टदोधार्ये

मातृभाषापर्यायोऽपि निवेदनीयोऽध्यापकेन]। कौञ्चाः : कथं शब्दायन्ते ! (उद्यै:) । केन कारणेन उद्यै: ! (ते आनन्दिता:) । तहि जलं पुन: कीद्दशम् ! (क्रोज्जस्वनम्) क्रिज्ञस्वनिमति विशेषणपदं ' शालिवनम् ' इति विशेष्यपदेनापि अन्वितं स्यात् । अतस्तया प्रश्ना अपि सम्म-विष्यन्ति] पुनश्च शालीनां वनं-क्षेत्रं जलस्य समीपे एव वर्तते। महाराष्ट्रभाषागतः 'साळी' इति शब्दोऽप्यत्र कथनीयः] कविः शालिवनं वर्णयति, केन शब्देन ? ('विपक्तम् ' इति) [शालिवनं विशेषेण पक्रम्, न अधुना कोमलम्] अतः तद् वर्णेन की इशम्! (पीतम्)। [यथा सुवर्णे शोसनवर्णे तथा शाल्कित्रम् अपि । पीतं शालिवनं सुवर्णमिव मनोहरं हर्यते ।] मुवर्णे धनिकानां धनम् । शालिवनमपि मुवर्णमेव, केपाम् ! (कृषीवलानाम्)। [धान्यमेव कृषीवलानां धनं सुवर्णे वा ।] अस्मिन् क्षेत्रे वायुः अपि वहति-वाति [पुरस्तनक्षोक्रगतं पदमत्र बुद्ध्या प्रयोज्यम्] कीहशः वायोः स्पर्शः रे (मृदुः) [वायुः मन्दं मन्दं वहति-वाति,तस्मात् छः मृदुः ।] वायुः क्षेत्रे बहति । स शालीनां गन्धमानयति । बीहशः सः गन्धः ! (मधुरः) । शरत्काले रात्री चन्द्रः किम्मूतः हश्यते ! (विमलः) चन्द्रात् मलः विगतः, दूरं नीतः, व्यपनीतः । [पुरस्तनः शब्द इत्यमादा-वेबोचितसन्दर्भे कथनीयः] अतः सः विमलः। विमलस्य कि विशिष्टं कारणम् (आकार्श निरभ्रम् इति)। चन्द्रः वर्णेन कीहशः ! (शुभ्रः)। चन्द्रस्य किरणाना स्पर्शः कथम्भृतः ! (शीतलः)। चन्द्रः ग्रुभ्नः शीतलक्ष अत एव स नयनयो: आनन्दकर:। तहिं अत्र शंसन्ति-प्रशंसन्ति-स्तुवन्ति, कानि ! (जळम्, शालिवनम्, वायुः, चन्द्रः च) [एतानि कर्तृवाचक-पदानि अत्र एकेकशः निष्कासनीयानि] एतानि क शंसन्ति ? (वर्षच्यप-नीतकालम्) [एतस्मात् शरकालात् वर्षे वृष्टिः वर्षतुः वा व्यपनीतः द्रं नीतः । तस्मात् अयं वास्कालः 'वर्षव्यवनीतकालः ' इति कविः वदति । उपरिवर्णिताः धर्वे रम्पेण शरत्कालेन आनन्दिताः तं स्तुवन्ति, " असुलकरः वर्षतुः गतः, मुलकरः शरलालः आगतश्च इति वदन्ति इव]

⁽ आ) प्रथमऋोद्याचनम्-।थूणानिखननन्यायेनार्थहदीकरणार्थे पुनरपि कार्यम् ।

(इ) द्वितीयऋोकावतरणिका। अधुना आकाशे धालिक्षेत्रे च

मनोइरा शोमा दृश्यते, तां वयं पश्याम: ।

(ई) द्वितीयश्लीकस्य वाचनं विवरणं च। अत्र समा-कामति [अभिनयदर्शनम्] ब्याप्नोति, सर्वत्र प्रस्ता मवति, केषां पङ्क्तिः माला वा ! (सारसानाम्) [जलस्य तीरे वस्तां सारसपक्षिणां इंसानाम् इत्यर्थः] कविः तां पङ्क्ति वर्णयति, केन पदेन ! ('चार्य' इति पदेन)। सारसानां चारः मनोहरा शोभना पङ्किः वर्तते । िसा कृतः मनोहरा तद्वयं पश्यामः] सा पङ्किः समाज्ञामति, किम् ! (नभः) [नभः, आकाशम्, गगनम् । ' नभोऽन्तरिश्चं गगनमनन्तं सुरवस्मं खम् ' इत्यमरः । सारसपङ्किः आकाशे इतस्ततः सञ्चरति इत्यर्थः।] कविः सारसपङ्केः वेगं वर्णयति, केन पदेन ! ('शीधवेगा' इति पदेन) [सा पङ्किः न मन्दं मन्दं गच्छति, किन्तु शीधं झटिति आकाशे उडुवते, तस्मात् तस्याः वेगः शीघः वर्तते] पुनश्च सा पङ्किः प्रहार्षिता-प्रकरेण इर्षिता-अतीव आनन्दिता, कि कृत्वा । (भुक्त्वा) भुक्त्वा नाम मक्षयित्वा । किं भुकत्वा सा प्रहार्थिता । (विपक्तशालिप्रसवानि) प्रसवानि नाम मजरी: क्रिशानि [महाराष्ट्रभाषायां 'लोम्बी ' 'क्रणीस' इति उच्यते] तानि प्रसवानि केपाम् ? (शालीनाम्)। शालयः पुनः किविशिष्टाः ! (विपकाः)। शालीनां मज्जयंः विपकाः, पीताः, विचकरैः तण्डुलकणैः पूर्णाः, ताः मक्षयति सारसपङ्किः । [यदा क्षेत्रं घान्यसम्पन्नं भवति, तदा बहवः सगाः तत्र आगच्छन्ति, धान्यकणान् यथेष्टं भक्षयन्ति च इति युष्मामिः हष्टमेव । अतः सारसपङ्क्तिः तण्ड्लकणान् यथेष्टं मक्षपित्वा प्रहृष्टा सती आकाशे झटिति उडुयते इत्यर्थः] तदा सा का इव इस्यते ? (माला इव)। केवां सा माला स्वात् ! (पुष्पाणाम्) किलक्षणा माला इव ? (प्रथिता) [प्रथिता नाम रचिता-केन अपि सूत्रे बदा] पुनः सा माला अवध्ता [अभिनयदर्शनम्] चालिता कम्पिता, केन ! (वातेन) [बातेन कम्पिता पुष्पाणां माला यथा मनोहरा हदयते, तथा इये सारस-पङ्किः अपि इत्यर्थः ।]

(उ) उपमानोपमेयद्श्वनम्। अत्र सारसपङ्किः कवा तुलिता ! (पुष्पाणां माल्या)। सारसपङ्किः शीशवेगा, तर्हि माला कथम्मृता !

(बातावधूता)। माला यथा अधिता तथा सारसपङ्किः अपि अधिता सङ्घरिता एव।



उपमेषम्	उपमानम्
सारसपङ्किः	तुष्पमाला
शीघवेगा	वातावधूता

विधाशक्यं मारमपङ्केश्चित्रम् उपरिविधितरीत्या पत्ने आवेसनीयम् उपमानोपमेयभावश्च उपरितनप्रश्नैः सह उपरिस्थितरीत्या फलके विश्वदीकार्यः। प्रारम्मे 'उपमेयम् ' 'उपमानम् ' चेत्येतयोः पारिभाषिकशब्दयोदपयोगं विनेव साहर्यं विश्वदीकर्तव्यम् ।]

- (क) पुनरपि द्वितीयश्लोकवाचनम्।
- (कः) तृतीयश्रोकावतरणिका । पुनः कविः शरदः सीन्दर्य प्रकाराम्तरेण वर्णयति, तद् वयं पश्यामः ।
- (ऋ) तृतीयश्लोकस्य वाचनं विवरणं च । कविः नमः आकाशं वर्णयति, काम्या पदाभ्याम् ? (' व्यक्तम् ' 'शस्त्रविधीतवर्णम् ' च इति)। अत्र नमसः वर्णः किम्मूतः ! (विधातः) विधीतः अतिनिर्मलः उज्ब्बलः च । किम् इव उल्ब्बलः 🕻 (शस्त्रम् इव) 🛮 शाणशिलायां शस्त्रं खुष्यते, तदा शक्तं निर्मसम् उक्ततंत्रं च हृदयते, तथा नभसः वर्णः अपि इस्पते । नमः अपि निर्मलम् उल्लबलं च इस्पते] नभः निर्मलम् उल्ल्बलं च, अत एव पुनः तत् कीहशं हश्यते ! (व्यक्तम्) व्यक्तं सुरपष्टम्

इत्यर्थः । यतः नमः विधौतम्, ततः एव तत् व्यक्तं सुरपष्टं या । नमसः नैसर्मिकं नीललं सुस्पष्टं हृद्यते इत्यये:] नमः नीलम् उन्ज्वलं च हृद्यते, किम् अत्र विशिष्टं कारणम् ! (तत्र मेथा: न सन्ति)। वर्षाकाले एतद् नमः अदृश्यत एव, किन्तु तदा कः तस्य वणैः ! (कृष्णः, मस्निः)। कै: स: कुष्ण: १ (मेवै:)। कुष्णै: मेवै: तदा सर्वत्र अन्धकार इव तम इव आसीत्। अधुना नमः निमलम् उल्लब्छं च। अतः सवाः दिशः कयम्भूताः ? (तमोविधुक्ताः)। तमसः अन्यकारात् दिशः विमुक्ताः विशेषेण मुक्ताः । अत एव पुनक्ष ताः दिशः बीहरयः । (प्रकाशाः)। प्रकाशपुक्ताः, प्रकाशिता:।] अधुना नदीना जलानि कीहशानि ! (कृशप्रवाहानि)। नदीनां प्रवाहाः कृशाः सञ्जाताः, न तु प्रभूतं जलं तेषु । वर्षाकाले नदीनां प्रवाहाः किविशिष्टाः आसन् ! (विशालाः) [पर्कन्यवृष्ट्या नदीनां प्रवाहा: उमे कुले-तटे उत्तीर्य सर्वत्र प्रस्ताः। महान् जलपूर एव आसीत् नदीषु । सर्वेश बलं बलमेव । किन्तु सम्प्रति नदीप्रवाहाः कृशाः प्रसन्नाश्च । अधुना पवनाः वाताः प्रवान्ति-प्रवहन्ति । ते स्पर्धेन कीहशाः (शीताः) [ते अधुना शीताः, किन्तु मुलकरा अपि, न तु पर्जन्यवाता इव पीडाकराः] पवनाः कै: शीताः! (वहारै:)। [कहाराणि नाम श्रेतकमलानि तै: पवनाः शीताः] तर्हि कहाराणि कुत्र विकसितानि स्युः! (बले)। जलं बहरयानि बहाराणि च स्पृष्ट्वा पवना आगरछन्ति, अतः पवनाः गन्वेन कीहशाः ! (मधुराः)। कीहशः तर्हि अयं शसकालः ! (अतीव मुखकरः)।

(तः) अध्यापकेन कार्य सर्वेषा छोकाना पुनर्वाचनम् ।

६ पद्सौष्ठविनिरीक्षणम् । 'नभः समाकामित शीघवेगा ' इत्यत्र खबुगुक्वणांनां क्रमः सारसपङ्केशिटियुत्पतनं, नभसो विशालोदरत्वं, तत्र च सारधानां वेगं क्यं द्योतयित, 'वातावधूता प्रथितेव माला ' इति पदानयि गगन एव सारधानाम्ध्वप्रधात्तर्यगमनं कथं स्चयन्ति, तथा "क्हारशीता द्रपतना द्रप्रवान्ति " इत्यस्या पङ्कौ इकारोपमानीय-योजनया पवनस्य ध्वनिवंहनं च कथं स्च्येते, तिहिशिष्पठनशैल्या प्रदर्शन्नीयमिति दिक्।

चित्रचारुत्विनिरीक्षणम् । युष्मामिः अधीतं वर्णनम् अधिकृत्य चित्रकरेण एकं चित्रम् आलेखनीयं स्यात् , तर्हि तत्र कानि कानि यस्त्नि आहेल्यानि, के के च वर्षाः कुत्र उपयोज्याः, इत्युक्तिपुरस्वरं प्रश्नाः प्रष्टव्याः । तैश्च —

" नीलं बलम् । बले श्रेतानि कुमुमानि (कह्वाराणि !)। तीरे श्रेताः कौञ्चाः । तीरे विवक्षं (पीतं) शालिवनम् । शालिवस्वानि मञ्चयन्तः केचित्सारसाः (श्रेताः !) मीलमुख्यवलं च नभः । नभस्युत्यतन्ती केषा-ज्ञितसारसानां पङ्क्तिः (श्रेता)।"

इत्यादिरीत्या छात्रेभ्यः पदानि निष्कास्य तानि फलके विलिख्य च मनोग्नं चित्रं छात्रचित्तचञ्जुषां पुरतः स्थापनीयम् । 'चन्द्रः , कृदामबाहा नदी' चेत्रेतयोरन्तभां व उपस्तिने चित्रे न स्यात्। तद्ये भिन्नं चित्रमपेक्ष्येत ।

साङ्घिकं वाचनम्। छात्रैरध्यापकमतु सर्वे श्लोकाः पटनीया गेया वा । एतत्पटनं छात्राणामतीव सचिकरं चिरस्मृतिवनकं च भवति ।

एवं पाठादशें काव्याच्यापनरीतिर्दिङ्मात्रं प्रदर्शिता । अत्रैततु न विस्मर्तव्यं बदध्यापनस्य चित्तरञ्जकत्वे हृदयहारित्ये वाऽध्यापकेन विहितपूर्वो रसङ्कृतिसनाथः संस्कृतकाव्याभ्यासस्तदीयमुत्साहतादात्व्यादिसम्पन्नत्वं प्रत्यु-त्यसमितित्वं चैव प्रधानकारणम् । नाध्यापनपद्गतिविज्ञानमात्रं यद्यसे भवति ॥

शब्दशक्तिग्रहः।

अपरिचितमापाच्यापने पाश्चात्यभाषातत्त्वविदः शब्दार्थज्ञापनं प्रायः (१) वस्तुचित्राभिनयदर्शनपूर्वकं तत्त्वद्वाधागतशब्दीचारेण (स्ववहारेण), (२) मातृमापानुवादेन, (३) सक्षणपर्यायादिकयनेन, (४) वाक्यगत-

सन्दर्भेण चेति चतुर्घा विदष्ति । अश्रोत्तरोत्तरसात्पूर्वपूर्वः प्रकारः श्रेयान् , किन्तु बालाप्यापने प्रथम एव श्रेष्ठः । द्वितीयः प्रकारोऽन्येभ्योऽपि प्रथमस्य निकटतरस्वं बहतीत्यतो मातृभाषोपयोगोऽपि कुत्रचित्रिःशङ्कं कार्योऽ-ध्यापकेन । तथापि यथाशस्यसम्भवं संस्कृताध्यापने सुगमगीवांगभाषा-व्यवहारो युक्तः शन्दार्यशापने ।

पौरस्त्यभाषातस्वविदश्य-

शक्तिप्रहं व्याकरणोपमानकोशाप्तवाक्याद् व्यवहारतश्च । वाक्यस्य शेपाद्विवृतेर्वदन्ति सान्निध्यतः सिद्धपदस्य वृद्धाः॥

इति रीत्या शब्दार्थंशपनप्रणाशीमष्टविधां मन्यन्ते । तद्यथा-

(१) ज्याकरणम् । अत्र पद्पदार्थसम्बन्धशानापरपर्यापे शक्तिमहे ब्याकरणमेव 'मुखं ब्याकरणं स्मृतम् ' इति शिक्षावचनानुसारेण मुखस्वे-नाङ्गीकृतम् । धातुप्रकृतिप्रत्यपादिभिः पदरूपविद्विवानयगतपदानां च कियान्वयेन कारकज्ञापनं प्रयोगशापनं चेति प्रमुखं प्रयोजनं व्याकरणस्य। किन्तु प्रकृतिप्रत्ययब्रेन पदरूपिट्टि बालाध्ययनारम्भे क्रशजनक विज्ञाय, पदविच्छेदनभूषिष्ठं यौगिकार्यज्ञापनं विद्वाय च, पदावयव-शक्तिबोधापेक्षया पदसमुदायशक्तिबोधनं प्रारम्भे सुगमतरं मन्यमानाः पूर्वतनसम्प्रदायिनः शास्त्रिवरेण्याः शब्दरूपावलिद्वारा संहतं रूढार्थे बोधयता सिद्धपदरूपाणामेव शिष्यैः पाठनं श्रेयोबहमित्यवधारयन् । अयं पन्या बारुधीशक्त्यनुगुणः पाश्चात्यभाषातत्त्वसंवादी चेति विशेषतोऽत्र समबघेयम्। अपि च सुबन्तरूपप्रधानायाः समासबहुलायाश्च गीवांगभाषायाः प्रकृति-मनुस्य तिङ्क्तरूपराठनादपि मुक्करूपराठनमेव वरं, समासकारकपयोग-शानं प्राधान्येन सुबन्तरूपशानावछिव चेति सम्यङ् निर्णीय, शब्दरूपावली-समासचकान्तर्गतं व्याकरणमेव बाङ्मयाध्ययनसंनिधानेन ब्युत्पत्तिसिद्धयेऽलं, पञ्चमहाकाब्याध्ययनानन्तरमेव सिद्धान्तकीमुचादिमुखेन व्याकरणशास्त्राध्ययनं श्रेय इति निरचिन्वन् दाक्षिणात्याः शास्त्रिवराः । ' सहयत्रक्षण व्याकरणम् ' इति वार्तिकानुसारेण 'शब्दो सहयः, सूत्रं लक्षणं ' च । अतो रक्षणात्पूर्वे स्थ्यमधिकृत्यैव दाक्षिणात्यानामध्यापनप्रणासी प्रचलिता ।

किञ्च संहिताब्राक्षणादिकालात्प्रश्वति पञ्चमहाकाव्यकालपर्यन्त-मृत्तरोत्तरं गीर्वाणभाषा स्वकीयमाख्यातप्राधानयं परित्यस्य मुबन्तप्रधान समासबहुला च सञ्जातेति स्वर्गीयश्रीभाण्डारकरमहोदयानामेव सिद्धान्तः । एतत्परिवर्तनानुसारेणाध्यापनेऽपि व्याकरणस्य, तद्वतप्रकरणान। पूर्वोत्तरभावः सुवन्ततिङन्तक्रमेण शनैः शनैः परिवर्त इत्यनुमीयते । भगवान् पतञ्जलि-रात्मनः पूर्वे व्याकरणाध्ययनं कथं प्रचलितमित्यत्र विषये अवीति । यथा—

"पुराकत्य प्तदासीत्। संस्कारीचरकालं ब्राह्मणा व्याकरणं समाधीयते। तेभ्यस्तचास्थानकरणनादानुप्रदानेभ्यो वैदिकाः शब्दा उप-दिश्यन्ते। तदशन्ते न तथा। वेदमधीत्य त्वरिता वक्तारी मवन्ति 'वेदासी वैदिकाः शब्दाः सिद्धा लोकाच लोकिकाः। अनर्थकं व्याकरणम्' इति। तेभ्य एवं विप्रतिपचनुद्धिस्योऽध्येतुस्यः सुद्धद् भृत्वाऽऽचार्यः (पाणिनिः) इदं शास्त्रमन्त्राचष्टे " इति।

आ पतः अलेम्रिकालं यावलाणिन्यशाध्यायीकमेणैवादी स्त्रपटनं तदनु काशिकाध्ययनं तदुत्तरं च पातञ्जलमहामाध्याध्ययनमित्ययं क्रमः स्यूलतः प्रवृत्तः, यतः पाणिनेः पूर्वस्मात्कालादारम्य पत्रविष्यर्यन्तं तदध्वमिष गीर्वाणभाषाया भाष्यमाणत्वाद् बालैशांतपूर्वत्वाच बाह्य एव 'रक्षोहागमल-व्यसन्देश्य ' व्याक्ररणपटनं मापामुखेनेव प्राचलदिति निश्चीयते । काश्चि-कायाः समकालिकाद् ब्याकरणनियमोदाहरणपराद्रहिकाव्यात्तदनुवर्तिभ्यश्च काव्येम्यः -यथा भौमककृतं रावणार्जुनीयं, इलायुधविरचितं कविरहस्यं, हेम-चन्द्रनिर्मितं द्वचाश्रयकाव्यम्-इत्यादिभ्योऽवधार्यते बळ्ळस्यस्थणयोः (बाळाव-व्याकरणयोः) अध्ययनमेकसमयावक्छदेनैव प्रस्तुतमासील तु व्याकरण-पूर्वकिमिति । ब्याकरणाध्यापनेऽपि पाणिनिस्त्रकम उत्तरीत्तरं भाषापरिवर्तना-न्रोधेन बालबीघसीकवीन्रोधेन चादी सुबन्तप्रकरण पश्चात्तिहन्तप्रकरण-मित्यानुपृथ्यां परिणति गत इत्यत्र विषये कातन्त्रसारस्यतद्भग्वजीधादिमुगम-व्याकरणानि, तत्पश्चाद्धाविषु पाणिनीयमतानुसारिग्रन्थेषु च विमलसरस्वति-कता रूपमाला, रामचन्द्रनिर्मिता प्रक्रियाकीमुदी, महोविदीक्षितविरचिता क्षिद्धान्तकी मुदी चेति प्रत्थाः सन्ति विशिष्टानि निदर्शनानि । वारवच-सङ्ग्रहादिका अन्येऽपि बहवी बालोपयोगिनी प्रन्या सुबन्तकारकसमा-

I Read 'Wilson Philological Lectures'. Chapter I. p. 24.

सतिहतित्रकृदादिक्षममेव पुरस्कृत्य बहुभिर्जनैर्विरिचिताः । इयं प्रवृत्तिरेत-देव साधयति वित्तिकन्तरूपपाठनात्प्रागेव सुवन्तरूपपाठनं भाषास्वरूपानुसारेण प्रभूतोपयुक्तम् । शास्त्रिभिरनुस्तं बालानां शब्दरूपावस्तिसमासचक्रपाठन-मस्या एव प्रवृत्तेः प्रमाणम् ।

वाङ्मयावबीधे वाक्यार्थः कारकप्रयोगज्ञानमवलम्बते, कारकप्रयोगज्ञानं तिङ्ग्तरूपावलम्ब चेति सत्यम् । किन्तु तद्यं सिवस्तरा क्रियापदरूपसाधनिका सामान्यच्छात्राणां नापेक्षिता, रथूलं क्रियापद्ज्ञानं पर्यातमिति
निपुणं विचार्थ केचिच्छास्त्रिवरा अध्ययनारम्भे शब्दरूपावलीपाठनादनन्तरं
छात्रान् धातुरूपावलीहारा सिद्धतिङ्ग्तरूपाण्येव पाठयन्ति, न तु रूपसाधनिकायामभिनिविशन्ते । विशेषतोऽत्र ज्ञात्रव्यं यस्र सर्वेऽपि शास्त्रिणः पूर्वं
वैयाकरणा अभवन् । ते रूपावलीसमासचक्रगतेन व्याकरणमात्रेण वाङ्मयमधीत्र न्यायादिशास्त्रमात्राध्ययनरतास्तिस्मरतस्त्रिक्षेत्रच शास्त्र ग्रगादपाण्डित्यमङ्मन्त । इयं पूर्वतनी रियतिः सविशेष चित्तगोचरीकार्यं मापापरिचयं
विना, वाङ्मयाध्ययनं विनापि बहुकालं याविक्रयापदरूपसाधनिकायामेव
च्छात्रवुद्धि क्रेशयद्भिराङ्ग्स्थपाठशालाध्यापकः ।

(२) उपमानम् । गोसहशो गवयपदवाच्य इति साहश्यशानमिव परिचित्तवरतुपदादिशहश्येनापरिचितवरतुपदादिपरिचय उपमानम् । निखिलै दोशनिकैरररीकृतमप्येतदुपमानप्रमाणमाङ्कादकारिणा साध्येण काव्यश्री-वर्धनकरं विपयाववोधप्ररोचनपरिमित्यालङ्कारिकाणां सविशेष शिरोधार्यम् । अतः साहित्यानुरागिणां शास्त्रिवराणां प्रचुरोपमानप्रमाणपुरःसरमध्यापनमिष शिष्पाणा मनोविनोदजनकं भवति ।

(३) कोशः । कोशादि शक्तियहो भवतीत्वतः शाक्षिवराश्छात्रै-रमसदिकोशगतानुषयुक्तपर्यायबहुलान् विशिष्टमागान् पाटयन्ति, ये भागा-श्चात्रव्युत्पत्तिवलं संवर्ध्य काव्याध्ययनकाले प्रभूतोपयोगिनः । किन्वस्रति वाद्ययसन्दर्भेऽशोधपूर्वे विहितमेतदश्ययनं क्रेशोत्पादनात्प्रारम्मे विफलं, सञ्जाते वाङ्मयाध्ययने सफलमित्यनुमवमाश्चित्य किञ्चिद्वाङ्मयाध्ययना-नन्तरमेवारम्भणीयमिति भाति ।

(४) आप्तवाक्यम् । आप्तवाक्यादपि शक्तिग्रहो यथा 'कोकिङ: पिकशब्दवाच्य' इति शीला शब्दप्रमाणपुरस्सरं परिचितपदैः सममपरिचित- पद्बोधो विश्वीयते । आप्तो यथार्थवक्ता यथाभृतस्यावाधितार्थस्योपदेष्टा वेति कत्यनायां पुरस्कृतायामध्यापकोऽध्यत्राऽऽप्तो भवितुमहेति ।

(५) व्यवहारः। लोकश्यवहारसामान्येनापि शक्तिग्रहो भवतीति पूर्वतनप्राच्यदार्शनिकरेव समुद्भावितं भाषाभ्यासतस्वम्। अत्र विषये न्यायसिद्धान्तमुक्तावस्यामुक्तं यत्—

''यया प्रयोजकबृद्धेन (गुरुणा वा) घटमानयेत्युक्तम्। तच्छुत्वा प्रयोज्यबृद्धेन (अन्येन गुरुणा शिष्येण वा) घट आनीतः। तदवधार्यं पार्श्वस्थो बालो घटानयनरूपं कार्यं 'घटमानय' इति शब्दप्रयोज्यमि-त्यवधारयति। ततस्र 'घटं नय, गामानय' इत्यादावावापोद्वापाभ्यां (अन्वपन्यतिरेकाम्यां) घटादिपदानां कार्यान्वितघटादौ शक्तिं गृह्णाति'' इति।

न्यायसिद्धान्तमञ्जर्यामप्ययमेव विषयो विस्तरेणोक्तः । यथा-

"प्रथमतः शक्तिमहो व्यवहाराद् घटानयनादिरूपाद्भवति । तथा हि 'घटमानय ' इति केनचित्ययोजकवृद्धेन नियुक्तः कश्चन प्रयोजयवृद्धस्तद्धा-क्यतोऽये प्रतीत्य घटमानयति । तञ्चोपक्षममानो बालस्तया क्रियमा तस्य प्रयोजवस्य प्रयत्नमनुमिनोति । तेन च घटानयनगोचरप्रयत्नेन तस्य ज्ञानं घटानयनगोचरमनुमिनोति । स्वप्रयत्ने तेन तथा निश्चपात् । ततस्तद्धेन्वा-काङ्श्वायामुपरियतत्वाच्छ्ज्दभेव कत्यवि । तदनन्तरं घटादिपदानां प्रत्येक-मावापोद्वापाम्यां घटपदं घटचीजनकमिति कत्यवि । क्छते च तस्मिन्न-तिप्रसङ्गमङ्गाय तज्जननानुक्रल्यक्ष्रक्तेतरूपं सम्बन्धं कत्यवि । अत्राति-प्रसङ्गतु घटपदं घटचीजनकमिव पटचीजनकमिव स्यादिति अग्रहीतशक्ति-कानामिव घटबोघः स्यादिति वा बोध्यः । तत श्वाद्यशक्तिमहानन्तरमुप-मानादिभिः शक्तिमहो भवति " इति ।

अत्र वटानयनकार्योपालम्मः, आनयनप्रयत्नानुमानम्, स्वप्रयत्न-समरणपुरस्यरं निश्चयः, शब्दार्ययोः प्रकल्पनम्, अन्वयव्यतिरेक्जानेन प्रतिपदार्थाय्यवसायः, अनुकृत्वसङ्केतकस्यनं चेति पार्श्वस्यशालस्य सक्छोऽपि मानसिको व्यापार आधुनिकमनोविज्ञानवर्णितस्य मानसिकव्यापारस्य स्तरां सहययं बह्वीति चेतश्चमत्कारजनकम्। पाश्चात्यानां संवादपद्धति- रप्यमुमेव मानसिकव्यापारमधिष्ठाय बालानां भाषाध्यापने प्ररोचनावर्धन-पुरस्तरं प्रचलतीति मुविज्ञातं भवेन्ममंजैः। अत इवं पद्धतिः प्राच्यदार्ध-निकानुयायिन्येवेति निश्चित्य संस्कृताध्यापकैरियं भाषाध्यापने संस्कृत-बाग्व्यवहाररूपेणाङ्गीकार्याः।

काव्यप्रकाशेऽप्यमुमेव विषयं प्रकारान्तरेण प्रतिपाद्य मीमांसैक-देशिनामन्वितामिधानवादिनामेथेतन्मतमिःयुक्तम् । यथा---

"-'देवदत्त, गामानय' इत्युत्तमवृद्धवान्यप्रयोगाईशाहेशान्तरं सालादिमन्तमथं मध्यमवृद्धं नयति सति 'अनेनारमाद्वाक्यादेवविषोऽयैः प्रतिपक्षः' इति त्रेष्ट्रयानुमाय, त्रयोरखण्डवाक्यवाक्यार्थयोर्थापस्या वाक्यवाक्कभावलक्षणं सम्बन्धमवधायं बालस्तत्र ल्युत्यते। परतः 'चैत्र, गामानय। देवदत्त, अश्वमानय। देवदत्त, गां नय' इत्यादिवाक्यप्रयोगे तस्य तस्य शब्दस्य तं तमर्थमवधारयतीति अन्वयव्यतिरेकाम्या प्रवृत्ति-तिवृत्तिकारि वाक्यमेव प्रयोगयोग्यमिति वाक्यस्थितानामेव पदानाम-विवृतः पदार्थेरिवितानामेव सङ्केतो मृद्यात इति विशिष्टा एव पदार्थो वाक्यार्थः। न तु पदार्थोनां वेशिष्टयम्। यद्यपि वाक्यान्तरः प्रयुक्षमानान्यपि प्रत्यमिश्वाप्रत्ययेन तान्येवैतानि पदानि निश्चीयन्तं इति पदार्थान्तरमात्रेणान्वतः पदार्थः सङ्कृतगोचरस्तथापि सामान्यावच्छादितो विशेषस्य एवासी पतिपद्यते, व्यतिपक्तानां पदार्थानां तथाभूतत्वादि-त्यान्वता।भिधानवादिनः " इति।

अयमेव मानस्तत्वावळम्बी प्राच्यानां सिद्धान्तः पाश्चात्यानां संवादः पद्धतौ प्रयम्त्वमिष्यम्य वस्तुचित्रामिनयदर्शनपूर्वके तच्छाचकन्त्नपदः पाठने माधायाः प्रत्यक्षव्यवद्दारे च पर्यवस्यति । पाश्चात्यमापातत्वविदोऽ-प्यन्वितामिधानवादिन इव वाक्यवादिनः, न त्वभिद्दितान्वयवादिन इव पद्बादिनः ।

मानिकस्याव्यक्तार्थस्यैव व्यक्तशब्दरूपेण प्रस्पुरणाद्विवर्ताद्वा शब्द,र्थयोः सिद्धः (निस्यः) सम्बन्धो वर्तत इत्यमिप्रेस्य शब्दस्य महिनिर्गमनस्यापारविषये, तथा च स्पवहारतो जाते शक्तिग्रहे, सङ्घट- मानमात्रसञ्यापारविषये च दुर्गाचार्यो 'स्मातिमस्वाचु शब्दस्य ' (निरुक्तं १।२।४) इति निरुक्तपङ्कि व्याचिक्यीसुर्वते । यथा---

"क्यं पुनर्व्यातिमान् शब्द इति । शृणु—शरीरे ह्यमिधानामिधयरूपा वृद्धिद्वयान्तर्गताकाश्यप्रतिष्ठिता । तयोगिभधान रूपामिधेयरूपयोर्बुद्धधोर्मध्येद मिधानरूपया शास्त्रामिमतप्रयोजनविज्ञिषप्रियमा बुद्ध्या पुरुषण तदिमे व्यक्तिसमर्थेन स्वगुणभूतेन प्रयत्नेनोदीर्थमाणः शब्द उरःकण्डादिवर्णस्थानेषु निष्यद्यमानरूपया पुरुषार्थाभिधानस्ययंवर्णादिमावमापद्यमानः पुरुष प्रयत्नेन बिहिर्विनिक्षितो द विनाशिनि व्यक्तिभावमापद्यः श्रीत्रद्वारेणानु प्रविद्य प्रत्याच्यस्य बुद्धि सर्वार्थरूपा सर्वाभिधानरूपा व्यक्तितित्वेव व्याति, माञ्च्छदः । स शब्दः स्वमर्थमभिदधत् स्वक्रियाप्रवेशोपज्ञतितेना, मिधानेनाक्यातिभेवनमादिना स्वमात्मानमभिष्यव्य तिरोमवितुमुपक्रमते विनाशं चोपति । पुरुषप्रयत्नोपज्ञनिताद् वक्त्रोद्धातात्परस्यार्थं, प्रत्यवमाधाय शब्दव्यक्तय एव ध्वसन्ते, न तु शब्दाकृतयः । तास्तु तयाः भिधानशक्त्या बुद्धिरोत्यावित्यताः स्वानर्थान् प्रकाशयन्त्यः रियता एव भवन्ति । इति ॥

मनस उद्बुद्धत्वानुद्बुद्धत्वे प्रतिपादयत आधुनिकमनोविज्ञानात्र किञ्चिद्युपरितनं प्रतिपादनं भिद्यत इत्यालोच्य चित्रीयेत कस्यापि चेत: ।

अपि च संवादपद्धतिगतं शब्दसहकृत यद्वस्तुचित्राभिनयादिदर्शनं तस्योपयोगः परिमित्तत्वं चेति विषये महर्षियास्कवचनं यथा—'अणीयस्त्वाच शब्देन संशाकरणं व्यवहारार्थे लोके' (११२१५)। अत्र दुर्गाचार्यो व्याख्याति यथा—"अभिनया अपि व्यातिमन्तः पाणिविहाराश्चिनिकोचादयः।..... न त्वणीयासः, ते महता यत्नेन व्याप्नुवन्ति, न च निःसन्दिर्थं कुवैन्ति। तत्वर्गतार्थसम्बन्धस्यैव, नेतरस्य। शब्दस्वपरिमित्नमर्थमस्यीयमा यत्नेनोचारितो व्याप्नोति। तस्मादणीयस्त्वादिति विशेषहेत्- प्रस्था शब्देनैव संशाकरणं लोके इत्युपरक्षम् " इति।

व्यवहारेऽभिनयादीनामर्थवाचकत्वमत्राभ्युपगम्यते । किन्तु शब्दसङ्कृता एवाभिनयादयो नि:सन्दिग्वशोधे समर्थाः , शब्दस्य व्याप्तिमस्वादणीयस्त्वा-चेति यास्त्रदुर्गवचनाश्ययः । तस्मान्धन्दशक्तिमहे वस्तुचित्राभिनयदर्शनस्य परिमितत्वेऽप्युवयुक्तत्वं वर्तत इति भावः । अयं भावोऽघस्तनेन वचनेनापि सावष्टम्भो भवति । यथा—

" अङ्गुलीपसारणादिपूर्वकं निर्देशेन शक्तिग्रहो भवति। यथा—बाल, तवेयं माता, तवायं पिता, अयं ते भ्राता कन्दलीफलमन्यवहरतीति निर्देशेन बालस्य मात्रादौ शक्तिग्रहः।" (न्यायकोशः)

शक्तिप्रहे शब्दार्थशायनविषये वाऽध्याप्यमानभाषाया अभिनय-समन्वितो व्यवहार एव अयान्, स एवाऽऽद्यः, शक्तिप्राह्मशिरोमणिः श् हति प्राच्यशास्त्रविदामपि प्रथितः विद्वान्तः। प्राच्यप्रतीस्यभाषातस्वविदामत्र विषयेऽभेद एव नयनविषयमायातीत्यतः साभिप्रायमेवावतरणपद्कमुपर्युप-त्यस्तम्। अतो संस्कृताध्यापने संवादपद्वत्यनुसरणं पाश्चात्यानुकरणमात्रमिति दुर्महः सर्वथा परिवर्जनीयः।

नन्वयं व्यवहारः शिशोमंतृभाषाभाषणशक्तिसम्पादन एव गृह्-जनानुकरणेन प्रचलति, न तु संस्कृताङ्ग्छादिनृतनभाषावगतो । अपरिचित-भाषाचिगमे मातृभाषानुवादपद्धतिरेव अयसीति चेत्, न । मानस-तत्वानुसारेण मनुवचित्तेषु भाषाचिगमस्य या स्वाभाविकी प्रवृत्तिर्वेतीना, या च शैशवे निसर्गत एवातिमात्रमुद्दुद्धत्वेन मातृभाषावगमे बहूपयुक्ता, या च शैशवे निसर्गत एवातिमात्रमुद्दुद्धत्वेन मातृभाषावगमे बहूपयुक्ता, या च शौदे वयस्त्रपयोगाभावात्रष्टेव भाति, किन्तु कृतोगयोगा सती प्रौदःवेऽपि नृतनभाषाचिगमसमये पुनरप्युद्दुच्य प्रभूतोपकारिणी भवति, स्व बालाना नृतनभाषावगताविष प्रयुक्ता सती प्ररोचका चिरसंस्कारो-त्पादिनी च भवतीति सन्देहातीतमेव । कोशव्याकरणानुवादादीनि प्रौदः च्छात्रोपयुक्तानि भाषाशानसम्बन्धानि सन्ति प्रयत्वतन्त्राणि, न तु स्वाभा-विक्रप्रवृत्तितन्त्राणि । स्रोकव्यवहारस्य स्वाभाविकप्रवृत्तितन्त्रत्वादाद्यस्य सक्ति-भाहकशिरोमणित्वं वा मुव्यक्तमेव । तथापि यथाप्रसङ्गं समाश्रितेषु व्यवहार-सहकृतकोशव्याकरणानुवादादिषु च्छात्राणां महद्वितं स्थादित्यत्र नास्ति सन्देहरूवोऽपि । अत्र शब्दार्थयोनित्यसम्बन्धं व्यवहारव्याकरणयोरन्योन्या-अविस्वं च प्रतिपादयतो मगवतः पतञ्चलेरेवमाश्रयः—

" कथं पुनर्शायते सिद्धः शब्दोऽर्थः सम्बन्धस्रोति! कोकतः। यहाकेऽथमर्थमुपादाय शब्दान् प्रयुञ्जते, नैषां निर्वृती यत्नं कुर्वन्ति... न तहर्छन्दान्ययुक्षमाणो वैयाकरणकुलं गत्वाऽऽह-कुर शब्दान् प्रयोश्य इति । तावत्येवार्थमुपादाय शब्दान्ययुक्षते । यदि तहि लोक एपु प्रमाणं, कि शास्त्रेण क्रियते ! लोकतोऽर्थप्रयुक्ते शब्दप्रयोगे शास्त्रेण धर्मनियमः क्रियते । " (पातज्ञलमहामाध्ये परपशाहिकम्)

पतञ्चलेः समये संस्कृतभाषाव्यवद्दारपूर्वकमेव व्याकरणशास्त्राध्ययनं प्रचलितमासीदिति सुव्यक्तं प्रतीयते । अत एवाधुनापि संस्कृताध्या-पक्षेमांषाव्यवद्दारपूर्वकं व्याकरणमध्यापनीयमित्यत्र भावः । आदी भाषाऽ-नन्तरं व्याकरणमिति त्यायेन लोकव्यवद्दारसामान्यादर्यप्रयुक्तं शब्दप्रयोग एव वमैतियमं कुर्वतो व्याकरणशास्त्रस्याध्यापनं अय इत्ययं भाषाविगमस्य नैसर्गिकः क्रमः प्राच्यप्रतीच्यमापातस्विदां परमसंमतः । अत इदानीमित्र संस्कृताध्यापनेऽयं क्रमः परिपालनीय इत्याकृतम् । नृतनभाषाध्ययने पुनर्मोषाध्यवद्दारं परित्यल्य सर्वत्रापि मातृभाषानुवादपद्धतिरेवोपयोक्तव्येति स्याच्चेत्कस्यापि मिथ्याग्रइस्तर्दि स कथयत्,—संस्कृतमृत्यस्यव्याख्यानपराः सर्वेऽपि टीकाग्रन्थाः संस्कृतभाषायामेव किमथे विरचिता इति ।

- (६) बाक्यशेषः । प्रकृतार्यस्य सन्देहे वाक्यशेषात्प्रमाणभूत-वाक्यान्तराद्वा विशिष्टशब्दार्थोऽवधायते । यथा—'अकाः शक्राः (मृतिका-मिश्राः क्षुद्रपाषाणाः) उपद्रधाति ' इत्यत्र 'शक्राः केनाङ्क्तव्या ' इति प्राप्ते सन्देहे 'तेजो वै युतम् ' इति वाक्यशेषात् 'शक्रा घृतेनाङ्क्तव्याः, न तु तैळादिना ' इत्यर्थो निर्धार्यते । 'येन पदेनानुक्तेन वाक्यं समाप्येत स वाक्यशेषः ' वा (मुश्रुतसंहिता, उत्तरतन्त्रम्, अ. ६५) । अयं वाक्य-श्रोदो मीमांसकानां विशिष्टार्थनिषारणरीति प्रकटयति ।
- (७) विवृतिः । " विवरणादिष शक्तिग्रहः । विवरणं तु तत्त्वमान् नार्थंकपदान्तरेण तदर्थंकथनम् । यथा घटोऽस्तीत्यस्य कळशोऽस्तीत्यनेन विवरणाद् घटपदस्य कळशे शक्तिग्रहः । एवं पचतीत्वस्य पाकं करोतीत्यनेन विवरणादाख्यातस्य यत्नार्थंकत्वं क्ष्टप्यते ।" (स्वायिखान्तमुक्ताविछः)

एवा विवृतिधीकाविवरणव्याख्याव्याख्यानाचपरपर्याया यथा मुख-तस्त्या प्रन्यतोऽपि विधातुं शक्यते । "मूलप्रन्यस्याप्रतिपत्तिविप्रतिपत्त्य-न्यथाप्रतिपत्तिनिवारणेन तत्कर्तुरिमिप्रेतस्य शब्दान्तरेण विवरणं टीका" (न्यायकोशः)। 'यथासम्भवमर्थस्य टीकनं 'वा टीका (काञ्यमीमांसा)। अयं सामान्यवाची टीकाशब्दः 'टीकतं गमयित बोधयित प्रकाशयित वाऽर्थम् 'इति धात्वर्धातिस्यति । याऽर्थे विवृणोति व्यनक्ति प्रकाशयित स्कोटयित सा विवृत्तिर्विवरणं वा । मुखतो ग्रन्थतो वा तत्समानार्थकपदान्तरेण विशेषण विस्तरेण यदास्थानं कथनं तद् व्याख्यानम् । 'अतिशयस्वर्णना व्याख्यानं 'वा (की. अर्थशाक्षम्, १५।१५०)। उकं च 'व्याख्यानतो विशेषप्रतिपत्तिनं हि सन्देहादलखणम् 'इति । तथा च 'व कवलं चर्चापदानि व्याख्यानम् वृद्धः—आत्—ऐच्—इति । कि तिई! उदाहरणं प्रत्युदाहरणं वाक्याध्याहार इत्येतत्समुदितं व्याख्यानं भवति । अविज्ञानत एतदेवं भवति "इति (व्या. महाभाष्यम्, प्रथमाहिकम्)। व्याख्यानस्य पराहरस्याणे लक्षणं यथा—

पदच्छेदः पदार्थोक्तिर्विमहो बाक्ययोक्तना । आक्षेपोऽय समाधानं स्थास्यानं पञ्चनक्षणम् ॥ इति ।

विवरणव्याख्यानादीनामन्येऽपि तदर्थवाचिनः 'प्रवचनादयः' पर्या-याष्टीकाप्रन्यनामकरणायोपयुज्यन्ते, किन्तु सर्वमप्येतद्विषं बाङ्मयं सामान्य-वाचिना टीकापदेनैव व्यपदिश्यते ।

टीकाया वृत्तिपद्धतिभाष्यसमीक्षाचू शिपञ्चिकाकारिकावार्तिकसङ्ग्र-हादयोऽन्थेऽपि मेदाः । तेषां स्थानानि यथा—

"स्त्राणां सकतसारविवरणं वृत्तिः । स्त्रवृत्तिविवेचनं पद्धतिः । आक्षिप्य मापणाद्भाष्यम् । अन्तर्माष्यं समीक्षां । अवान्तरार्यविच्छेदश्च सा (समीक्षा चूर्णिवां) । विषमपदमञ्जिका पञ्जिका । अर्थपदर्शनकारिका। कारिका । उक्तानुकदुषकचिन्ता वार्तिकमिति शास्त्रभेदाः । " (काव्य-मीमांसा)

यदा सूत्राणां समप्रतात्यर्थस्य यद् व्याख्यानं सा वृत्तिः । सूत्रस्य वृत्तेश्च तात्पये विविष्य यस्यामितिकतंव्यताप्रकारः कथ्यते सा पद्धतिः । पूर्वपक्षस्रव्यन्त्रपूर्वकमुत्तरपक्षेण सिद्धान्तकथनं भाष्यम् ।

> स्त्राघों वर्ण्यते येन पदैः स्त्रानुसारिभिः। स्वपदानि च वर्ण्यन्ते भाष्यं माष्यविदो विदुः॥

इत्यपि माध्यलक्षणम् । मध्येमाध्यं यो विमर्शस्तत्य सङ्कलनेन सम्यगीक्षणं समीक्षा। सा समीक्षा प्रधानान्तर्वर्तिनोऽवान्तरार्थस्य प्रथकरणम् । ' अवान्तरार्थविष्णदेक्ष सा चूर्णिः' इति एईति माठे, या चूर्णयति खण्डयति पूर्वपक्षिणामवान्तरार्थरूपं दुस्तके सा चूर्णिमंहाभाष्यं वेत्यर्थः समुदेति । विषमाणां दुरुहाणां पदानां मिक्का वर्षाविष्कारिका पिक्का। छन्दोरूपेण या स्त्रार्थे प्रदर्शयति सा कारिका। स्त्रकृता येऽपा उक्ताः, अनवधानतोऽ तुक्ता दुरुक्ताश्च तेषां चिन्ता विमर्शो यत्र तद् बार्तिकम् ।

उक्तानुक्तदुष्कानां चिन्ता यत्र प्रवर्तते। तं प्रनयं वार्तिकं प्राहुवार्तिकश मनीभिणः॥ इत्यपि पराशरपुराणे वार्तिकलक्षणम्। सङ्ग्रहलक्षणं यथा— विस्तरेणोपदिष्टानामर्थानां स्त्रभाष्ययोः। नियन्थो यः समासेन सङ्ग्रहं तं विदुर्वधाः॥ इति।

सर्वेडप्येते टीकामेदाः शास्त्रमेदा इति संस्थ्य टीकाशब्दो सवशेख-रेण शास्त्रापरपर्यायवाची गृह्यते । शिष्यतेऽनेनेति, शास्ति च त्रायते चेतिः वा शास्त्रमिति व्युत्पादनेन शास्त्रमत्र ज्ञानस्य नियमनं व्यवस्थापनं शिष्यबुद्धवनुसारेण च यथाकमं सम्यगवबोधनं मुखतो प्रन्थतोऽ थ्यापनं वेत्वर्थमवगमयति । शास्त्रशस्दोऽत्र न केवल विशिष्टसम्प्रदायवाची किन्तु पह्दर्शनवाची वैदिकावैदिकसर्वदर्शनसङ्ग्राहकश्च प्राह्मः, सर्वेष्विप यथाशक्ति ज्ञानव्यवस्थितिसामान्यत्वात्। विविधैद्दीकाभेदैरेव संस्कृतवाकृतयं तहतेन शास्त्रजातेन सह मुविस्तृतिमापन्नमित्यतः संस्कृतवाङ्मयस्यास-ङ्ख्यटीबासङ्कुसलं शास्त्रम्पिष्ठतं वेति व्यवच्छेदो मवति।माषाया उचरोत्तरं परिवृत्तिस्तदन्रोधेनौत्तरकालीनैर्वनैः पूर्वकालीनग्रन्थानामनवगम एव टीका-बाङ्मयविष्ट्रध्रेमूंसम् । अपि च गुरमुखपरम्परयाऽऽगतं शानं कदााचित्कालः देशदीयतः श्रीणत्वं प्राप्नोति, खण्डितं वा मवतीत्रतो निगृदाशयविश्वदी. करणार्थमविरतसन्तानार्थे चापि तज्ज्ञानं टीकामन्येष्यद्यरसेनिविष्टं क्रियत इत्यन्यद्वि टीकाग्रन्थानां बीकम्। मूलग्रन्यापेक्षया तद्विवरणं बटिल-तरमिति स्थितौ टीकायाण्टीका अपि टिप्पनीरूपेण प्रचुरतया लिखिताः सन्ति संस्कृतवाङ्गये । किन्तु कुलचिदेतदोषतुष्टमपि टीकावाङ्मयं प्रायो

मुलार्थस्य समीचीनवीधं कुवैदास्त इत्युक्ती न स्यात्प्रत्यवायः। शानव्यवस्थिति पदवाच्ये शाले पदवाच्यप्रमाणपराणि यानि भाषातत्त्वान्युपयुक्वन्ते, यानि च तेषु तेषु टीकाप्रन्थेष्वि सामान्यतोऽनुस्तानि तानि निखिळवैदिकावैदिक-दार्शनिकानां प्रायः सम्मतानि । एतेषां टीकादिगतानां समानभाषातत्त्वानां सङ्ग्रयनं व्यवस्थापनं वा पाश्चात्यैः 'Methodology '(पद्धतिविशानम्, व्यवस्थितिशालम्) इत्यभिषीयते । एतत्पद्धतिविशानं व्यवस्थितिशालम्) इत्यभिषीयते । एतत्पद्धतिविशानं व्यवस्थितिशालं वा प्राचीनभारते प्रभिन्नशालद्धारा परिणतेः परां कोटि गतम् । तथापि प्रभिन्नश्चानेष्विषयोनेष्विषयोन्यानेष्विषयोन्यानेष्विषयोन्यानेष्विष्योनेष्विषयोन्यानि टीकाप्रन्थानामर्थविष्विषयोद्धिष्यानेष्य प्रवतोऽपि साधम्यमेव व्यवसर्णिमायाति । अत्र —

प्रभिन्ने प्रस्थाने प्रमिद्मदः पथ्यमिति च । दचीनां वैचिन्याहजुकुटिलनानापथजुषां ।

हणां शब्दतस्वावलम्बी सूत्रभाष्यटीकामार्ग एक एव गम्य इति सुव्यक्तम्।

शब्दतस्वमाश्रित्य विशिष्टशास्त्रटीकालेखने यानि पद्वाक्यप्रमाण-पराणि सामान्यतस्वानि सन्दृब्धानि, यानि पुरतः संस्थाप्य च प्रभिन्नटीका-प्रन्थविरचनं पूर्वस्मिन् काले वातं, तानि सर्वाण्यपि विविधमीखिकाष्यापन-रीतीनां निदर्शनानीत्यनुमातं शक्यते । यतो भारतीयानां मौखिकाष्यापने यावती श्रद्धा न तावती लिखितपटने । विशेषतो वेदाष्ययने—

गीती शीवी शिरःकम्पी तथा लिखितपाठकः।

अन्येज्ञोऽस्पकण्डश्च षडेते पाठकाधमाः ॥

इति वेदाङ्गशिक्षाप्रमाणालिखितपाठकस्याधमत्वं, मौखिकाध्यापने गुरुपुखे वा भारतीयानां प्रभ्तादरख प्राचीनकाळात्यभृत्यच यावद् इत्येते । किन्तु गुरुपुखं क्षरं, बिखितवाङ्मयं चाक्षरमिति छन्द्दय मारतीयमाँखिकाध्यापने विषयाववीधनरीत्यां च यानि यानि तत्त्वान्यनुख्तानि तानि तान्येव काव्यशाख्यदीकाग्रन्थरूपेण चिरस्थायित्वं प्रापितानीति टीकाग्रन्थगतप्रमाणैरेव निकेतं शक्यम् ।

पुरा प्रतिग्रहं विता पुत्रं गुदः शिष्यं या मुखतोऽध्यापयित स्म । एवमस्यापनस्य मौखिकत्वसामान्येऽपि प्रतिगुद प्रभिन्नाऽस्थापनरीतिः स्यादिति प्रतीयते । किरलेताहशानां विद्वद्भ्यापकानां सत्रप्रसङ्कनान्यकारण-बशादा मुहर्मुहर्वभृद्धः संमेखनानि, यानि परिवत्वर्यत्संसत्सिमत्यादिसंश्चामिः सुत्रस्मृतिग्रन्थेषु निर्दिष्टानि । इंडशीपु परिषत्सु विविधविषयानाधिकृत्य ये संवादाविवादाः समजायन्त, तेष्वर्थनिर्धारणरीतिविषयमप्यन्तर्भाव्य पदशापकं व्याकरणशास्त्रं, वाक्यशापकं मीमांसाशास्त्रं, प्रमाणशापकं न्यायशास्त्रमिति शब्दनिष्ठं शास्त्रवयमधिकृत्य केचिन्निर्णयाः कृताः त्युर्वे सकलाभिमतत्वेन पुनरपि वैयक्तिकाध्यापने समाश्रिता भवेयुः । यतश्ररक्षहितायां परिषद्रत-संवादविवादरीतिपुहिस्योपरितनशास्त्रत्रयसम्बन्धस्चका सम्भाषाविधयः (चरक. विमान. ८), चरकमुश्रुतकोटिकीयार्थशास्त्रप्रन्थेषु चार्थनिषारण-पद्वतिमधिकृत्य सर्वशास्त्रव्यापकास्तन्त्रयुक्तयः सङ्कलिताः सन्ति (चरक. विद्यः, अ. १२; मुभुतः उत्तरतन्त्रम्, अ. ६५; को. अर्थशास्त्रम्, १५।१५०)। सकलविद्वन्निर्णयपुर:सरं प्रतिष्ठापितेयं मौखिकाच्यापनरीतिरेव भूरिकालादनन्तरं टीकामन्येषु निविष्टेत्यनुमीयते । अतः पुरातनाध्यापन-रीतीनां यथायंज्ञानं टीकामन्याध्ययनाहते नोपलभ्यतेति विमृश्य, टीकामन्य-गतानामध्यापनतस्वानां भाषातस्वानां च कालकमान्रोधेनोचरोचरं कथं परिणतिः सञ्जातेति गवेषणपुरस्तरमत्रोपन्यस्यते ।

प्राचीनतमे काले संहितीकरणात्माग्वेदानामलिखितःबात्प्रतिवैदिककुले प्रयक्ष्यमेव कुलक्रमागतानां श्रुतिमन्त्राणां गुरमुखतः अवणं 'पच्छोऽर्धर्चश्चः सर्वाम् ' (आश्व. स्. १।२१।४-६) इति रीत्या—

> गुरुः शिष्यस्य पदमाह मुख्यं समासक्षेदसमासो यदि हे । एतेन करुपेन समाप्य प्रश्ने प्रत्याज्ञायुस्तःपुनरेव सर्वे ॥ —(ऋक्प्रातिशाख्यम् , १५।९)

इति प्रकारेण वा व्यक्तिशः सङ्वशस्य गुरुमनु पठनं कण्ठस्थीकरणं चेति वावसंश्रुद्धिकारि, भाषाधिगमोपकारि कल्यद्रयं मुखपरम्परयैव बहुकाछं यावद्रध्ययनाध्यापनयोः प्रचल्तिम्। मुखपठनपूर्वकमेव भाषाध्ययनं क्षेप इत्य-धुनातनभाषातस्वविदां मतमत्र संस्मरणीयम्। वेदभाषायास्तदानी भाष्य-माणस्वादेतत्यठनं मन्त्रतः स्वरतो वणंतो वाऽनपराध्य बोषपूर्वमेव बभूव। मन्त्रगतानां पुराणेतिहासादिनिर्देशानां प्रपूरणं छन्दोज्ञापनं च गुरवः शिष्याणां सम्मन्त्रीधाय मुलत एव चकुः । एतदेवार्यविवृतेः प्रथमं रूपम् । अस्या
अर्थविवृतिर्निद्धनं यजुर्वेदान्तर्गता गद्यविमागा एव, ये बाद्यणप्रन्यानां
पूर्वस्वरूपं प्रदर्शयन्ति । वैदिकानां परिपत्सु संमिलितासु प्रतिवेदं मन्त्राणां
संहितीकरणं क्रमशः सञ्जातम् । होतोद्वाताऽष्वर्धुर्वक्षा चेत्येतेषां वेदचतुष्टयपुरोहितानां यहकमार्थे मिलिताभिः परिषद्धिः, प्रतिपुरोहितं कृतैमन्त्रविनियोगैश्च वेदचतुष्टयं सर्वेषामापि वैदिकानां साधारणं पैतृकषनं सम्बभूव ।
वेदानां संहितीकरणसमयेऽश्वरलेखनप्रचारः प्रादुर्मृतः स्थादन्यया संहितीकरणमसम्भविति माति । एवं लेखनात्पृवं अवणं मौलिकावर्तनं चेति
सर्वसम्तो भाषाभ्यासतत्त्वावलम्बी क्रमो मौलिकाध्यापनिविशिष्टे भारतवर्षे
निसर्गत एवोद्भृत इति सन्दर्यत । किन्तु लेखनसन्ते प्रन्यसन्ते चापि वैदिकानां मुखपाठे प्रवचने चैवान्यादशी श्रदा न कदाचिन्त्युन्तं गता ।

यथा यथा वेदमाघा परिवर्तनमाप तथा तथेव वेदस्थितानामपरिचितपदानां दुरूइमन्त्राणां चार्यविवृतिरिप गुरूणां करंव्यत्वेनापपात ।
हानेश्वरकाळीनाया महाराष्ट्रमापाया बोधो यथाऽऽष्ठिनिकमहाराष्ट्रियाणां
विना कोद्यममुक्तरस्तथेवायं प्रकारो बम्ब । गुक्मियेषामपरिचितवेदिकपदानां
मुखतो निवंचनमर्थविवृतिवां कार्या ते निधण्डमन्थे पर्यायवर्गकमेण निक्किविकला एव स्त्रीयमाना उत्तरोत्तरं परिवृद्धिरे । वेदमापायाः परिवर्तनं
तद्व्यास्थानरूपेणु ब्राह्मणप्रन्येथ्वेव हश्यते । येषा पुराणोतिहासादीना मन्त्रसन्दर्माणा प्रपूरणं पूर्वमच्यापकैर्मुखतो विद्वितं तेऽर्थवादाङ्गम्ताः सर्वेऽपि
लिखितरूपेण ब्राह्मणेषु सङ्मिथता बम्बः। यदपरिचितपदानां निवंचनं
दुरूइमन्त्राणां व्यास्थान वा पूर्वं मुखतोऽमूत्तदपि लिखितरूपेण ब्राह्मणेषु
कुत्रचिछ्यवते । गुरुकुले विद्वत्परिपत्सु च पूर्वं निवंचनव्याख्यानस्वादविवादादिभिये प्रपाटकाः शिष्यादीनुद्दिश्य गुरुभिः प्रस्थक्षं प्रोक्तास्ते प्रायो
ब्राह्मणप्रन्येथ्वक्षरसंनिविष्टाः। सत्रैव पूर्वमीमासाक्षाक्षकुरः संबक्ष्यते । यथा—

" ब्राह्मणमपि विविधम्-विधिरूपम्, अर्थवादरूपं तदुमयविलक्षणं च । तत्र शब्दमावना विधिरिति माहाः । नियोगो विधिरिति प्रामाकराः । इष्टसाधनता विधिरिति तार्किकादयः । सर्वो विधिरिप चतुर्विधः, उत्परव-धिकारविनियोगप्रयोगमेदात् । प्राशस्यनिन्दान्यतरलक्षणया विधि-श्रेपभूतं वाक्यमर्थवादः । स च विविधः । गुणवादोऽनुवादो भूतार्यवादः श्चेति ।.....विश्वर्यवादोभयविष्ठश्चणं तु वेदान्तवाक्यम् । " (मधुसूदन-सरस्वतीकृतः ' प्रस्थानभेदः ')

सर्वाण्येव ब्राह्मणानि मन्त्रविवरणव्यास्यानपराण्येवेत्यभिप्रायेण श्रीम-स्छङ्कराचार्यां बृहदारण्यकोपनिषद्भाष्ये " इतिहासः पुराणं विद्या उपनिषदः स्ठोकाः स्त्राण्यनुव्यास्यानानि व्यास्यानान्यस्येवेतानि निश्वितानि " (वृ. २।४।१०) इति वचनमुह्दिय प्राहुः। यथा—

"इतिहास इत्युवंशीपुरूरवसी संवादादिः 'उवंशी हाप्सराः (शत॰ ब्राबः ११-४-१) इत्वादि ब्राह्मणमेव। पुराणम् 'असद्वा इदमप्र आसीत्' (तै. २१७) इत्यादि । विद्या देवजनविद्या (इत्यमीतादिः शास्त्रम्) 'वेदः सोऽयम्' (शत. ११-४-१।१०-१४) इत्याद्या। स्वपनिषदः 'प्रिथमित्येतदुपासीत' (वृ. ४-१-१) इत्याद्याः। ऋषेका ब्राह्मणप्रभवा मन्त्राः 'तदेते स्ठोकाः' (वृ. ४-१-११; ४-४-८) इत्यादयः। सूत्राणि वस्तुसङ्ग्रह्वाक्यानि वेदे यथा 'आत्मेत्येवोपासीत' (वृ. १-४-७) इत्यादीनि । अनुव्याख्यानानि मन्त्रविवरणानि । व्याख्यानान्यर्थवादाः । अथवा वस्तुसङ्ग्रह्वाक्यविवरणान्यनुव्याख्यानानि ।...मन्त्रविवरणानि व्याख्यानानि । एवसष्टविधं ब्राह्मणम् ॥"

िद्धाविष्यत् यज्ञतन्त्रमधिकृत्य एञ्जाताः संवादिवतादा बाह्मणानां कर्मकाण्वे सङ्ग्रिकारः, येथ्यः पूर्वमीमांसाधास्त्रं परिवृद्धि गतम् । तथा च परब्रहातत्त्वं पुरस्कृत्व परिवृद्ध सम्मृताः संवादिवतादा बाह्मणानामुक्षिय्त्यंत्रिते ब्रह्मकाण्वे (ज्ञानकाण्वे) सङ्कृत्वताः, यत्रश्चोत्तरमीमांसाधास्त्रं सुवित्वृतिमापस्नम् । उभयन्नापि पुरामेतिहासाद्ययंत्राहरूपेण परिचितवस्त्र्द्धाहरणक्रपेण चोपमानप्रमाणं ब्राचुवेणोपयुक्य, प्रत्यक्षपयोगावकम्वेन वस्तु प्रदेशं च गमीरिविषयिवदणमुख्याच्याकाङ्कापूर्वकं गुक्मिः प्रश्नप्रतिवचन-भङ्ग्या विद्वितं संस्व्यते । उत्थिताकाङ्कापुरस्वरं प्रौद्धिष्यः पृथ्यानां परिप्रश्नानां प्रतिवचनानि गुक्मिक्चितसम्य एव व्याख्यानानुक्याख्यानतः प्रदेयानीत्यासीचदानी मौखिकाच्यापनरीतिः। अन्तेव समुद्दम्ता प्रश्नोचरपद्वितः संवादपद्वित्वं तद्वत्तरभाविषु प्रत्येषु प्राधान्येन खण्डान्वयमुखेना समाश्चित इत्यते । अतो या निवंचनव्याख्यानपद्विः पूर्वे मुखत एव गुक्किष्य-

परम्परया प्रचलिता, विद्वत्यरिपन्निर्णयैश्च ध्ववत्वं प्राप्ता सेव वेदोत्तरमाविपु ब्राह्मणप्रन्थेषु लेखनतंनिविध्टाऽभवदित्यवद्यारिवेतुं पार्यते ।

यशतत्वं मूर्यन्यीकृत्य वेदाङ्गयट्कस्येपन्निरंशो बाग्रणानां कर्मकाण्डे कृतो विद्यते । सामवेदान्तर्गतबाद्यणेषु प्राधान्यन पदं लेभिरे पहङ्गानि, यान्युत्तरविति काले विविक्तवेन परिष्टृद्धि जग्यः । यथा मुण्डकोपनिपदि (शाप) तथा छान्दोग्येऽपि (शाप) वेदाना वेदः (व्याकरणम्), देवविद्या (निरुक्तम्), ब्रह्मविद्या (शिक्षाकरूपच्छन्दांति), नक्षत्रविद्या (ज्यौतिषम्) इति दाब्दान्तरेण वेदाङ्गाना निर्देशाण्डायते यदुपनिपत्काले तान्यपरिणतरूपेण मौखिकपरम्परया वाऽविद्यन्त एव । अन्यया शिक्षानियन्तव्याकरणानामत्यन्ताभावे वेदानां स्वरस्थानप्रयन्नाद्यनपेतं साधूवारणम्, अर्थशानं, संदितायाः पदपाठे पदपाउस्य संदितायां वा विपरिणमने वेदयादीनामसम्मवाद् वेदरक्षामार्थे महानेव व्याघातः समुद्रपत्स्वत ।

ब्राह्मणान्युपनिषद्श्चेत्युभयोरन्तरवर्तिनि काले शाकस्येन संहितायाः पदपाठः प्रतिष्ठापित इत्यनुमीयते । 'पदप्रकृतिः संहिता' (ऋक्प्रातिशाख्यम् , २।१) ' परः सम्निकपः संहिता ' (पा. शाश्राह०९) इति स्वानुसारेण संहितामाठे यथास्थितानामेच पदानां सन्धियुक्तमुचारणं, छन्दोनियमानन-तिक्रम्य विशिष्टस्वरवर्णोचारणं चानिवार्थे स्तः । पदपाठे तु मन्त्रपदानि विच्छेदनपुरस्तरं नियमैरेवीचार्यन्ते । अयं पदपाठः सन्धिसमासादिविम्रहपरी होकिकसंस्कृतस्यस्य पदच्छेदरीतेः पूर्वततं स्वरूपम् । एतद्ये नामाख्याती-पसर्गनिपातानां चतुर्णां पदजातानां, सन्धिसमासानां च यञ्जानमपेखित-मासीत्तस्य गुरुमुखपरभ्परयेव विद्यमानत्वात्पदपाठनिर्माणं सुदाकममवत् । एतदेव व्याकरणमनायं साधूक्चारणशास्त्रं केनचित्कालेन शिलाप्रन्येषु प्रातिशाख्येषु च संनिविष्टमभवत् । 'पद्यकृतीनि सर्वचरणानां (शाखान्तराणां) पापदानि (प्रातिशाख्यानि) ! इति महर्षियास्केन प्राति-शास्यानां (वेदव्याकरणानां) स्वरमात्पूर्वभावो निर्दिष्टः । तदानी वेदवाङ्मय-बुक्षस्य प्रभिन्नशास्त्रानां विपुछविस्तारात्मतिवेदशास्त्रं वैदिकव्याकरणरूपाणि प्रातिशास्त्रानि प्रभिन्नानि जातानि । पदपाठविरचने वेदानां सम्यगर्थ-निर्धारणमेत्र प्रयोजनम् । तद्ये च समुत्पन्नानि शिक्षाव्याकरणनिरुक्तादी- न्यर्यनिषांश्णमाधनानि वेदाङ्गस्वेन वेद्व्याख्यानपराण्येषेत्वयगन्तव्यम्। वेदाङ्गानामुत्पत्तिविषये महर्पियांस्को अते यत्— "साक्षात्कतधर्माण ऋत्रयो
बम्बुः। तेऽवरेम्योऽसाक्षात्कतधर्मम्य उपदेशेन मन्त्रान् सम्मादुः। उपदेशायक्लायन्तो (खिद्यमानाः) अवरे बिस्मग्रहणाय (सुखग्रहणाय विस्तरण)
हमं ग्रन्थं (निधण्डं) समाग्नासिपुर्वेदं (वेदशाखामेदान्) च वेदाङ्गानि
च" (निध्कृम् १।२०।२)। एवं शिक्षाच्याकरणादिवेदाङ्गानां सद्भावाद्रीवांणवाण्याः साधूचारणविषये दत्तसूक्ष्मावधानैः पूर्वतनाध्यापकैर्यया तस्याः
पाविच्यमद्य यावत्परिपालितं, तथेदानीन्तनाध्यापकैरिप तत्परिपाळनीयं
साधूचारणाम्यासेनेति संस्मरणीयः सिद्धान्तोऽत्र समुदेति।

प्रातिशास्त्रेषु प्रायः स्थानप्रयत्निवेकः, संज्ञाः, सन्धयः, कुत्रचित्य-दिनिवेचने चेति विषया वेदमाधामधिकृत्य निरूपिताः, न तु कारकसमास-तिद्धतादिविषयाः, येऽविकल्लतया पाणिनीयसूत्रेषु स्थानमलमन्त । यित्रवेचनं ब्राह्मणेषु प्रातिशास्त्रेषु च विरल्लवेनोपलम्यते तस्य प्रपञ्चो यास्त्राचार्येण निषण्डुव्यास्थानपरे स्वीयनिषक्तप्रन्ये कृतः। तेन 'आस्थातकानि नामानि ' इति शाकशयनसिद्धान्तमुररीकृत्य 'तिद्दं विद्यास्थानं (निष्कं) व्याक्त-रणस्य कात्त्व्ये स्वार्थसाधकं च' (नि. १११५११) निर्मितम्। निर्वचन-विषये शन्दचतुष्ट्रं स्वीकृत्य यास्कमइर्षिणा गुर्वर्थाः सिद्धान्ताः समुद्धाविताः। ते यथा—

"तथेषु परेषु स्वरसंस्कारी समर्थी प्रादेशिकेन गुणेन (बातुरूपेण) अन्विती स्वातो तथा तानि निर्वृपात्। अधानन्वितेऽचेऽप्रादेशिके विकारेऽ धेनित्यः (अधेप्रधानः) परीक्षेत केनचिद् वृत्तिसामान्येन (क्रियागुण-सामान्येन).....नैकपदानि (प्रकरणोपपदरद्वितानि) निर्वृपात् (निद. अ. २, सं. १-३) इति ।

अयं विद्वान्तिन्वयस्तद्नन्तरभाविनः पाणिनेव्यांकरणस्य प्रतिष्ठा, प्राचीनतमे काले समुद्रावितोऽप्याधुनिकमाधाशास्त्रविदां प्रमाणम्। आत्मनः पूर्ववितां नैकक्तवैयाकरणयाशिकैतिहासिकनैदानादीनां निर्देशो यास्त्राचार्येण निकके कतः। तस्माद्रेदार्थविस्मरणन प्रन्थवैरस्येन च कशीभूतोऽप्यकं वेदनिककिप्रवाहो गुक्मुखपरम्पर्येव यास्कं यावदागत इति प्रतीयते । अयमेव प्रवाहो वास्काचार्येण स्थिरीकृतो निक्के प्रश्नप्रतिवचनकृषेणैव व्याख्यानेनेति छन्द्रष्टव्यम् । इयं प्रश्नप्रतिवचनकृषा व्याख्यानपद्धतिर्निक्क-व्याख्यातारं दुर्गाचार्ये यावद्विरतं छम्प्राप्तेत्यपि तद्व्याख्यातः प्रतीयते ।वेदार्य-निर्णायकोऽयं निषण्डनिक्कपन्या अमरादिकोशेक्तरवर्तिनि काले किञ्चत्यरि-वर्तनपुरस्वरं विशिष्टपद्षंहत्या खुण्णत्वं प्रापितः । प्रारम्भिकाष्यापनेऽनुपयुक्तेऽ व्यस्मित्रिक्किमार्गे, यास्कदुर्गादिभिरनुक्तता प्रश्नप्रतिवचनकृषा व्याख्यानप-द्धतिबाङ्मयाध्यापने सुक्विरेत्यत्र विशातन्यम् । अपि च यास्काचार्यस्य वह्नयंगम्भीरा सुत्रमयी भाषाऽनन्तरभाविनः सुत्रबाङ्मयस्य पूर्वक्षं व्यञ्जयति ।

एतदनन्तरम्-

सरितामिव प्रवाहास्तुच्छाः प्रथमं यथोत्तरं विपुछाः।

ये शास्त्रसमारम्भा भवन्ति छोकस्य ते बन्दाः॥ (काव्यमीमांसा) इति न्यायेन वेदवाङ्मयस्य विभिन्नशासामिरपरिमयव्यासः, वेदाङ्गशानस्य मुखपरम्परया प्रत्यपरम्परया चोपचयः, प्रत्यप्रतिछिनीनां वैरस्यान्मी खिकान्ध्ययेन स्मृतिशक्ती च महान् भार इत्येतेन कारणकदम्बकेन समासवचनरूपाः स्वप्रत्याः समुद्भूता शानसन्ततये स्मृतिस्थापनसीकर्याय च। शानव्यवस्थितेः (शास्त्रहृष्टेः) शानसङ्क्रातिरीतेः (मीखिकाच्यापनपरिपाठ्याः) च विधिष्टं प्राग्मावं विना, तत्तद्विषयपारदर्शित्वं विनायं व्याससमासयोः प्रथमोद्यमोऽमुकर स्वाभविष्यत्। उक्तं च राजशेखरेण यथा—'समासव्यासविन्यासः स्य

स्त्रप्रत्यानां शेली लघुविविक्तवाक्यभूपिष्ठानां ब्राह्मणनिक्कादिप्रत्यानां समुत्कृष्टस्वरूपम्व व्यञ्जयित। अधरलामवार्थे गम्मीराभिप्रावस्य
समासतो वचनेनेव स्त्रप्रत्येषु प्रयमतः समासन्यस्वमुप्रक्रयते, यहुत्तरः
कालीने लौकिकसंस्कृतवाङ्मये ओजोगुणविशिष्टाया गौडीरीतेः प्राधान्येन
व्यपदेशकरं सञ्जातम्। समासभूयस्त्रेन समास्यातलोपः सुवन्तप्राधान्यं
चेत्यपि स्त्रप्रत्यशैल्या वैशिष्ट्यं, यद्प्यनन्तरभाविनि संस्कृतवाङ्मये परा
कोटि गतम्। यत्यतनुस्त्रमिव स्त्रयति ब्राति वाऽस्याद्यरेः पदैर्ये तदेवस्त्रम्। अक्षरलाववे समास्वचने चाप्यर्थगाम्भीर्यमर्थाप्रतीतिराहित्यमित्यादयो गुणाः स्त्रेऽपेक्यन्त एव। अतः स्त्रलक्षणमियुक्तैवक्तं पथा—

अस्पाक्षरमसन्दिग्धं सारवद्विश्वतोमुखम्। अस्तोभमनवद्यं च सूत्रं सूत्रकृतो विदुः॥

स्मृतिसीलभ्यसम्पादनद्वारा विषयतात्वर्षमुप्रह एव स्नप्रम्थानां प्रयोजनम् । अत एव स्नग्नेली न केवलं वेदाक्षपु, यथोत्तरं वैदिकदर्शनेषु च, किन्त्ववैदिकदर्शनेष्विष सामान्यत्वेन पदमिषगतवतीत्युपलभ्यमानेस्तत्तद् प्रन्येरेव तिश्चीयते । प्रतिवेदशासं प्रतिवेदाक्षं प्रतिदर्शनं वा ये स्नप्रम्था इदानीमुपलभ्यत्ते, तेभ्योऽपि समिषकाः पूर्व प्रणीता आस्त्रिति प्रतीयते, यतोऽनुपल्यानां स्नप्रम्थानां प्रणेतारस्तत्तन्मतोपन्यासपूर्वकमुपल्यस्त्रान्यः प्रन्येषु नामतो निर्देशः सन्ति । समानविषयं पुरस्कृतापि बहवः स्नप्रम्याः पूर्वतनेविद्वज्ञितिस्त्रान्यः सन्ति । समानविषयं पुरस्कृतापि बहवः स्नप्रम्याः पूर्वतनेविद्वज्ञितिस्त्रान्यः प्रणीताः, येन पूर्वतनस्त्रप्रन्या निष्प्रयोधनत्वाः दिस्मृतिययं प्रयाता इति पाणिनिकैमिनिन्यासादिकृतस्त्रभ्योऽनुमीयते । असमाने सत्यपि विषये दर्शने वा, प्रतिपक्षमृत्वार्शनिक–विमतोपन्यासपूर्वकं स्वीपस्तिः प्रत्यत्ते प्रदेशं स्त्रात्ताः स्ति समान्येव्यते । एतेषु सिद्यान्तेषु केविद्वज्ञत्त्रपरिवन्द्व प्रत्यक्ष सञ्जातानां सवादिववादानां मीखिक-निर्णया एव स्त्रस्रपण सङ्गद्विता इत्यक्तिः प्रायो निर्वाधा स्यात् ।

प्रतिशासं स्त्राणि यथाकालं यथावरयं च समृद्गृतानि, किन्तु समुस्पद्यमानानां तेषां यः क्रमः पूर्वभासीत् एवाद्य यावदचलक्ष्येणायात इति
नैव कस्पयितुं पायते । सर्वेषा स्त्राणां प्रतिशास्त्रं सञ्जातेऽन्तिमे सङ्कले
विषयस्य तात्पर्यानुरोधेनाभिमतपूर्वोत्तरमावानुसरेण च स आदिमोऽनुक्रमः
परिवर्तनं प्राप्य स्थिरीभृत इति प्रतीयते । यथाऽधुनातनलेखकस्य विमर्शः
विषयीभृतः कश्चित्प्रबन्ध आदौ विशिष्टक्रमेण प्रस्फुरत्यनन्तरं चामिमतस्वरूपेण मिन्नक्रममधिगत्यान्तिमं स्ववाकारं प्राप्नोति तथैवेषं स्थितिः स्यात् ।
काळानुक्रमेण प्रतिशास्त्रं स्वसमुख्यानां हेयविषयसङ्गत्यनुरोधनाऽ'ध्यायपादाः

१ शास्त्रेऽध्याये तथा पारं न्यायसङ्गतयश्चिधा । शास्त्रादिविषये शाते तत्त्तसङ्गतिसद्यताम् ॥

धिकरणादीन् विभागान् प्रकल्प्य पुनश्च प्रत्यधिकरणं विषयसंशयपूर्वपञ्चः सिद्धान्तसङ्गतिरूपाणि पञ्चाङ्गानि सविभक्तानि तत्त्वन्द्यस्विद्धिः, येन तत्तः च्छाखसमाविष्टं शानं तत्तिद्विश्चिष्टपद्धतिसमायं व्यवस्थिति प्राप्तम् । मीमासा-वेदान्तशाख्योरेतव्ज्ञानव्यवस्थितिप्रधानं पद्धतिशाखं परमा दृद्धि छेमे । व्याकरणसूत्रव्यवस्थितिः प्रायोऽध्यायपादादिक्रमेणेव सञ्जाता, किन्तु तत्स्त्र-सङ्गती यदैशिष्टयमधिकरणस्थळेऽधिकारस्त्राणि विरस्य श्रीपाणिनिना

प्रकल्पितं, तत्पृथक्तया विवेचनाई भवति ।

यद्यपि स्त्रेषु तात्पर्यसुग्रहत्वं विद्यते, तथापि साङ्गोपाङ्गविवरण-द्वारा सम्यग्विषयावरामसमनन्तरमेव तात्पयावधारणं सुकरं, न तु सूत्र-पठनमात्रेण। तस्माइहुकालं पावत् तत्तिहिषयाध्यापकेर्मुखतं एवं सविस्तरं विषयस्याख्यानं कार्ये, शिष्यक्ष तदविकलतपाऽऽकलय्य सुत्राणि कण्ठस्थी-कार्याणि, पुनश्च तैः शिष्पेः स्वशिष्या यथापूर्वमेव मौलिकव्याख्यानेना-ध्यापनीया इतीयं परम्पराऽविष्क्षेदेन चिरकाळं प्रस्तुता स्थात्। यद्यप्यसन्दि-म्घरवमेव स्त्रस्य विशिष्टदक्षणं, तथाप्यस्पाक्षराचेनैय तस्त्रायः सन्दिग्झलं भवत इति सार्वजनीमोऽनुमवः। मुखतः सुवन्यारुयानेऽनुक्ताना पदानाम-ध्याहारः, उक्तपदानां च विग्रहयोजनापूर्वकं सकलसारभूतं सरलसुबोध-विवेचनमिति स्याःपूर्व मौसिकाच्यापनरीतिर्यो केनचित्काळेन वृत्तिनामके टीकाप्रभेदेऽश्वरसंनिवेशं लेमे । अनुक्तपदप्रपृरणेन स्वार्थस्य च्छन्दोबद्धायां कारिकायां ग्रथनं स्मृतिसीकर्यार्थमेत सञ्ज्ञी । सुत्रमधिकृत्योकानुक्तदुरक-चिन्तायम गद्यरूपवृत्तेर्मिमा गद्यनिषद्भाष्ट्यन्दोनिषद्भा वा वार्विकप्रन्था-प्राय आदित एव प्रत्यरूपेणावतीर्णाः स्युः, यतः सूत्रपाठे प्रत्यरूपेण स्थिरीः भूत एव वार्तिकानामुत्पत्तिः मुशकेति माति पाणिनीयस्त्राण्युद्दिश्य विर-चितेभ्यः कात्यायनवातिकभ्यः । वृत्तिवार्तिकयोः सम्भवो भाष्यप्रन्येभ्यः पूर्वे-जात इति पातः बलमहामाध्यनिर्दिष्टवार्तिकेभ्यः शावरशाङ्करभाष्योल्लिखित-वृत्तिग्रन्यम्यस्य सम्भाव्यते । अपिच स्त्राणां सरलसुत्रोधव्यास्यानरूपाया मूलार्थमालप्राहिकाया गदाकृतेरनन्तरं तेपामनुकतुरकचिन्तापराणां वार्ति-कानां प्रवृत्तिस्तदुत्तरमेव पराक्षेपनिरामपुरः माध्यरूपेण मुखतो लेखतो वा

१ विषयो विशयश्चेव पूर्वपक्षलयोत्तरम् । सङ्गतिश्चेति पञ्चाङ्गं शास्त्रेऽधिकरणं विदुः ॥

व्याख्यानिमत्यनेन नैशींगंककमेणेव व्याख्यानपद्धतिः परमां विस्तृति प्राप्ता । दीकाप्रमेदानामेतत्यौर्वापयं तु नियतमेवेति न मन्तव्यं, यतोऽनुकरणमात्र- सुद्धाऽवांक्तनेऽपि काले स्वादिनिमाणं नासम्भवम्। विशेषतोऽत्र समवधेयं यतुपनिषिष्ठकादिषु या प्रश्नपतिवचनपद्धतिः पूर्वमध्यापने समाश्रिताऽऽ सित्तेव सविशेषं पातज्ञलमहामाध्ये शावरमाध्ये चानुसता दृश्यते। प्रत्यक्षतः पृष्टाः कृष्यता वा शिष्याणां सन्देहाः, प्रतिपश्चिणां पूर्वपत्तम्ताः पुरत उपस्थापिताः समाव्या वाऽऽक्षेपाश्च प्रश्नप्रणाल्या प्रागुक्तमाध्यद्वये सङ्ग्रियतास्तेषां प्रतिचचनानि च स्वसिद्धान्तक्षेण । या स्वव्याख्यानरीतिमाँ सिकाध्यापने ग्रुविभः पूर्व समाश्रिता तस्या एव लिखितरूपं निदर्शनमुत्तरभाविषु वृहदाकारेषु माध्यप्रन्थेषु लभ्यते । एवं पाणिनियतज्ञलिप्रसृतिभिवयाक्षरणैः प्रदर्शिता पदव्याख्यानरीतिः, जीतमादिनयायिकः प्रकटीकृता प्रमाणपरीक्षारीतिश्चेतीयं व्याख्यात्रिपयगा सुविमर्शवलसमृद्धया ज्ञानक्षेत्रं सस्यक्रसम्पद्धमातन्त्राना वाक्ष्यात्रिपयगा सुविमर्शवलसमृद्धया ज्ञानक्षेत्रं सस्यक्रसम्पद्धमातन्त्राना वैदिकावैदिकसक्लदार्शनिकमान्या शिष्यजनोपकर्षी च सञ्जक्षेत्र ।

स्त्रितिमाणकालात्युवं यथा वेदार्यसाधनस्तानां यडक्कानां तथा पड्दर्शनानामिप मौसिकी परम्पराऽविश्वित्रहाऽउसीत्। अन्यया पड्दर्शनस्त्राणां विरचनमसम्मवमभविष्यत्। यशतत्त्वपुरस्कारेण ब्राह्मणादिग्रन्थे-इपवृद्दितं घमंशास्त्रोपकारि च यन्मीमांसाशास्त्रं तत्स्त्रकाले क्रेमिनिकृतन्या-यसाहस्त्र्यां वेदार्थनिणयविषये सम्पूर्णतां परमं प्रामाण्यं चोपकरमौ। 'न्याय'-शब्दोऽत्र न केवलं गोतमीयन्यायशास्त्रवाची, किन्तु लोक-व्यवहारागमयुक्तिसिद्धन्यायवास्यपि। एते वेभिनिस्त्रक्ष्यता मीमांसान्यायाः शब्दतत्त्वमग्रतो निधायार्थनिष्यंरणे साधनभूताः सन्तो यथा धमंशास्त्रनिणये तथा व्याकरणस्त्रनियोक्षनरीतौ परिभाषारूपणापि परमोपकारकाः सञ्ज्ञिरे। एवं मीमांसाशास्त्रं न केवलं धमंशास्त्रस्य, अपि द्व व्याकरणाद्यन्यशास्त्राः पामप्युपकारकं सम्भूतमित्यनुमीयते। गौतमकृतन्यायस्त्रशास्त्र्यं तक्ष्यास्त्रम् 'आन्वीक्षिकी दत्यिमधानेन लोकेषु मुखपरम्परया सुप्रचारं, चरकसंहितायां चासरसंनिविष्टं खातम्। न्यायशास्त्रापरपर्यावैषाऽऽन्वीक्षिकी विद्या कोटिली-यार्थमास्त्र—" हेतुभिरन्वीक्षमाणाऽऽन्वीक्षिकी लोकस्योपकरोति, व्यसनेऽम्युदये च बुद्धिमवस्थापवित, प्रज्ञावावयिक्षयावैद्यारद्यं च करोति—

प्रदीपः सर्वविद्यानामुपायः सर्वकर्मणाम् । आश्रयः सर्वधर्माणां श्रयदान्वीक्षिकी मता॥"

इति यथार्थस्तुतिवाक्यै: समुत्कृष्टां स्थाति प्राप । एवं सुत्रनिर्माणकाळात्पूर्वे तदनन्तरमपि पडक्केपु 'मुखं व्याकरणं स्मृतम् ' इति शिक्षावचनानुकारेण ब्याकरणं, पह्दर्शनेषु घमंशास्त्रोपकारि पूर्वमीमांसाशास्त्रमुत्तरमीमांसाशास्त्रं च, तथा प्रमाणोपवृहितं सर्वशास्त्रोपकारकं न्यायशास्त्रं चेति शास्त्रचतुष्टयं सविधेयं पाठ्यक्रमसमाविष्टं वभूव। परस्परोपकारित्वाचानि सर्वाण्यपि शास्त्राणि कस्यचिद्रिय जनस्याध्ययने समकक्षात्वं समसेविभागं च भेजिरे। किन्त्व-ध्ययनानुक्रमे व्याकरणमेव मुखत्वेनादौ संमानं छेमे। यतः " प्रधानं च पट्स्वक्नेपु व्याकरणम्। प्रधाने च कृतो यलः फलवान् भवति " (पातः म. भा. १११)। तथा च 'काणादं पाणिनीयं च सर्वशास्त्रोपकारकम्' इत्युक्तिः काणादोपलक्षितन्यायशास्त्रसिद्धान्तानां पाणिनीयव्याकरणसिद्धान्तानां न केवलं व्यापकत्वं प्रकटयन्ति, किन्तु प्रायो निखिलवैदिकावैदिकदार्शनि-कमान्यत्वमपि। यतः सःस्वपि विभिन्नसम्प्रदायिषु व्याकरणेषु, वैदाङ्गत्वेन शिरोधार्यता गतं पाणिनीयव्याकरणं बौद्धायवदिकदार्शनिकेष्वपि पाठ्यत्वेन प्रभूतादरभाजनमभवदिति ' इत्सिङ्ग-बुएन्त्सङ्ग '-प्रभृतिचीनयात्रिकाणो वृत्तान्तेम्योऽवगम्यते । एव तदानी सत्यामपि तौलनिकशानाधिगमपवृत्ती, अनन्तरवर्तिनि काले 'द्वादशवर्षेव्यांकरणं श्रुयते ' (पञ्चतन्त्रम्) इत्यादीनि बचनानि परिवृत्ति गतामध्ययनाध्यापनरीति ख्यापयन्ति। अतो नैतिचित्रं अद्वेदाध्ययनापेक्षया वेदाङ्गैकाध्ययन एव प्रदीर्घे काल यापयतां शिष्याणां मतिस्तीलनिकशानापेक्षया शास्त्रेकदेशनैपुण्ये दर्शनैकदेशवैदग्ध्यसम्पादने वाड विकतरं व्यासकेति । तथापि पदवाक्यप्रमाणपराणां यथोत्तरं व्याकरणमीमांसा-न्यायशास्त्राणामन्योन्यपूरकत्वसिद्धये, शास्त्रदृष्टेः पूर्णत्वापादनाय च, अधि-गतेऽपि व्याकरणादिविशिष्टशास्त्रस्य साङ्गोपाङ्गे शाने, मीमासान्यायाद्यन्य-शास्त्राणो स्यूलं शानं प्रत्येकं पण्डितेनाधिमन्तव्यमिति प्रणास्यद्य यावन्त्रारते प्रचिता इरीहर्यते। यथा-सञ्जातेऽपि महाभाष्यान्तव्याकरणपावीच्ये,प्रत्येकं शास्त्रिप्रवरेण 'सिद्धान्तमुक्तावसिं' यावन्न्यायशास्त्रस्य, 'मीमांसान्यायप्रकाशं' -यावत्पूर्वनीमांसायाः, 'वेदान्तपरिभाषां ' यावदुत्तरमीमांसायाश्च तौडनिक- शास्त्रहिष्टसमादकमध्ययनमवदयं विधेयमिति विद्यते सम्प्रदावोऽद्यापि प्राचीनायां संस्कृताच्ययनाध्यापनपरिपोट्याम् । सत्यवकाश एतद्विकः शास्त्राणामप्यध्यवनं विधीयेत केनापि कृशाममतिमता, किन्तु नायं सावैत्रिको नियमः । उक्तमत्र विधये सुकृते यथा—

एकं शास्त्रमधीयानी न विद्याच्छास्निश्चयम् । तस्माद्वहुश्रुतः शास्त्रं विजानीयाच्चिकित्सकः ॥

एतैः पदवास्यप्रमाणपरैः शास्त्रैः शब्दतत्त्वमधिकृत्याऽऽविष्कृतेष्वमि-प्रायेषु यथा वैमत्यं तथा समन्वयोऽपि परिलक्ष्यते । तस्समन्वयाधारेणाऽ-ऽलङ्कारिकैः शब्दतन्वसङ्कलन साहित्यसाले कृत्वीचरतनगुरशिष्याणां कृते काव्यपदनपाठनयोर्महती पदवी निर्मिता । एतेषां व्याकरणमीमांशान्यायादीनां प्रतिशास्त्रं शब्दतस्वानि, तेषां तस्वाना पूर्वोत्तरमावं गौणप्रधानभावं चाधि-कृत्य तत्र तत्रोपलम्यमानं ज्ञानव्यवस्थितिपद्वाच्यं प्रयोगप्रमुखं पद्धतिविज्ञानं, तेषां सकलानामपि समन्वयसाधनानीत्यादयो विषया अत्र परिगणनाहाः, तेषां तत्त्वानामाल्हारिकैविहितः समन्वयोप्यत्र संलक्ष्यः । किन्तु मकुत-प्रबन्धभूरिविस्तरभिया तत्कमणोऽत्र विरम्यते । अपि च तेषां तत्वानां पठनपाठनोपयुक्ताः केचिदंशाः " Oriental Methodology of Education" इत्यस्मदीयाऽऽङ्ग्लभाषागतप्रवन्धे समुपन्यस्ता इत्यतः पुनककिदोषोऽ-प्यत्र परिवर्ण्यते । आङ्ग्लभाषाभिज्ञैरपर्युक्तिलितशब्दतस्वानि तस्मिन्नाङ्ग्ल-भाषागतप्रवन्ध एव नयनविषयीक्तंन्यानि । अत्र तु सङ्क्षेपार्ये समुपन्यस्यन्ते प्राचीने काले विद्रत्मंसद्भिर्मिणीताः, गुरमुखपरम्परामागंणऽऽयाताः, पदवानप-प्रमाणपरा अत एव शब्दतत्त्वमवलम्बमानाः, शास्त्रव्यवस्थितिपद्वाच्या अत एव तन्त्रयुत्तयपराभिधानाः, गीर्बाणवाकाये टेखसंनिविष्टाः सर्वव्यापिन्योऽ थैनिधारणरीतयो, या न केवलमायुर्वेदार्थनिणैयेऽथैशास्त्रार्थनिख्ये, अपि त सर्वशास्त्रार्थनियोवने सामान्यतः साधनभूताः सत्यः पौरस्त्यानां पद्धति-विज्ञानस्य पूर्वतनं स्वरूपं मुविश्वद प्रदर्शयन्ति । परिकर्पनीयमत्र यद् व्याकरणमीमांशस्यायादिशास्त्राणां समुपन्यासोचिता माषातत्त्वविषयिणः विदानता एतास्वर्यनित्रीरणरीतिरूपासु तन्त्रयुक्तिषु गतायां इति, यतः शब्दतत्त्वावलिनम् शास्त्रययुक्तय एवात्र समासेनोपनिवद्धाः सन्ति ।

भाषातस्वस्वरूपं द्विविधम् । प्रथमं भाषायास्तस्वशानं (Theory), द्वितीयं च तस्याः प्रयोगविज्ञानम् (Practice) । प्रयोगविज्ञानमेव पद्धति-शास्त्रस्वरूपेणावतीण सद्भिज्ञजनानां कियाकौश्चलं विविधैः प्रकारैरभि-व्यनक्ति । आदौ माधायास्तत्त्वज्ञाने, भाषोत्यत्तेमीपाया बहिराविष्कृतेश्राऽऽ ध्यात्मिकं व्यापारमनुस्त्य मुख्यामितस्वाना सङ्कलनं समावेशमईति । अनन्तरं भाषायाः प्रयोगविज्ञाने भाषातस्वज्ञानं तथोपयोक्तव्यं, यथा मापाध्ययनाच्यापनकमो बालाना बोधसौक्यांप रचये च मवेत्। भाषायास्तरवज्ञानं यथा पौरस्त्यानामाद्यप्रन्येषु तदुत्तरकाळीनेषु व्याकरण-मीमांसान्यायशाक्रेषु च, तथा भाषायाः प्रयोगविज्ञानमादौ मौलिका-ध्यापने तदनु चार्थविवृतिपरेषु टीकाअन्धेषु, पदमहमत । टीकाअन्थे-ष्विप कदाचिदुमयोर्मापातत्त्वज्ञान-प्रयोगविज्ञानयोः, कदाचित्र प्रयोगविज्ञान-मात्रस्योपन्यासः क्रियते । अतः प्रयोगविज्ञानप्रधानं टीकावाळायमेव पौर-स्यानां पद्धतिशास्त्रस्याध्यापनपद्धतीनां च मूर्तिमन्निद्द्यनिमिति निश्चेतुं शस्यम् । अहोपस्थाप्यमानासु तन्त्रयुक्तिषु तु भाषायास्तत्त्वशानं स्वयमन्त-हिंतं भवत्प्रयोगविज्ञानमेव विराष्ट्रमग्रतो निद्धाति । एतास्तन्त्रयुक्तवधीका-अन्येष्वप्ययंविवृत्यर्थे समाभिताः सन्ति । भाषायास्तत्वज्ञानस्य प्रयोगविज्ञान-रूपेण विपरिणमनं, गवेपणापूर्वकं प्राचीनाध्यापनपद्धतेनिवेदनं, तदुचित-मागस्याधुनिकाध्यापने हितकारि नियोजनमित्येव विद्यतेऽत्रास्मदीयं मुख्यं प्रयोजनम् । अतधीकाकुद्रचैनेवार्षे तास्तन्त्रयुक्तयो गुरुशिष्यजनोपकृतयेऽ-त्रोदाहियन्ते । तन्त्रं नाम झास्रं प्रयोगिविज्ञानं वा । तस्मिञ्छास्रे प्रयोग-विज्ञाने वा या अर्थसाधनरीतयो युव्यन्ते सस्तन्त्रयुक्तयः शास्त्रभूपणानि भवन्ति । उक्तमभियुक्तैस्तासां तन्त्रयुक्तीनां सर्वव्यापित्वं, यथा---

तन्त्रे समासञ्यासोक्ता भवन्त्येता हि इत्स्नशः।
एकदेशेन इष्ट्या तु समासाभिहितं यथा ॥
एकस्भिन्निप यस्येह शास्त्रे स्टब्धा सदा मितः।
स शास्त्रमन्यद्ष्याशु युक्ति झात्वा प्रवृध्यते ॥
अधीयानोऽपि शास्त्राणि तन्त्रयुक्त्यविचक्षणः।
नाधिगच्छित शास्त्रार्थानश्रीन् भाग्यक्षये यथा ॥

दुर्गृहीतं क्षिणोत्येव शाखं शखमित्राबुधम् । सुगृहीतं तदेव झं शाखं शखं च रक्षति ॥

द्विविषं प्रयोजनं तासां तत्त्रयुक्तीनाम् । वाक्ययोजनमर्थयोजनं चेति ।

"वाक्यस्यासम्बद्धस्य योजनं सम्बन्धनं वाक्ययोजनम्" । "लीनस्यासङ्कतस्य
चार्थस्य प्रकाशन सङ्गतीकरणं चार्थयोजनम्" । एतदर्थांश्वात्र स्त्रोकाः
सन्त--

असद्वादिप्रयुक्तानां वाक्यानां प्रतिपेधनम् । स्ववाक्यसिद्धिरिष च क्रियते तन्त्रयुक्तिभिः ॥ व्यक्ता नोक्तास्तु ये हार्था लीना ये चाप्यनिर्मलाः । लेशोक्ता ये च केचित्स्युस्तेषां चापि प्रसाधनम् ॥ यथान्त्रजवनस्यार्कः प्रदीपो वेदमनो यथा । प्रयोधस्य प्रकाशार्थं तथा तन्त्रस्य युक्तयः ॥

एतास्तन्त्रयुक्तयः मुभुतमहितायां कौटिलीर्थशास्त्रे च हात्रिशस्त-ङ्ख्याकाः, चरकमहितायां पट्त्रिशस्त्रङ्ख्याकाः, अन्यत्र च चत्वारिशस्त-ङ्ख्याकाः परिगणिताः । चरकमहितायां तामामुद्देशो यया—

तत्राधिकरणं योगो हेत्वर्थोऽर्थः पदस्य च।
प्रदेशोदेशनिर्देशवाक्यशेपाः प्रयोजनम् ॥
उपदेशापदेशातिदेशार्थापत्तिनिर्णयाः ।
प्रसङ्गिकान्तनैकान्ताः सापवर्गा विपर्ययः ॥
पूर्वपश्चविधानातुमतव्यास्यानसंशयाः ॥
अतीतानागतावेक्षा-स्वसंझोद्धसमुख्याः ॥
निदर्शनं निर्वचनं सिन्नयोगो विकल्पनम् ।
प्रत्युचारस्तथोद्धारः सम्भवस्तन्त्रयुक्तयः ॥

अत्र योगोद्देशनिर्देशादीनां वाक्ययोजनं प्रयोजनं, अधिकरणपदार्थो-बादीनामर्थयोजनं च प्रयोजनम्। एतासां तन्त्रयुक्तीनां सोदाहरणानि उद्याणानि, यथा—

तन्त्रयुक्तयः।

१ अधिकरणम्-यमर्थमिकित्योच्यते (अपरेऽर्था अभिधीयन्ते) तद्धिकरणम्।

२ योगः-येन वाक्यं युज्यते स (विपर्ययेणोक्तानां सम्रिक्ट ष्टपदा-नामेकीकरणं) योगः। वाक्ययोजना योगः (को. अ.)। दूरस्थानां व्यस्तानां वा पदानामेकीकरणं योगो योजना वा।

३ हेत्वर्थ:-यदन्यदुक्तमन्यार्थसाधकमुमयार्थसाधकं वा भवति स क्षेत्वर्थ: । हेतुरयसाधको हेत्वर्थ: (कीटि. अर्थशास्त्रम् = की. अ.)।

४ पदार्थः -योऽयोऽभिहितः स्ले परे वा स पदार्थः। पदस्य पदयोः पदानां वाऽर्थः पदार्थः। पदार्ते गम्यते येन अर्थः इति स्त्रस्यापि पदत्वात्स्त्रे योऽर्थः प्रतिपादितः स पदार्थः। पदार्थस्त्वनेकस्तत्र योऽर्थः पूर्वापरयोगिषदः (पूर्वोक्तपरोक्तवाद्यसम्बन्धनोपपद्यः) मवति कोऽर्थो गाग्रः। यथा-चदोत्यक्तिमध्यापं व्याख्यास्यामं इत्युक्ते सन्दिद्यते बुद्धः-कतमस्य वेदस्या-यमुत्पक्ति विवश्वस्ति। यतः ऋग्वदादयस्तु वेदाः। विद विचारणे विदल्लामे इत्यत्योश्च घात्वोरनेकाययोः प्रयोगः। तल पूर्वापरयोगमुपळभ्य प्रतिपत्ति-भवति ' आयुर्वेदोत्पत्तिमयं विवश्वस्ति एप पदार्थः'। पदावधिकः पदार्थः (कौ. अ.)।

५ (अ) प्रदेशः-प्रकृतस्यातिकान्तेन साधनं प्रदेशः। यद् बहुत्वादर्भस्य कार्स्स्येनाभिधातुमशक्यस्यादतिदेशेनाभिधीयते । अर्थानुपात्तैकदेशोऽयसुक्तः (चक्रपाणि:-चक्र.)। वक्तव्येन साधनं प्रदेशः (क्री. अ.)।

(आ) उपमानम्-द्रष्टेनाद्रहस्य साधनप्रुपमानम् (की. अ.)।

६ उद्देश:-समासवचनं (संक्षेपेण भणनं) उद्देश: । यथा-सामान्यं च विशेषं च गुणान् द्रव्याणि कमें च । समयायं च तन्त्रास्वा तन्त्रोकं विधिमास्थिता: । (मङ्गाधर: - गं.)। समासवाक्यमुद्देश: (की. का.)।

७ निर्देश:-विस्तरवचनं निर्देश:। धंक्षेपेणोक्तस्य विवरणं वा। (चक्र.)। व्यासवाक्यं निर्देश: (की. अ.)। ८ वाक्यक्षेषः—येन परेनानुक्तेन वाक्यं परिसमाप्यते स वाक्यक्षेषः। यस्यार्थेन याक्येषु पदगम्यमानतया पूर्वेये पूरणं स वाक्यक्षेषः। यथा— प्रवृत्तिहेंतुभावानाभित्यत्र 'अस्ति ' इति पदं पूर्यते। याक्यवत् पदानि क्षेषास्त्र कियन्ते येऽनिवेशिता अपि प्रतीयन्ते (चक्र.)। येन वाक्यं समा-प्यते स वाक्यक्षेषः (की. अ.)।

९ प्रयोजनम् -यदर्थमारम्यन्त आरम्भास्तत्वयोजनम् (गं.)।
 यदर्थे कामयमाना प्रवृत्तिस्तद् (चक्र.)।

१० उपदेश:- इदमेवं (कुर्यात्) इदं नैविमिति बदुच्यते ।-तथा न बाएयाद्राभी दिवा स्वप्नं च वर्षयेत् । उपदेशी नामातानुशासनम् । अत्र प्राधिक उपदेशः । उपदेशी नियोगात् भिद्यते, यतो नियोगे व्यभिचारी नास्ति, अप्राधिकत्वादपरिद्वार्यत्वं विद्यते । यथा-प्रथमेव मोकव्यमिति । एवं वर्तितव्यमित्युपदेशः (की. आ.)।

११ अपदेशः - अनेन कारणेनेदमिति (कार्यं प्रति हेतुकथनम्) अपदेशः । अपदेशो नाम पत् प्रतिशातार्थसाधनाय हेतुवचनम् । तःप्रति-शातार्थस्य हेतुवचनं दुष्परिहरत्वादिति (चक्र.)। एवमसावाहेत्यपदेशः (की. अ.)।

१२ अतिदेशः — उक्तेन साधनमितदेशः (कौ. अ.)। यत्मकृतस्या-नागतेन (मिविष्यता) साधनं अतिदेशः (गं.)। अतिदेशो नाम यत्कि-ज्ञित्मकाश्याचम्मनुकार्यसाधनायैवमन्यदि प्रत्येतस्यमिति परिमाष्यते। सधा—"वश्चान्यदि किज्ञितस्यादनुक्तमिहं पूजितम्। पूर्णं तदिपे वात्रेयः सदैवमनुमन्यते" (चक्र.)।

१३ अथोपत्तिः-यत्र केनचिद्येंनोकेन परस्यार्थस्यानुक्तस्य विद्विः (गं.) । अर्थापत्तिनाम पदकीतितम् (अप्रतिपादितम्) इति मत्वाऽर्थादा-पद्यते साऽर्यापत्तिः । यथा-' ओदनं मोध्ये ' इत्युक्तेऽर्यादापत्तं मवति-नायं पिपासुर्यवाग्मिति । (चक्र.) । यदनुक्तमर्यादापद्यते सार्योपत्तिः (की. अ.) ।

१४ निर्णयः (उत्तरपद्मः)- यत्पूर्वपक्षस्योत्तरवचनं स निर्णयः (गं.)। निर्णयो नाम विचारितस्यार्थस्य व्यवस्थापनम् । (चक्र.)। तस्य-(पूर्वपद्मस्य) निर्णयनवाक्यमुत्तरपञ्जो वा (की. अ.)।

१५ प्रसङ्ग:- यत्प्रकारान्तरेण समापनं स प्रसङ्गः, यद्वा प्रकरणा-न्तरितो योऽर्थोऽसङ्गदुक्तः समाप्यते स प्रसङ्गः (गं.)। प्रसङ्गो नाम पूर्वाभिद्दितस्यार्थस्य प्रकरणगतत्वात्पुनरभिधानम् । (चक्र.) । प्रकरणान्तरेण समानोऽर्थः प्रसङ्गः (को. अ.)।

१६ एकान्त:- सबंघ यदबचारणेन (अविकल्पेन, नियमेन) उच्यते स एकान्तः । उदाहरणेऽवधारणवाचिन एककारस्य निर्देशात् । अवधारणं विवेयायोगव्यवच्छेदः। तथा च पार्थ एव सर्वोत्कृष्टधनुर्धर इति पार्थे सर्वोत्कृष्टचनुर्धरत्वायोगस्यवच्छेदेनैकान्तः । सर्वत्रायत्तमेकान्तः (कौ. अ.)।

१७ नैकान्तः (अनेकान्तः)-कचित्तया कचिदन्यधेति यः सोऽ नेकान्तः। क्रनित्सवातीये तथा क्रचिदन्ययेति । यथा-सन्त होके प्रत्यक्ष पराः परोक्षत्वात् पुनर्भवस्य नास्तिक्यमाश्रिताः, सन्ति चापरे आगमप्रत्यया देव पुनर्भविमिच्छन्तीति (गं.)। नैकान्तः पुनरत्युग्रशब्दात् सर्वगो न चेदित्यादिनान्यतस्यक्षावधारणम् (चक.)।

१८ अपवर्गः-अभिष्ठुतव्यपकर्पणम्पवर्गः (की. अ.)। यद-मिन्याप्यापकर्षणं सोऽपवर्गः (गं.)। साकत्येनोदिष्टस्यैकदेशापकर्षणम्। गुणिकयादिमिः सर्वतो वाऽभिन्याप्य कस्यचित्यदार्थस्य तेम्यो गुणिकयादिम्यः प्रथकरणमपवर्गः । यया-सर्वमम्हं पित्तकरमन्यत्र दाहिमामलकात्।

१९ विपर्यय:-यद्यत्राभिहितं तस्य प्रातिलोभ्यं (विपरीतं ग्रहणम्) विपयंगः। विपर्वयेण विपरीतमेव गम्यते, अर्थापस्याऽविपरीत एव अर्थः प्रतीयत इत्यनवीर्भेदः । यथा-कृशास्त्रप्राणमीरवो दुश्चिकित्स्या इत्युक्ते विपरीतं गृह्यते इटाइयः मुचिकित्स्या इति। प्रतिलोमेन साधनं विपर्ययः (की. अ.)।

२० पूर्वपक्ष:-आक्षेपपूर्वकः प्रश्नः पूर्वपक्षः (ग.)। पूर्वपक्षो नाम प्रतिशातार्थवाल्यार्थसन्दूषकं वाक्यम् (चक्र.)। प्रतिषेद्धव्यं वाक्यं पूर्वपद्यः

(南, 四,)1

२१ विधानम्-प्रकरणातुपूर्वांऽभिहितं विधानम् (गं.)। केचितु प्रकरणानुपूर्वायांभिषानं विषानमाहुः (चक्र.)। शास्त्रस्य प्रकरणानुपूर्वी विधानम् (की. अ.)।

२२ अनुमतम्-यत्परमतमप्रतिषिध्योध्यते तदनुमतम्। यथा-तत्रातीत्द्रियं पुनर्मनः सत्त्वसंज्ञकं चेत इत्याहुरेके (गं.)। अनुमतं नाम सह एकीयमतस्यानिवारणेनानुमननम् (चक्रः)। परवाक्यमप्रतिषिद्धमनुमतम्। (को. अ.)।

२३ व्याख्यानम्-अतिशयवणैना व्याख्यानम् (कौ. अ.)। यदतिशयेन वर्णनं (ख्यापनं) तद् व्याख्यानम् (गं.)। व्याख्यानं नाम यत्तर्ववृद्धिविषयं व्याक्रियते, यथा—"प्रथमे माप्ति संमूर्विवतः सर्वेषातु-कञ्जपीकृतः खेटभूतो भवत्यव्यक्तविष्रदः" इत्यादिना वाक्यस्य विदितार्थस्य व्याकरणम्।

२४ संशय: - उमयतो हेतुमानर्यः संशयः (कौ. अ.)। उमयहेतु-दर्शनं संशयः। उमयोर्नेस्टशयोर्थयोहेतुस्तस्य दर्शनम्। यथा - इतश्च्युताः पुनर्भविष्यामो न वेति संशयः, करमात्! सन्ति होके प्रत्यक्षपराः परोक्षत्वात् पुनर्भवस्य नास्तिक्यमाश्रिताः, सन्ति चापरे आगमप्रत्ययादेव पुनर्भव-मिन्छन्तीति (गं.)। विशेषाकाङ्शावारितोमयविषयशानं वा संशयः (चक्र.)। संशयो नाम सन्दिर्वेष्वर्थेष्वनिश्चयः (गं.)।

२५ अतीतावेक्षा (अतिकान्तावेक्षणम्)-यत्पूर्वयक्तं तदः तिकान्तस्यातीतस्य पुनरवेषणम्। पुरस्तादेवं विद्वितमित्यतिकान्तावेक्षणम् (को. अ.)।

२६ अनागतावेद्धा (अनागतावेद्धणम्)-यदेवं वस्पतीत्यवेद्धयते तदनागतावेद्धणम् (गं.)। यदनागतं (भविष्यन्तं) विचि प्रमाणीकृत्यार्थं-साधनम् (कार्यार्थमवलोकनम्) (चक्र.)। पश्चादेवं विहित्तित्यनागता-वेद्धणम् (की. अ.)।

२७ स्वसंज्ञा-या न शास्त्रान्तरसामान्या सा स्वसंज्ञा (गं.)। मुश्रुते च -अन्यशास्त्रासामान्या स्वसंज्ञा । अन्यानि शास्त्राणि व्याकरणादीनि, तेषु असामान्या-असाधारणा, तत्राननुगता स्वशास्त्रेक्षेत्र प्रयोजनवतीत्वर्यः (इस्त्रणः - इ.)। या तत्त्रकारैक्षेत्रदाराथे संज्ञा कियते सा (चक्र.)। परिरक्षमितः शब्दः स्वसंज्ञा (को. अ.)। २८ उद्धाम्-यदिनिर्देष्टं बुद्धिमता बुद्धचाऽवगम्यते तद्द्धम (मुक्षुतम्-मु.)। ऊहो नाम पदिनबन्धमन्ये प्रज्ञपा तर्क्यत्वेनोपदेश्यते, यथा-ध्यद्वक्तमध्यनुकतं सत् तदेत्यज्ञापकपंयेत् ' इत्यादि (चक.)। बुद्धिगम्यमूद्धम् (इ.)। अनुक्तकरमूद्धम् (को. अ.)।

२९ समुद्धय:-यदिदं चेदं चेत्युच्यते स समुद्धय: (गं.)। यदा-दितदन्ते 'च'कृत्वा विभीयते, यथा-वर्णश्च स्वरस्थित्यादि (चक्र.)। अनेन चानेन चेति समुद्धय: (की. अ.)।

३० निद्धानम् - यस हष्टान्तेनार्थप्रसाधनं तन्निद्धानम् । सुश्रुते च हष्टान्तेनार्थः प्रसाध्यते यत्र तन्निद्धानम् । अत एव च निद्धानात् हेल्व्यो मिद्यते, हेल्व्यास्य हष्टान्तमालत्वात् (गं.) । निद्धानं नाम मूलंविदुषां बुद्धिसाम्यविषयो हष्टान्तः (चक्र.) । हष्टान्तो हष्टान्तयुक्तो निद्धानम् (की. आ.) ।

३१ निर्वचनम् - यक्तिश्चतमुच्यते तक्तिवंचनम् । यथा-आयु-वेदयतीति, आयुर्विद्यतेऽस्मिन्ननेन वा, आयुर्विन्दतीत्यायुर्वेद इति (गं.)। निर्वचनं नाम पण्डितदुद्धिगम्यो दृष्टान्तः, यथा ' ज्ञायते नित्यगत्यैव काळ-स्यात्ययकारणम् '। निद्र्यनिनिर्वचनयोश्यं विशेषो यत् निद्र्यनं मूर्वविदुषां बुद्धिशम्यविषयो दृष्टान्तः । निर्वचनं तु पण्डितबुद्धिवेद्यमेव । कि वा नि-वचनं निरुक्तिर्यथा-' विविधं सर्पति यतो विसर्यस्तेन संशितः ' इत्यादि (चक्र.)। गुणतः शब्दनिष्यत्तिनिर्वचनम् (को. अ.)।

३२ सिन्नयोगः (नियोगः)-यदिदमेव कार्ये, नेदं कार्यमित्येवं प्रेरणं नियोगः । यथा-मात्राधी स्यात्; यथा च देवगोन्नाहणगुरुब्रद्ध-षिद्धाचार्यानचेयदित्येवमादिः (गं.)। अवस्यानुष्टेयतया विधानम् (चक्र.)। एवं नान्ययेति नियोगः (को. अ.)।

३३ विकल्पनं (विकल्पः) – यस वेति विशेषेण करणते तत्। यथा – मद्यमस्यं न वा पेयमथ वा सुबहुदकमिति। सुश्रुते च – इदं वेदं वेति विकल्पः (गं.)। प्रत्येकं वाश्रव्दीपादानमस्रोदाहरणे यस इतं तदेकेनापि वाश्रव्देन बहुषु विकल्पतादर्शनार्थम्। कचिद्वाशब्दं विनाऽपि विकल्पो भवति (ड.)। विकल्पः पाक्षिकामिधानम् (चक्र.)। अनेन वानेन वेति विकल्पः (क्षो. अ.)।

३४ प्रत्युचार:-परपूर्वमुचरितं पुनस्तथैवोचार्यते (गं.)। प्रत्यु चारो नाम परनिवारणम् (चक.)।

 ३५ उद्घार:-यदुपदिष्टार्थमनुख्त्योद्धायते (गं.)। उद्घारो नाम परपञ्जवूषण दत्या स्वपक्षोद्धारण वा (चक.)।

\$\frac{2}{3} \times \text{depth} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ur} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ur} \text{ur} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ur} = \fra

[ण्तिबिहाङ्किता युक्तयः सुश्रुतसंहितायां कीटिलीयार्थशास्त्रे च न सन्ति ।]

" महारहरिश्चन्द्रेण चतस्त्रस्तन्त्रयुक्तयः परिप्रश्रन्थाकरणव्युत्कान्ता-मिषानहत्त्वास्त्या व्याहृताः । ताश्चेतास्त्वेव (पट्त्रिशस्त्येव) अन्तर्मावनीयाः। तत्र परिप्रश्न उद्देशेऽन्तर्मवति, व्याकरणं तु व्यास्थाने । व्युत्कान्ता-मिषानं निर्देशभेदः। हेतुशब्देन यानि प्रत्यक्षादीनि प्रमाणानि [न्यायशास्त्रे] उक्तानि तानि हेताबन्तर्मवन्ति।" (चक्र०)

एवं 'तन्त्र-(शास्त्र)-सारगवेषणे सम्यग्विनिहिताः ', 'शब्दार्थत्यायसंयुताः ', 'दीपाँभूताः 'तन्त्रयुक्तयः पदवाक्यप्रमाणविद्यासुभिविधिवदेदितव्याः । यद्यप्येताः सामान्यतो निस्तिस्त्रशास्त्रशास्त्रीन्योजनेऽतितरासुपयुक्तास्त्रथापि विश्विष्टशास्त्रार्थाविष्ठार्था विश्विष्टयुक्तीनासुपयोजनं मितमयोजकाधीनमेव । पुरातने काले यथा मौस्तिकाध्यापने, तथाऽर्थविद्यतिपरे
लिखिताध्यापनरूपे वा टीकावास्त्रयेऽप्येतासासुपयोजनं विश्विष्टशास्त्रार्थविनिश्रयाय सङ्ख्यायद्भिरगुष्टितपूर्वमेव । अतोऽधुनावनाध्यापनेऽप्यस्यप्यमानविशिष्टविषयसन्दर्भानुसरणेपरितनउन्त्रयुक्तीनां वथासम्भवसुपयोजनं
टीकावास्त्रयानुशीलनपूर्वकं विषयभिति नैव प्रयङ् निवेदनीयं प्रेक्षावजनेभ्यः ।
अनेनैवाभिप्रायेण सुभृते लिखितं, यथा—

सामान्यदर्शनेनासां व्यवस्था सम्प्रदर्शिता। विशेषस्तु यथायोगमुपधार्यो विपश्चिता॥ इति। इत्यं शक्तिमहोपायभृताया विवृतेः मुरभारतीवाङ्मयोदयौ महस्वं समवद्यथ्यैव तद्विषये विस्तरतो व्याख्यानमत्र विहितम् ।

(८) सिद्धपदसांनिध्यम् पिछ्यदस्य सानिध्यपित्यध्याः शक्तिः महोतायः। "प्रसिद्धपदस्य सानिध्यादि शक्तिग्रहो यथा—'इइ सहकारतरी मधुरं पिको रीति 'इत्यादी पिकशब्दस्य मधुरादिप्रसिद्धपदसानिध्यात् कोकिले शक्तिग्रहः" (सिद्धान्तमुक्तावलिः)। शिक्षपदसानिध्यं
सानिध्यमात्रात्किञ्चिद्धस्यते, तथापि सांनिध्यपदोत्तारणेनात्र वाक्यान्वयसाधनमृतं संनिधि—तस्तं स्मृतिसर्णमापाति, संनिधिक्षात्र मीमासकैकदेशिभिरभिद्दितान्वयवादिभिष्ठपादेशनामाकाङ्क्षा — योग्यता —संनिधीना वाक्याश्रभूतपदान्वयोपयोगिनामुष्यक्षणं भवति । आकाङ्क्षा—
योग्यता—संनिध्यक्ष पुनः पदान्वयपुरस्तरं दण्डान्वयमुलेन, खण्डान्वयमुखेन वा वाक्यप्रकरणाद्यम्यम्यगमयन्ति, ततो तात्पर्यवृत्त्या वाक्यमहावाक्यमुखेन वा वाक्यप्रकरणाद्यम्यम्यगमयन्ति, ततो तात्पर्यवृत्त्या वाक्यमहावाक्यश्रम्यादिवोधाय क्रमशः सद्दायीमवन्तिति मापातस्वान्यत्र सविशेषं स्मृतौ
संस्थाप्यानि।

उपसंहारः ।

एवमविस्तिमिदं प्रतीस्पद्दष्ट्यवलस्व्यपि प्राच्यमापातस्वाचिष्ठितः मनिनवं शक्तिभद्दोपायविवेचनम् । प्रतीस्पद्दष्ट्यवलम्बस्तु प्राच्यप्रतीच्यः भाषातस्वसमन्त्रयाय तदनन्तरं च भाषास्यवनाध्यापनयोः प्रयोगविज्ञान-सीक्यांय कृतः, न तु पाश्चात्यद्दष्टेः श्रेयस्त्वसाचनायेति विज्ञातन्यं प्रेक्षाविद्धः। यतः कस्यचिद्वज्ञीयविद्वयो वचनानुरोधतः—

पाश्चात्यविदुपां नाहमृणी तद्घन्धकर्पणात् । यद्स्मत्प्राक्तनेभ्यस्तेर्गृहीतणं विशुध्यते ॥

इति रीत्या प्राच्यतस्वान्येवास्माकं जीवातुम्तानि । अत्राष्ट्यक्तिः महोपायेषु व्याकरणव्यवद्वारविष्टतयोऽन्येन्यः शक्तिमहोपायेम्यो विस्तृततरं विमर्शविषयं गताः, अञ्चनातनभाषाच्यापनेऽपि तत्तनमाद्दारम्बस्य चिरस्या-विस्तात् । आदौ ताबद् व्याकरणं विस्तरण विमर्शविषयीभूतम्। यद्यपि प्राच्येर्व्याकरणं मुखल्वेनाञ्चीकृतं, तथापि गीवाणभाषापारिद्वस्यनुरोधेन तरिमन् सुवन्तकारकसमासादीनां प्राधान्यं कथमवतीणं, प्रकृतिप्रत्ययविच्छेदनपूर्विका तिङन्तप्रधाना वौगिकी च पदरूपसिद्धिः ऋमेण क्यं गौणत्वं प्राप्ता इत्येव व्याकरणिविमश्चें प्रदर्शितम् । व्याकरणाध्यापने तु व्यावद्दारिकं प्रयोजनं प्रधानपदं भवते । सामान्यच्छाल्डष्ट्रध्या यौगिकार्थे बोधयतकृतिप्रत्ययादिविधिष्ठं व्याकरणं प्रायो वाङ्मयाध्ययने निष्ययोजनं भवति, अपि च रूदार्थे वोधयतां सिद्धपदानां कारकसमासादिसम्बन्धमाविष्कुर्वद् व्याकरणं मनोव्यापाराः विष्ठितं सद् गीवांणभापापरिष्ट्रस्वनुसारेण वाङ्मयबोधाय पर्यातमित्वयं शास्त्रिपवरेरेवानुस्तृतः सिद्धान्तोऽत्राप्ते स्थापितः । अवध्यम् वन्नमामास्वरेविनियोगविधिविवचने श्रृति-लिङ्ग-वाक्य-प्रकरण-स्थान-समास्वरेत्वेषां पद्प्रमाणानामुत्तरोत्तरं दुबल्दवं प्रस्थापितिमिति । तेन समास्वयायाः (योगि-कार्यस्य) वाक्यार्थनिणीतं स्वति च । अयं सिद्धान्तो वाक्यप्रदितवाङ्मयार्थनिणीयं सर्वेव सलीयस्यं निर्णीतं भवति च । अयं सिद्धान्तो वाक्यप्रदितवाङ्मयार्थनिणीयं सुक्तिः सामान्यच्छालाणां कृते सर्वदेव पुरतः स्थापनीयः । व्याकरणाध्यापने च तात्त्विकी दृष्टिं परिस्यव्य व्यावद्दारिकी दृष्टिः समाश्रवणीयेत्वेव व्याकरण-विमर्शसारः ।

अनन्तरमस्मिञ् शक्तिम्रहविवेचने व्यवहारस्य प्राधान्यं सविशेषं प्रतिष्ठापितं, यतः प्रतीष्यभाषातस्वविद इव प्राष्यभाषातस्वज्ञा अपि मनोविज्ञानाधिष्ठितस्य 'व्यवहार'स्य सर्वपूर्णन्यत्वं 'श्रक्तिमाहकशिरोमणित्वं' वा समकण्डघोषमेव प्रख्यापयन्ति । अत्रैव प्रतीष्यामां संवादपद्धतेव्यंवहारेण साकं साम्यं संलक्ष्यते, न किञ्जिदप्यत्र प्रतीष्यम्यः प्राच्येनृतनमध्यापनतस्वन् मधिगन्तव्यमिति सुष्टु इष्टं स्थात् ।

तदनु विद्वतिविमशें ऽिस्मन्प्रबन्धेऽन्यशक्तिप्रश्चेपायेभ्योऽध्यधिकतरां विस्तृति प्राप्तः । विद्वतिनिदर्शनं टीकापदवाच्यिव्यव्याख्यानस्येण गीर्वाणवाङ्मये भृशं रूम्यते । पुरा समीर्वानव्याख्यातारोऽध्यापकाः किकिल् खणा आसंस्त्या तेषां मीक्षिकव्याख्यानरीतिः कियद्विश्वा प्रचिर्त्तता, के तस्या गुणविशेषाः, ते विशेषाः पुनरणत्वे शास्त्रिवस्याणां मीक्षिकव्याख्याने (अध्यापने) कियदेशेनाधिमम्बन्ते, व्याख्यानपद्धतेः कान्यधिष्ठानभूतानि भाषातस्यानि, तानि भाषातस्याति समवर्लम्ब्य ते ते व्याख्यानगुणा यथा बालानां तथा प्रौदानामधुनातनाध्यापनेऽपि कथं सम्यादनीया इति सर्वमिष्ठ

विवृतिविमश्चे मुविज्ञातं मवेत् । एतः सर्वे ज्ञानं च गुक्शिष्यमितं टीका-बाङ्मयानुष्यीलने नियोजयेत् । तथा हि 'आत्मसंस्था क्रिया ', 'सङ्कान्ति ' स्रोते पुरातनाध्यापकानां गुणद्वयं यथा कालिदासेन मालविकामिमित्रनाटके परिगणितं तथा मुश्रुतेऽपि व्याख्यातुरायुर्वेदाच्यापकस्योभयञ्जलं (शास्त्रजलं तथा कर्मजलं) मतिमस्त्रम् (जहापोइश्चमत्तं) चेति गुणाः कथिताः ते यथा—

> यस्त्भयहो मतिमान् स समर्थोऽर्थसाधने। आह्वे कर्म निवीदुं द्विचकः स्यन्दनी यथा॥ इति।

ईटम्युणकम्पनेन गुरुण पुनः शिष्याणां पुरतो मुखतो व्याख्याने कथमनुष्टेयमित्यत्र विषये मुश्रुते बचनानि यथा—

"अय वत्स ! तदेतदृष्येयं यथा तथोपधारय मया प्रोच्यमानम्-अथ शुच्ये कृतोत्तरासङ्गाय (एहीतोत्तरीयवलाय) अञ्याकुलाय (एकचिताय) उपस्थितायाध्ययनकाले क्षिष्याय यधाशिक
(धिष्यस्य धक्यनिकिमेण, प्रहणसाम्य्यांनुकृत्येन) गुरुरुपदिशेत् पदं
(स्वायन्तं त्याद्यन्तं वा), पादं (क्षोकचतुर्यांधं), क्षोकं (चतुष्यादपरिपूर्णे) या । ते च पद्पादक्षोका भूयः क्षमेणानुसन्धेयाः [तया
हि-पदेन पादः, पदपादास्यो क्षोकः सन्धानीयः]। एवसेकैक्शो
घटयेदात्मना चानुपठेत् [एकमेकंप्रति शिष्य पोलनिका कारवेत् , शिष्यस्य
पश्चादाचार्यः स्वयं पठेत् , शिष्यस्य सुलपठनार्थम्]। अद्रुतमविलिक्यत्म
पश्चिम्भवौद्यहरूतेरनिमनीतं सुसंस्कृतं नात्युचनातिनीचित्र्य स्वरः
पठेत् " ॥ इति ।

इयं स्याख्यानगद्धतिष्टीकाप्रन्यगताया दण्डान्वयपद्धतेमीसिक-मायस्करपित्यत्र विशेषम्। दण्डान्वये नैयायिकमतानुसारेण वाल्यसर्तः कर्तृपदमादौ गद्दीत्वा तदनुक्रमेण वाक्यस्थपदान्वयपूर्वकमर्थविवृतिः क्रियते । इयमेव रीतिकपर्युपस्थापिता। सण्डान्वयपद्धतिस्त्वत्र न वणिता। प्राचीन-कालादारम्याद्य यावत्यातञ्जलद्यावरादिमाध्यशैल्यनुरोधेन परिवृद्धिं गताः प्रश्लोत्तरपद्धतिरेव 'किमो क्रं पुरस्कृत्य' खण्डान्वयस्येणात्तरतनटीका- अन्येष्ववर्तीणां । अस्यां पद्धत्यां मीमांसकरीत्या कियापदमादौ एड्डीत्वा तदनु कारकानुरोधेनोत्यिताकाङ्शाद्वारोत्याप्याकाङ्शाद्वारैव वाक्यस्थानामवशिष्ट-पदानामन्वयविद्वती कियेते । 'व्यवहार '-सहकृतैया खण्डान्वय-पद्धतिः प्रतीच्यानां संवाद्पद्धतेः सुत्तरां साधर्म्यमापन्ना, बाळ-प्रौढानामध्यापनेऽद्यत्वेषि परमहितकारित्वाद्नुसर्णयोग्येत्ययं विषयोऽत्र संस्मरणीयः ।

इदं व्याख्यानपद्धतिद्वयं गुरुणा किमर्थमनुविधेयम । तर्हि "चतुर्भिः प्रकारै विद्योपयुक्ता भवति—आगमकालेन स्वाध्यायकालेन प्रवचनकालेन व्यवहारकालेन " इति (पातं. म. भा.)। तथा च "अधिगतमप्य-ध्ययनमप्रभाषितमर्थतः (अर्थतोऽस्याख्यातम्) खरस्य चन्दनभार इव केवलपरिश्रमकरं भवति। भवति चात्र—

यथा खरखन्दनभारवाही भारस्य वेत्ता न तु चन्दनस्य।

एवं हि शास्त्राणि वहून्यधीत्य चार्थेषु मूडाः खरवङ्गवन्ति॥—"
तस्माक्त्रानस्याविरतपरम्परागेश्वणाय शिष्यप्रशिष्यानुक्रमेण तदुपरितनरीत्या
मीलिकाध्यापनरूपेण केलगताध्यापनरूपेण (विद्वतिटीकादिमिः) तन्ततं
स्थाख्येयमन्यथा तदस्पक्षप्रयोजनं भवतीत्यथेडित साक्र्येन समुदेति।
मानवायुःक्रमे शानमादौ मुखानिविष्टव्याख्यानद्वारा तदनु च केखनिविष्टस्थाख्यानदारा सुश्यिरं भवति। यद्वा अरं मुख्याख्यानमेव लिखितव्याख्यानरूपेणाविर्म्याक्षरविष्ठदवद्वति। अतो विद्वतिव्याख्यानप्रभाषणप्रवचनाद्यपरपर्यायाष्टीकाष्ट्रवद्वति। अतो विद्वतिव्याख्यानप्रभाषणप्रवचनाद्यपरपर्यायाष्टीकाष्ट्रवद्वति। अतो विद्वतिव्याख्यानप्रभाषणप्रवचनाद्यपरपर्यायाष्ट्रकाष्ट्रवायाय्यापनपद्वते किरत्वापादनाय च कस्पन्ते।
एतदर्थमेव शक्तिप्रदोपायमुता विद्वतिरत्र सविस्तरं व्याख्याता।

अपि च प्रवानभूतायां विवृताबन्ये सर्वेडपि शक्तिप्रहोपाया गोणस्वं मञ्ज्ञानाः स्वरवस्थानमधिगत्य चरितायां भवन्ति। तथा हि-सर्वेशाञी-पकारकं व्याकरणं धातुपकृतिप्रस्यपविच्छेदनविशिष्ट्या पदसाधिनकारूपया च स्वीयपदार्यज्ञानरीत्या यथा मौखिकव्याख्यानस्य तथा छिलितव्याख्यान-स्याप्युपकुकते। तथा च प्रतिपाद्यविषयस्य चाकत्वमापादयदुपमानं, व्याकरणसङ्कृतोऽमरादिकृतपकृक्तिभिरवतारितश्च कोझः, प्राक्तनाभियुक्त- ग्रन्थकृद्धचनोध्दाररूपमाप्तवाक्यं, मनोव्यापाराविधितो वाक्योपयोजनपूर्व-कश्च मापाञ्यवहारः, पदवाक्यांशपपूरको वाक्यक्षेपः, वाक्यगता-प्रशिद्धपदावगमे समर्थे सिद्धपद्सांनिध्यं चेति सर्वेषि सतशक्तिप्रहोपाया मौस्किन-लिखित-विवृतो वयाप्रसङ्घ पदं सञ्चा कृतार्थीमवन्तीत्यतो

विषृतरेव स्वीधिकं माहातम्यं मर्मविदां प्रतीतिविषयमियात्।

पुनश्चेम शक्तिमहोपायाः पद्वाक्यप्रमाणज्ञानां वैयाकरणमीमांतकः
नैवायिकानामपि सर्वतः संमताः, तत्तद्दार्धनिकस्य तत्तक्छिक्तिमहोपायस्य
चान्योन्योपकारित्वात् । भवतु नाम प्रतिदर्धनं प्रमाणसङ्ख्यातारतम्यम्,
प्रत्यक्षानुमानोपमानश्च्यविशिष्टस्य प्रमाणचतुष्ट्यस्य माद्यत्वविषये प्राय उपरितनदार्शनिकत्रवस्यैकमस्य संस्क्रियोचरीभवति । व्यवहारस्यः शक्तिमहोपायाः
प्रत्यक्षानुमानप्रमाणयोनिदर्धनं विद्यते, यतो व्यवहारः सम्भाषणभवणावस्रोकनादिप्रधानं प्रत्यक्षप्रमाणमन्वयव्यतिरेकात्मकमनुमानप्रमाणं चेत्युमे
समकास्रमेवोपयुज्यमाने सार्थता गच्छतः । वैयाकरणाः पुनः पदसावने
नैयायिकानामन्वयव्यतिरेकपद्यत्या सनायीकृता प्रवोत्तर्भापवादरीत्ववस्यने
स्वसिद्धान्तसमुत्कपंविधाने समर्थाः सङ्गाताः । स्कोटवादिनो वैयाकरणा
यद्यप्यस्वण्डवावयस्त्रोटमेव मुख्य मन्यन्ते, तथापि ते 'उपयप्रतिपत्त्यर्था
उपाया अव्यरिथताः ।' इति स्विचन्त्य पुनरिण—

" उपायाः शिक्षमाणानां बाळानामुपळाळनाः ।

असत्य वर्तमिन स्थित्वा ततः सत्यं समीहते ॥ (वाक्यपदीयम्)। इति न्यायेन शब्दत्वस्य काल्पनिकं नामाख्यातीपकर्गनिपातादिविमागमुररीकृत्य नैश्वतमार्गोपकृता सन्तः पदसंस्कारं विधाद्यमुत्सहन्ते । अपि च
वैयाकरणशास्त्रं कोशसहकृतमत एव पदबोधकं तथा न्यायवैशेषिकोपर्वृहितं सत् सर्वेषामुपकाराय समुद्यतते । अध्यापनस्य मनोविनोदक्तवं
सम्पादयदुषमानप्रमाणं तावच्छक्तिप्रहोपायेषु विस्पष्टतयैवोपन्यस्तम् ।
चतुर्यं शब्दप्रमाणं प्रायः सक्तैरिप शक्तिप्रहोपायैः सम्बन्दं विद्यते ।
तत्र च विशेषणाऽऽप्तवाक्य-व्यवहार-वाक्यशेष - सिद्धपदसोनिष्यादयः
शाक्तप्रहोपायाः पुरोगामित्वेन शब्दप्रामाण्यं स्वीकुर्वतां वाक्यार्थनिणैयरतानां

मीमांसकानां शाब्दबोषपद्धति प्रदर्शयन्ति । तद्यथा-आप्तवाक्यं त शब्दप्रमा-णमेव । व्यवहारः पुनर्मीमासकैकदेशिमिरन्विताभिषानवादिभिवाक्य-बोषपुरस्सरपदबोष्यस्वेन सकण्ठरयमुद्घोषितः । अभिद्दितान्वयवादिमीमांस-कानां मतं च पदबोषपुरस्सरं वाक्यार्थे पर्यवस्यतोवीक्यशेषसिद्धिपद्-सानिष्यरूपयोः शक्तिमहोषाययोनिकीनामिति प्रतीयते ।

इत्यं सप्तशक्तिप्रहोपायाः सर्वसारप्राहिण्यां विवृतावन्तर्भूय प्रमाण-चतुष्टयबळेन तां द्रहयन्ति । अत्र टीकाबाङ्मयान्तर्हितं पारस्यानां भाषा-ध्ययनाध्यापनिवययकं पद्धतिशास्त्रमेवाऽऽविष्कृतमस्माभिः । नात्र मन्तव्यं यदिकलमीप विवृतिवाङ्मयं मूलप्रस्थापिक्ष परतन्त्रं चेति । पराक्षेपनिरास-पूर्वकं स्वोपज्ञमतं विस्तारयन्तो भाष्यप्रन्या, तत्सहशा अन्ये वा रसादिस-मीक्षापरा टीकाप्रबन्धा मूलप्रनथमाहात्म्यमेवाऽऽपन्ना मूलप्रन्या इवाभ्यसनाहीः सञ्जाता इत्यत्र विषये निदर्शनीयन्यांशी नापस्यते । शानाप्रधान्ये ज्ञान-सातत्ये च सविशेषं दृश्विषयीकृते, 'अयं मूलप्रस्थः' 'अयं टीकाग्रस्थः' इति भेदो नैव नयनसर्थिमायाति । तथापि मूलप्रन्यमहत्वाधायको मूल-प्रतिबिम्बरूपः सरलमुबोधो विषवविस्तारो, मूलायंस्यैव सामीचीन्येन प्रति-पादनं बोधनं वा टीकाप्रन्थानां मुख्यं प्रयोजनम् । अतःदीकावाङ्मयानु-बीळनमधुनातनगीबीणमाषाध्यापनेऽपि मूलग्रन्यस्य विषयरहस्यं सम्यगवन बोध्य च्छात्राणां सत्यज्ञानकविं संवर्ध्यं च भाषाज्ञानस्य इदपरिपाकायापि कर्पत। अञ्चतनाऽऽङ्ग्लभाषागतटीकाटिपण्यादिभ्योऽपि संस्कृतटीकानुशीसन-मसंबायमधिकतरे बोधमुखाद्येदिति नैव विस्मरणीयम्। एव सप्तशक्तिमही-पायभूतैः स्रोतोभिः परिपूरिता, पद्वाक्यप्रमाणरूपाभित्तिस्भिन्तरिक्षणीभिश्च परिवधितेयं विवृतिमन्दाकिनी गीवाणवाद्यपप्रदेशेऽचयाबद्विरतं वहन्ती गभीरकानोद्धिजलसङ्गमेन कृतार्थां सञ्जाता । अतो गुरुशिष्यास्तस्याः कुलमध्यास्य मूलमन्यैः साकं तस्या मधुरं बलमाकण्टं संसेव्य च पृतचित्ता जायेरन्, विद्यतिबाङ्मयं तद्दोधकमञ्ज्यं पद्धतिशास्त्र चेत्येतबोरनुशीस्त्रेन सुरभारत्याः साङ्गोपाङ्गं श्रानमधिगम्य सन्ततं तस्याः सावाधिकाय समुत्कर्षाय प्रयतिरंबात्याशास्य विरम्यते ॥

The Problem of Sanskrit Teaching [संस्कृतानुशीलनविवेकः ।]

Part II

THE CLASSICS versus THE MODERN SUBJECTS

The value of a classical language like Sanskrit as an aid to culture is unquestionable; but its immediate utilitarian value for serving the daily needs of life is seriously questioned by persons of a practical bent of mind. Psychological investigations have again acknowledged "Individual Differences" and pointed out that an average pupil constituting the majority has less aptitude for a classical language than for practical subjects like handwork, drawing, carpentry etc. Nay, a vast majority of pupils have been found to have a very weak linguistic ability; i. e. they have no aptitude even for learning a modern language like French, English, German, etc.. Hence it is suggested by some that a highly inflected classical language like Sanskrit, should, on account of its inherent difficulty, be either dropped altogether from the curriculum or be made optional. On the other hand, the advocates of classical learning are trying their utmost to maintain the position of Sanskrit as a compulsory subject for all. A big controversy was raised over this problem in the West, and the advocates of modern subjects have won in the end. Thus in the Western countries the classical languages are gradually receding from the curriculum, and are studied only by a chosen few who have an aptitude for them. The same thoughts are echoed by those Indian educationists who in imitation of the Westerners, have changed the University curriculum first by making the classical languages optional and then by combining the classical and modern languages under one head. It is interesting to note here how the Classicists and the Modernists, the two parties in the West as well as in India try to defend their own position and refute the view of the other party by putting forth various arguments.

When the Classicists maintain that acquaintance with the fundamentals of ancient culture enshrined in the classical languages is the sine quanon of complete education, the Modernists answer that the study of modern literature alone will suffice, since the same ancient culture has been absorbed in the modern languages. If again, any one is more interested in that culture, he should read the translations of the classical works.

The Classicists reply to this argument by asserting that the first-hand knowledge and intimate appreciation of the master-minds of old can in no way be compared to the second-hand knowledge from translations. Translation can never be an adequate substitute for the original. Though the subjectmatter of a literary work is in some way translatable, the enchanting manner or the unique style of a particular author is untranslatable. Prose rather than poetry is, perhaps, translatable, though with partial loss of the original beauty. Nobody, therefore, would rest satisfied unless he drank deep at the original fountain.

The Modernists argue against this that the immediate needs of the present must be looked to,

and that the study of modern sciences and training in citizenship are more useful than the classics.

The Classicists refute this argument by stating that the present has no meaning apart from the past and the future. As the present is ever linked to the past and the future, there must be continuity in the experiences of the three.

It can further be added that there need be no hostility between the modern sciences and the classics, since science exists in the forms of medicine, chemistry, astronomy, mathematics, architecture, logic, grammar, etymology, politics, economics, law, etc., even in Sanskrit. Modern sciences need not sever their connection with the ancient ones if the latter are even now useful in their own way and supply data for further research. Here, those Indians who deprecate ancient sciences and advocate the utilitarian value of modern sciences to the detriment of the classics should be asked to consider how much of modern science they have been able to collect under the present educational system, and whether that amount of knowledge has proved adequate to the solution of the difficult problem of the daily bread. They must know that the ideas of citizenship, politics and law are found embedded in the स्मृतिङ, the अपंशास of कोरिस्य and the महाभारत, all of which are indispensable for the comparative study of those subjects as treated of in modern works. Moreover, the study of Medicine (आवुवेंद), Law (धमंशास), Politics (नीविशास), etc., the utilitarian value of which is indisputable even at present, is calculated to be more fruitful, if all of them are studied through Sanskrit. The study of the Hindu Law, as it is carried on in the Law Course in a roundabout manner through English translations, not only entails much loss of energy on the part of the students, but gives them vague impressions, unless they try to understand the Hindu outlook and the import of original words in Sanskrit works.

Again, some classicists basing their arguments on the Faculty Psychology maintain that the classics provide a better mental gymnastic than the other subjects do; that the various faculties of the mind, viz., memory, attention, reasoning, observation, etc., are well trained by exercises in translation, rules for the formation of nouns and verbs in grammar, and rote-learning.

The modern psychologists prove the hollowness of this theory of "mental training", "formal training", " transfer of training " or "intellectual discipline", as it is variously designated. The Faculty Psychology which has lost sight of the necessary unity of the mind by treating the various aspects or functions of the same mind as separate faculties, but which holds its sway over common people, has been discredited by modern psychologists. The underlying principle of this theory of "Transfer of training" is that if a man exercises a specific faculty in a specific way, he develops the power of that faculty in general, i. e. for any kind of mental activity. For instance, if the verbal or rote memory is exercised, the power of memory in general is developed. In other words, the specific training in rote memory can be transferred to the other activities of memory in a wider sphere. But there are various functions of the general memory, as "verbal memory", " true memory" (memory for a series of ideas) etc., and Thorndyke

has experimentally proved that no transference of the one to the other is possible. If a man has developed his 'verbal-mechanical-rote' memory, there is no evidence to say that this activity is transferable to the functions of "true memory," which depends upon the understanding of relations and interest.

The same can be said of other faculties such as observation, attention, reasoning, etc.. We have no adequate ground to say that careful observation of grammatical forms in a classical language like Sanskrit will make a pupil more observant in general. For, observation also depends upon understanding and interest. We observe only such things as matter to us-as interest us. In translation a pupil has to think out, sometimes by inference, the accurate meaning of words in a sentence. We cannot, however, say with certainty that he will use this sense of "accuracy" in other departments of knowledge where he is not required to translate. Training in reasoning, which the process of translation is supposed to bring about, is also a specific training in the choice of words and in the observance of the rules of syntax; and it cannot be transferred to the forms of true reasoning which proceeds from cause to effect. There are various types of reasoning and only a specific reasoning power is developed instead of the general one in translation. True reasoning is developed by the study of logic and reading of books in the mother tongue rather than by the classical studies. Accuracy, reasoning, attention, observation, memory, etc., can be developed by several other subjects besides the classics. Again, attention which is forced and without interest does not result in any kind of fruitful work;

but intellectual effort coupled with feeling and interest is bound to lead one to success. Thus Experimental Psychology has demonstrated the futility of the theory of "mental gymnastic" or "intellectual discipline." The modern psychologists admit, however, that a slight transference is possible in cases where there are identical elements, allied languages or subjects, common content, or correlation of studies. With full faith in this theory of "Intellectual discipline" some classicists lay stress on the formal rather than on the functional study of grammar, which becomes a drudgery to the pupils of tender age. If the training thus received is, as indicated above, specific, the theory of "intellectual discipline " cannot be said to stand on a firm footing.

Although the psychological truth of the foregoing argument be avowed, we find discrepancy in its advocacy of the study of logic instead of the classics for the development of " reasoning ". Whatever might be the case of Western Logic, we think that the study of Indian Logic cannot be properly conducted except through Sanskrit. In spite of the existence of vernacular and English translations of some Sanskrit manuals of logic, the same Sanskrit logical terms have to be used in explanation, and ultimately, if any portions of the original manual can be translated into the mother tongue or English, they are only the Sanskrit inflections and a few minor words. We shall have thus to acknowledge the fact that the knowledge of Sanskrit is indispensable for the proper understanding and study of Indian Logic at least. Even the best franslations of Sanskrit works on Logic do not much enlighten us, unless we approach the original, for

the understanding of which the knowledge of Sanskrit has to be presupposed. In short, logic cannot be divorced from Sanskrit.

The classicists again maintain on the basis of the theory of "Intellectual Discipline" that the very difficulty of the classics is a valuable aid to the training of the mind. The harder a subject, the closer the attention that has to be paid to it, and the longer is it remembered.

The modern psychologists say here that even after realising the inherent difficulty of Sanskrit, the formal study of its grammar in the initial stage can on no account be defended; and that no person can be forced to attend to a difficult subject, unless that subject matters to him-interests him. Solution of a difficulty or a problem interests a pupil, if there is proper motivation or the placing of a worthy aim before him. The humanistic aspect of language-study places a worthy aim before young pupils rather than the formal one. Moreover, a difficulty, if it is really worthy of solution, awakens intrinsic interest and further leads one to spontaneous work and progress; but it must be distinguished from bewilderment which is due to the inherent dryness of a subject and leads one nowhere. This makes quite clear that the incessant grinding at grammatical forms in the absence of a worthy goal-the enjoyment of literature-is bound to create disgust in the minds of juvenile students. Of course, it is partially true that every subject is difficult in the beginning, that enforced attention to it is occasionally necessary, and that intrinsic interest in it can be aroused gradually and not all at once. It is also true that some time in the beginning has to be devoted to the mastering of the fundamentals of language before pupils come into touch with rich ideas in literature. Yet there is no reason why this mastering of fundamentals alone should be continued for years together and why ideas in literature should not be introduced side by side with the formal study of language. The benefits of studying Sanskrit, according to the present system, can be had only at an advanced stage. Pupils of tender age, therefore, cannot but grow impatient, when the study of literature is unnecessarily postponed by an indefinite period, and when they are made to plod their weary way over non-essential grammatical technicalities as preparatory to the future joy.

The classicists again affirm that the classics have stood the test of time and that nothing but the best has to be studied in them. On the other hand, modern literature is full of trash from which it is difficult to select a work of considerable merit.

The modernists retort against this by stating that the ancient literature is narrow in its outlook and not varied or wide like the modern one. The ancient authors did not even dream of the engaging problems and questions discussed in modern literature, and those problems are of more direct interest and use to us. If again, modern literature is full of trash, ancient literature is not devoid of it.

We must admit here that Sanskrit literature is not without a little trash; yet we cannot say that it is not varied. When the European Sanskritists have ungrudgingly acknowledged the variety of Sanskrit literature in thought and form and also its substantial contribution to the various departments of knowledge, the modernists simply betray their ignorance of Sanskrit in underrating it as narrow in out-

look. The present day problems are, no doubt, of more direct interest to us; yet it is unjust to say that none of them was dreamt of in ancient times. If history repeats itself, and if human nature is everywhere the same, we need not doubt that at least some of the present day problems once held the attention of ancient people in a different form. Is it not then desirable to seek solutions of the modern problems in similar situations or thoughts as recorded in ancient literature? Would it then be wise on our part to ignore Sanskrit literature which is our national heritage?

The modernists still declare that the classics are after all dead languages. 'None will be the loser for being ignorant of them.' On account of their inherent difficulty there is a very small return in proportion to the time and energy wasted over their study.

The classicists object to this assertion and say that the terms 'dead' and 'living' are relative. Generally the languages that have ceased to be spoken are termed as 'dead'; and this might be true in the case of Greek and Latin. This false analogy is not applicable to Sanskrit, which is not so dead to the Hindus as Greek and Latin are to the Europeans. In the first place, Sanskrit was once a spoken language and is still the language of our sacraments. Secondly, Sanskrit Pundits of the different parts of India are even now found to converse, write works and conduct periodicals in Sanskrit on varied subjects. Thirdly, a considerable number of poor students deprived of the benefits of English education are still studying Sanskrit alone in Pathashalas and Sanskrit Colleges scattered over all parts of India. They are further

availing themselves of the Oriental Title Examinations conducted by the Government and the bodies of Pundits through the medium of Sanskrit, and are solving the problem of the daily bread in their own way. Is it not then far from being true to call Sanskrit 'dead' and 'useless'? If it be objected that this activity is limited only to a small field, can we not say the same in the case of English education? When the percentage of literacy in India does not exceed 9, how small must be the number of people knowing English? Is it then wrong to say that affairs conducted in English, notwithstanding the spread of English education, are limited only to the English-knowing Indians who have been alienated from the vast population of India? Is not then English relatively dead to the masses? Even in the case of educated people we may state that English is not so 'living' to them as it is to the English people, it being their mother tongue. Generally the literary form of English is known by educated Indians rather than the colloquial one, which is known only by those that have lived in England. Moreover, taking into account the number of periods consumed by English in the time-table of educational institutions, the number of years devoted to its study and the surrender value of that study, no one can affirm that Sanskrit is more difficult than English. If the utilitarian value of Sanskrit is questioned, then we may ask: What is the market value of English education received at so great a cost? Does it bring returns adequate to the time, money and energy we spend over it? Of course, the international importance of the English language as a means of keeping oneself in touch with world affairs and of enriching modern

Indian literatures with new material cannot be disowned; yet it should occupy an equal number of periods in the time-table with Sanskrit or any second language and the mother tongue.

Sanskrit, not being a foreign language like English or a dead language like Greek and Latin to Indians, can be more easily learned by them than English, since many of the modern Indian languages have been derived from or influenced by Sanskrit, since they contain about a half of their words directly taken (area) or derived (age) from Sanskrit, and since their structure also mostly depends on that of Sanskrit Moreover, to suit our present needs we are coining continuously from Sanskrit new क्षम words and technical terms to be made current in our mother tongue. Are we not then in a way treating Sanskrit as a 'living' language, though only in the case of isolated words? If one would minutely observe the characteristics of modern Indian Languages, one would not fail to note the tendency in them to be more and more Sanskritised. When Hindus are so much drawing upon the immortal store of Sanskrit as regards their mother tongues and culture, they at least cannot afford to be without a modicum of it. Of course, the supposed difficulty of Sanskrit can be removed by improving the methods of its teaching and bringing concreteness and the human touch in its study. The study of Sanskrit thus not only helps the intelligent grasp of the mother tongue, but is also indispensable for the correct and refined expression in the tongue and for etymological insight into words in the higher stages of the study. The ear of a classical scholar is keenly sensitive to the real meanings and subtle shades of words and sentences.

The study of the classics, therefore, is especially beneficial to literary aspirants and journalists in the mother tongue.

The modernists doubt the truth of this statement and add that a close study of authors in the mother tongue alone would make one proficient in it. Preferably an etymological turn may be given to the study of the mother tongue, for which no detailed study of Sanskrit is required.

The classicists refute this argument by advocating the urgent necessity of possessing the knowledge of Sanskrit at least upto the Matriculation Examination for learning well the mother tongue, the Prakrits or any modern Indian language like Hindi, Bengali, etc., since all of them depend more or less upon Sanskrit as regards their vocabulary and structure. To deny the claims of even this minimum of Sanskrit for the study of languages derived from Sanskrit is to build an edifice on sands. The separation of the mother tongue from Sanskrit by offering the former as an option for the latter, or the combination of both under one head in the school stage, as the University of Bombay has several times capriciously done, is a suicidal policy, which not only does an injustice to Sanskrit, but results in the desultory knowledge even of the mother tongue, to which the incorrect Marathi written by the present graduates and journalists will testify. The writer knows from his own experience that students knowing Sanskrit write more correct Marathi than those studying Marathi to the exclusion of Sanskrit. He has, moreover, noticed that some modern Marathi authors, who had been deprived of the benefits of learning Sanskrit, had ever by their side Sanskrit dictionaries for looking up apt words to be used in their Marathi

writings, but who, being ignorant of the suitability and context of those words in the Sanskrit language, sometimes used, due to this dictionary habit, such words as would be obscure even to Sanskritists! If should further be noted that certain ancient authors use a high percentage of Sanskrit words in their works in the mother tongue, and cannot be understood and appreciated well unless a minimum amount of Sanskrit is known. All these facts will prove beyond doubt the necessity of knowing, at least, as much of Sanskrit as should be known by a Matriculate.

Etymological study of the mother tongue cannot be relished by students unless they are equipped with this amount of the knowledge of Sanskrit. In other words, the etymological aspect of languagestudy which in the main splits words into their components (प्रकृति and प्रव्य) is an analytical process likely to disgust young pupils whose study of language must first be synthetic and then analytic. To explain further, ready-made forms of words as they occur in language should, for a few first years, be treated as independent words and learned in their synthetic form, attention being concentrated on the ideas in the language. Occasional reference to the etymology of particular words may be made gradually according to the growing understanding of pupils; yet too big a dose of etymology in the initial stage is sure to retard the progress of students. When the etymological teaching of Sanskrit is abhorred even by young pupils taking Sanskrit, it need hardly be added how much boring it would be to students ignorant of Sanskrit. Really speaking, the etymological study of language is suited only to the advanced stage and may be

commenced with advantage in the senior years of college education, when etymological interest is likely to grow among students. This remark may also apply to the study of the Prakrits which provide us with a valuable clue to the etymological and historical development of the mother tongue. But unless there is sufficient grounding in Sanskrit upto the matriculation standard, neither the advanced study of the mother tongue nor that of the Prakrits is likely to thrive

The modernists, nevertheless, contend that the present curriculum of the Indian Universities is predominantly linguistic and that three languages, the mother tongue, English and a classical language being required to be studied, none can be mastered. They further add that the pressing demand of Hindi as a national language must be supplied by prescribing it in the curriculum, and that Sanskrit should make room for it. According to them the mother tonque. English and Hindi, being utilitarian subjects, must be compulsory; but a classical language like Sanskrit, which has no utility and does not help the study of the mother tongue, should be banished from the curriculum, so that more time can be devoted to the mother tongue, the neglect of which has seriously affected the study of English.

The classicists maintain that the curriculum of the Faculty of Arts in the Universities of any country is bound to be predominantly linguistic; that is to say, it is generally found to make provisions for three languages, viz., the mother tongue, a modern foreign language and a classical language. If none of these languages can be mastered, the fault lies in the educational policy and the methods of teaching employed. Why then crowd this curriculum with technical subjects, which should find sufficient scope in special institutes or other Faculties? Furthermore, the utilitarian value of English has already been discussed above and there are no two opinions as to the importance of the mother tonque. If the neglect of the mother tongue is said to affect the teaching of a foreign language like English, it is really hard to understand how, according to the modernists, the study of Sanskrit does not help the study of the mother tongue which is closely related to it 1 It can be affirmed here that the systematic study of the mother tongue in the primary and secondary stages not only prepares the pupils for the introduction of Sanskrit and facilitates its study, but is, in return, substantially assisted by the growing knowledge of Sanskrit.

If Sanskrit is not a utilitarian subject, the utilitarianism, as meant by the modernists, is nothing less than the 'Bread-and-Butter' aim and hence is very narrow in outlook. To earn one's living is not, of course, an unworthy aim; but this is not the only aim in life. We are born not merely to live, but we live for nobler aims which can be achieved by our acquaintance with the ancient culture along with the modern one. Direct contact with Sanskrit makes the former possible. It, again, Sanskrit is not directly useful in after-life, how much useful are algebra, geometry and higher mathematics-the other subjects in the curriculum? It is difficult to understand why Sanskrit alone should be an eyesore to the modernists posing to be educationists!

The demand of a national language like Hindi need not be underestimated. Yet, there is no necessity of prescribing Hindi as a regular subject in the curriculum which is already over-crowded. The knowledge of Sanskrit upto the matriculation standard is sure to help one to learn in a short time Hindi or any modern Indian language derived from Sanskrit, independently of schooling. The writer himself could, with the immense help of Sanskrit, acquire ability to understand and appreciate Bengali literature within the period of six months. This personal experience will, perhaps, be of some use to those who are so keen on the introduction of Hindi in the curriculum. The banishment of Sanskrit from the curriculum is no real remedy for widening the scope of the mother tongue and English or providing for Hindi.

Apart from the utilitarian value in its narrowest sense, the cultural value of Sanskrit is inestimable. For, in its comprehensive sense, what is 'cultural' is ultimately 'utilitarian'; and life would not be worth living without culture. The influence exerted by Sanskrit literature over the thoughts, customs and manners of the various ancient nations of Asia was considerable; and that exerted over modern. European scholars, specially interested in the Vedic and linguistic studies, is great. They are convinced of the fact that Senskrit literature is the oldest monument of Indo-European culture. Its distinctly ethical tone ennobles an individual, builds his character and provides him with a clear outlook on life. Besides, the aesthetic or artistic branch of that literature carries a profound appeal, trains the imagination of the reader by making him live, for some time, in the rich past and thus helps him to be lifted-at least for the time-above, to a high plane of existence.

The modernisis, however, aver that there are several means, other than the classics, of enriching our aesthetic and imaginative life. Let the interest of pupils be awakened in the fine arts like music, painting, etc., which are easier of access to ordinary people than the study of the classics.

The classicists here agree that the fine arts enrich the aesthetic life of man; yet they differ from the modernists in thinking that the words 'easy of access' and 'ordinary people' would admit of other interpretations also. What is easy of access to a rich person might not be so to a person of ordinary means; while what is easy of access to a person with sufficient mental outfit will not be so to a person of less than ordinary mental equipment. Thus those who are unwilling to put in even the least amount of intellectual effort, but are materially better off may take to music, painting, etc., which entail much expenditure; while those, who cannot afford to spend on them but are willing to exert themselves mentally, will study the classics and enrich their aesthetic life by enjoying the poetry contained in them. Moreover, if the fine arts like music and painting add to our aesthetic joy, more so does poetry, which is also a fine art more removed from physical things than the other arts are. The farther we are removed from the physical aspect of Beauty, the higher is our aesthetic delight. There is then no reason why the classical literature. which contains some of the best poetry of the world, should not be studied for the highest delight. One more thing must be remembered that poetry and music being sister arts, music will sometimes heighten the enjoyment of poetry. Furthermore, in Sanskrit there are valuable scientific works on music, painting, sculpture, etc., the original study of which would immensely help persons interested

in them. In addition to the fine arts there are also many useful arts, which have been enumerated to be sixty-four. Scattered references to them in Sanskrit literature or independent Sanskrit manuals on them, would supply data for doing much constructive work, in the absence of which those arts have become obsolete and are now supposed to be non-existent.

If it is agreed, say the modernists, that those who are unwilling to exert themselves mentally should take to subjects other than the classics, it inevitably follows that the classics cannot be made compulsory for all. For, in the first place, a large majority of the pupils of average abilities and insufficient means cannot continue their education after the Matriculation Examination, and consequently they have not the good fortune of receiving the benefits of classical learning which can be availed of only in colleges. In England, the pupils continuing college education are 6 per cent. only, and those entering the Faculty of Arts are 3per cent. only. In India the percentage of students getting the chance of college education must be still lower than this. Secondly, many students of this majority are not so linguistically minded as to feel a liking for the classics. This fact proves beyond doubt that a large majority of students have more aptitude for taking 'technical subjects', and that only a select few have the eptitude for classical languages. Thirdly, the non-Hindus are less inclined to take Sanskrit than other languages. All such studentsand their number is not inconsiderable-will have to be excluded from the number of students learning Sanskrit. It is, therefore, impossible to prescribe Sanskrit as a compulsory subject for all.

The partial truth, say the classicists, of the impossibility of making Sanskrit a compulsory subject, may be admitted; but on the basis of modern psychology, they contradict some of the fallacious arguments put forth by the modernists. The assumption of the modernists that all pupils do not possess the same degree of linguistic ability, is largely grounded on their faith in heredity. According to the theory of Heredity, differences among individuals are held to be innate and cannot be accounted for except by assuming that they have been transmitted from generation to generation. recent scientific researches demonstrate that the theory of the transmission of hereditary characteristics cannot be conclusively proved. The fact is that individual tendencies that are popularly credited to family heredity are mainly due to social heredity or environment. Some allowance may, of course, be made for the transmission of family traits: yet the environment, in which an individual is brought up, has a greater influence over his development than mere heredity has. Thus the argument of innate linguistic ability cannot stand to scrutiny. If proper environment is provided, habits of clear and correct speech can be cultivated. If the children of backward classes, who have rare opportunities for correct speech, are supplied with a suitable environment, they develop the language sense to a high degree. The weak linguistic ability found among a majority of average pupils is more due to their uncongenial home conditions; while the better linguistic ability of the pupils of advanced classes owes its credit to their linguistically favourable surroundings. If the modernists maintain that they alone, who have a better linguistic ability,

should study Sanskrit, then their argument appears to smack of the conservative attitude of the orthodox Vaidikas to bar the backward classes out of the Vedic studies! In view, therefore, of the possibility of more and more backward boys taking. Sanskrit, it is highly desirable for the educator to seek to create better educative forces for developing linguistic abilities.

It is quite natural that the pupils of average abilities and insufficient means should discontinue their education after they are matriculated. But it is useless to adduce conditions prevailing in England, unless adequate provision has been made for the further education of such pupils in technical or other useful subjects, just as it is done in the 'Continuation Schools' of England. Everybody knows well enough how hard such students have to struggle through life. In the absence of 'Continuation Schools' why should students not study, upto the Matriculation Examination, as much Sanskrit as would enable them to understand and enjoy by themselves verses from the समायण and the महामारत, the great epics of India, and prose works of the type of the पञ्चतन्त्र and the दिवोपदेश ? Why should matriculates not receive, at least, this much benefit of the classical learning earlier than they do now? Is it a very high demand? If the matriculates cannot reach even this level, should it not be concluded that the fault lies with the methods of teaching rather than with the language itself? The non-Hindus may or may not study Sanskrit; but it is certainly not unreasonable to hope that the Hindus at least will study Sanskrit as compulsorily as they do algebra and geometry. Our main aim of teaching Sanskrit in the school course should be to introduce

an average matriculate, as early as possible, to the rich ideas and thoughts in the two great epics, so as to enable him to appreciate them and pass his deisure hours in life in an exalted way.

-0-

HINDU METHODOLOGY OF EDUCATION,

OI

The Ancient Methods of Approach to Sanskrit Literature.

No innovations in education, howsoever fascinating they may be, will be successful, unless they are based on the best traditions of the past. The best method of teaching Sanskrit cannot be evolved by simply adopting the Western methods, unless we take into account the nature of the Sanskrit language and literature, and the methods of its teaching as employed by the Shastris so as to suit that nature. So, before dispensing with the Pathashala method as useless and unintelligent, it would be advisable on our part to observe and examine its various details and try to preserve the best parts of it. It is, therefore, high time to discover points of similarity and contrast between the Shastri method and the New method, and try to effect a happy combination of both, for making the improved teaching of Sauskrit bear permanent fruit.

The methods of the Shastris have not only been useful in teaching the young, but have also been applied to the higher study of literature and

Shastras. Among them there are two traditional ways regarding the order in which grammer and literature should be taught to beginners. While the Pandits of Northern India are in favour of beginning the study of Sanskrit with 1 2000 or grammar as a science and introducing literature afterwards, those of Southern India favour the teaching of 'esq' or literature first, accompanied by the minimum of practical grammar necessary for its understanding. Of course, in the Deccan, the study of grammar as a science through the feareaster follows the study of literature. It will thus be seen that the method of the Southern Pandits is more in keeping with the modern principles of language-study, and also the Pandits of Northern India have begun to acknowledge its efficacy and superiority in juvenile teaching.

From the child's point of view, a flaw that is supposed to exist in the Shastri method is that in the very beginning pupils are made to undergo the process of learning by rote the secondary, superase, and a few raiss and guifas. This course goes on for about six months, after which the study of literature begins. The utility of this memorization is realised by pupils later on, when they actually begin to study literature. Though the study of literature helps them afterwards to assimilate with comprehension what they have learned formerly by rote, the procedure in the initial stage is somewhat unintelligent and tedious.

Yet this flaw need not be much magnified. Even if we take into consideration the up-to-date researches in Experimental Psychology, this learning

by rote should not be taken to be much harmful or unscientific. Though ' habit-memory ' is involved in this process rather than 'true memory', 'habit memory', according to psychologists, is not altogether imageless. The forms in the squale are not non-sense syllables or imageless words. There is, moreover, a sort of rhythm in the repetition of those forms; and this rhythm indirectly helps pupils to memorize words. If the forms in the aqualis have to be retained in memory throughout life for keeping some contact with Sanskrit literature, it is most essential for habit-formation or organization of proper disposition to get them learned by heart in childhood, which is the most favourable period for retention or 'prolonged memory'. It is already an established fact that some amount of intelligent memorizing is necessary for the ready grasp of any language. If then we desire pupils to learn by heart those forms with comprehension, the remedy to be adopted for lessening the evil of unintelligent memorizing would be first of all to give at the initial stage some 'conversation lessons' in Sanskrit so as to make the pupils acquainted with the types of a Sanskrit sentence, and to let them understand through conversation the function of cases or inflexions in that sentence. It must be remembered here that the unit of language is, according to the Modern Principles of Languagestudy, a sentence and not a word. Of course, any new language should be introduced through sentences employed in coversation and not through disconnected words. After such 'conversation lessons 'it would be advisable to make the pupils compare and contrast the Sanskrit inflexions with those in the mother tongue and then to supply vernacular meanings of 'model words', the forms of which the pupils would learn. To facilitate this memory-work the 'principle of analogy 'may be employed to lead the pupils to observe similarity in the various forms of Sanskrit words. Besides, from the point of view of simplicity, frequency and utility, words in the equals will have to be re-arranged.

Despite the tedium of rote-learning, a relieving feature in this method is the emphasis on 'oral work before written work or reading, which shows us how a principle of language-study has been unwittingly followed by the Shastris. learning of the forms of nouns and verbs gives pupils ample phonetic drill (अपनतेन, सन्धा) which decidedly helps them to improve their Sanskrit pronunciation. Another good feature of this memorywork is that the Shastris, instead of tiring their pupils' energy in the beginning with the drudgery of the formations of nouns and verbs as in the Grammar-translation method, stress the mastery of readymade forms of frequent nouns and verbs in the शब्दरूपावित and चातुरूपावितः and hence the study of literature, with the knowledge of this minimum of grammatical material is started in the Shastri method much earlier than it is done in the Grammar-trans. lation method. Sanskrit literature shows its peculiar predilection for the Nominal rather than for the Verbal Style". In other words, it abounds in the forms of nouns and derivatives rather than in the

[&]quot;If the treatises of Panini and others had perished, and we had to construct a grammar of the Sanskrit from the classical literature (distinguished for its Nominal Style), our Verb and the Taddhita portion would be very meagre."

⁻Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar : W. P. Lectures.

actual forms of finite verbs; and the methods of the Shastris are quite in consonance with this nature of Sanskrit. Obviously, as more stress is laid, in the Shastri method, on the function of the caseforms of nouns than on the verbal forms, the energy of pupils, without being unnecessarily wasted in the derivation of verbal forms, is reserved and better utilized for the mastery of other important aspects of functional grammar, which directly help the understanding of literature, and which are quite sufficient even for the advanced study of the un-

महाकाव्य8.

In this respect, the viewpoint of the Shastris is that the finite verb occupies a modest position in a Sanskrit sentence, since the major part of it generally consists of the subject and the object with their various adjuncts. They, therefore, say that it is enough for average students, not wishing to be specialists in grammar, to know in a broad outline that such and such a verb is in such and such a tense, mood, person and number. The relation, say the Shastris, existing between a verb and a noun in a sentence being chiefly denoted by कारकविभक्तित or case-forms, the knowledge of कारकड or case-relations is more important than that of the finite verb. Thus the detailed knowledge of wites and a nodding acquaintance with verbal forms directly help pupils to understand the meaning of a sentence, and consequently, literature. This practical outlook of the Shastris sufficiently accounts for their emphasis, at the initial stage, on the detailed knowledge of case-forms. Again, since compounds are mainly based on case-relations, and since a sound knowledge of the function of cases is presupposed for their dissolution, the study of the बमाबन्द in the Shastri method after that of the शब्दक्षाविक्ष is a natural gradation.

Moreover, as many of the provincial languages of India have been derived from or influenced by Sanskrit, they contain the same number of inflexions as the latter. Hence, the knowledge of inflexions and their function, which the pupils acquire while learning their mother tongue, serves them well for knowing the same in Sanskrit. Again, the study of the mother tongue, particularly of its poetry, makes the pupils acquainted with a few sandhis and compounds. Thus it will be seen that the knowledge of the mother tongue is of immense help to pupils for the study of Sanksrit, and that the Shastris intuitively follow the psychological principle of proceeding from the known to the unknown.

It would, therefore, be presumptuous to suppose that the Shastris knew nothing of the psychology of language-learning and the principles of languagestudy. The views expressed by the देवाद्यां , नेहसंड, नैवाधिकः , ग्रीमांसकः and आवङ्कारिकः about the denotative function or import of words (शब्दशक्ति) are fraught with pregnant suggestions which could be culled as principles of language-study. When the Sanskrit language has perhaps been one of the most perfect and scientific expressions of the human mind, and when we actually find that Hindus have made substantial contributions to the different branches of the linguistic science, viz. phonology, grammar, etymology, etc., there is nothing strange if the various schools of thought in ancient India should anticipate some principles of philology and language-study as propounded by Western Scholars.

The various modes of learning the denotative function or import of words as recognized by all schools of thought in India are*:—

Grammar, (2) Comparison, (3) Dictionary,
 Statement of a trustworthy person. (5) Usage of elders, (6) Context or supplementary statement,
 Explanation by synonyms or paraphrase and
 Contiguity with a well-known word.

Vishwanatha Nyaya-Panchanana hints that ideas can be conveyed even through gestures,

facial expressions, etc.†

Out of these eight modes, the fourth and the fifth are the most important, as they are representative of the Direct Method of the West, and allow full play to the 'spontaneous capacities' of a child to learn a language; while the rest compel him to use his 'studial capacities' which are generally developed in adult age. According to the fifth mode, a child learns the import of words principally from the observation of the activities and usage of elders, and forms the habit of establishing a 'direct bond' between words and ideas by the psychological process of 'unconscious assimilation' and 'imitation.'

Particularly the signaturant's of the siniar school recognize the 'usage of elders' as the chief mode of language-learning, and hold that 'a sentence is the unit of language and not a word'; while the signaturation of the same school say that the

शक्तिमइं व्याकरणोपमानकोशासवाक्याद् व्यवहारतक्ष ।
 बाक्यस्य शेषाहिवृतेबंदन्ति सानिध्यतः सिद्धपदस्य बृद्धाः ॥
 न तु शायमानं पदं करणं, पदाभावेऽपि मौनिक्षोकादौ साब्दबोधात्।
 सिद्धान्तमकावितः

senses of individual words (qqs) are combined to form the larger sense of a sentence as a whole, and recognize आकाङ्का (expectancy), योग्यता (consistency), सनिधि (contiguity) and तानवांध (purport) of words as the means of understanding a sentence. The agrages who propound the theory of the by holding a noneternal word to be the manifested form of the unmanifested or eternal word (स्पोट), acknowledge वाक्यस्फोट or the indivisible sense of a sentence as the chief one; yet for facilitating the understanding of pupils they sanction the existence of individual words and resort to the analytical process of separating words into their usings (original forms) and usus (suffixes). The snessifies amalgamate in general all these views about language and acknowledge equique (suggested sense) along with appressed sense) and क्यार्थ (secondary sense). These are, in short, the views of the Indian schools of thought about the relation between a word and its meaning and the modes of learning both of them.

As indicated above, the regular and intelligent study of literature according to the Shastri method is started after the thorough learning of the minimum of functional grammar and the lexicon for about six months. Generally this study begins with the reading of the fadrag or quadra and the second canto of the agag. The Shastris are here in complete agreement with the modern methodists in starting with connected passages from literature and not with disconnected sentences, which in the Grammar-translation method are introduced simply to illustrate the rules of grammar. But some Shastris,

instead of beginning with stories in prose from the प्रचलन्त्र or हितोपहर्श and with simple and interesting सुमाधितंड, teach first of all रमुख, which is for pupils in the elementary stage a hard nut to crack. In the interest of beginners the introduction of simple prose rather than of poetry, then of concrete and interesting gurfass, and then of poetic passages from the रामायण and the महामारत rather than of cantos from the पञ्चमहाकारपड, would be a better gradation in the teaching of literature. The high-flown style of the later महाकाव्यड and Sanskrit prose being beyond the comprehension of young pupils, original passages from the classical literature will have to be adapted or simplified to suit the capacities of beginners. Shastris with a progressive bent of mind agree to this principle of gradation, and preferring, at the outset, the teaching of the हितोपदश or पञ्चतन्त्र to that of the पञ्चमहाकाव्यक have improved upon the old order. Further, to developenough language sense and improve pronunciation they ask their pupils to learn by heart, along with the रूपावित etc., a large number of सुमाधितs, the meaning of which can be easily understood by the pupils without any explanation, owing to the occurrence in them of many area (Sanskrit) words current in the mother tongue.

In accordance with a well-known Sanskrit saying, § even a dull person does not begin any work, unless he knows its purpose or motive. Naturally, when the study of any literary work or Shastra was commenced, four requisites or aggars | were dis-

[🎙] प्रयोजनमनुद्धिश्य न मन्दोऽपि प्रवर्तते ।

[।] अनुबन्धचतुष्टयं नामाधिकारिविषयसम्बन्धप्रयोजनानि । -वेदान्तसारः

cussed: (i) the competency of the student to understand a work, (ii) the subject-matter, (iii) connection between the subject-matter and the work to be studied and (iv) the motive or inducement to enter upon the study. It is worth while noting that out of these four requisites the student and his capacity being the most important are given the first place in the अनुबन्ध. Also at the beginning of many books appear the significant words 'बाह्मना बुखबोबाव', 'बाह्मिनविशेषाव' (for the easy grasp of beginners), which indicate that the capacity of a student was a matter of the foremost consideration. Again, the four अनुबन्ध giving the pupil a broad and synthetic view of the subject to be studied, become incentives to his further study.

Here one cannot resist the temptation of comparing the five Herbartian steps of teaching (viz. I Preparation, II Presentation, III Association, IV Generalization and V Application) with the five formal steps followed by the Hindus in the learning of various subjects. Of course, the formal steps of the Hindus do not quite correspond to the Herbartian steps except in the case of their number. The number and sequence of the steps followed by the Hindus varied with the nature of the subjectmatter to be taught, and hence they were more flexible than rigid. In actual practice, even the number and sequence of the Herbartian steps are never rigidly followed for fear that the procedure of teaching would be made mechanical at the sacrifice of spontaneous charm in it. It was then wise on the part of the Hindus that they did not adopt the same number of steps for the teaching of different subjects. The steps for teaching different

Shastras were more logical than psychological and more analytic than synthetic, as they suited the students who had reached the conceptual level; whereas in the elementary stage, the steps for teaching 'literature', though not strictly psychological or synthetic, suited, on the whole, the pupils of the perceptual level.

Now the steps for the teaching of any Shastra, particularly Logic, were-(i) Assertion or proposition, (ii) Reason, (iii) Example, (iv) Application of the

example and (v) Conclusion.

Those for the teaching of Meemansa and Vedanta were—(i) Statement of the topic, (ii) Doubt, (iii) Objection, (iv) Answer or the established view, and (v) Consistency of the topic with the preceding and following ones. §

In regard to the fifth step the student had to ascertain the consistency of a topic with a section, of a section with a chapter, and of a chapter with the whole work or Shastra.† Thus the last step helped the student to look at a subject mainly from the synthetic point of view.

To make sure of the purport (and) of a book or a subject in a synthetic manner the Meemansakas have pronounced six steps, and asked us to look at a work or a subject from the following points of

क प्रतिकाहेत्दाहरणोपन्यनिगमनानि पञ्चावयवाः — तर्वेसंप्रहः ।

[§] विपयो विशयक्षेत्र पूर्वपत्तस्तयोत्तरम् । सङ्गतिश्चेति पञ्चाङ्गं शास्त्रऽविकरणं विदुः ॥

र्ग शास्त्रप्रधाय तथा पादे स्थायसङ्गतयस्त्रिया । शास्त्रादिविपये शांत तत्त्रसङ्गतिरुद्धताम् ॥

view—(I) Unity of the beginning and conclusion of a work, (II) Repetition of the main topic in various contexts, (III) Novelty of the subject-matter, (IV) Fruit or result, (V) Praise or incidental remarks as distinguished from the main theme and (VI) Arguments in favour of the main topic.†

It must be noted here that the five steps of Logic, as indicated above, being based on the general process and sequence of human thought are employed in the study of many Shastras. Those logical steps along with the Law of Causal Relation (कार्यकारणसम्बन्ध) and the Seven Categories (समपदार्थंड) with their sub-divisions have contributed much to the methodical treatment of many Shastras, and are a distinct achievement from the point of view of methodology. Thus Logic and Grammar hold a prominent place among the Hindu Shastras as sciences of wider application, the study of logic being quite indispensable to the study of any other Shastra. Instead of those five steps some thinkers recognize three steps only by dispensing with aftan and 3944, since sfast (assertion) is the same as निगमन (conclusion), and since उपनय by mere repetition unifies eg, and ered in the form of a statement. But inference (अनुपान) is divided into 'inference for one's self' (स्वायोज्यान) and 'inference for others' (पराधानुमान). The first consists of three steps, while the second being composed of five steps is utilized for demonstrating a topic to others. This demonstration is readily converted into five steps

चपक्रमोपसंहारावस्थासोऽपूर्वता फलम् ।
 अर्थवादोपपत्ती च लिङ्गं तात्पर्यनिगये ॥

of logical teaching and is correlated with the above special steps of other Shastras. The modern methodology too enunciates five Herbartian steps which are grounded on Logic as well as Psychology. The modern technique of teaching is, therefore, not only logical but psychological also. Again, the Hindu syllogism of five steps is not merely a 'deductive' but a 'deductive-inductive' process; for, the third step or 'general proposition with an example ' (उदाहरण) is a brief record of the actual observation of facts. The gas or generalisations which the augustus arrived at by employing the Logical Methods of Agreement and Difference (अन्यय के व्यक्तिरक) are also the records of the linguistic phenomena actually observed. It may thus be stated that the auranus used the logical methods of 'Induction' and 'Deduction' in expounding grammar.

In spite of the five steps followed in the teaching of Shastras, the logical procedure that was generally resorted to in the actual expounding of a Shastra consisted of three steps, viz. उद्देश, ख्या and प्राथा, (I) उद्देश means the 'enumeration' of the topics to be dealt with in their succession. (II) ख्या is the 'definition' of a thing; and it ought to be free from the faults of अव्यक्ति (non-pervasion), अविव्यक्ति (over-extension) and अवयाय (total absence) of the attributes of that thing. (III) Lastly, प्रीवा or 'examination' is the settlement of the true nature of a thing by the application of four means of valid knowledge, viz प्रवाह (perception), अनुमान (inference), उपवाह (comparison) and इन्ह (verbal testimony).

From this we need not suppose that Hindus concentrated their attention on Logic alone to the detriment of Psychology. Leaving aside the distant past we can find from the Upanishadic times scattered references to psychological problems in the vast range of Sanskrit literature. The Upanishads describe 'Mind' as an organism unifying in itself its own functions as काम (desire), सङ्बद्ध (will), विचिकिता (doubt or discrimination), अदा (faith) अध्या (absence of faith), पृति (confidence, contentment, constancy), supla (want of confidence, etc.), gt (shame or bashfulness), all (understanding or reason) and if (fear)5. These functions, being the manifestations of the same organic unity (mind), can well compare with the instincts propounded by modern psychologists. It must be noted that these various phases of the mind are not described here as distinct Faculties coming severally into operation, but are the functions of the same ' living organism ', (अन्त:करण) as waves on the surface of the sea. From this we clearly comprehend that the Upanishadic sages do not lose sight of the inherent unity the mind, as the Faculty Psychologists lose. In spite of the foregoing varied functions of the mind, later Sanskrit works like the साङ्ख्यकारिका, the पात्रक्रयोगसूत्रड, the annufes, the unughar and others recognised, as the shortest out to Self-Realization, four functions of the mind, viz. मनम्, बुद्धि, जिस and अहसार. As these

कामः सङ्कल्पो विकिक्तिसा अद्धाऽश्रदा पृतिरघृतिहीचीभी-रितेतस्य मन एव । (वृ. उ. १।५।३)

क इत्रोतत्त्वयं मन एव। Note that ' मनस्' is in the singular number in spite of its manifold functions.

four functions are called अन्तः काणचतुष्ट्य or the internal organs of the आसन् to hold communion with the environment through the senses, connection can be established between external Nature and the Self by means of these internal organs (Gros), which lead an aspirant gradually to the highest regions of the sunq-the ultimate goal of all beingson the ascending scales of मनम्, बुद्धि, चित्त and अहकार. In ancient times the highest aim of education was the Realization of the Self in the innumerable things of the Universe. In other words, this is not the weal or emancipation of the Individual Soul but also of the Community; and the functions of the mind, as mentioned above, were enumerated to be four only with this particular end in view. functions come into operation on the conscious level, yet they do not sever connection with the unconscious level of the mind, which is the main spring of all conscious behaviour. Although they do not quite correspond to the threefold activity (Feeling, Thinking and Willing) of consciousness as propounded by modern psychology, yet ane can be compared slightly with Affection or Feeling and Conation or Willing, since pag functions through सङ्कल्प and विकल्प [सङ्कल्पविकल्पात्मकं मनः] or the transient (passing) phases of Will and Emotion. The phases of Affection and Conation can be found in fassq and assasq respectively, yet both of them do not exhibit so much stability as to reach the state of determination for action. The power of thinking or reasoning is, on the other hand, connected with sta, which predominates in the niceties of Logic. far or Memory is connected with the experiences of the past and works in alliance with the Imagination (***squar* or ufaur*) of poets. This will clearly show that Hindus have formed definite views on the psychology of Self-Realization, or in modern terminology, on the Sublimation of instincts, and have made use of this psychology in their educational efforts. Among the four functions of the mind each latter is more internal than the former; and hence these functions serve as graded steps to Self-Realization.

We cannot say that the threefold Western distinction of Consciousness into Feeling, Thinking and Willing is superior to the foregoing fourfold distinction of the Hindus. The inadequacy of this threefold distinction has been sufficiently proved by 'Psycho-Analysis,' which ventures to probe into the unconscious level of the mind. Although this unconscious level cannot be precisely described as सुप्रि or deep sleep, it being intimately connected also with the unconsciousness in the wakeful state, yet deep sleep mostly consists of this unconscious level. The योगवासिष्ठ & श्रीशङ्कराचार्य (in his commentary on the माण्ड्रक्यकारिका) analyse the wakeful state into नामण्डामत् (wakefulness-consciousness in the wakeful state), जाग्रस्थम (reverse or day-dream), and जाग्रस्यपि (sleep or unconsciousness in the wakeful state). the state of forgetfulness or sub-consciousness in the wakeful state is must east (deep sleep in the wakeful state). Hence we can approximately compare the unconscious level of the Psycho-Analysts with deep sleep (सुप्ति) either in wakefulness or in deep sleep itself, or with both of them. The attempts of the Psycho-Analysts, therefore, have

decidedly not proceeded beyond groping in the dark of 'deep sleep' (सुन्ति), which the Hindus have long ago transcended by pointing to the Self-luminous and Eternal Seer (सुन्ती, हुन्दा, परमात्मा, सहाहित्य) of all the three states of the mind. This Seer is the unifying principle not only of an individual but also of all beings and the Universe. He is the unifier of individuality (स्पष्टि) and totality (स्पष्टि). This again shows that the Hindus have devoted their attention to the Pyschology of the Individual as well as of the Group.

The Will or सङ्ख्ल that is associated with मनस is indeterminate; yet the Will that is allied with after (Reason) is of the nature of determinate action (व्यवसायात्मिका). The latter form of Will transforms itself into human activities, religious and secular. The activities that are performed with various worldly ends in view are secular; while those that lead one to the Eternal Bliss are religious. According to this two-fold manifestation of Will sciences dealing with several branches of knowledge are also classified into worldly sciences and the Transcendental Science. Worldly sciences (अपरा विचा) lead human beings to worldly prosperity (अन्यदय): while the Transcendental Science (परा विवा) deals with the inner progress of a human being towards his original destination, and leads him to the Eternal Bliss (नि:अवस) or the Realization of the Self. It need not be supposed from this classification that the Hindu thinkers concentrated their attention on

নিঃইব্ৰ alone by ignoring worldly prosperity and worldly sciences. The progress of worldly sciences in India can be seen in the development of sixty-four Arts (কুলা) and fourteen branches of Human Knowledge (বিল্লা). This lore has found expression in some written works on the respective subjects and has been handed down by oral tradition from generation to generation in several families and classes noted for speciality in it. The paucity of written works on it, modern machinery, industrialization and general apathy have, however, given a serious set-back to all of it, and the worldly sciences in India in their struggle for existence are on the point of being extinct.

The Individual Will, that transforms itself into manifold human activities and branches of human knowledge, is a fraction of the Universal Will or Energy which emanates through हिरण्यगर्म (the illuminant of the Cosmic Dream) who is a manifestation of the Eternal Seer. The Universal Will or energy of हिरण्यतमें is the same as the Will of an Individual, and manifests itself in the same way in which it does in an individual. Just as human actions, before they come into operation, are of the nature of Will (सङ्कल्प), so this ' Creation ', before it comes into being, is of the nature of the Universal Will. This phenomenon of the transformation of the individual or cosmic dream into the individual or cosmic wakefulness is constantly going on through the energy (शक्ति) of the Eternal Seer (साधी, द्रश, शिव), who illumines every individual or cosmic activity

and inactivity, and unifies all the three states of beings, viz. Wakefulness, Dream and Sleep, or the present, the future and the past. This is, in short, unity in diversity. The same Cosmic Will that creates this universe unfolds itself through innumerable forms of the Individual Will. It prompts a person with a particular motive or will to achieve success or attain proficiency in a particular thing, and enables that person to concentrate all activities, educational or non-educational, on that motive or will. When the original unity of the Individual Will with the Cosmic Will is re-established, success in matters, religious and secular, or educational and non-educational, is not far off, since it immediately leads one either to worldly prosperity (अम्यूद्य) or to the eternal emancipation (नि:अपस). All forms of human activity are thus rooted in this Will (सहदूर) and its stability. A special science, named मन्त्रशास, which has been based on the potency of this Will (सङ्कर्प) and on its transformation into actions, has been developed in India and is worthy of being studied as the Psychology of Action or the science of training the Will.

Out of the four functions of the internal organ (arcitation) the ego or again makes every being conscious of himself and leads him to establish his own individuality in the scheme of the universe. This may be called the instinct of self-assertion or the self-instinct. This ego or consciousness of 'individuality' is not different from the Eternal Seer, as a drop from water. In reality, it is merely an attribute

superimposed (अञ्चल) upon the Eternal Seer (इस), who illuminates conscious as well as unconscious phases of the mind. It again interlinks the Subject (विषय) with the Object (विषय) or the internal nature (अन्याप्रहात) with the external one (बार्ब्यहात). When this self-consciousness (अर्ह्हार) merges in the eternal आत्मन or the Highest Self, the state of emancipation or Realization of the Self is manifested. The Eternal Self or Seer illumines innumerable individual souls or minds, and the aggregate (सम्बर्ध) of all such minds being illumined by the same Eternal Seer can be termed 'Group Mind' according to modern psychology. This group mind can be conceived only when similarity or unity of individual souls or minds is postulated.

Western Psychology has not as yet achieved success in proving the existence of this unity, or in accounting for it. It is up to now engaged in describing in detail the various manifestations of the moods of mind rather than in pointing to the substratum (अधिष्ठान) of all of them. It delights in counting the waves on the surface of the sea rather than in fathoming water. It seeks to describe mind rather than define it. This trend of Western Psychology turns a thinker away from the substratum and leads him to commit the blunder, as the Ultra-Behaviourists do, of conceiving mind as not apart from physical behaviour. Psychology cannot hope to reach the stage of perfection, if it departs from the substratum of mental activities and concentrates only on the external manifestations of

the mind. The Eternal Seer (साक्षी, इस) is the substratum of all conscious and unconscious phases of the mind and in him the line of demarcation between the 'Conscious' and the 'Unconsclous' vanishes, no scope being afforded for words to describe the 'Seer' or the 'Absolute'. When the 'object', which is not originally apart from the subject, is dissolved in the latter, the original state of the Eternal Seer or the Absolute is manifested, leaving no room for any kind of verbal description or definition. It is this Eternal Seer who illuminates the individual minds as well as the group mind, and removing all differences of individual souls or minds establishes the unity or uniformity of the group mind. Thus the oriental thinkers have gone ahead of the Westerners in respect of psychological researches. It is then beyond doubt that the Hindus, without leaving out of account the substratum of mind, have substantially contributed to the educational principles based on the psychology of the individual as well as of the group.

An attempt has been made above to show in a broad outline the main features of Hindu psychology. It must be noted here that sciences that lead us to knowledge can be correlated with one another from a comprehensive point of view, though the detailed treatment of a particular branch of knowledge obliges us to dissociate one science from another. The truth of this statement is realized especially in the case of psychology and philosophy, which, though they are distinguished from

each other for the sake of convenient exposition, are wrongly supposed to be innately distinct from each other. Psychology and Philosophy are, however, so inseparably connected that one would remain imperfect without the aid of the other. Philosophy, which is the science of sciences, relieves itself from pure abstractions by resorting to psychology and describing the phenomena of the mind, which is in some way connected with the inner soul; while the real aim of psychology is, and ought to be, to culminate in philosophy. Hoffding says, "In many ways philosophical research has played into the hands of psychological research; consciously or unconsciously philosophical speculation always works with psychological elements, and in philosophical speculations are deposited many profound psychological observations and ideas". This is the reason why the Philosophy of the Hindus contains numerous psychological observations, which, if culled and organized, give us a consistent idea of the nature of Hindu psychology, Thus the psychology and philosophy of the Upanishads were amalgamated in ancient times with the psychology of the energy and योग systems. Nay, the psychology of the साङ्ख्य and योग systems, particularly of the योग system, seems to be generally accepted even by the heterodox systems of the बोदड, बनड, etc., though there might be differences among them as regards the details and the ultimate reality. It is hoped that the Hindu psychology would supply a sound basis

for the Psychology of the Unconscious, which has recently attracted the attention of thinkers in the West.

This psychology and the principles of education propounded by the Hindus have resulted, not in the repression of instincts, but in the Sublimation of the individual and the group mind. The culmination of their individual, and social activities, in their sublimated form, is found not only in the worldly prosperity, but in the Realization of the Self. The proper direction of all those worldly and religious activities can be traced in the nation-building attempts of Shree Krishna, Lord Buddha, Ashoka, Chandragupta, Chanakya, Shree Shankaracharya, Vidyaranya, Shivaji and various other Indian heroes and saints, who, for the propagation of their particular motives and teachings, realized the inner truth of 'group psychology' as a powerful instrument for the uplift of the nation. The idea, therefore, of the 'group mind' is not foreign to the Hindus, and the science that shows the ways of properly directing the group mind to a worthy goal is not unknown to them.

The 'group mind' and the psychical laws underlying it have also been dealt with in the Science of Dramaturgy, which was long ago carried to perfection by venerable Bharata Muni. In a drama represented on the stage, the laws governing the working of the group mind are presupposed for its successful conclusion and bewitching effect as a whole. The audience assembled for witnessing a dramatic performance represents 'a psychological group', and a dramatist has to satisfy the curiosity of spectators, all and sundry, by writing and representing a drama in an effective manner. The account of the lays down rules that ought to be followed by dramatists and actors for making their plays full of abiding interest.

Again, भरत deals with the emotional aspect of psychology by constructing the theory of to (the highest joy or aesthetic delight). He has broadly enumerated forty-nine emotions (भाव), out of which he takes eight as स्यापिमावड (sentiments or permanent emotions), eight as सास्विकमावड (involuntary expressions of intense emotions), and thirty-three as व्यभिचारिमावड (transient or subordinate emotins). If the सात्त्रिकमायड are taken to be the bodily effects of emotions from the physical point of view and classified with अनुभावs (consequents or ensuants), the number of भावड (emotions) would be forty-one. The स्थायिमावड exist in the minds of all living beings in the form of latent impressions (संस्कारङ) or permanent instincts (बासना) from their birth. According to are they are (1) the (Love), (2) शम (Mirth), (3) शोक (Sorrow), (4) 新年 (Anger), (5) उत्साह (Energy), (6) भय (Fear), (7) जुगुसा (Disgust), and (8) विस्मृय (Astonishment). These स्थाविमावड, according to भरत, are developed into eight aesthetic sentiments (R)t, which respectively are-

^{*} भरत-नाट्यशास्त्र, अ. ६११७. † म. ना., अ. ६११५.

(1) शृङ्गार (the Erotic), (2) हास्य (the Comic), (3) करण (the Pathetic), (4) रीह (the Furious), (5) बीर (the Heroic), (6) म्यानक (the Terrible), (7) बीमल (the Odious), and (8) अद्भुत (the Marvellous). Later authors have added शान्त (the Quietistic) as the ninth रस with 'शम' (Tranquillity) as its स्थापिमाव.

About seven of the above स्थाविमान्ड can be compared with the corresponding emotions in the list of fourteen primary emotions with their instincts enumerated by McDougall. The seven corresponding emotions of McDougall with their instincts are—(1) Lust-Mating, (2) Amusement-Laughter, (3) Distress-Appeal, (4) Anger-Combat, (5) Fear-Escape, (6) Disgust-Repulsion, and (7) Wonder-Curiosity. No parallel to the स्थाविमाव of उत्साह (Energy) and its रस 'दीर' (the Heroic) can be found in the list of McDougall. 'बीरस', however, may properly be connected with the emotion of anger, which can be its स्थायिभाव with its corresponding instinct of combat. Again, anger must be accompanied by 'særg' (Energy) for the enhancement of बीररस. If वासस्य is recognized as a te, it can be brought into line with the 'tender emotion' of McDougall with its corresponding 'parental instinct'.

The eight सारिवक भावड\$ (involuntary expressions of mental states) are : (1) स्तरम (Paralysis), (2) स्वेद

^{*}Some English equivalents to Higs etc. have been taken from the 'History of Sanskrit Poetics', Vol. II, by Dr. S. K. De.

^{\$} भरत-नाव्यशास्त्र, अ. ६।२२.

(Sweating, Perspiration), (3) रोमाञ्च (Horripilation), (4) त्वरमञ्ज (Change of Voice), (5) वेपशु (Trembling), (6) तेवण्यं (Change of Colour), (7) अअ (Weeping, tears), and (8) प्रस्य (Fainting). All these are the physical expressions of some intense emotion; yet they are not the definite indications of a particular emotion. For instance, horripilation (रोमाञ्च) is the indication of fear as well as joy, and tears (अअ) are the expression of sorrow as well as joy. Although these physical expressions refer to a mental state, yet and must have found it difficult to assign them to particular emotions. He, therefore, thought it expedient to signify the intense emotions through the general physical indications, and characterize them as 'सान्विकमावड.' Even the modern psychology admits that though an emotion predominantly consists of the affective element, it is allied, in a lesser degree, with the conative element and some bodily expressions." This nature of emotions, perhaps, induced and to give an indirect description of intense emotional states (सारिवक मावड) through their involuntary expressions on the body. The suggestion of a definite emotion like 'Sorrow' or 'Fear' through those general bodily expressions will, of course, depend upon the particular context or situation in which they are exhibited. Properly speaking, the सास्त्रिक मावड are the effects of intense emotions and

^{*} McDougall : An Outline of Psychology, P. 317.

should be placed in the category of agains, as will be seen later on.

All the thirty—three इंग्रीन्सरिमावड, 5 otherwise called सञ्चारिमावड (Transient-subordinate emotions or Accessories), need not be mentioned here. A few of them are: मिवेंद (Self-disparagement), शङ्का (Apprehension), अस्या (Envy), चिन्ता (Anxiety, reflection), स्पृति (Recollection), पृति (Equanimity), बीडा (Shame, bashfulness), ह्यं (Toy), आवेग (Agitation, flurry), गर्व (Arrogance), विपाद (Despair), औन्तुक्य (Impatience) etc. These are directly indicative of the mental states; but some व्यभिचारिमावड like व्लानि (weakness), अम् (weariness), आलस्य (Indolence), जहता (Stupor) etc. are indirectly indicative of the mental states through the bodily expressions, and the same explanation as given above may be applied here also. A general remark may be made here that when भरत looks at mental states (सान्विक भावड or व्यभिचारिसावड) from the subjective point of view, he refers to them directly; and when he looks objectively at those states, he refers to them through physical expressions. For, the physical states mentioned by him are connected with their corresponding mental states. Every living being who shows a particular physical state can be thought to have some corres-Again, there are some ponding mental state. mental states which cannot be indicated except through physical expressions, and they are figi

^{\$} भरत-नावामास, अ. ६।१८-२१.

(sleep or drowsiness), अपसार (Epilepsy), सुस (Dreaming), आधि (Sickness), उत्पाद (Insanity), म्रण (The state before death) etc., There is, however, some room for revision and re-organization in the list of the thirty-three अभिचारिभावड in the light of modern psychology. For instance, it is possible to find similarity between 'निवंद' (Self-disparagement) and McDougall's primary emotion of 'Negative Self-feeling' with its instinct of submission, and between गर्व (Arrogance) and the primary emotion of 'Positive self-feeling' with its instinct of selfassertion.

This treatment of emotions in the नाट्यान is more or less psychological or rather psycho-physical. भरत does not deal so much with the cognitive and conative aspects of the mind as he does with its affective (emotional) aspect; for, he wants to expound the theory of रह (Aesthetic delight), which is manifested in the drama. In spite of a few flaws in his classification of emotions, भरत, the ancient sage, certainly deserves the credit of making a psychological approach to the drama and inspiring later authors to apply the dramatic theory of रह to poetry and literature in general. The theory of रह is centred in भरत's famous aphorism: 'विभावा- तुमावस्यमिचारिसंयोगाइसनियात:'\$ which broadly means that the latent स्थापिमाइस manifest themselves into रहा

^{\$} भरत-नाटचशास्त्र, अ. ६.

when they are united with the विभावड, अनुमावड, and व्यभिचारिभावड. In the phenomenal world when we come into contact with a particular situation, we naturally react to it. In other words, the situation serves as a stimulus which causes in us an emotional response, pleasant or unpleasant. Thus the stimulus is the cause, of which the response is the effect. This psychological fact has some resemblance to the theory of ve, though the stimulusresponse formula does not exactly correspond to the विभावड etc. and रस. For, the stimulus and the response are respectively the real cause and the real effect in the phenomenal world, and the emotional effect or response produced by the stimulus is pleasure as well as pain; while the विभावs (Excitants) and अनुमावs (Ensuants) which are respectively the imaginary causes and effects in the world of drama and poetry invariably manifest a pleasurable response in the form of te (Aesthetic delight). The विभावड (Excitants) are further subdivided into आलम्बनियाव (The essential excitant) and the उद्दीपनविभाव (The enhancing excitant). The former is the hero or heroine exciting emotions in drama or poetry, and the latter is the external situation or surroundings imagined in drama or poetry for favouring the excitement of emotions. Now अनुमान्ड (Ensuants) are the effects or physical expressions of the emotions of the hero or other characters in drama or poetry. They are almost the same as the सारिवकमावड; yet if a distinction between

the सात्विकमावड and अनुमावड is to be made, it may be said that the former are involuntary expressions, while the latter are voluntary expressions. When the स्थाविमाव of the hero or other characters is represented in drama or poetry through the skilful depiction of the विभावड, अनुभावड and व्यभिचारिmas of the characters themselves, the same स्याविमान, which exists latently in the minds of spectators or readers, also, is awakened and developed into rg. Now in relation to the hero or other characters the samples in drama or poetry are the causes and the अनुभावs are the effects. Yet in relation to the spectators or readers the dramatic or poetic presentation of the विभावड, अनुमावड and व्यभिचारिमावड collectively becomes the cause of awakening ag in them. A thing to be noted in the case of the अनुभाव is that they become the causes with reference to the spectators or readers, even though with reference to the characters they are the effects. + When the spectators or readers identify themselves with the dramatic or poetic situation, the विभावs etc. being idealized in their minds are united with their स्थायिमाय and reveal in them extreme literary delight or re. This delight makes itself visible on their

[ा] स्थाविमावाननुमावयन्तः सामाजिकान् सम्कविक्षेपकटाक्षादयो रसपोय-कारिणोऽनुमावाः । एते चामिनयकाव्यवोरप्यनुमावयता साक्षाद्भावकाना-मनुभवकमैतयानुभूयन्त इत्यनुमवनमिति चानुभावा रसिकेषु व्यपदिक्यन्ते । विकारो (कार्यम्) भावसंस्चनात्मक इति तु छौकिकरसापेक्षया, इह (अछौकिकरसे) तु तेषां कारणत्वमेव ।-दशक्षकम्, ४।३.

bodies in the form of their अनुमावड or सार्विकमावड which, though they are causes at the former stage, again appear as effects. Thus the theory of nine स्वड with their स्थायिमावड, व्यभिचारिमावड, विमावड and अनुमावड treats mainly of the Affective or emotional aspect of the mind.

This to, according to the peculiarity of the विभावs, etc., assumes particular phases of वीर, करण, etc., and is experienced by all human beings. In other words, the union of the peculiar moods of actors and the audience, or of the Subject (विषयी) and the Object (विषय) in the dramatic or poetic representation, manifests a particular phase of ve. But as this ve, emancipated from its particular phases, is of the nature of supreme bliss (परनिवृति, आनन्द) underlying the nine रसड, it is unity in diversity. Hence, the ancient writers on dramaturgy like अधिनवगुत and later literary critics like जगजाय correlated the Sanskrit Poetics with the philosophy of the Upanishads, and declared the highest delight derived from literature to be akin to the experience of the highest ब्रह्मन् (ब्रह्मास्वादसहोदर). Nay, they suggest that all literary delight for its consummation must get itself freed from all consiouness of particularity (विगलितवेद्यान्तर) and transformed into the highest bliss of प्रमासन् or the Eternal Seer, as is indicated by the Upanishadic saying, " The highest

[&]quot; रसगङ्गाघर, P. 27.

bliss is to itself. After attaining the very to, he becomes full of delight."

For the interesting representation of this रह with its eight or nine phases before the audience or the group mind, मुख has formulated some rules, which, in combination with the five elements of the dramatic plot (अर्थप्रकृतिङ) and five psychological stages (क्रमावरपाड), develop into five junctures (सन्दिङ) of the drama. Every dramatic performance has to undergo these five junctures so as to come to a successful conclusion and maintain the unity and interest of the plot. The division of the plot into acts and scenes has been broadly conceived by मुख with this end in view. In actualities, however, the five junctures of the drama do not always correspond to its acts and scenes.

In the composition and representation of a drama the बस्तु or the plot is divided into the principal t or main action (आधिकारिक) and the incidental or subsidiary action (पासङ्गिक). Connection between the main plot and the subordinate elements, helping its successful achievement, is established by the correspondence or union of अध्यक्षकि, क्रमावस्थाड and सन्धिड. Now, the five अध्यक्षकिड or elements of the plot in their succession are as

^{*} रसी वै सः । रसं ग्रेवायं लब्बाऽऽनन्दीमवति । (तैस्तिरीयोपनिषद्).

[†] Some English equivalents to Sanskrit terms in dramaturgy have henceforth been borrowed from the English Translation of the दशहराक by George C. O. Hass, 1912, (U. S. A.)

follows-(1) fla or the germ indicates the beginning of the dramatic action. (2) चिन्त or the drop is the expansion of the plot through the introduction of a subordinate element. This element disturbs the main plot, yet it maintains its continuity just like a drop of oil spreading on the surface of water. (3) qayar (episode), which is different from qayar-स्थानक (the dramatic irony of situation and words), is the spreading (expansion) of the plot still further like a fluttering banner, owing to the introduction of other subordinate elements aiding the main action. (4) मक्ती (episodical incident) is almost the same as qara; yet introducing a new subordinate element and occupying a short interval of time, it comes to a quick close. (5) and is the de'nouement or conclusion, where the action of the plot reaches a successful end.

The five approxis or psychological stages, which the drama undergoes are, in their proper sequence, the following ones-(1) approxis the beginning, where the ardent desire of a character in the drama for the achievement of the fruit or result (क्याम) is expressed for the first time. (2) क्या is the effort or determined activity for the attainment of the fruit. (3) approximate is the expectation of attaining the result, or the prospect of success. (4) approximate shows surety of success after the removal of obstacles in the way. (5) approximate the actual attainment of the fruit or result. All these psychological stages of the Action are calculated to maintain interest and hold

the audience in suspense up to the conclusion, by introducing the novelty of the subordinate matter every time. The five artigation in alliance with the five artigs constitute the five artigs or junctures of a play.

The five appropriate to the respective अर्थप्रकृतिङ and अवस्थाङ in their order are as follows-(1) मुखसन्ध is the opening (आरम्) of the action, where the seed (क्रीज) of the plot being sown, interest is awakened in the minds of spectators. (2) प्रतिम्खसन्धि (progression), which arises from the combination of बिन्द and यत्न, is the sprouting of the seed or development of the plot owing to the introduction of a subordinate and disturbing element (除元). This element shows the concentrated effort (यल) of the characters and spectators to reach the goal. (3) गर्नसन्त्र is the further development of the plot containing the element of yargs. Here the hope of attaining the fruit (प्राप्ताशा) is aroused by introducing new subordinate matter (पताका) and by indicating possibilities of success. (4) विमर्श or अवमर्श सन्त्र indicates a short pause or check in the action of the plot. on account of some unexpected obstacle or an episodical incident (京和), which being at last set aside, surety of attaining the fruit or result (नियताप्ति) is hinted at. (5) निवंहणसन्त्रि signifies the conclusion(कार्य) of the plot brought about by the organization of several episodes of the drama with the main plot. It creates a synthetic and lasting impression on the

minds of spectators and shows the actual attainment of the fruit or result (प्रज्ञाम). Thus all the सन्बिड serve to maintain the unity of the dramatic action by establishing organization between the minor and major parts of the plot. [म. नाट्यशास, स. ११; दश-रूपक, म. १].

These अध्यक्षित अवस्थाड and सन्धि with their live respective sub-divisions, viz., the corresponding elements, stages and junctures can be thus represented in a tabular form:—

अर्थप्रकृतयः	१ बीजम्	२ बिन्दुः	३ पताका	४ मकरी	५ कार्यम्
अवस्थाः	१ आरम्भः	२ यतनः	३ प्राप्त्याशा	¥नियतातिः	५ फलागमः]
↓ सन्धयः	१ मुलम्	२ प्रति- स्खम	३ गर्भः		५निर्वहणम् ↓ (उपसंहतिः)
11 11 1	Opening	Progress-	Develop- ment		Conclu- sion

According to मरत each सन्दि, except the first consisting of twleve sub-divisions (सन्दिक्ष) is to be subdivided into thirteen, so that all सन्दिक्ष can be enumerated to be sixty-four. Detailed treatment of these sub-divisions is needless here. Suffice it to say that even those sub-divisions present a psychological aspect. All these अधेमकृतिक, अवस्थाड and सन्दिक्ष with their sixty-four sub-divisions have been laid down by भरत for the methodical

^{*} The sub-divisions of सञ्चित्र according to the ব্যক্তৰ are— 12 + 13 + 12 + 13 + 14 = 64

representation of a drama. Strict adherence to these सन्धित and their sub-divisions (सन्धिक) is not obligatory on dramatists, since slavish observance of rules or technique mars the criginality of a dramatist or a poet, and obstructs the flight of his Imagination. भूत intelligently allows a dramatist sufficient discretion to write his play. For, he himself says in the नाट्यासल—

इत्येतानि यथासन्धि कार्याण्यङ्गानि रूपके । कविभिः कार्यकुश्लै रसभावानवेक्ष्य तु ॥ सर्वोङ्गानि कदाचित्तु द्वित्रियोगेन वा पुनः। ज्ञात्वा कार्यमवस्थां च योज्यान्यङ्गानि सन्धिषु ॥

-म. नाट्यशास्त्रम्, २१।१०६-१०७

"Thus the poets skilled in dramatic composition should use in dramas the sub-divisions (अन्यक्ष) in proper relation to their respective अन्यक्ष; but they should use them with their attention mainly to the predominant sentiments. They may optionally utilize the sub-divisions of अन्यक, either in their entirety or in their combinations of two or three; but they may do so with full knowledge of the de'nouement and psychological stages of the drama."

It must, therefore, be noted that this methodology of eras and erass is not meant simply to exalt the theory of the dramatic science. In the successful composition and presentation of a play, i. e., in actual practice, it is meant to serve as a means to the chief end, which is the enhancement of रस. This enhancement of रस might be psychologically termed 'awakening of curiosity' or 'heightening of interest' coupled with the clear comprehension of any subject dealt with. With the same purpose in view the author of the जन्मालोक says as follows—

> सन्धिसन्ध्यङ्गघटनं रसाभिव्यत्तयपेश्चया। न तु केवस्या शास्त्रिधितसम्पादनेच्छया॥

> > —ध्वत्यालोकः, ३।१२

"The combination of what and ways, in the setting of a play, must be made not only with the view of conforming to the technique of dramaturgy or maintaining the dignity of that science, but mainly with the view of developing and manifesting the tes in a successful manner."

The same valuable suggestions are applicable to the use of segges (figures), the (diction), and get (excellences) in the composition of a drama and other varieties of poetry. A dramatist or a poet can, therefore, get full scope for his originality, wit, poetic ideas, constructive skill, etc., in spite of the minute details of those rules. All these thoughts hold good even in the science of teaching. A teacher likewise may conform to the technique of teaching, yet he must bear in mind that all this technique is a means to the 'interest' to be aroused among students in the subject dealt with. He should not slavishly follow the technique of teaching, but must have his eye fixed on Interest, so that he would get full scope for

the free exhibition of his tact, humour, clear treatment of his subject, and other factors of good teaching. The five affect are the actual method of dramatic demonstration through which a dramatist, actors and spectators have consecutively to pass according to the heightening of their emotions and sentiments. The principle of effes, therefore, may be resorted to, according to the rules of dramaturgy, as much by teachers as by dramatists and actors, when a predominantly emotional subject is to be demonstrated before a psychological group of students or spectators. In this respect, the plot (वस्तु) of a drama may correspond to the emotional subject to be taught, an actor to a teacher, spectators to students, and the five after to the five steps of teaching. Thus the five what can very well be turned into the five psychological steps of a 'literature' lesson, though we have not come across references, in ancient works, to their employment in teaching. It is not known for certain whether the सन्ति were adopted as steps of teaching literature or not, when the emotional aspect was prominent. There is, however, no reason why we should not adopt these afters as steps of teaching literature or any subject worthy of being dealt with on the emotional level.

It is worthy of note in this respect that भरत's theory of रस was originally meant for the dramatic representation. Later literary critics like इहमह and आनन्द्वयंन, however, finding the predominant ele-

ment of सह common to the drama as well as poetry, have applied that theory without any modification to poetry and its composition. इहमह says in his बुद्धारितेल्क as follows—

प्रायो नाट्यं प्रति प्रोक्ता भरताचै रसस्थितिः। यथामति मयाप्येषा काव्यं प्रति निगद्यते॥ -श्रह्मारतिलक, ११५

"Generally and others have expounded the theory of a with reference to the dramatic representation. I treat of it, as far as my power of judgment permits me, with reference to poetry."

When these facts are taken into account, it is no violation of the ancient literary principles, if the theory of junctures (सन्प्रिक) is made applicable to the theory and practice of teaching literature without changing in the least the original meanings of the words: मूल, प्रतिमुख, गर्म, विमयं and निवंद्या. It is obvious that the emotional teaching of literature involves the same psychological stages and junctures, which are in every way applicable to the interesting exposition of literature by the teacher before the 'psychological group' of students.

Again and enumerates six purposes of the employment of grags (sub-divisions). Those purposes, though they are applicable to the composition and representation of the drama, are also worthy of being always borne in mind by a teacher while actually presenting the subject-matter to his students. The purposes are—

इष्टरबार्थस्य रचना वृत्तान्तस्यानुपक्षयः । रागप्राप्तिः प्रयोगस्य गुह्यानां चैव गृहनम् ॥ आश्चर्यवद्भिख्यानं प्रकाश्यानां प्रकाशनम् ॥ अङ्गानां पड्विधं द्येतदुक्तं शास्त्र प्रयोजनम् ॥

-म. नाट्यशास्त्रम्, २१।५३-५४

"(1) Arrangement of the subject-matter intended to be presented, (2) uninterrupted continuity of the topic, (3) maintenance of interest (राग) in the presentation, (4) concealment of the things that ought to be concealed, (5) addressing the audience in such a way that their curiosity or surprise would be aroused, and (6) disclosure of the things to be disclosed—these are the six purposes of the subdivisions in this dramatic science." धनज्ञ्य, after reproducing the same six purposes of the subdivisions in his रहारूपङ्क (1.55), gives the following valuable suggestions regarding the presentation of the subject-matter—

द्वेषा विभागः कर्तव्यः सर्वस्यापीह वस्तुनः। सूच्यमेव भवेत्किव्चिद् हश्यश्रव्यमथापरम्॥ नीरसोऽनुचितस्तत्र संसूच्यो वस्तुविस्तरः। हश्यस्तु मधुरोदाचरसभावनिरन्तरः॥

-दशरूपकम्, १।५६-५७

"The whole subject-matter should be divided into two parts. Some of it should be suggested by incidental reference, and the other should be witnessed and heard by the audience. Details of the subject-matter that are devoid of charm and propriety should be incidentally referred to; and what

is full of sweet and sublime emotions and sentiments should be presented in detail."

In the science of dramaturgy similar verses are found which can be applied to teaching without modifying their meanings. From all that has been said above it will be clear that classification of the subject-matter, nomenclature, definition, ascertainment of the relative worth of principal and subordinate elements, and organization of all the elements into a systematic or synthetic whole are the distinguishing features of methodology, which is: not only applicable to the नाट्यवास but is of wider application to all the sums in India. Logic has particularly helped the development of the methodology by enunciating its principles of उद्देश (Enumeration), लक्षण (Definition) and प्रीक्षा (Examination), as we have already seen. The नाट्यशास besides methodically and psychologically setting forth its general theory concerns itself more with its actual practice on the stage. This is why it lays down principles for the organization of the subject-matter according to its essential and non-essential elements, and also for its beautiful presentation which would charm the minds of spectators. Those psychological principles are, therefore, applicable in many respects to the art of teaching, and give us valuable hints for the formulation of the theory of teaching according to the Hindu ideas, and for the use of that theory in actual practice.

Along this line of thought it is interesting to note how a new drama is acted between the Subject

(विषयी, द्रष्टा) and the Object (विषय, इत्य) in interchanging their places and assuming different roles. The author of Dramaturgy like मस्त, who is the 'Subject', assumes the role of a teacher in relation to the psychological group of dramatists, who are objectified by him, while a dramatist who was formerly objectified becomes now the 'Subject' and assumes the role of a teacher in relation to the Dramatis Personge. On the other hand, the Dramatis Personge while acting a drama become the 'Subject' and assume the role of teachers in relation to the spectators, who are for some time objectified into a psychological group. The spectators while passively witnessing a drama and enjoying the te are transformed into the 'Subject' and objectify the actors and the whole dramatic performance. If we thus find that all this is a play or communion between the 'Subject' and the 'Object', and if 'Interest' (राग or रह) is heightened in the psychic union of the 'Subject' and the 'Object', there need be no objection if we extend the same relation of the 'Subject' and the 'Object' to the teacher and the taught respectively. It may be added here that a teacher assumes, or ought to assume, the role of an actor in relation to his students, who are objectified by him and who play the part of the audience or a psychological group. A teacher teaching a drama or any emotional subject must, therefore, possess some dramatic sense for making his teaching interesting and instructive; and for this purpose he may follow some dramatic principles in practice,

and adopt the usefus in the drama as the five steps of teaching literature.

Just as the teacher and the taught are required, for the study of intellectual subjects (शास्त्र), to adopt five or three steps of the 'Logical Method' as previously indicated, similarly the teachers may take the live apas of the drama to be a distinguishing feature of the 'Psychological Method', and may resort to them for the teaching of Literature and other emotional subjects to advanced students in an interesting and instructive manner. All this will clearly show that the Hindu thinkers took recourse not only to the 'Logical Method' but also to the ' Psychological Method.' In other words, they based the presentation of their subjects on the findings of their own Logic as well as Psychology. The former has accepted Causal Relation, Categories, Inference and Joint Method of Agreement and Difference (अन्वय-अविरेक) as the chief modes of thinking and reasoning, while the latter suggests principles of education which are based on the mind of the Individual as well as of the Group.

III THE METHODS OF LOGIC (=414)

The distinction between the 'Psychological Method' and the 'Logical Method' may be noted here. The Psychological Method is the practical application of the general principles of psychology,

which deals with the phenomena or the actual working of the three aspects of the mind, viz., Affection, Cognition and Conation. In this sensepsychology is a theoretical science inasmuch as it is concerned with mental states as they actually exist and develop in Nature. Psychology, however, forms the basis of practical sciences, which regulate and lead the powers of the mind to a worthy goal and help the development of Personality, which is a complete whole of affection, cognition and conation. The practical sciences are, for instance, Education, Aesthetics, Logic, etc., out of which Education is concerned with the sublimation of all the mental powers and is thus closely related to psychology. Aesthetics and literary criticism are prominently related to the affective aspect of the mind, and their goal is the attainment of Aesthetic Delight. Logic, on the other hand, is based on the cognitive aspect of the mind, and its goal is to train us in the art of correct thinking. It will be then clear that the practical approach made to a subject after taking into account the three aspects of the mind may be called the 'Psychological Method', while the purely cognitive approach to a subject may be termed the 'Logical Method'.

This does not, of course, mean that the Psychological Method is illogical or that the Logical Method is unpsychological. Although both are complementary to each other, yet according to the growing understanding of a young learner the Psychological Method, which is based on the natural growth or evolution of the three aspects

of the mind, is more effective than the Logical Method, which, being concerned mainly with generalized or ideal thought, becomes abstract and unintelligible. The learning process of a child according to psychology continues through the stages of sense-perception, repeated observation (भूयोदर्शन) of particulars, recognition of similarity and contrast, recapitulation of former experience, organization of all facts, and generalization. In short, he starts from the particular to the general through the process of Induction. Here the Psychological Method culminates, and must culminate, in the Logical Method, for the purpose of giving a finished form to the knowledge imparted and to the method of its imparting. Induction must be verified by Deduction and recapitulation, or analysis must be followed by synthesis. In other words, the best method will be analytico-synthetic rather than purely analytic or synthetic. A young learner who has reached the stage of generalization may, if he chooses, dispense with the Psychological Method and resort to the Logical one. It must, however, be remembered that even in the case of novices advanced in age Psychological Method, which is concrete and interesting on account of its appeal to emotions and imagination, serves its purpose more than the Logical Method.

Though Logic, which is related to cognition, may form a branch of psychology, it has established its independence as a separate science, and also helps psychology in return. It not only systematizes

psychology but from the cognitive point of view analyses any subject into principal and subordinate parts and organizes it into a system or united whole. This is why Logic and the Logical Method have occupied a more prominent place than psychology among all sciences even from ancient times in the East as well as in the West. Another reason of the prominence of Logic is that it is primarily concerned with correct thinking or valid knowledge (प्रमा) and secondarily with incorrect thinking or fallacies as well as forms of invalid knowledge (अपमा), so that we should avoid or dispel the latter and resort only to the former; while psychology deals with correct as well as incorrect processes of thinking, feeling and willing as they actually take place in our mind. Logic does not, therefore, deal with 'thought' as it is but expresses its thoughts about the ideal and general thought or 'thought 'as it ought to be, by regulating its natural process. It analyses "thought" into Concept, Judgment and Inference, clarifies relations between the objects of knowledge (प्रमेष) and their symbols (words etc.) or between a thought and another thought, demonstrates the ideal process or method of acquiring valid knowledge by stating the instruments or means of valid knowledge (प्रमाणs), and lays down rules for the attainment of Truth, which is the common goal of all sciences. For this purpose it resorts to the classification of things and nomenclature suited to particular aims, and lays down a procedure, which is either deductive or inductive. When the various

relations between the objects of valid knowledge and their symbols are made known through this procedure, explanation of a subject assumes a methodical and scientific aspect. Although Logic is generally a practical science, its treatment of the laws of concept, judgment and inference forms its theory or the first part, and the knowledge of that theory leads us to its second part, viz., the scientific theory of method or methodology. This methodology of Logic is of universal application to all branches of human knowledge, viz., psychology, metaphysics, linguistics, chemistry, medical science, education, etc., since it enables us to expound those subjects in a methodical way. In other words, methodology maintains clarity of thought by distinguishing between the primary and secondary elements of a subject and by organizing those elements into a proportioned whole or a System. Moreover, it develops critical insight so as to enable us to discard fallacious reasoning or invalid knowledge and accept valid knowledge alone. The Logical Method mey, therefore, be called the 'scientific' or 'critical' method of arriving at correct conclusions for the attainment of Truth.

'Truth' is that which remains unchanged under varying circumstances; and a new fact, that is found to be consistent with it, assumes the form of truth. The method, therefore, that facilitates approach to that truth after making us discard the non-essential and ascertain the essential is the best method. This method comprises the processes of logical analysis and synthesis of observed facts.

that conduce to the systematic knowledge of a particular subject. Analysis involves the separation of the individual parts of a united whole through the processes of observation, experiment, guarded use of hypothesis, analogy, isolation of necessary facts from unnecessary ones, establishment of a law owing to the causa! relation revealed, and the act of connecting this law with the universal law of wider generality. This scientific process of analysis, through which we arrive at the universal from the particular, is otherwise called 'Induction'. On the other hand, if we, after assuming the existence of the universal law, apply it to particular facts, we have to resort to the synthesis of particulars or parts into a systematized whole, on account of the common relation of the parts. This is otherwise called 'Deduction' or the method of starting from the universal to the particular. Bacon and Mill discard this deductive procedure by saying that it adds nothing new to our knowledge, and establish the Inductive Method as the only Scientific Method. It must, however, be noted that Induction and Deduction, or analysis and synthesis, are both complementary to each other. For, mere analysis of facts cannot lead us to the organization and reconstruction of parts, unless we have some idea of the whole; while it is not possible to systematize the whole unless we fully know the parts and their relations. Analysis serves its purpose best when the parts or constituent elements of a fact can be synthesized and reconstructed into their original whole, just as the separation of the parts of a clock serves its

purpose when those several parts are reconstructed into the original clock. Analysis is interested in the concrete reality of particular facts, while synthesis is concerned with the abstract generality of the universals. Synthesis verifies the hypothesis in the light of the universal law and fills in the gaps left by analysis. Thus when synthesis or deduction is combined with analysis or induction, it becomes a sound foundation for the advancement of knowledge. It is the business of Logic to deal with both these procedures, which in their combined form supply us with a complete scientific explanation, and which may be designated as the Logical Method.

The completeness or incompleteness of synthesis will depend upon the more or less perfect knowledge gained about a subject. If the universal laws derived from analysis can be applied without contradiction (व्यभिचार) to new cases, the synthesis may be said to be almost perfect and the scientific explanation of the truth aimed at would be complete in the same proportion. When we thus derive one truth from another either through induction or deduction, we have invariably to resort to inference, which is one of the chief features of the Logical Method. If the conclusion is inevitably arrived at after following the natural sequence of all the premises, the process of inference becomes complete, and a particular principle or doctrine is said to be established, inesmuch as it is supported by sound reasons (ga) in favour of it. Analysis discloses to us the mutual relations of particular facts and leads us through inductive inference to the universals, which supply a sound basis for deductive inference or synthesis.

When all the universals or general truths are incorporated by synthesis in a united whole so as to form an organization of parts with parts, and of subordinate parts with the principal part on account of relations existing among all, they develop into a system or sum, which facilitates inference or the process of reasoning. The idea of the whole then helps us to understand the parts related to it, while the knowledge of the parts gives us the idea of the whole, as the parts are related to it. It is only the relations existing between the parts and the whole that make us understand the nature of both of them. Demonstration of the causal relation of a part with another part, of a part with the whole, or of a new principle with the already existing system necessarily involves inference and is called ' scientific explanation. 'The scientific explanation becomes perfect when it is consistent with truth, when it is supported by laws underlying Nature, and when it can find a proper place in a synthetic system. If 'science' means 'exact and systematized knowledge,' the scientific explanation must stand the test of truth and must ensue as a necessary consequence or uncontradicted conclusion from the previously accumulated knowledge.

It will be found from what has been said above that Methodology, which is a part of Logic, supplies us with the rules of method which ought to be followed for the adoption of a systematic procedure in the investigation and treatment of any branch of knowledge. Analysis of a subject into major and minor parts and synthesis of all the parts into a united whole are, therefore, the general features of the method to be adopted. The first rule of method then is distinctness and unity of purpose or aim, which ought to be constantly kept in view for lending unity to the subject-matter and for analysing and organizing the subject-matter in the light of that unity. The second rule is the ascertainment of the starting-point. This rule includes (1) the correct and complete comprehension of facts through direct observation and indirect testimony, (2) interpretation and explanation of individual facts by relating them to the universal law, (3) demonstration of the relation existing among universal laws, (4) application of those laws in new spheres, (5) subordination of those laws to more universal laws and (6) mental construction of an organized body of knowledge. In all this process it is necessary to proceed with caution and graded steps.

The four famous rules of method laid down by Descartes for his own guidance convey the same

purport and are as follows-

"The first, never to accept anything for true which I did not clearly know to be such; that is to say, carefully to avoid precipitancy and prejudice, and to comprise nothing more in my judgment than what was presented to my mind so clearly and distinctly as to exclude all ground of doubt.

"The second, to divide each of the difficulties under examination into as many parts as possible, and as might be necessary for its adequate solution.

"The third, to conduct my thoughts in such order that, by commencing with objects the simplest and easiest to know, I might ascend by little and little, and, as it were, step by step, to the knowledge of the more complex; assigning in thought a certain order even to those objects which in their own nature do not stand in a relation of antecedence and sequence.

"And the last, in every case to make enumerations so complete, and reviews so general, that I might be assured that nothing was omitted."

In short, "The essence of all the rules may be summed up in the directions to make sure of our starting-point, to know the end we wish to attain, and to go from the starting-point to that end by orderly and consecutive steps, each of which is seen in its true relation to all the rest of the enquiry."

All these rules of methodology laid down by the Western Logic are applicable not only to education but to the investigation into any system or branch of science. Almost the same rules of methodology are found in the Indian Logic (न्याय), which bears a striking resemblance to the Western Logic, not on occount of mutual borrowing, but on account of the sameness of the human mind every-

^{*}See Descartes, Discourse on Method, Part II, pp. 15, 16, †An Intermediate Logic, by Welton and Monahan,

where, and the same process of reasoning from the universal to the particular or from the particular to the universal. All the orthodox and heterodox systems of philosophy in India have accepted the general principles of reasoning or laws of thought set forth by the न्याय system as a means of methodical approach to the truths aimed at in their respective systems. Although the वेदान्तिन्ड generally show the futility of logic in the realization of ser- or the ultimate reality, they employ the logical terminology and 'Inference' in their argumentation to such a degree that many sentences in the माध्य of शहराचाये, for instance, can be easily turned into the form of a syllogism. The later Vedant works like the भामती, the अद्वैतसिद्धि and the वेदान्तपरिभाषा are prominently couched in the language of the न्याय system, and prove, on the empirical plane (ब्यावहारिक सत्ता), the वेदान्त doctrines in the light of the प्रमाणs (means of knowledge) propounded by the न्याय. Thus the Indian Logic is much similar to the Western Logic in the matter of the general acceptance of its methodology by other Indian systems.

Another point of similarity between the Western and Eastern Logic is the close correspondence of their syllogisms, which are a developed form of the 'Dialectic Method' or the method of dialogue used before their coming into being. Just as

[†] See the ब्रह्मसूत्र 'तर्काप्रतिष्ठानादप्यन्यधानुमेयमिति चेदेवमप्य-विमोक्षप्रसङ्गः '(2.1-11) and the ज्ञाङ्करमाप्य thereon.

Aristotle's syllogism is a systematized form of the 'Dialectic Method' used by his predecessors like the Sophists, Socrates and Plato (in his 'Dialogues'), so गौतम's five-membered syllogism, which was reduced to that of three members by the later नेयापिकड, is the development of the Dialectic Method used before him in the ancient debates held in sacrificial sessions and philosophical assemblies (परिषद्ड). This method of dialogue is sufficiently exemplified in the उपनिषद्s and corroborated by the rules of debate (सम्भाषाविधि) collected in the चरकसंहिता (अ. ८, विमानस्थान). The method of dialogue, of which the logical syllogism is a finished form, is necessarily a method of questions and answers going on between the प्रवेपश्चिन् and the सिद्धान्तिन् or between the teacher and the taught. Thus every premise of the Indian syllogism is an answer to a question asked by an opponent or a student. For instance, the hackneyed syllogism of the न्याय implies questions and may be expressed in the form of questions and answers as follows: " किमुच्यते ? पर्वतो वहिमान् । करमात्! धूमवत्त्वात् । किमुदाहरणम् । यो यो धूमवान् स स वहिमान्, यथ महानस: । कि वहिं श्विह्याप्यभूमवानयं पर्वतः । कि सिद्धम् १ पर्वतो विह्नमान्।" This method was much used in ancient times for oral discussions and for the teaching of a subject, and has got its written evidence in the commentary literature on शास्त्रs and हाइवड, as will be shown later on. Moreover, पञ्ज, साध्य and हेतु, the constituents of the Indian syllogism correspond respectively to the minor term, major term and the middle term of

Aristotle's syllogism. The Indian syllogism is not, however, a process of purely deductive inference like that of Aristotle, but is a process of deductive-inductive inference, since the 'उदाहरण' in it supplies us with the particular, observed facts leading us to the general proposition, as previously indicated. Thus the Indian Logic and the Western Logic are identical in the treatment of inference, definitions, classification, and even inductive procedure.

Although the Indian Logic (त्याय) deals incidentally with psychology, theory of knowledge, language, theology, etc., the greater part of it is properly concerned with the cognitive aspect of the mind, since it is usually detailed under the general heading of gfg or Reason, which is the instrument of valid knowledge (प्रमा) as distinguished from invalid knowledge (अप्रमा). The purpose or aim (प्रयोजन) of all valid knowledge distinctly kept in view by the =qq, as it is done by all Indian systems, is emancipation (मोक्ष). It is, therefore, the task of the न्याय principally to approach valid knowledge or truth which leads to मोश. गौतम, therefore, proposes to treat of this valid knowledge through the enumeration (उद्देश) and classification of sixteen topics (पदार्थ), of which the first two, viz., प्रमाण (means of valid knowledge) and प्रमेष (objects of valid

श्रमाण-प्रमेय-संशय-प्रयोजन-दृष्टान्त-सिद्धान्त-अवयय-तर्थ निर्णय-वाद-जल्प-वितण्डा-देत्वामास-ज्ङल-जाति-निग्रदृत्थानानां तत्त्व ज्ञानान्निःश्रेयसाधिगमः ॥

knowledge) are the most important. Again, between these two topics ' प्रमाण ' is held to be more important, since it facilitates methodical approach to knowledge; and this accounts for a large portion devoted to the treatment of yappus in later works on the न्याय. The establishment of the प्रमेय through the detailed discussion of the samps is the common feature of all scientific systems in India and it was adopted by them from the methodology of the न्याय, though there are conflicting views among them as regards the number of gappas and gaps. The number of games varies with every system, in spite of the general acceptance of the four प्रमाणs of the नैयायिकs by the important Indian systems. The gappa recognized by the Indian systems are as follows-(1) The चार्वाकड accept only one प्रमाण, viz., प्रत्यक्ष (Perception), (2) The वैशेषिकs and बौद्धs accept two प्रमाणंड, viz., प्रत्यक्ष (Perception) and अनुमान (Inference). (3) The माङ्ख्य accept three, viz., प्रत्यक्ष, अनुमान and शब्द (Verbal testimony). The जैनंड seem to accept the same प्रमाणंड though their classification of प्रमाणs is based on a different principle. (4) The नेपायिकs recognize four, viz., प्रत्यक्ष, अनुमान, शब्द and उपमान (Comparison), (5) The प्रामानर-मीमांसकड recognize live, viz., प्रत्यक्ष, अनुमान, शब्द, उपमान and अर्थापत्ति (Presumption), (6) The माहमीमांसक sanction six, viz., प्रत्यक्ष, अनुमान, शब्द, उपमान, अर्थापत्ति and अनुपलविष (Non-apprehension). The वेदान्तिन्ह accept the same प्रमाणs on the phenomenal plane (ज्यावहारिक बना) for the establishment of their doctrines, as

is evidenced by the बेदान्तपरिभाषा. Moreover, they add अनुभवप्रमाण (Transcendental realization) as the foremost of all from the point of view of the Absolute State (पारमार्थिकसत्ता). (7) The पौराणिकड accept eight, viz., प्रत्यक्ष, अनुमान, ग्रब्द, उपमान, अर्थापत्ति, अनुपलिष, सम्भव (Probability) and ऐतिहा (Tradition), \$ The नेपापिकड, however, establish only four प्रमाणड, since they show that 'ऐतिहा' can be included in शब्द and अर्थापति, सम्मव and अनुपल्जिय in अनुमान. The definitions (लक्ष्म) and critical examination of those four प्रमाणs and more particulary of Inference (अनुमान) occupy a major part of the app system. For, it is said that a thing or principle can be established by definitions and means of proof and not by a mere statement (न हि प्रतिज्ञामात्रेण वस्तुसिद्धिः । लक्षणप्रमाणान्यां बस्तविदिः), or that the establishment of an object of knowledge depends upon the means of knowledge (मानाधीना मेयसिद्धिः). The नैयायिकः have shown critical acumen and power of minute analysis in the enumeration (उद्देश) and classification of categories and their subdivisions, in the definitions (क्या) of the same and in the examination (परीक्षा) of those definitions, which are demonstrated to be correct after indicating the propriety of each word in them.

-मुरेश्वराचार्यकृतं मानमोहासवार्तिकम्, द्वितीयोहासः 🛙

^{\$} प्रत्यक्षमेकं चार्वाकाः कणादसुगती पुनः । अनुमानं च तचापि साङ्ख्याः शब्दं च ते अपि ॥१७॥ न्यायैकदेशिनोऽप्येवसुपमानं च केचन । अर्थापत्वा सहैतानि चलार्याह प्रमाकरः ॥१८॥ अभावपद्यान्येतानि भाष्टा वेदान्ति-नस्तथा । सम्मवैतिसयुक्तानि तानि पौराणिका जगुः ॥१९॥

and avoiding the faults of अव्यक्ति (non-pervasion), अतिव्यक्ति (over-extension) and अवस्मन (non-existence). Examination (परीक्षा) includes also the establishment of the existence or non-existence of things or categories by applying the tests of four proofs (प्रमाण). This three-fold logical method of exposition is not only employed throughout all the न्याय works, but is adopted by other schools of thought for the systematic treatment of their respective tenets.

Thus the later नैयायिक्ड focussed their attention only on the theory of proofs (प्रमाणड) to the exclusion of the remaining fifteen topics of sham, and accepting the seven 'बेरोपिक' categories (पदार्थ) with their subdivisions, as a complete and minute analysis or classification of things in the universe, they included in them the sixteen topics of alan. Now the seven categories of the वैद्योपिक are द्रव्य (Substance), गुज (Quality), कर्मन् (Action), सामान्य (Generality), विशेष (Particularity), समवाय (Inherence) and अभाव (Negation). These categories have further been subdivided into nine द्रव्यड, twenty-four गुण्ड, five forms of कमन्, two kinds of सामान्य, innumerable विशेषड, one समवाय, and four kinds of अमाप. Those categories with their subdivisions have been more or less accepted by other Indian systems as the starting-point of

^{*} सर्वेषां पदार्थांनां वयायथमुक्तेष्वन्तर्भावात्सप्तैव पदार्थां इति सिद्धम् । —तर्कसङ्ग्रह्, ७०.

their speculations, and can most favourably compare with Aristotle's categories. It may incidentally be remarked here that even the साङ्ख्या, like वैशेषिकड, have attempted a complete classification of things in the universe. Their twenty-five categories, which mark graded stages in the psychological and physical evolution of the universe, and which can also favourably compare with the Evolution Theory in the West, have generally influenced other Indian systems. They have been amalgamated with the Upanishadic philosophy, in spite of difference as to the Ultimate Reality, by philosophers like शहराचार्य as the starting-point of their philosophical enquiry.\$ It can, therefore, be said that along with the animas and the नैयायिक्ड the साइल्युड also have contributed much to the methodical treatment of spess.

In connection with the etymological explanation of the word 'प्रमाण ' as 'प्रमाण: करणम्' (the instrument of valid knowledge), the word 'करण' (instrument) is explained in the न्याय system as ' व्यापारवद्धाधारणं कारणम्' (a peculiar and operative cause), and the theory of Causal Relation, which prominently presents a scientific aspect to the explanation of phenomena, is further detailed. The cause is defined in the न्याय as that which invariably and immediately precedes its effect and which is not proved to be a superfluous and accidental circumstance; while the effect is that which

५ येन त्वंशेन न विचध्येते तेनेष्टमेव साङ्ख्ययोगस्मृत्योः सावकाशत्वम् ।
—ज. स. मा. २-१-३

invariably and immediately succeeds the cause which is not proved to be a superfluous and accidental circumstance. In the Indian Logic the superfluous or extraneous circumstances (अन्ययासिद्धः) which must be excluded from the definition of the cause have been minutely discussed with illustrations. The invariable concomitance of the cause and the effect can be proved only when our experience is uncontradicted (अन्यमिचरित) by a different circumstance. In other words, when we realize uniformity in Nature, or when we have the uniform and uninterrupted experience of agreement in presence and agreement in absence (अन्वयव्यतिरेक), we can establish the causal relation (कार्यकारणसम्बन्ध) of things. Thus the theory of Causation explained by the न्याय exactly corresponds to the same expounded by the Western Logic, which defines the cause as "an invariable, unconditional and immediate antecedent of a phenomenon."

The cause is divided by the नैयाविकड into three kinds, viz., समवाविकारण (intimate cause), असमवाविकारण (non-intimate cause) and निमित्तकारण (efficient cause). The non-intimate cause, which is inseparably connected either with the effect or with the intimate cause, and which is either an action (कमें) or a quality (गुण), is an invention of the नैयाविकड and is not accepted by other systems. The remaining two kinds, viz., 'समवाविकारण' and 'निमित्तकारण' have been

अनन्यथासिद्धनियतपूर्वमावित्वं कारणत्वम् । अनन्यथासिद्धनियतपक्षा—
 स्वावित्वं कार्यत्वम् ।

recognized by the systems like the साइक्ष and the agra for the purpose of explaining the phenomenal reality through actual transformation (परिणाम), and through magic transformation or illusory appearance (विवर्त) respectively, the समवायिकारण being otherwise called 'उपादानकारण' (material cause) by them. Thus it will be found that the Theory of Causation has become a distinguishing feature of almost all systems of Indian philosophy, which, therefore, may be said to employ the Scientific Method in the explanation of their tenets, inasmuch as they accept the causal relation as their starting-point on the phenomenal plane (ब्यावहारिक सत्ता), though in the absolute and ineffable state (पारमार्थिक सत्ता) no room is left for the causal or any other relation, and science is bound to give way according to the agra philsophy.

It has formerly been shown that the process of inference in the Indian Logic is not purely deductive but inductive-deductive, on account of the example (उदाहरण) that finds its place in the five-membered syllogism (अनुमानवास्प). It can further be pointed out that the process of arriving at a generalization or invariable concomitance (अपासि) from particular instances as described in the न्याय system * exactly corresponds to the process of Induction in the Western Logic. अपासि means invariable and uncondi-

व्यमिचारस्याप्रहोऽपि सहचारप्रहस्तथा । हेतुव्यांप्तिप्रहे, तर्कः कविच्छङ्कानिवर्तकः ॥ –िस. मुक्तावलि, १३७.

tional concomitance (उपाधिविश्वरं नियतं साहचर्यम्) between two phemomena, one of which is the sign or ground of inference (商家, 讀) like 'smoke' and the other is the thing to be inferred (साव्य) like 'fire'. The relation of invariable concomitance between the हेत् (व्याप्य) and the साध्य (व्यापक्ष) must, therefore, be a natural one (स्वामाविक), that is, based on the uniformity of Nature, and must be free from conditions (उपाधि) like the contact of wet fuel (आर्द्रेन्थन-संयोग) or contradictory facts (व्यभिचार). We are then enabled to ascertain the अन्वयव्याप्ति viz., 'Wherever there is smoke there is fire ' (यत्र यत्र धूमस्तन तत्र वहिः) and the व्यतिरेकव्याप्ति viz., 'Wherever there is the absence of fire, there is the absence of smoke' (यत्र वह्नयमावस्तत्र धूमामावः). In other words, the observation of agreement in presence as well as agreement in absence between the and (middle term) and साव्य (major term) is necessary for the purpose of ascertaining व्यक्ति.

This ज्यासि or generalization is derived from the repeated observation (भूगोदर्शन) of innumerable particular cases where smoke and fire co-exist or are associated. But the repeated observation of many particular cases of the co-existence of smoke and fire will be inadequate, if in only one case that association is contradicted (अभिनित्त). The observation of the concomitance (सहस्य) of smoke and fire must, therefore, be strengthened by the non-observation or absence of a

contrary instance (व्यमिचारादर्शन), where that association is violated. Thus repeated observation of particular facts through direct perception enables us to discover the co-existence (साहचर्य) of two phenomena, which being invariably and uninterruptedly experienced, lead us to the knowledge of their uniformity. When such particular uniformities are known by us, we begin to believe that there is a fixed order of things in Nature, and arrive at the wider laws of Uniformity (स्वामाविकसम्बन्ध) and Causation (कार्यकारण-सम्बन्ध). This belief in uniformity and causation is strengthened by the apprehension of agreement between two phenomena in their presence and absence (अन्वयव्यतिरेक) supported by the non-apprehension of contradiction (অমিचाराबह). But if the observation of all the particulars of a phenomenon is not possible, how are we enabled to reach generalization from a few particulars? The नेपाविकड enswer this question by saying that the idea of generality (सामान्यप्रत्यासित), which is really of the nature of immediate inference, is naturally aroused in us by the law of association, as soon as we perceive an Individual. No sconer do we perceive an individual cow (गोव्यक्ति) than we realize the class-conception of the cow (गोलवाति). It is, therefore, possible to pass from particulars to the general through the inductive process, if there is the absence of contradiction (व्यभिचारविरह), which is also determined by means of repeated observation. Repeated observation alone, however, cannot be the cause of apprehending the invariable concomitance or reaching generalization; for sometimes a single observation of co-existence accompanied by the non-observation of contradiction might lead us to generalization (अपति).

This generalization cannot be reached unless we dispel our doubts with regard to a vicious condition (उपाधिशङ्का) attaching to the middle term (रेंच) and ultimately leading to a contradiction (व्यमिचार) in the invariable concomitance or co-existance of two phenomena. In other words, the relation between the middle term () and the major term (साध्य) must be natural (स्वामाविक) and not conditional (सोपाधिक), and Induction must proceed as a necessary consequence from particular facts. If we, for instance, try to establish the ब्यासि 'Wherever there is fire, there is smoke (यत्र यत्र बहिस्तत्र तत्र धूमः), this concomitance is contradicted by the fact that fire does not invariably co-exist with smoke, as in the case of a red-hot iron-ball, though smoke invariably co-exists with fire. It is not fire but the condition ' wet fuel' (आईन्धन) that is concomitant with smoke. It is therefore, necessary to ascertain that the middle term is free from a vicious condition and to dispel our doubt regarding a condition (उपाधि) or contradiction (व्यमिचार) suspected to come in the way of apprehending the invariable concomitance of two phenomena. If we are certain that a condition or contradiction exists, no invariable concomitance can be apprehended. If, however, there is a doubt regarding either of them, it can be dispelled in two ways. Firstly, a doubt as to a suspected उपाधि or व्यभिचार can be removed by resorting to the method of observing agreement in presence of a thing in the positive instances (अन्त्य) and the absence of that thing in the negative instances (व्यतिरेक) between two phenomena. This method, therefore, closely corresponds to the Joint Method of Mill. Secondly, the doubt can be dispelled by adopting as (confutation) which means 'hypothetical reasoning' or 'the reductio ad absurdum method of reasoning . If our opponent rejects our proposition, we, for a short time, admit for the sake of argument his negation to be true, end show how that negation turned into the form of a regular syllogism results in an absurd conclusion, which contradicts an observed fact. The negation of the opponent is thus indirectly proved to be false and its reverse proposition to be true. For instance, when we prove the presence of fire on the mountain from its observed sign (लिङ्ग, हेत्) 'smoke', and say 'प्यती बहिमान्, धूमवस्यात् ' by adopting the अन्वयव्याप्ति 'यत यल भूमस्तव तत्र विहः', our opponent negates our proposition and says 'पर्वता बहुपमानवान'. We then deliberately assume for a moment this false statement to be true; in other words, we grant for the sake of argument that 'smoke' is not the effect of the cause 'fire', and that, therefore, smoke does not co-exist with fire though really there is causal relation between smoke and fire. Bearing this fact

in mind we make a hypothetical statement 'यदि पवती विह्नमान् न स्वात् तिई स धूमवानिप न स्वात्', Thus we purposely say 'पर्वती धूमाभाववान्, वह्रयमाववत्त्वात्' by resorting to the व्यतिरेकव्याति 'यत्र यत्र वहत्रमावस्तत्र तत्र धूमाभावः '. But the conclusion ' पर्वती धुमाभाववान् ' cannot be true, since it is contradictory to the actually observed fact of the presence of smoke on the mountain. If the opponent persists in his own statement ' पर्वतो वहाथ-भाववान् , he is asked to point out an example wherein smoke can exist without fire. Of course, as there is causal relation between smoke and fire, the opponent can obviously supply no example wherein smoke and fire do not coexist, and has to acknowledge the absurdity of his own statement ' पर्वतो बहुध-माववान् . He is thus obliged to accept the truth of the व्यतिरेकव्याप्ति 'यत्र यत्र वह्नयभावस्तत्र तत्र धूमाभावः' and indirectly to consent to the original proposition ' पवतो बह्रिमान '.

It must be remembered that this method of confutation (तके) does not directly establish the व्यक्ति, but helps us in proving the absence of a contradictory fact, by dispelling our doubts regarding a condition (उपाधि) or contradiction (व्यक्तियार), and by strengthening our knowledge of coexistence (सहचये) which is apprehended by repeated observation. Thus 'तकें ', in which the principles of Causation and Uniformity are applied, is a method of the deductive verification of induction. Though तके is based on false assumption, it assists us in arriving

at valid conclusions, which are instrumental to valid knowledge (प्रमा). वर्क is particularly useful where the अन्ययमानि is not applicable and where a philosophical topic beyond our limited intellect can be explained only by a negative proof (व्यतिरेक). This तर्क, which is based on false assumption, is of tive kinds, viz., आत्माश्रय (Ignoratio elenchi), अन्योत्या-अम् (dilemma), चक्क (arguing in a circle), अनवस्था (regressus ad infinitum) and प्रमाणवाधितार्थप्रसङ्ग (reductio ad absurdum), of which the last has been detailed above.

It has been noted above that the sqift must be invariable and unconditional, just as the causal relation must be invariable and unconditional. This common feature of both will lead one perhaps to think that every suiff is based on the causal relation. In the particular example of smoke and fire the invariable concomitance of both can be shown to depend on the causal relation. But the नैयापिकड think that the causal relation between two phenomena is not quite necessary for every व्याप्ति, and that it is sufficient for the establishment of the equific to know the concomitance of two phenomena without a contradictory fact. For instance, the invariable concomitance of the rise of the moon and the tide of the ocean is not necessarily based on the causal relation between the two, but depends on our general belief in the uniformity of Nature. This belief in probability which amounts to certainty makes us ascertain the invariable concomitance of the two phenomena. It may then be said that said depends as much on the principle of the Uniformity of Coexistence as it depends on the principle of Causation. This is somewhat different from, and more comprehensive than, Mill's Method of Agreement and Difference which is based simply on causation.

It will then be seen that the process of ascertaining the व्याप्ति or generalization, as described in the ray system, is that of pure Induction. The generalization thus reached serves as a basis for a further deductive inference (अनुमान) which is an instrument of 'judgment' (अनुमिति). Before we arrive at this saffiff through the deductive inference (अनुमान, परामशें), we have to undergo mainly three mental stages. The lirst stage involves the repeated observation of smoke in the kitchen, ascertainment of invariable concomitance or generalization through the knowledge of coexistence, non-observation of a contradiction and approach to a mountain. The second stage consists of the observation of smoke on the mountain and a doubt about the presence of fire on it. At the third stage there is the recollection of the generalization already ascertained, and the knowledge of smoke coexisting with fire on the mountain in relation to the generalization. This knowledge of smoke at the third stage is called 'consideration of a sign' (परामर्श or लिङ्गपरामर्श), from which arises the inference immediately leading to the 'judgment' (अनुमिति). विकंसङ्ग्रह, 41].

Thus Indian Logic propounds the main principles of general methodology, which being actually applied to the other was lends a scientific aspect to them. Indian logicians, however, do not seem to mention ' the experiment' along with repeated observation. Though no definite reference to the Experimental Method can be found in the न्यायवैशेषिक system, it is highly probable that experiments were actually made for the purpose of ascertaining the properties of the ingredients of a compound in the medical and chemical sciences in India. Although Indian Logic does not deal with the Experimental Method, yet it may be remarked that the general methodology, propounded by it, is thoroughly scientific and critical even from the Western point of view. In spite of individual differences in their tenets, other Indian systems like the व्याकरण, the मीमांसा, the बदान्त etc., have found this methodology of the app worthy of general acceptance, and have practically applied it to the methodical exposition of their respective principles. This, doubtless, proves that the modern critical or scientific methods were not non-existent in India as the advocates of Western learning remark, and that the Hindus carried on their investigations into any subject thoroughly on a rational and scientific basis, though they never lost sight of the limitations of Reason in transcendental matters.

With respect to the critical and scientific outlook of the Hindu thinkers it may be added that all

systems of thought in ancient India, orthodox as well as heterodox, try to approach Truth or valid knowledge (प्रमा-यथार्थज्ञान) by resorting to the valid proofs or means of knowledge (प्रमाणs), causal relation, repeated observation, inference, etc. How, then, can they be said to be uncritical? The ancient Indian systems of thought have been critical and scientific enough in their attitude towards valid knowledge, though the experiments made by them might not have been thorough and minute owing to scanty apparatus, in which respect the modern science has undoubtedly made astounding progress. With reference to the unbiassed attitude indispensable to a scientist it may be remarked that the modern scientists, who in season and out of season advocate this attitude, are themselves found to be biassed; while the encient thinkers, in putting forth their own point of view and refuting others, generally express the objections (पूर्वपदा) of others with the veracity of a historian or a modern scientist, and give their rejoinders or conclusive view (सिदान्त) in a perfectly logical manner, as is exemplified by the बारीरस्त्रमाप्य of श्रीशहूराचार्य, To be unbiassed is certainly not to abandon one's particular point of view, which would be worthy of standing the test of the valid principles of logic; and faith in the validity of one's own arguments and tenets is scientific enough. The only proviso is that this validity must be demonstrated by valid proofs (प्रमाण); and in respect of this scientific attitude the ancient systems of thought are certainly not inferior to the modern ones. It

must, however, be acknowledged that modern sciences have gone ahead of the ancient ones on account of their thorough experiments, minute apparatus, and several other means.

IV THE COMMON LITERARY FORMS SUITED TO METHODOLOGY.

In connection with the general methodology of Logic, as detailed above, it is necessary to take into account the three main literary forms, which the Indian systems of thought have adopted for the purpose of methodical exposition, and which have, therefore, contributed to the development of methodology. The three literary forms adopted by almost all systems in India are : (1) the आक्रान्य or the main sources of a system, (2) the वाद्यन्यड or learned disquisitions on the same, and (3) the प्रकरणप्रन्यङ or graded manuals for beginners. आक्रमन्यड include the सूत्रड or aphorisms, the वार्तिवड or works explaining the was and supplying their deliciencies, and the Higgs or original and detailed expositions of the स्वs. It is instructive to trace the development of those literary forms from the point of view of methodology.

The Vedic literature, which consists of the संदिताड, ब्राह्मणड, आरण्यकड, and उपनिपट्ड grew to an enormous extent owing to the repeated oral discussions

held in learned assemblies and in sacrificial sessions. For the proper understanding and easy memorization of the main topics in this vast literature and in the oral discussions on it, it became essential to sum up those topics, which, being collected according to their subject-matter, were classified into six वेदाङ्गड or supplementary treatises on the Vedas, viz., (1) शिक्षा (Phonetics), (2) छन्दस (Prosody), (3) क्ल्प (Ritual), (4) विरुक्त (Etymology), (5) व्याहरण (Grammar), and (6) ज्योतिष (Astronomy)-All these वेदाङ्कs, of which the शिला, the निस्क and the व्याकरण are the branches of the linguistic science, have assumed the unique form of the सूत्रs or aphorisms, which are short and pithy sentences in prose synthesizing the scattered matter on a subject in a systematic form, and which taken collectively develop into a particular system of thought. Although those aphorisms can be easily memorized, and although clarity (असन्दिग्धल) is held to be their distinguishing mark, yet on account of their ambiguity at many places they cannot be fully understood without oral or written explanations. In ancient times, when oral teaching was predominant, those was were first learnt by students, and the teachers then gave their detailed oral explanations, which in later times were turned into written commentaries or HIPES. The chief characteristics of the as-style are the abundance of the forms of nouns and nounequivalents, scarcity of verbal forms, purposeful use of particles and case-forms like those of the

ablative or the instrumental, and long compounds, which all secured brevity of expression at the cost of clarity, and have immensely influenced Sanskrit Style in the later classical literature. In addition to the ब्याकरणसूत्र of पाणिति all the six orthodox systems, namely, the सङ्ख्य, the योग, the त्याय, the वैशेषिक, the पूर्वमीमांचा and the उत्तरमीमांचा or बेदान्त and also the heterodox systems like those of the बीद्ध and the क्षेत्र have resorted to this convenient सूत्र-style for the purpose of bringing all their tenets in a condensed form.

The oral explanations of the gas were later onfollowed by detailed written explanations or माध्यह, which besides explaining the सूत्रs expressed the independent views of a commentator, and which incorporated the above characteristics of the स्वstyle. Again, the appas account for the logical arrangement and consistency (सङ्गति) of the स्वड in topics (अधिकरण), sections (पाद), chapters (अध्याय) and the whole शाल, and further deal generally with each अधिकरण,-which contains one or more सूत्रs,-after distinguishing clearly its five parts, viz." (1) Statement of a topic (विषय), (2) Doubt (संशय), (3) Objection (पूचपञ्च), (4) Conclusive answer (Rigira) and (5) Consistency of the topic with the preceding and succeeding ones (usfd). It should be particularly noted here that the general principles of methodology relating to the function and analysis of individual words (पद), the interpreta-

tion of syntactical unity (बाक्य), and the validity of proofs or means of knowledge (प्रमाण) as laid down respectively by the square, the मीमांसा and the न्याय are employed in the भाष्युड at every step, in order to give a complete exposition of a particular शास्त्र. Moreover, there are two main types of भाष्युड, viz., (1) those written in the form of a dialogue or questions and answers, and (2) those in the form of a continuous lecture. The first type generally comprises the भाष्य of पतञ्जलि on the व्याकरण, that of शबर on the मीमांसा and that of बास्यायन on the न्याय, and all of them are, as it were, written reproductions of actual oral discussions; while the second type in the form of a lecture is represented prominently by शहराचार्य 's वेदान्तस्त्रमाध्य, which shows a further development of Sanskrit Style.

The माध्यह, which along with the सूत्रह and वार्तिकह are called 'आकरमन्यह', are generally followed by 'बारमन्यह' or learned disquisitions, which prominently employ the न्याय—terminology and indulge in the minute analysis and hair-splitting distinctions of concepts in order to fortify the tenets of their respective systems. The examples of such works are the परिमानेन्द्रशेखर, the शब्देन्द्रशेखर, etc., in the व्यावस्त्रह the शब्देन्द्रशेखर, etc., in the न्यायस्त्रह the शब्देन्द्रशेखर, etc., in the न्यायस्त्रह the खब्देन्द्रशेखर, etc., in the न्यायस्त्रह का चिन्तामणि, the गदावर्ग, etc., in the न्यायस्त्रह are generally followed by प्रकरणग्रन्थह or manuals which, without making any show of originality and

erudition, merely systematize in brief the tenets of a school in a graded manner, with the view of making them suit the undeveloped understanding of novices. The instances of such works are the सिद्धान्तकौमुदी, the lesser कीमुदीs, etc., in the व्याकरण; the आपदेवी, the अर्थसङ्ग्रह, etc., in the मीमांसा; the सिद्धान्त-मुक्तावलि, the तर्कसङ्बह, etc., in the न्याय; and the पञ्चदशी, the वेदान्तसार, etc., in the वेदान्त. Numerous commentaries on all these types of works, nay, further commentaries on commentaries have come into existence, the ceaseless activity of commentators resulting generally in the simplification or clarification of the original work, or sometimes in making the explanation more difficult than the original! Every system of thought thus seems to have undergone those three broad stages of development, not of course according to the chronological sequence, but according to the sequence of the development of thought. From another point of view also this evolution of an Indian system may be marked through three stages. Thus the composition of the was may be called the first stage of Condensation; the भाष्युड and बादमन्युड indicate the second stage of Expansion; and the saving-us belong to the third stage of Organization. All this methodical activity was possible only because the exponents of every system placed a distinct aim before themselves, possessed a comprehensive knowledge of the parts and the whole of a subject, resorted to the analysis of their subject into mein divisions and sub-divisions, and made a logical arrangement of their topics so as to maintain synthesis. A point worthy of note is that the above literary activity of Condensation, Expansion and Organization necessarily presupposes a definite and pointed knowledge of the subjectmatter and of the efficacious methods of its presentation.

V THE METHODS OF GRAMMAR (व्याकरण)

We have so far seen how Logic with its theory of प्रमाणि and almost all Indian systems with their common literary forms have largely contributed to the development of General Methodology. It will now be advisable to observe, how the ज्याहरण with its theory of words (पद) has helped that development. It will also be interesting to see how the ज्याहरण has been influenced by the methodology of the ज्याद and the ग्रीमांसा in later times.

The function of grammar, in general, is to deal with the phenomenon of language as it actually exists and to formulate the laws underlying it. The fact worthy of note is that language always precedes grammar and is learnt by every one of us in its naturally synthetic form. When it thoroughly establishes itself, grammar begins its work of analysing language into sentences, sentences into several parts of speech, and words into their crude forms (प्रकृति) and affixes (प्रस्प). The 'व्याद्वाण' is so called

because it seeks to analyse (আ্বু) or derive words from their root-stems व्याक्रियन्ते व्युत्पाद्यन्ते शब्दा अनेनेति व्याकरणम्]. The व्याकरण, however, is not merely a collection of rules, but in a wider sense it is a collection both of examples or words in language (ভন্ম) and rules (ভন্ম). It has already been mentioned that the sapara is known to be one of the six बेदाङ्कs, since it principally helps us to preserve the Vedas, to effect changes in the caseforms of words in them, to understand them, to know the functions of words and their meanings easily in a short time and to dispel all linguistic doubts. \$ As the अष्टाप्यायी of पाणिनि deals both with the Vedic language (छन्दम्) and the Sanskrit speech (भाषा) current in his own time, it is held to be a sacred बेदाङ्क as distinguished from other schools of grammar.

Before पाणिनि wrote his अष्टान्यायी, older grammarians and other linguistic schools of the वेदाङ्गड, viz., शिक्षा (Phonetics) and निरम् (Etymology) had attempted to give the grammatical analysis of the Vedic language and derivation of words in it. For instance, the शिक्षा school of linguistics located, in the प्रातिशास्त्रक and the शिक्षाड, the places of utterance in the mouth (रयान) and the internal and external efforts (प्रयन) of producing sounds; dealt with the rules of correct pronunciation, articulation, and

[•] लक्ष्यलक्षणे व्याकरणम् । पातं. महाभाष्य, आ. १, या. १४.

^{\$} रक्षोहागमलब्बसन्देहाः प्रयोजनम् । पातं, महाभाष्य, आ. १.

analysis of Vedic Collections (संहिता) into individual words (पद); and brought into being the पदपाठ. which is ascribed to susses. This analysis comprises rules for the separation and formation of the सन्दित of nouns, verbs, prepositions and indeclinables in the Vedic verses, and anticipates the process of 'qq=0; ' (separation of words) adopted in the later Sanskrit teaching. Again, the minute analysis of sounds and the phonetic theory of Indians compare favourably with the modern Phonetics in the West. Along with the First school the attempts of the forms (Etymologists) to analyse Vedic words in the निष्णुड (lists of words) into their crude form (प्रकृति) and affix (प्रत्यय) and to derive nouns from their root-stems can be seen in the निरुक्त of यास्त्र, who accepted the theory of शाकरायन, viz., 'All nouns can be derived from roots', t and gave the etymological explanation of Vedic words after classifying all words in language into four kinds, viz., nouns, verbs, prepositions and indeclinables, \$ and distinguishing the current Sanskrit (भाषा) from the Vedic Sanskrit (अन्यस).

पाणिति availed himself of all these attempts of his predecessors and wrote his अष्टाध्याणी, treating in full of the current speech (भाषा) as well as the Vedic language (इदस्). It is worthy of note what

[†] तत्र नामान्याख्यातवानीति शाकटायनो नैकक्तसमयश्च । (निक्क, १-१२-२). \$ तदान्येतानि चत्यारि पदवातानि नामाख्याते चोप-संगीनपाताश्च तानीमानि भवति । (निक्क, १-१-८).

Max Muller says about qualify: "The Grammatical system elaborated by native Grammarians, is in itself most perfect, and those who have tested पाणिनि's work will readily admit, that there is no Grammar in any language, that could vie with the wonderful mechanism of his eight books of Grammatical rules." पाणिति has divided about four thousand सब्द of his अष्टाच्यायी into eight chapters (अव्याव) of four sections (पाद) each. Although it is not possible to give in short a scheme of those was, yet it may be said in general that gifting has devoted his attention to the analysis of words (पर्संस्कार) into their stems (प्रकृति) and affixes (प्रस्व), by dividing the two chief parts of a sentence into nouns along with nominal derivatives and verbs along with verbal derivatives, and by alternately dealing with those two parts. In this alternate treatment also he begins with a section on verbs and treats of mas generally in chapters VI and VIII. There is, however, no topical arrangement of the सुप्रs in the अष्टाच्याची, and the subject matter which occurs in the form of incidental digressions or is distantly related to a topic in hand has been inserted in many aways for the sole purpose of securing brevity of expression. For, the joy experienced in the saving of even half a syllable in the wording of a ga is likened by the grammarians to the joy caused by the birth of a son.\$

[🦫] अर्धमात्रालाषवेन पुत्रोत्सवं मन्यन्ते वैयाकरणाः। परि. शेखर, परि. १३३

It should not, however, be supposed that there is no arrangement or method of any kind in the अष्टाच्यायी. It may be broadly remarked that पाणिनि arranged the sequence of his ass into spages and ques after constantly keeping in view the law of interpretation (परिभाषा): "पूर्वपरनिसान्तरङ्गापवादानामुचरोचरं बळीयः" (परिभाषेन्द्रशेखर, परि॰ ३८), which is an amplification of the सूत्र ' विप्रतिषेधे परं कार्यम्' (सि. कीमरी, १७५). All this means that if two gas of equal force come into conflict with each other, the सूत्र subsequent (qt) in order supersedes the former (qq), a persistent (नित्य) सूत्र supersedes a subsequent (पर) सूत्र, an internal (अन्तरङ्ग) सूत्र supersedes a persistent सूत्र, and a special (अपवाद) सूत्र supersedes an internal सत्र. In other words, निस्, अन्तरङ्ग and अपवाद rules supersede a qui rule, spars and siggic rules supersede a ज़िल rule, and an अपबाद rule supersedes even an store rule. In short, each succeeding rule mentioned above possesses greater force than each preceding one in its due order. Thus qifig arranges his सत्र into अध्यापत and पाइड after fully considering where each or the above kinds of सुत्र is to be placed. But in the case of special grammatical functions, which do not come within the purview of, or are opposed to, the above परिभाषा, he adopts a different plan. He makes two main divisions of the whole of the अष्टाच्यायी, which consists of thirty-two sections (4128). The first division of seven chapters and one section or twenty-nine sections is called ' eggसमाध्यायी ', and the second one of three sections is called 'fagget', which contains special grammatical functions. The HR at the beginning of the faqual is ' पूर्वजासिद्धम्' (अश. ८।२।१: सि. की., १२), which means that what follows this an up to the end is invalid or non-existent with respect to what precedes it. In other words, with respect to the application of the सूत्रs in the स्पादसमाध्यायी the सूत्रs in the जिपादी are to be considered as invalid or non-existent, and even in the faural a latter and is to be considered as nonexistent with respect to all former ass. This amounts to saying that even though the ags in the त्रिपादी are subsequent (पर) to सपादसप्ताध्यायी, they do not annul the previous (पूर्व) grammatical functions contained in the equeen and. Thus the injunction laid down by the सूत्र 'पूर्वजासिद्धम् ' is quite contrary to the injunction laid down in the above परिभाषा ' पूर्वपरनित्यान्तरङ्गापवादानामृत्तरोत्तरं बळीय: ', This is the broad outline according to which within has arranged his सत्रs in the अधायायी.

Of the several devices used by पाणिनि in order to secure brevity of expression his invention of प्रत्याहार (abbreviations) and अनुबन्ध or इत्त (indicatory letters) helping the formation of the प्रत्याहार is one. He, therefore, with extraordinary originality and skill arranged vowels and consonants in the

सपादसप्ताच्यायी प्रति त्रिपाद्यसिद्धा त्रिपाद्यामपि पूर्व प्रति परं
 शास्त्रमसिद्धं स्थात् ।
 निः कीसुदी, १२

fourteen महिश्वरस्त्र or प्रत्याहारस्त्र at the beginning of the अग्राह्म in such a scientific order that the प्रत्याहार formed from them should serve some grammatical function in an abbreviated form. It is due to this idea of the प्रत्याहार that he coins new technical terms like 'अस' and 'इस' for vowels and consonants respectively. The अनुबन्ध or इस्ड (indicatory letters) are useful in many other ways. For instance, they are applied to roots, affixes, etc., to denote their conjugation or पर, and the injunction or prohibition regarding गुण, इहि, सम्बद्धाल, etc., in the formation of nouns (सुबन्त), verbs (तिकन्त), primary derivatives (ज्ञान्त) and secondary derivatives (तिकन्त) depends wholly on them.

Taking into account the nature of the अधान्ययी and the scheme working in the mind of पाणिनि later grammarians have methodically classified all his सूत्रs into six varieties, which are indicated in the following verse—

संज्ञा च परिभाषा च विधिर्नियम एव च। अतिवेशोऽधिकारश्च पह्विधं सूत्रहश्चणम्॥

The six varieties of पाणिति's सूत्रह are—(1) हंद्या or definitions, (2) परिभाषा or rules of interpretation, (3) विधि or injunctions (general rules), (4) विषम or restrictive rules, (5) अतिदेश or rules indicating extended application by analogy, and (6) अधिकार or governing rules. If nomenclature and classification are the chief features of methodology, it may

be stated that the grammarians too have followed it here.

- (1) Out of the above six classes of rules the संज्ञानुत्र define the technical terms † like हुद्धि, गुण, होप, उपण, गति, निपाद, दि, यु, etc., and briefly express their comprehensive sense, which ought to be always remembered in the study of grammar. Here the logical method of उद्देश, हुन्य and परीक्षा seems to have been unwittingly followed by पाणिति, who had his own logic and scientific outlook, though the न्यानुव्यक्ष of गीवम had yet to come into existence. The सञ्चल्यक are helpful in understanding the meanings of technical words contained in the general rules or विविद्यक्ष, and are included in the first chapter of the अष्टान्यापी.
- (2) Secondly, the परिमाणसङ्ग are the general laws which are instrumental in the proper interpretation of the विधियह and in the establishment of a consistent relation among them. A परिमाण (परितो भाषते इति) comprehensively settles the meaning not only of one सूह but also of a group of सूह्र that possess the common characteristics mentioned in it. For instance, the परिमाणसङ्ग 'तरिमानित निरिधे पूर्वस्थ' (सि. की. ४०), which lays down a general law regarding the interpretation of a सूह्र with a word in the locative case, is applicable to the विधिसुन 'इको वणवि ' (सि. की. ४७) containing the word

[†] See the संज्ञापकरण of the सिद्धान्तकीमदी.

'अन् ' in the locative as well as to all the सुन्न that contain words in the locative. Thus the परिभाषाड like 'तस्मादित्युक्तस्य' (सि. की. ४१), 'पश्ची स्थानेयोगा' (सि. की. ३८), etc., which are actually given by पाणिनि in the form of सुन्न help us to settle the meanings of many सुन्न with the respective characteristics mentioned in them, and form syntactical unity (एक-याक्यता) with the विधिस्त्र Some of those परिभाषा have been handed down to us in the form of सुन्न by the predecessors of पाणिनि, and some are found as पाणिनि's सुन्न in the first chapter of the अष्टाध्यायी.

The later grammarian, नागेश, collected in his परिमापेन्द्रशेखर 133 परिमापाड, which had not been stated in the अप्राच्यायी, and giving them a systematic form he classified them into three kinds, viz., वाचनिकी, जापकसिंद and न्यायसिंद. (i) वाचनिकी परिभाषां are those that can be derived either from the sense of milifa's सुत्रह or are found stated in the महाभाष्य of प्रतिस्थि as the सुन्न of older grammarians. (ii) जापकविद्य परिभाषाड are those that are suggested by the wording of the सन्नड of पाणिनि, who seems to have known them to be in existence. (iii) Now न्यायसिद परिमापाड are sub-divided into (a) होकिक-पायसिंद, which are derived from the ordinary experience of people, and (b) शास्त्रीयन्यापसिद. which are established by logical induction or by the scientific maxims (न्याय) of the मीमांसा. be noted that though the मीमांसायुवड of विमिनि cannot be definitely said to have existed at the time of

वाणिति, yet an oral tradition of the sacrificial cult and the rules for its interpretation did exist. पाणिति might have, therefore, availed himself of that lore in formulating his प्रिमाणाड, which in later times increased in number and received a methodical form under the direct influence of the मीमानावाल.

(3) Thirdly, a विधिस्त्र or a general rule lays down some new injunction which is not previously known by any other means. A विधिस्त्र is principal with reference to the other types of सन्त्र, which are its accessories. For instance, 'इस्ते वर्णाने ' (सि. की. ४७)' एचोऽयवायावः ' (सि. की. ६१), 'इस्तनवापो नुद्' (सि. की.२०८)' are विधिस्त्रह. निषेधसूत्रह or prohibitive rules may be included under the विधिस्त्रह, as they negatively enjoin something.

(4) Fourthly, a नियमसूत्र is one which restricts the sense of a विभिन्न or a general rule. For instance, ' सत्स्य' (सि. की. २८०) is a नियमसूत्र which restricts the sense of the general rule ' संवोगान्तस्य लोप:' (सि. की. ५४).

(5) Fifthly, an अतिदेशसूत्र is that which indicates that the character of one thing is to be transferred to another by analogy. For instance, the rule for treating a substitute or आदेश like its former occupant or स्थानी (स्थानिवदादेश: etc., सि. की. ४९) is a rule for transference. This classification of सुत्रs into विधि, नियम and अतिदेश again seems to have been made under the direct influence of the methodology of the मीमांस, which has supplied the आदरण with the above three terms.

(6) Lastly, an 到程和代表 (a leading or governing rule) is one which stands at the beginning of a new topic and which, therefore, broadly corresponds to an affect (a topic) of other Indian systems, inasmuch as it exerts its influence over a number of ass that follow it, up to the end of a particular topic. Such अधिकारसूत्रs as ' पूर्वजासिद्धम् ' (सि. की. १२), 'प्राप्तीश्वराजिपाताः (सि. की. १९), 'कारके ' (सि की. ५३४), 'अनिमिहिते' (सि. की. ५३६), etc., have enabled पाणिनि to avoid unnecessary repetition of words and maintain brevity of expression. In this respect he has employed two devices, viz., अनुवृत्ति and अपकर्ष, which are, of course, to be used according to certain rules. अनुबन्ति is the process of inserting the necessary words of the अधिकासल in the following समझ so as to complete their meaning; while ages is the anticipation of a word of a later up in a former सत्र. When the words of a former सूत्र are inserted in a later any which comes after the intervention of some other was, the process of inserting words is called ' मृज्युक्ट्रिते ' (Skipping or the leap of a frog).

It should not be supposed that unfinfil's grammatical system is simply a product of his resourceful imagination, divorced from reality. For, he mainly deals with the 'भाषा' (भाषांवडको) or spoken Sanskrit as distinguished from the Vedic Sanskrit, and makes repeated references to the linguistic variations of the Easterners and Northerners. He had, therefore,

the opportunity of resorting to the direct observation of the Sanskrit speech as used by people in its synthetic form, and of scientifically framing his Has or generalizations by the Method of Induction after the comparison and contrast of particular linquistic facts. His generalizations on the peculiarities of the Vedic Sanskrit are based on the mass of the Vedic literature available to him at that time, and are also reached mainly by the 'inductive method', though it is possible to say that he was acquainted with the generalizations or rules formulated by the former grammarians. The analytical method of separating the stem and affix of a word and deriving it from a root is prominently observable in quality, who must have availed himself of grea's etymological method. Thus, though he concentrates his attention on the verb as the ultimate element, the Nominal Style of his 23s, which can be characterized as the omission of verbs and the significant use of case-relations is in marked contrast with what he teaches.

In पाणिति's system कालावन is the next important grammarian, who in his वृश्विक has added to what पाणिति had laid down, and has made up his deficiencies, according to the definition of the term पाणिति '. After him पाणिति is the third original grammarian, who substantially contributed to the system of पाणिति by explaining, enlarging upon, and defending the सुद्ध of the अप्राच्यायी against कालावन

^{*} उक्तानुक्तदुश्कविन्ता वार्तिकम् ।

in his महाभाष. It can be definitely said that the systems of नाय, वैशेषिक and मीमांचा had already been established when प्राचनिक wrote his भाष्य and that he lent a scientific character to the grammatical system by adopting the methodology of those three systems.

In the first place, in order to settle and classify the fundamental concepts of grammar yaste seems to have accepted almost all the categories of the वैद्यापिक्ड with the addition of दाकि (power, efficiency) which lies latent in the eternal relation between a word and its meaning.† At the beginning of his appar while discussing what a word means, he actually mentions the categories, viz., geq (Substance), que (Quality), 解明 (Action), and 明明四 (Generality), and while telling how words should be taught, he mentions सामान्य (Generality) and विशेष (Particularity 15, though they do not strictly convey the sense of the वैशेषिकड. समवाय (Inherence) seems tohave been tacitly acknowledged in the intimate relation between वाचक (word) and वाच्य (meaning), जाति (Genus) and व्यक्ति (Individual), गुण or विशेषण (quality) and गुणिन or विशेष्य (the qualified), अवस्व (part) and agagag (the whole), etc. The other grammatical concepts common to the other systems are सामानाधिकरण्य (the state of having a common substratum) and कायकारणसम्बन्ध (causal relation). सामा-

[ं] सिद्धे शब्दार्थसम्बन्धे । पा. म. भाष्य, आ. १.

किञ्चित्सामान्यविशेषवळअणं प्रवत्यम्। पा. म. भाष्य, आ. १.

नाधिकरण is denoted by words in the same case (विमक्ति) and by the instances of the क्रमेश्रास compound. gaq and far are denoted by nouns, adjectives, and verbs respectively, yet in deciding what a word is, gassie says that a word is not merely Substance, or Quality, or Action, or Configuration-Genus (आकृति, जाति). A word like 'ती: ', however, is that which, when uttered, gives us the idea of an individual with all these attributes; or a word is the sound which suggests the idea of a thing in our daily affairs." This definition of the word implies, of course, the theory of the indivisible exiz (wordessence), which is postulated by the grammarians, and which is said to be manifested by the sound or word. The question whether the meaning of a word is the genus (जाति, आकृति) or an individual (व्यक्ति, द्रव्य) has been solved by पत्रबालि by saying, on the authority of पाणिति, that it is both the genus and the individual. It is for this reason that words are held by the grammarians to denote जाति, गुण, क्रिया and इच्य (व्यक्ति or संज्ञा). Like the मीमांसकड the grammarians too postulate an additional category ' sfa ' (energy or efficiency), the manifestations of which are held by them to be Space

येनोचारितेन सारनालाङ्गूलककुदखुरविधाणिनां सम्प्रत्ययो मवति स शब्दः । अथवा प्रतीतपदार्थको लोके ध्वनिः शब्द इत्युच्यते । पा. म. माध्य, आ. १.

^{\$} कि पुनराकृतिः पदार्थं आहोस्विद् द्रव्यम् १ उमयमित्याह । कथं ज्ञायते ₹ उमयया साचार्येण स्वाणि पठितानि । पा. म. भाष्य, आ. १.

(दिक, देश), Time (काल), Action (किया) and Instrument (साधन). The relation of space, for instance, is denoted by the ablative and locative cases, that of time by different tenses, and that of instrument (साधन) by the instrumental case. The concept of 'relation' (सम्बन्ध), which is of many kinds as indicated above, is of much importance in the grammatical science and is generally denoted by the genitive case. Action (解初), which is denoted by verbal roots, is also a very important grammatical concept, and it gives rise to the size-relations of the agent (कतां), the object (कमं), the instrument (साधन, करण), etc., in a sentence. The idea of ' करण ', which is the instrumental cause according to the grammarians, is in close conformity with the definition of '元可' given by the Aurities, as 'a peculiar and operative cause (व्यापारवदसाधारणं कारणम्). The 'करण' or instrumental cause is distinguished by the वैयाकरणा from 'हेत्र', which is according to them a cause in general or the material cause. Thus the theory of causation, which is a distinct feature of the न्याय methodology, finds its due place even in the grammatical system.

It is now an established fact that even in the time of प्राकृति Sanskrit was a spoken language among the cultured classes (शिष्ट). He could, therefore, expound and verify the generalizations or सूल of प्राकृति and वार्तिक of काल्यायन in the light of repeated observation of actual linguistic facts; in other words, he could apply both the inductive and

deductive methods of Logic to his exposition of the grammatical science. In this respect it is interesting to see how he describes his own method of approach to grammar. In the beginning of his माध्य he quotes the example 'पञ्च पञ्चनला मध्याः ', often used by the siluiess in order to explain the rule of exclusion (परिसङ्ख्या)," and comes to the conclusion that it is better for the sake of conciseness to deal with correct words to the exclusion of incorrect ones. He further says that it is not necessary also to undergo the lengthy process of quoting every correct word in language for its grammatical treatment. For, it is possible to teach a vast multitude of words, with the economy of time and labour, by means of general and special rules, which may be termed 'उत्सर्ग 'and 'अपवाद ' respectively. First a generalization or उत्सर्ग, which is widely applicable to many particular cases may be stated, and then the special rule or exception (अपवाद) to that generalization may be mentioned. 5 Again he quotes a बाचनिकी परिभाषा है and says that if a doubt arises as to the exact meaning of a grammatical generalization, it should be dispelled by the

 मस्यनियमेनामस्यप्रतिपेधी गम्यते । 'यञ्च पञ्चनला मस्याः ' इत्युक्ते गम्यत एतद्-अतोऽन्येऽमध्या इति । पा. म. भाष्य, आ. १

के पुनरत ज्यायः १ लबुत्वाच्छन्दोपदेशः । ... किञ्जित्सामात्य-विशेषवछ्श्वणं प्रवत्यम् । येनारूपेन यत्नेन महतो महतः शब्दीधान्प्रति-पर्वरत् । ... कश्चिदुत्सर्गः कर्तव्यः, कश्चिदपवादः । सामान्येनोत्सर्गः कर्तव्यः । तस्य विशेषणापवादः । (पा. म. मा. आ. १). ९ व्याख्यानतो विशेष-प्रतिपत्तिने हि सन्देहादलशणम् । (परि. मा. शेखर, १).

authoritative interpretation of that generalization supplied by the grammarians, and that the grammatical science should not be supposed to be meaningless on account of that doubt. Though the eternal relation between a word and its meaning is understood from the actual use of language made by people, and though people use words in language without forming them and without consulting a grammarian for the knowledge of their correct use, yet grammar sets down rules or generalizations for their correct use, which conduces to the religious merit. † प्राप्ति further tells what is meant by 'Interpretation or Explanation' and adds that Explanation does not merely mean the separation of words in a सूत्र as 'वृद्धि:-आत्-ऐच्', but it includes collectively the citation of examples and counter-examples, and addition of words required for completing the meaning. All this has to be done in the case of a beginner. This scientific method of explaining the use or of starting from a generalization to an example is followed by प्तज्ञि everywhere in his भाष्य. In addition to this it is instructive to quote in this context a concrete

[ं] लोकेऽथमर्थमुपादाय शब्दान्प्रयुक्तते, नैपां निर्वृत्ती यत्नं कुर्वन्ति । . . . न तद्रच्छब्दान्प्रयुक्षमाणो वैयाकरणकुलं गत्वाह कुरु शब्दान् प्रयोक्ष्य इति । . . . लोकतोऽर्थप्रयुक्ते शब्दप्रयोगे शास्त्रेण धर्मनियमः कियते । (पा. मा. आ. १)

[•] न केवलानि चर्चापदानि व्याख्यानम्- चृद्धिः आत् ऐन् इति । कि तर्हि १ उदाहरणं प्रत्युदाहरणं वाक्याच्याहार इत्येतत्त्तमुदितं व्याख्यानं भवति । अविज्ञानत एतदेवं भवति । पा. म. मा. आ. १-

illustration of his etymological and dialectic method of explaining a Vedic verse. It is as follows-

"सक्तुमिव तितडना पुनन्तो यत्र धीरा मनसा वाचमऋत । अत्रा सखायः सख्यानि जानते मद्रैषां छक्ष्मीनिंहिताधि वाचि ॥

सक्तु:-सचतेर्दुर्धावो भवति, कसतेर्या विपरीताद्विकसितो भवति।
वितउ परिपवनं भवति-ततवद्वा तुलवदा। थीरा ध्यानवन्तः। मनसा प्रज्ञानेन । वाचमकत वाचमकृपत । अत्रा सखायः सख्यानि जानते । अत्र सखायः सन्तः सख्यानि जानते । सायुज्यानि जानते । कः १ य एय दुर्गो मार्गः, एकगम्यो वास्विपयः। के पुनस्ते १ वैयाकरणः। कृत एतत् १ भद्रैषां लक्ष्मीर्निहिताथि वाचि । एषां वाचि मद्रा लक्ष्मीर्निहिता भवति । लक्ष्मीर्लक्षणाद्रासनात्परिवृदा भवति ॥ " -पा. म. मा. आ. १

Particularly, this Dialectic Method of explanation has been followed by प्रवृद्धि throughout the whole of his महाभाष, and it has assumed, in the later commentary literature, the delinite form of 'स्ववान्यय', which will be dealt with in detail later on.

It will be clear from what has been said above that quasic is in favour of the deductive method of teaching grammar, inasmuch as he finds that the process of starting from a generalization to an example is the most economical way of dealing with that subject. Yet he recognizes the truth that people learn language by actual usage, and that grammar simply helps them to correct and organize their speech. Of course, he is quite conscious of the fact that the generalizations or rules of grammar are based on the language already in use, and that those generalizations are arrived at inductively by grammarians from the particular examples

of the language used. He again acknowledges the importance of concrete examples (उदाहरण, प्रत्यदा-हरण), which clarify the meanings of abstract rules particularly in the case of beginners (अविनानत:). It may, therefore, be said that in the teaching of grammar the inductive method of starting from examples to the rule, though not economical, is not quite contrary to his purport. It must be admitted that the inductive method is limited in its scope, since according to it we cannot exhaust all the examples in language illustrating a particular grammatical rule, and that it is not strictly scientific to arrive at a generalization from a few examples. But it must be remembered that even in the deductive process of starting from a generalization to examples it is not possible to quote all examples. Thus in respect of the strictly scientific teaching of grammar to young pupils both the inductive method and the deductive method are on a par with each other. If we take into account the undeveloped understanding of young pupils according to modern psychology, and if we also remember the fact that the grammarians like quite and quality themselves derived gramma. tical generalizations from the repeated observation of concrete linguistic facts, there is no harm in reversing the order of the traditional process of teaching grammar (from rules to examples) only at the beginner's stage.

The scientific way, in which the grammarians themselves proceed inductively through the repeated observation of concrete examples to the generalization, and analyse words into महति and प्रत्यम्, is again described by प्राञ्जलि as follows. He says that the distinct meanings of प्रकृति and प्रत्यम् can be known by the Logical Method of Agreement and Difference (अन्ययव्यक्तिक).†

For instance, when the word 'an:' is uttered, the sound of the stem (प्रकृति) 'क्ष ' and the affix (प्रत्य) ' स ' is heard. In association with this the meaning 'an object with fruit, roots, leaves and branches' is known from the মহানি 'হুল', and the meaning 'oneness' (एक्स्व) is known from the प्रत्य ' स '. Again, when the word ' नृशी ' is uttered, the sound 'स्' disappears (हीयते), the sound 'ओ' ' is added and heard (उपजायते), and the sound ' वृक्ष ' ending in ' अ' remains common (अन्वयी). Along with this the meaning" oneness ' is dropped, the meaning 'twoness' is added, and the meaning 'an object with fruit, roots, leaves and branches' remains common. Hence it can be determined that the meaning of a sound (e. g. e) is that which disappears with the disappearance of that sound, that the meaning of a sound (e.g. and) is that which is added with the addition of that sound, and that the meaning of a sound which is common (e. g. with that which ramains the same with that sound. heain, if the word 'पचित' (He cooks) is uttered,

पा. म. मा., १-२-४५ and १-३-१.

the sounds 'पन्' and 'अति' are heard; and the meanings invariably associated with them are understood by us. In other words, 'the action of cooking' is associated with the stem ' पूच ' and 'the agency and oneness' (क्तूनमेक्स च) are associated with the affix ' अति '. If again the word 'पडति' (He reads) is uttered, the sound 'qq 'vanishes (हीयते), the sound ' पठ ' is added (उपनायते), and the sound 'अति' remains common (अन्वयी). In association with this, the meaning 'action of cooking' of the stem ' q-q' vanishes, the meaning 'action of reading of the stem 'qo' is added, and the meaning 'agency and oneness' of the affix 'afa' remains common. It can, therefore, be decided that the meaning of a particular word (e. g. पन्) is that which disappears with the disappearance of its sound, that the meaning of a word (e.g. 93) is that which is added with the addition of its sound, and that the meaning of that which is common ' (e. g. अति) is that, the sound of which is common.

Thus the repeated observation of the presence and absence of a sound in its invariable association with the presence and absence of an idea (action, individual or thing) leads us to analyse a word into प्रकृति and प्रत्य, to find out their agreement and difference (अन्यक्षतिक), and to determine their respective meanings. The same analytical process (प्रवेदकार) of the observation of agreement and difference can be adopted in the case of all the

possible forms of a collection of similar words. For instance, all the case-forms (बाल:, बालम, बालेन, बालाय, बालाय, etc.) of the same प्रातिपदिक (e. प्र. बाल) or all the verbal forms (e. प्र. पटति, पटतः, पटन्ति etc.) of the same root (e. प्र. पट्ट) in a particular tense or mood can be collected, so as to distinguish their similar elements (प्रश्रात) like 'बाल' and 'पट्ट' from dissimilar elements like the प्रत्ययः. Really speaking, the meaning of a word can be better understood when both the प्रश्रात and प्रत्य are combined in their synthetic or natural form in language; yet the analytical method of grammar helps us to enter into the heart of the individual meaning of a word, and to use it correctly.

Of course, this analytical method of agreement and difference is so far followed with the assumption that each sound or word represents only one idea. But how can this method be applied to synonyms like ' इन्द्रः, शकः, पुस्हतः, पुरन्दरः ' etc., and to homonyms like 'अक्षाः, पादाः, माधाः' etc. ? पतञ्चि answers that here too the meanings are determined by the same method. For, it is found by the repeated observation of the presence and absence of sounds of words that the synonyms of a particular word as well as the different meanings denoted by a homonym are limited in number, and that a limited number of sounds is invariably associated with a limited number of meanings. It is, therefore, possible to apply the method of 'अन्यव्यविरेक' in such cases, and also to determine the distinct meanings of प्रकृति and प्रत्यय.

This scientific method of the repeated observation of अन्वय and व्यक्तिक in the grammatical forms of words as described in detail by yaske is the inductive method. This is the grammarian's own approach to particular linguistic facts so as to arrive at the grammatical generalizations or rules. The same concrete process should be adopted in teaching grammar to young pupils. In other words, the pupils are to be placed first in the position of investigators into the similarity or difference of the particular forms of words, and then led through their repeated observation to arrive at a generalization as the last step. This method is, of course, to be adopted up to a certain stage of the understanding of pupils, when the concrete approach to grammar is calculated to prove more beneficial to them. Moreover, it should be noted that the field of the observation of linguistic facts in the case of pupils is far more limited than that in the case of a grammarian who has to traverse a vast area. The inductive method can, therefore, be followed in the case of pupils in a far more economical way, though this procedure would not be thoroughly scientific, as the generalization would be reached after the observation of a few linguistic facts. Another linguistic principle to be noted in the भाष्य of प्रतब्धि is that there is the intimate relation or invariable association between a sound (word) and its meaning. This psychological fact observed by him shows how a direct bond between a word and an idea is formed in our minds; and it gives a hint of the Direct Method of teaching sounds or words in association with concrete objects. In short, प्रजाति has presented a scientific aspect to the analysis of words (परसंदार) in grammar, by adopting the principles of general methodology propounded by Logic.

After पत्रखलि's महाभाष्य the two important grammatical works worthy of being taken into account are the वाक्यपदीय of मत्हिर and the काशिकावृत्ति of the joint authors जयादित्य and वामन who were Buddhists. The वाक्यपदीय principally deals with the philosophical. aspect of grammar and expounds in detail the theory of real (word-essence). The grammarians, who are स्पोदवादिन्ड and hence वाक्यवादिन्ड, say that the exit is an indivisible whole containing all meanings, that it is manifested by the sounds of words, and that the ' वाक्यस्तीट ' is the principal one. They, therefore, add that a sentence is the unit of language, and that the analytical method of separating the प्रकृति and प्रत्यय of a word (पद) is an imaginary and artificial process adopted solely for the purpose of facilitating the understanding of beginners.

Now the काशिकावृत्ति is, perhaps, the best commentary on the अशब्यायी, and it lucidly explains every सूत्र with many examples, chiefly following the order of सूत्रs adopted by पाणिनि. It appears that the

[•] उपायाः शिक्षमाणानां बालानामुपलालनाः । असत्ये बर्त्मान रियत्वा ततः सत्यं समीहते ॥—वाक्यपदीयम् , २।२४०

study of the Paninian system was popular with the the Buddhists, of whom the authors of the sifissi and विनेत्रवृद्धि \$ have contributed much to the system of quitifia. It is interesting and instructive to know what I-tsing, the Chinese pilgrim of the 7th century (671-695 A-D.), says about the ancient grammarians in पाणिनि's system, the ancient methods of studying it and the period required for finishing the study of each work. With reference to the prominent position held by Grammar in the curriculum of the 7th century A. D., I-tsing says, "I trust that now a thorough study of Sanskrit grammar may clear up many difficulties we encounter whilst engaged in translation." He then refers to the अद्याच्यायी of पाणिनि and further says, "Children begin to learn the any when they are eight years old and can repeat it in eight months' time." Then incidentally mentioning 'The Book on बात ' (बातुपाट) he tells that the pupils studied afterwards 'The Book on the Three Khilas.' It is said to contain three parts, viz., अष्टवातु, मण्ड or मण्ड (माण्डकी विशा ?) and उणादि. The अष्टवातु is said to deal with मुक्त and feed or the declension of nouns and conjugation of verbs; the que or gue (1) treated of "the formation of words by means of combining a root

⁵ He is also called 'श्रीबोधिसस्वदेशीयाचार्य', and is the author of the न्यास, a detailed commentary on the काश्विका.

[†]Was this अष्टवात like our 'शब्दरूपावलि' and 'बातु-रूपावलि' containing ready-made forms of words?

and a suffix or suffixes"; and the swift contained "a class of primary suffixes beginning with 3." After this he says," Boys begin to learn the book on the three Khilas (or 'three pieces of waste land') when they are ten years old, and understand them thoroughly after three years' diligent study." Regarding the exact nature of the three fees nothing more than this can be said, since except the sould they do not seem to exist to-day. The work studied afterwards by ancient pupils is the आधिका which is styled 'The Vritti-sutra' by him and about which he says, "Boys of fifteen begin to study this commentary, and understand it after five years. If men of China go to India for study, they have first of all to learn this (grammatical) work, then other subjects; if not, their labour will be thrown away. All these books should be learnt by heart. But this, as a rule, applies only to men of high talent. while for those of medium or little ability a different measure (method) must be taken according to their wishes. They should study hard day and night, without letting a moment pass for idle repose..... After having studied this commentary, students begin to learn composition in prose and verse, and devote themselves to logic (हेत्रविया) and metaphysic (अभिवनंदोश). In learning the न्यायद्वारतारकशास्त्र they rightly draw inferences (अनुमान): and by studying the snawner their powers of

^{*} Here he refers to the Sanskrit text of आर्थशूर's जातकमाला which is written in a beautiful Sanskrit style.

comprehension increase. Thus instructed by their teachers and instructing others they pass two or three years, generally in the Nalanda monastery." † I-tsing then alludes to प्रवाहित's महामान्य, which is called 'मूर्गि' by him, and which was studied at the last stage of the grammatical course. About it he remarks, "Advanced scholars learn this in three years." He then gives detailed information about the personality and works of मनुंहर,

The conclusions to be deduced from the above extracts are that in order to attain a thorough mastery of Sanskrit the study of grammar was considered to be the most important means; and if the whole period mentioned for finishing the full course in it up to the study of प्तज्ञिलि's महामाप्य be taken into consideration, it comprises about twelve years, testifying to the adage 'द्वादश्मिवेपैन्योकरणं अयते' (पज्जतन्त्र). Another note-worthy fact is that even though the grammar of पाणिनि has been held to be a बेदान, it was found to be an indispensable subject of study by the non-Vedic Buddhists also; and this fact proves the non-sectarian character of its major portion which is devoted to the Classical Sanskrit. The third thing to be noted is that the study of grammar was first begun and continued at least for nine years up to the complete study of the काशिका, after which the attention of students was drawn to the reading of literature like the

[†] A Record of the Buddhist Religion, by I-tsing, translated by J. Takakusu.

जातकमाला, to composition in prose and verse, and to the study of other smas like logic and metaphysics. It is not definitely known what sort of literature (prose and poetry) other than the sideules was read by students. It is highly probable that the बुद्धचरित of अश्वधीय and some other literary works were read along with the stagents. Yet the study of grammar for nine continuous years without anything of literature was, doubtless, unduly long and tedious. It may be said here that Sanskrit was perhaps used in speech and writing by cultured people at that time, that it was a far more living language to the people of that period than it is at present, and that being in closer touch with it the anicent students could perseveringly pursue their grammatical studies in the light of concrete examples of the living language. Granting all these suppositions it ought to be said that the incessant pursuit of grammar for so long a period might certainly have been irksome to students. To add to this tedium everything had to be learnt by heart. Although learning by heart has its own merits which I-tsing has acknowledged elsewhere, " it may be suggested in agreement with him that there is some room for improvement in such a method, and that distinction between intelligent and ordinary students should be observed in this respect. I-tsing, perhaps, means that learning by heart should be limited

^{*}I-tsing's passage about the importance of memorization has been quoted by us in the chapter on 'Syllabus in Sanskrit,' (Section II).

only to important things like the पाणिनीयस्त्र, and that the period of studying grammar should be shortened so as to allow more time for the reading of literature.

It must be remembered that the काशिकावनि is written strictly in the order in which qualify has written his अष्टाच्याची, which is wanting in a proper topical arrangement. The result of this was that unless the whole of the दाशिदा was studied for five years, it was not possible for ancient students toknow the grammatical formation of words. Had the agrand been taught according to some topical arrangement, its study might have been simplified and prosecuted in a less number of years. It was necessary to adopt that arrangement of grammatical topics which would correspond to the change in the genius of Sanskrit from the Verbal to the Nominal Style. It is, therefore, advisable to mark the stages of growth which Sanskrit language has gradually undergone, and the chief characteristics. which it has assumed once for all.

Since the Vedic times Sanskrit has been the language of the religion, science and culture of the Hindus. It is now our language of sacraments; and it has been definitely proved by scholars that it was used in speech and writing by the cultured classes up to the beginning of the Mohammedan period. In the fourth and fifth centuries A. D., it was the court language of the gas, as is evidenced by the Sanskrit inscriptions of that period, and even the Buddhists and the Jains, despite the commands of

the founders of their religions to use only grads, adopted the suitable medium of Sanskrit in later times for the propagation of their faiths, and substantially contributed to Sanskrit grammer and literature. Yuan Chwang (629-645 A. D.), the Chinese pilgrim, tells that Sanskrit was used by the Hindus and the Buddhists of the 7th century A. D., in debates, public and private. He says, "In language, speaking generally, they have not varied from the original source, but the people of ' Mid-India' are pre-eminently explicit and correct in speech, their expressions being harmonious and elegant, like those of the devas, and their intonation clear and distinct, serving as a rule and pattern for others."\$ He also mentions the fact that the language was undergoing deterioration along the border lands of India; yet it can clearly be seen that Sanskrit was being spoken, more correctly of course by cultured people, in his time. Side by side with this the ceaseless literary activity of the most distinguished and learned poets and philosophers continued in producing the best literature in Sanskrit at least up to the end of the 12th century A. D.

Now the gradual and continuous transition of Sanskrit from the Verbal to the Nominal Style may be described. The most ancient Vedic Sanskrit assumed a new form in the systems and sq. figures which chiefly represented the Verbal Style. In the Brahmanic Sanskrit the antiquated forms of

⁵ Yuan Chwang's Travels in India, by Thomas Watters.

Vedic words are eliminated; the declension of nouns being regularized approaches that of the Classical Sanskrit; verbal roots are classified according to conjugations, though the distinction between the प्रसीपद and आत्मनेपद is not strictly observed; and the indeclinable participles ending in 'ला' and 'तुम' are being regularly used. In it verbal forms (finite verbs) in all tenses and moods are abundantly found, and the correct use of the past tenses, viz., the Perfect and the Aorist, is made. The sentences are short, simple and not involved or complex. If the relative clauses are used, they are easy and very few. The language of the उपनिषद shows almost the same traits, yet it contains less archaic forms than the signs and comes nearer to the Classical Sanskrit.

The exp-works which followed the suffices show a marked change from the Verbal to the Nominal Style, a few features of which have been indicated in the context of exp-works. Although the course, not an exception to the general tendency of the exp-works, and strangely enough, adopt the Nominal Style simply for the sake of brevity, though they deal with verbs at a great length. Although although treats of the Sanskrit language of the literature that preceded him, yet he mainly treats of the spoken form (AMM) of Sanskrit in his time. Thus the norm laid down by AMM in his grammar brought, as it were, into being the Classical Sanskrit and exerted a permanent influence upon the later Classical

Literature, which was, on the whole, prominently Nominal in style. This style can be traced back at least to 300 B.C., when special wrote his arising. The main features of that Nominal Style are as follows—

- (1) In the Nominal Style more stress is laid on the case-relations of nouns and noun-equivalents (adjectives, nominal derivatives and verbal derivatives) as expressive of various senses like कर्त, कर्म, करण, etc., than on the finite verb, which occupies an insignificant position in a Sanskrit sentence. Many times the genitive, as a general case (क्षेप पूरी), expresses the senses of other cases. The genitive and locative absolutes, which express special relations of a subordinate clause with the principal clause, are peculiar to Sanskrit.
- '(2) Compounds are employed in a more or less degree in the Classical Literature giving rise to the four styles of Sanskrit composition, viz., बेद्मी, नीही, पाञ्चाली and लाटी. Compounds are doubtless useful for securing brevity of expression; yet some later writers use lengthy compounds so profusely that they make their language perplexing, cumbrous and artificial. The बहुनीहि compound, which compresses a whole sentence into an adjectival phrase and which adds grace to the language, is a speciality of the Nominal Style. The अव्यविभाव compound is another speciality which brings together more words than one into an adverbial phrase.
- (3) The verbal forms of the root \(\pi \) and \(\pi \) (to be) are many times idiomatically dropped, and the

function of these two is performed by nouns and adjectives, which are their compliments, and which are, therefore, used predicatively. Sometimes a verb like ' प्यति ' is split into two parts, one signifying the special action by means of a noun or adjective like 'que' or 'que', and another denoting the general action by means of verbs like ' acida' or ' भवति '. This tendency is generally found among the मीमांसक, who split verbs like ' यजेत ' into ' यागेन भाववेत-क्यात '. Sometimes the verb is altogether dropped by using nominal expressions like 'बेटपाठकः' for 'बेट पाठवति'. Thus nominal derivatives and participles are many times employed for finite verbs. Again the passive form of a verb or a participle is of more occurrence than the active one. All these devices are used perhaps for simplifying 'Expression' through the non-observance of distinctions in the conjugational system, and have caused many roots in the चालपाट to fall into disuse. The tendency of avoiding difficult verbal forms by means of the above devices is found in the पञ्चतन्त्र and the हितोपदेश, which are specially meant for beginners in Sanskrit. The verbsin the present tense are generally used in the speeches of characters in dramas and stories, in the statements of general truths and in the descriptive and reflective compositions; yet the passive forms of the present tense are mostly preferred The finite verb in the to the active ones. present tense is many times eliminated by using the present participle adjectively or predicatively,

in order to join clauses and maintain the balance and continuity of a sentence. The historic and habitual present tenses are found generally in the पञ्चतन्त्र and similar works. The future tense is less frequent than the present; and the Second Future is more common than the First Future. The adjectival use of future participles is also made for joining clauses and maintaining balance in a sentence. The past tense is mostly employed in narration. and the use of the Imperfect, Perfect and Agrist with their special functions is made more or less correctly by authors. Some authors do not seem to observe any distinction among those three tenses; while some without employing the actual forms of the past tense indicate it simply by using 4 स्म with verbs in the present tense. The more common way of denoting the past tense is that of using the past passive participles and past active participles, which do the work of nounequivalents, of qualitative and predicative adjectives, or of finite verbs themselves. The past passive participles of transitive as well as intransitive verbs are, however, more frequent than the past active participles, and hence the authors usually adopt passive and impersonal constructions in their writings. Similarly, potential passive participles are frequently used for verbs in the potential mood, and the sentence in which they are employed naturally becomes passive in construction. This general partiality for past participles shows the indifference of the authors to the specific functions of the above three kinds of the past tense.

(4) Syntax-Passive and impersonal constructions, as referred to above, are frequent in sentences. Later authors exhibit much fondness for periphrasis, alliterations, lengthy compounds, double entendre, and complicated or unwieldy sentences. An affirmative sentence is sometimes turned into that with a double negative. The Sanskrit sentence affords much scope for flexibility of expression on account of freedom in the order of words given by the inflexional nature of the language. The order of words, however, is not wholly arbitrary, since in sentences language-units (words, etc.) are used according to the natural sequence of thought. In a prose sentece, therefore, the subject with its attributive adjuncts comes first, then the object, if any, with its attributive adjuncts, and the verb or predicate with its adverbial adjuncts comes last. But adverbs and adverbial expressions never come at the end of a sentence. The words which are governed precede those by which they are governed; and hence a qualitative adjective precedes its substantive; but predicative adjectives come after the subject. Words in the genitive and those in apposition generally precede a noun to which they are related. Vocatives, interrogatives and exclamatory words come at the beginning of a sentence. The predicate generally follows the subject, yet this order is sometimes reversed for the sake of emphasis. Conjunctions are generally used at the beginning of clauses, yet those like च, वा, तु, हि, चेत्, etc., never occur at the begin-

ning. Relative words like यथा, यावत्, यद्, यतः, etc., are used at the beginning of subordinate clauses and their correlatives like तथा, तावत्, तद्, ततः, etc., stand before the principal clause. But as in English, the subordinate clauses sometimes follow the principal clause, the order of relative and correlative words being correspondingly reversed. Sometimes the relative words stand between two clauses in such a way that their correlatives are naturally dropped. Absolute constructions mostly precede the principal clause, and absolutives or infinitives coming at the end of subordinate clauses precede the finite verb in the principal clause. The same holds good in the case of gerunds in 'अम्' (णमुख) which sometimes compress a clause into a small phrase. Later writers employ unduly long sentences which generally contain a single subject, a single object if any, and a single finite verb; but a single noun in them is related to a succession of lengthy expressions in apposition, or to a series of adjectival phrases composed of long compounds, or to a row of relative clauses. short words being interspersed here and there in them to mark pauses. Thus it is found that the major portion of a sentence in the Classical Sanskrit mostly consists of nominal forms (nouns, adjectives, participles, agas, etc.), and a single finite verb in it is postponed to its end.

(5) The style common to all the sites in the later period is nominal in the extreme, since sentences in it represent, in addition to the abovementioned characteristics, the absence of verbs and abundance of abstract nouns compounded into lengthy expressions in the nominative and ablative cases. Even the participles and the subtle senses of case-relations are ignored by forming lengthy compounds with the set words 'प्रतियोगिन्', 'अवच्छेदक' etc. Another tendency observable in the style of the sings is that of giving every now and then etymological senses, which are sometimes fanciful. This style, which has been brought into being by the later नेपापिकड, is degenerate indeed, though it is useful for showing preciseness in scientific concepts; but it has generally been adopted by other areas, as they have come under the direct influence of the न्याय system. As formerly indicated, there are three types of this nominal style of the sings. The first, i. e., the dialogue type, which is essentially nominal yet simple, chaste and lively, is represented by the भाष्यं of पतंजिल, वात्स्यायन and शतर; the second or the lecture type is represented by the बाङ्करमाप्य, which, though It is nominal in style, is yet fluent and free from any kind of artificiality; and the third or the most artificial type is represented by later works on different spas.

What has been detailed above may be aptly summed up in the words of Dr. Bhandarkar who says as follows-'The real classical Sanskrit is the Sanskrit of the Epics, the Puranas, the metrical law-books, the better or earlier specimens of Kavyas or poems and dramatic plays, and of the early philosophical

or exegetical works. And if we examine this literature, we shall find that the greater use of attributive or nominal forms of expression gradually drove out a large portion of the Sanskrit verb, and gave a new character to the language, which may be thus described:-Very few verbal forms are used besides those of such tenses as the Present and Future; participles are frequently met with; the verbal forms of some roots, especially of those belonging to the less comprehensive classes, have gone out of use, and in their place we often have a noun expressive of the special action and a verb expressive of action generally; compound words are somewhat freely employed; and a good many of the Taddhita forms or nominal derivatives have disappeared, and in their stead we have periphrastic expressions. If the treatises of Panini and others had perished, and we had to construct a grammar of the Sanskrit from the classical literature I have above indicated, our Verb and the Taddhita portion would be very meagre."†

The first grammarian, who took into account the predominance of the Nominal Style in Sanskrit and changed accordingly the order of grammatical topics to be taught to beginners, was अवैष्मेन् (1st century A. D.), the founder of the आत-ल system, otherwise called the अलाव or कीमारव्याकरण, the origin of which was ascribed to कुमारकार्तिकेय, the son of शिव. He realized the fact that पाणिनि's system, in the absence of any topical arrangement, was studied only by the

[†] Wilson Philological Lectures, (1914), P. 24.

advanced classes, and that the masses, who were keenly interested in Sanskrit literature, had no means of studying Sanskrit grammar easily and speedily. In order to meet this popular demand he composed the कातन्त्रसूत्रs, which treated of as much grammar as was required for the understanding of the classical literature, though they did not exhaust the whole grammatical science or produce erudite grammarians. With a popular aim he purposely dropped in the कातन्त्र the प्रत्याहारसूत्रs, the प्रत्याहारड formed therefrom, the artificial easts like are, see, etc., the whole of the वैदिकी प्रक्रिया, and all obscure or unnecessary details. Hence the first grammarian, making, with a clear insight, grammar subservient to the classical Sanskrit literature strictly in accordance with its Nominal Style, is वाववमन्, who made a decisive improvement on पाणिनि's grammar by systematically arranging the grammatical topics in the following order—

१ संशा, २ सन्दि, ३ सुबन्त, ४ अव्यय, ५ कारक, ६ खीग्रत्यय, ७ समास, ८ तक्षित, ९ आख्यात, १० पृदन्त, and ११ उणादि.

Though the details of this order have been dropped here, the broad outline, as given above, will clearly indicate how he first deals with the nominal part of the language and lastly with the verbal part, and how later grammarians have been more or less influenced by the बातन्त्र in respect of the arrangement of grammatical topics. Dr. Belvalkar has proved that the chapters in the बातन्त्र on the निपात, ब्रीप्रस्थ, तदित, इदन्त and उनाहि were not originally

written by अववर्षन्, and that they were later on interpolated in it for making up its deficiencies. This is highly probable because those portions are not quite necessary in a popular grammar, although it must be admitted that the knowledge of a few important कीवर्षक, तदिवड and हृद्रन्त is very essential to the study of the classical Sanskrit literature. Dr. Belvalkar significantly says, "If अववर्ष्ण्य did not think it necessary to teach the हृत् section to his Royal pupil (साववाहन), no more did he care to teach him the तदित section (or the कीवर्ष्ण section)"." If we again take into consideration the last sentence in the previously quoted passage of Dr. Bhandarkar, we understand why अववर्षण्य might not have written his chapter on the तदित portion.

If there is a grain of truth in the divine origin of the कातन्त्र from कुमारकार्तिकेय, the son of शिव, it is that ग्रावंबमंन wrote the कातन्त्रसूत्रs after the close study of पाणिनि's system, the origin of which was ascribed to शिव. For instance, the कातन्त्रसूत्रs 'संगोगान्तस्य लोपः' (का. २-३-५४), 'गत्यर्थकर्मणि दितीयाचतुर्थ्यो चेष्ठायामनव्यनि' (का. २-४-२४), 'मन्यकर्मणि चानादरे प्रमाणिनि' (का. २-४-२५), 'तुमथांच भाववाचिनः' (का. २-४-२८), 'हो दः' (का. ३-६-५६), 'कर्मण्यण्' (का. ४-३-१), 'अक्तेरि च कारके संज्ञायाम' (का. ४-५-४), etc., bear close resemblance to the corresponding सूत्रs of पाणिनि. The most important contribution, however, made to grammar by ग्रवंबर्मन् is that of the natural (nominal) arrangement and

See Dr. Belvalkar's 'Systems of Sanskrit Grammar.

sequence of grammatical topics; and this is the reason why the कावला is even now studied in Bengal. The अभिष्याण epitomizes the whole of Sanskrit grammar in eleven chapters of 239 verses (अभिष्याण, आ. ३४९-३५९), and states that the tradition of this grammar has been handed down from स्कृत् or जुमार-काविकेय. This, perhaps, means that the chapters in the अभिष्याण are an epitome of the कावल्य; and hence it is natural that the order of grammatical topics in the former is almost the same as that in the latter. Those chapters are, therefore, calculated to serve the valuable purpose of settling the most essential grammatical portions to be studied by ordinary students.

It is found after careful examination and comparison that the later grammatical works like the बाकटायनव्याकरण of जैनदाकटायन (9th century A. D.), the सिद्धेमशब्दानुशासन of हेमचन्द्र (11th century A. D.), the सारस्वत (13th century A. D.), the सुप्रवोध of बोपदेव (13th century A. D.), the रूपमाला of विमलसरस्वती (14th century A. D.), the प्रक्रियाकीमुदी of रामचन्द्र (15th century A. D.), and the सिद्धान्तकीमुदी of महोबी दीखित (17th century A. D.) have more or less followed the same order of grammatical topics as in the कातन्त्र,† It is not

S Its date according to MM. P. V. Kane is about the 8th century A. D. See his Introduction to the साहित्यदर्गण.

[†]See Dr. Belvalkar's 'Systems of Sanskrit Grammar.'
We have, moreover, consulted several original works of
those systems and other grammatical manuals, both in the
printed and manuscript form, in order to ascertain the
natural order of topics and to arrive at the above conclusions.

definitely known how far they were influenced by the stars. If it is supposed that some of them have independently discovered the natural arrangement of topics, it means that they have consciously or unconsciously tended to the same natural order in accordance with the prominently Nominal character of Classical Sanskrit; and this fact doubtless yields a linguistic principle that the Nominal part of Sanskrit grammar must be taught to pupils before the Verbal part.

Almost all the above grammarians except विमलसरस्वती, रामचन्द्र, and भट्टोबी दीक्षित have dropped the परिभाषां and the वैदिकप्रक्रिया. Of all the grammatical works prior to the सिद्धान्तकीमदी of महोजी, the कातन्त and the सारखत seem to have been the most popular, since they are non-sectarian and treat of important topics in an easy, brief and graded manner. It is likely that usial should have availed himself of all the attempts of his predecessors in the execution of the periect and natural arrangement of grammatical topics in his विद्वान्तकीमदी. Yet it is almost certain that he owes more to the approx of squeezead and the प्रक्रियाकीमुदी of रामचन्द्र than to others in this respect, inasmuch as they are the works belonging to quality's system, and have more or less done justice to the बैदिकप्रक्रिया. The तदित, समास and वैदिक sections, however, are not properly placed in the every, which gives the first two at the end and the age section in the middle. uplat has made a decided improvement on the equier in this respect. The

order of topics in the सिद्धान्तकीमुदी is broadly as follows—

I— १ संज्ञा, २ परिभाषा, ३ सन्धि, ४ सुबन्त, ५ अव्यय, ६ स्त्रीप्रत्यय, ७ कारक, ८ समास, ९ तदित, १० दिश्क.

II— ११ तिङन्त, १२ पूर्वकृदन्त, १३ उणादि, १४ उत्तरकृदन्त, १५ वैदिकप्रक्रिया, १६ स्वरप्रकरण, १७ लिङ्गानुशासन.

The credit, therefore, of bringing all the topics connected with the nominal part in the first volume of the विद्वान्तकीमुदी, of dealing with the verbal part in its second volume, of doing proper justice to the वैदिक्षणिक्षण at the end, and of popularizing the system of पाणिन in a new and perfect form certainly goes to महोजी. Thus in the evolution of the arrangement of grammatical topics corresponding to the growth of Sanskrit from the Verbal to the Nominal style the विद्वान्तकीमुदी represents perhaps the last stage, and is an epoch-making work from the viewpoint of the re-establishment of पाणिनि's system.

After महोजी had written the विदान्तकीमुदी, his disciple ब्रह्मज, who was saturated with पाणिनि's system, and who knew very well the relative importance of every सूत्र from the view-point of average students, popularized that system more than those of the कातन्त्र and the सारस्वत. He successively issued with untiring energy his three epitomes of the विदान्तकीमुदी, viz., the मुखिदान्तकीमुदी, the अपुविदान्तकीमुदी and the सारविदान्तकीमुदी, † Out of about 4000 सुन्न of पाणिनि the मुख्यकीमुदी contains 2315 सूत्र, the लघुकीमुदी

[†] This is still in a manuscript form,

1380 सब्र and the साकोम्दी 700 सब्र. All those epitomes indicate the gradual process of reducing the most important grammatical portions to their minimum. The सामिद्रान्तकोमुदी, which is not yet printed, will render valuable assistance to those who want to ascertain the most essential topics of grammar to be studied by average pupils. As regards the arrangement of topics the noteworthy feature of all those epitomes is that they alternately deal with the nominal part and the verbal part of Sanskrit. The general order of topics in them is as follows—

१ संज्ञा, २ सन्धि, ३ सुबन्त, ४ अव्यय, ५ तिङन्त, ६ इदन्त, ७ विभक्त्यर्थ (कारक), ८ समास, ९ तद्वित, १० खीप्रत्यय.

In this arrangement accura has devoted to the teaching of verb-formation (तिङ्ल) 596 सूत्रs in the मध्यकीपुरी, 486 सूत्रs in the लघुकीपुरी, and 192 सत्रs in the सारकीमदी. He, perhaps, thought that unless the pupils would broadly understand the formation of verbs, they would not realize the propriety of the participles (man) often used in literature. Thus he thought that the alternate teaching of the nominal part and the verbal part would be beneficial to students, and hence he changed the order of topics in the विदान्तकीमदी. It should be admitted that there is some truth in the view that nouns and nounequivalents should be taught side by side with verbs and verbal derivatives so as to give some idea of the Sanskrit sentence. Yet under the present circumstances when Sanskrit is becoming an unpopular subject, it is expedient to limit the formation of verbs to the First Group of Conjugations only. The reasons for this will be stated in a separate chapter.

स्वामी दयानन्दसरस्वती too has recast almost the whole of the agrand with the addition of 112 quantum and important sentences from the HEIHING of GARRE, He has put together all this matter in his arrayans (1882 A. D.), which follows almost the same order of grammatical topics as in the fear-daled, and which seeks to explain the ans clearly in Hindi. On account of the importance he attaches to the Vedic lore he deprecates the stars, the enters, the चन्द्रिका, the मुख्योप and the सिद्धान्तकीपुरी, which, he says, either do not at all deal with the वैदिकप्रक्रिया, or give a secondary place to it. When he himself follows the order of topics in the सिद्धान्तकीसदी, it is unaccountable why he should denounce even that monumental work. He asserts that the whole of Sanskrit grammer can be mastered in three years, while the (स्वान्तकी मरी) and other grammatical works like the ner, the मनोरमा, etc., make the subject more difficult. He, however, shows much respect for पतञ्जलि's महामाज्य, the study of which is recommended by him. He has expressed the same views in his नलार्भवकाश (ततीयसम्हास), and has demonstrated there in detail the method of teaching grammar. He says that the शिक्षा of पाणिनि should be first taught to pupils with special instructions in pronunciation. Then the

^{\$} See . Syllabus in Sanskrit . Section II.

pupils may be made to learn by heart the अप्राध्यायी. and afterwards all the was may be clearly explained. Here t he gives in detail the same method of explaining the gas as he has followed in the चेद्राहमप्रकाश. He further says that after a clear and detailed explanation of the suprapil is given, it should again be revised, and then the изгания should be taught. Again, he asserts that if both the teacher and the taught are intelligent, hardworking and persevering, the agrand can be finished in one year and a half, and further the महामाष्य within the same period. Thus according to him a student can attain proficiency in grammar in three years, and can properly and profitably devote the remaining time to the study of other anges. He prefers the 'any' method (used by the great sages like पाणिनि and पत्रज्ञिल) of teaching grammar to that followed by the कातन्त्र, the सिद्धान्तकीमुरी, etc., as the former, he says, is economical from the standpoint of time and labour, and as the latter is made purposely difficult and artificial (1). It is, however, strange that though he underrates the सिद्धान्तकीम्दी. he generally follows its arrangement of grammatical topics in his बेदाङ्गप्रकाश ! द्यानन्दसरस्वती was, doubtless, a great Sanskrit scholar who tried his utmost for the revival of Sanskrit learning. His thoughts on the teaching of Sanskrit are, therefore, worthy of consideration and trial.

[†] See the 'सत्यार्थप्रकाश' , तृतीयसमुखास.

subject, it is expedient to limit the formation of verbs to the First Group of Conjugations only. The reasons for this will be stated in a separate chapter.

स्वामी दयानन्दसरस्वती too has recast almost the whole of the aggraph with the addition of 112 against and important sentences from the प्रामाण of प्रामाण of प्रामाण of has put together all this matter in his वदाक्षप्रकाश (1882 A. D.), which follows almost the same order of grammatical topics as in the विदान्तकीमुदी, and which seeks to explain the was clearly in Hindi. On account of the importance he attaches to the Vedic lore he deprecates the grave, the grave, the चन्द्रिका, the मुख्योघ and the सिद्धान्तकीमुदी, which, he says, either do not at all deal with the वैदिक्यिक्या, or give a secondary place to it. When he hintself follows the order of topics in the विदान्तकीमदी, it is unaccountable why he should denounce even that monumental work. He asserts that the whole of Sanskrit grammer can be mastered in three years, while the fear-ashed and other grammatical works like the area, the मनोरमा, etc., make the subject more difficult. He, however, shows much respect for पतज्ञिल's महामाष्य. the study of which is recommended by him. He has expressed the same views in his सत्याश्रवहाडा (ततीयसम्हास), and has demonstrated there in detail the method of teaching grammar. He says that the शिक्षा of पाणिनि should be first taught to pupils with special instructions in pronunciation. Then the

S See · Syllabus in Sanskrit ', Section II.

pupils may be made to learn by heart the agrand, and afterwards all the was may be clearly explained. Here t he gives in detail the same method of explaining the ass as he has followed in the agreengers. He further says that after a clear and detailed explanation of the appropriate given, it should again be revised, and then the महामाज्य should be taught. Again, he asserts that if both the teacher and the taught are intelligent, hardworking and persevering, the suggraph can be finished in one year and a half, and further the महाभाष्य within the same period. Thus according to him a student can attain proficiency in grammar in three years, and can properly and profitably devote the remaining time to the study of other syss. He prefers the 'and' method (used by the great sages like पाणिनि and पतञ्जलि) of teaching grammar to that followed by the कातन्त्र, the सिद्धान्तकीमुदी, etc., as the former, he says, is economical from the standpoint of time and labour, and as the latter is made purposely difficult and artificial (1). It is, however, strange that though he underrates the सिदान्तकीम्दी. he generally follows its arrangement of grammatical topics in his बेदाङ्गप्रकाश ! दयानन्दसरस्वती was, doubtless, a great Sanskrit scholar who tried his utmost for the revival of Sanskrit learning. His thoughts on the teaching of Sanskrit are, therefore, worthy of consideration and trial.

[†] See the 'सत्यार्थप्रकाश', तृतीयसमुखास.

A new attempt of organizing the was of yillifa. in such a way that the organization would serve as a good introduction to the सिदान्तकीमरी, has been made by Pt. गङ्गासहाय of Bundi, whose सुगमकीमुदी has been published by the Venkateshwar Press in 1902 A. D. He finds that even the लघकीमदी contains some matter not required by beginners, and that some information usually required by them is not included in it. He, therefore, has divided the Has of yiftifa into two chapters of two sections each, and has arranged them according to the Concentric Method. By the 'concentric method' a subject as a whole is first taught in a broad outline, and then more and more details of the same are gradually introduced, so as to suit the capacities of beginners. The concentric method is thoroughly scientific or psychological according to the modern technique of teaching, and is calculated to be much beneficial particularly to novices, if it is followed in the teaching of पाणिनि's grammar. The author of the सुरामकीमुदी, for instance, has given in the first section of about 745 सकड the broad outline of the whole of Sanskrit grammer, has introduced in it all the most important portions required by an ordinary student for reading literature, and has followed the same order of topics as adopted in the epitomes of accura. He has further introduced more and more details of the same topics in the same -order in the remaining three sections of the book. This method as adopted by the author is worthy

of being actually practised and merits wider circulation.

Side by side with the tendency of epitomizing पाणिनि's अष्टाच्यायी another tendency of teaching the ready-made forms of nouns and verbs is visible in the introduction of grammar. The latter tendency seems to have long preceded the former, if the ' अष्ट्रभाव' of the Buddhists, as previously referred to, might have been similar to our शब्दस्याविष and बातुरुपायित. It should be remembered that the need of books like the शब्दक्याविक and the बात्क्याविक is not felt when a language is current or spoken, but it is felt when the language ceases to be spoken. The 'बारस्यसङ्ग्रह', which is ascribed to वरहिंच, but which is really written by an unknown author, has been commented upon by some नारायण of 1426 A. D. + It may, therefore, be concluded that the manual was written at least before the 14th century A. D. This small manual of 25 verses gives the most essential information about कारक, समास, तदित, तिङ्, कृत्, मिश्रवासक and कर्तृप्रत्य without at all dealing with the formation of nouns and verbs. The book, therefore, seems to presuppose the knowledge of their ready-made forms on the part of the pupils through the शब्दरूपावृत्ति and the पात्रूपावृत्ति, and then treats particularly of syntax and the different ready-made members of a sentence. If this presumption is correct, it may be concluded that the practice of teaching the ready-made forms of nouns

⁺ See Trivandrum Sanskrit Series, No. 33.

and verbs through the शुद्धस्पावि and the शावुस्पावि is current among us at least for the last 500 years.§ The same tendency of dealing with the important topics like कारक, समास, तदित, तिङ्, कृत्, etc., after presupposing the knowledge of ready-made forms, is observable in manuals like the प्रशेषचित्रका of वेजलभूपति (18 th century A. D.) † and the स्वयंत्रेद्धमानिक्ष्यपुपि क्षाविक्षाविक्ष्यपुष्टित (19 th century A. D.).\$ It is worthy of note that all such manuals stress the necessity of teaching 'कारक' first of all in Sanskrit grammar.

A third tendency noticeable in grammar teaching is the unification of language (তথ্য) and grammar (তথ্য). It has formerly been suggested how the teaching of generalizations or rules in grammar becomes abstract and tedious without concrete examples from language and literature. It is,

[§] It may incidentally be mentioned here that the B. O. R. Institute MSS. Library of Poona possesses a manuscript of the शब्द्रस्पावृद्धि belonging to संवत् 1668 (1612 A. D.) and we too possess a different ms. on the same topic, dated शालि. शक् 1623 (1701 A. D.).

[†] There are four copies of this ms. in the B. O. R. I. MSS. Library.

⁵ Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series, No. 410.

Read (a) प्रयोगिमिच्छता शावं शेयं कारकमादितः ।-वारकचसङ्बह, १...

⁽b) क्रियाकारकसम्बन्धशानसृत्यस्ते यया । उत्कृष्टा प्रक्रिया सेयं त्वया सम्प्रति पठ्यताम् ॥ -प्रक्षेषचन्द्रिका, १।३४,

⁽०) अय प्रयोगं विशासुनीनीयात् कारकं पुरा ॥

का जान वा वा अस्ति सम्मनेन्द्रमयोगकल्पहुम, १:

therefore, necessary to teach grammar side by side with literature so as to give immediately concrete illustrations from the latter. The first poet who realized the utility and value of quoting concrete examples in the teaching of grammar was aft (5th century A. D.), who gave the poetic form to the story of the with the motive of illustrating the rules of grammar in a palatable and interesting way, t Grammar thus being efficiently taught in the proper context of literature can be well recollected by young pupils. This tendency of amalgamating grammar and literature is observable also in the रावणार्शनीय of भीम or भीमक (7th century), the कविरहस्य of इलायुष (10th century A. D.), the द्वपाश्रयकाव्य of हेमचन्द्र (11th century A. D.), the वासदेवविजय of वासदेव (15th century A.D.), the धातुकाव्य of नारायण, the son of वासदेव (15th century A. D.), the प्रबोधचन्द्रिका of वैजलभ्यति (18th century A. D.), and the सजनेन्द्रप्रयोगकल्प्डम of क्राणपृथ्डित (19th century A. D.).

We have so far seen that though the वैदाकरणड according to their theory of स्होट hold that a sentence is an indivisible whole, they mainly resort to the theory of प्रसंद्वार or analysis of a word into its crude form (प्रकृति) and affix (प्रत्य) simply for the sake of beginners; and in this respect they have been

[†] The commentary ' जयमज्ञाला ' on the ' महिकाव्य ' makes the following remarkat its beginning—''लक्ष्यं लक्षणं चोभयमेकन्न विदुषः प्रदर्शयतुं श्रीस्वामिस्तुः कविमेहिनामा रामकथाश्रयमहाकाव्यं चकार।"

influenced by the etymological method of great. Then after noticing the chief characteristics of पाणिनि's अग्रार्यायी it has been shown how प्राचिति lent a scientific aspect to grammar by adopting the categories of the animas and the method of stray. ड्यातिरेक belonging to the नेपाविषक. In this context we have seen that the grammarian's own method of analysing words through the direct observation of lin. quistic phenomena is essentially inductive, and that the same method should be followed, with proper limitations, in teaching grammar to young pupils. We have also shown how game himself adopts in his appa the methods of etymology, dialogue, and induction. It has then been pointed out that the system of पाणिति was very popular with the Buddhists; vet in the absence of a topical arrangement in the अप्राच्याची, the study of the whole of पाणिनि's system became unduly long, difficult and tedious to students. Then it has been demonstrated that Sanskrit language has undergone several stages of growth and that at last it shows predilection for the Nominal Style rather than for the verbal style. Further, after indicating the main features of the nominal style we have shown how the need of a new grammar like the कातन्त्र suiting that style was quite natural, and how the mid-m influenced the later grammarians in respect of the order of grammatical topics to be taught. We have then seen that the fear-angle represents the last stage in the evolution of the arrangement of

topics, and that aggreg in his three epitomes of the सिदान्तकीमुदी changed this order a little for the sakeof beginners. व्रद्राज, of course, knew the importance of teaching nouns before verbs; yet he thought it necessary to teach verbs side by side with nouns and before the teaching of participles. Then after referring to the views of इयानन्दसरवती and stressing the importance of the concentric method in grammar teaching, we have shown that the tendency of teaching ready-made forms at the initial stage, as evidenced by some manuals, has grown stronger than that of teaching detailed word-formation, and that after the teaching of ready-made forms of words, young pupils, while reading literature, have been first led to understand the ERE (case-relation), which is the most important part of Sanskrit grammar. Lasly, the Importance of concrete examples in grammar teaching and the necessity of unifying grammar and literature have been emphasized by quoting actual instances of works representing the harmonization of both. In short, the valuable facts that can be derived from the foregoing treatment of Sanskrit grammar are as follows-

(i) Analysis of words (परसंदर) in union with the etymological method is one of the many means of entering into their inner significance. Yet etymology is not the only method of approach to language; it must be made subservient to the understanding of the signirelation in a sentence.

- (ii) The order of grammatical topics to be taught should be in accordance with the Nominal Style of Sanskrit.
- (iii) More stress should be laid on the teaching of *東天帝 than on that of verbs, and the detailed formation of verbs should be minimized so as to subserve the knowledge of 東天帝。
- (iv) At the initial stage the teaching of readymade forms of words should be more encouraged than detailed word-formation.
- (v) Grammar should be taught in the light of literature, i. e., in association with concrete examples from literature.
- (vi) The inductive method and the concentric method may beneficially be applied to the teaching of Sanskrit grammar. Their limitations, however, should be recognized.

VI. THE METHODS OF THE मीमांसा

It has been so far shown how the वेदाइरणड deal with words (पर) by employing their peculiar methods. It is now advisable to turn to the principles of interpreting a sentence (बाबन) as propounded by the मीमांबर्ड The मीमांबर, or more properly, the पूर्वमीमांबर or क्रमेमीमांबर primarily institutes an inquiry into the 'क्में' or duty, which is, according to the

मीमांस्ड, the performance of sacrifices for the attainment of heaven. This sacrificial cult held its sway over the ancient Hindu society and induced particularly the sacrificial priests to hold discussions in assemblies or sacrificial sessions on doubtful points of ritualistic practices and on variations in them arising from divergent oral tradition. Again, the information about the details of sacrifices embodied in the affigure and grows being scattered and unsystematic led to the divergence of opinions on this subject. It was after who first organized all this confused mass of sacrificial lore and transformed it into a system by harmonizing all varying views, by deciding what was principal (वेशिन, अङ्गिन, प्रधान) and subordinate (श्रेष, अङ्ग, गीण), and by assigning a proper place to each of them in the sacrificial scheme. He discovered the laws of interpreting Vedic sentences which would guide one in the proper execution of sacrifices. As his method of interpretation was based mainly on reasoning, or properly, deductive reasoning, the shrier system established by him was otherwise called 'न्याय' It must be remembered that the term 'ang' was originally applied to the conclusive views rationally arrived at in each topic (अधिकरण) with its five parts, Sand was afterwards widely used to indicate the syllogistic reasoning in alay's system, which was later than the ripries system. Thus each अधिकरण of the

^{\$} विषय, संशय, पूर्वपक्ष, उत्तरपक्ष and सङ्गति.

पूर्वमीमांसा with its five parts, as formerly dealt with, afforded ample scope for the power of argumentation, subtle logical analysis, and clear and methodical exposition. This method of dealing with an अधिकरण was originally invented by the पूर्वमीमांसा and was also adopted later on in the उत्तरमीमांसा or बेदान्त, as a means of systematic exposition.

In later times, however, क्रमारिल and प्रमाहर, the great exponents of the quiffinier system, were much influenced by the न्याय system of गीवम. Of them प्रमाहर recognizes the four means of proof (प्रमाण) belonging to the न्याय, viz., प्रत्यक्ष (Perception), अनुमान (Inference), उपमान (Analogy or comparison) and शब्द (Verbal testimony), and adds applied (Presumption) to them as the fifth one; while spifes accepting all these five means of proof adds अनुपारविष or अभाव (Non-apprehension or non-existence) to them as the sixth one. The मीमांसका include समाच (Probability) in अनुमान and ignore 'ऐतिहा ' (Tradition) of the पीराणिकड and 'agr' (Gesture) of the affects. A special feature of the मीमांसूड must be noted here that though they generally recognize the above unions, they principally rely on the verbal testimony (शब्दामाण) of the Veda. If the means of proof other than the 'verbal testimony' are admitted by them, they have been resorted to simply for proving the validity of the Vedic word. Thus though the later मीम्बिक generally adopt Inference, the अन्वयव्यतिरेक method, and logical fallacies of the appropriate, they employ them to

subserve the 'verbal testimony'. In other words, the principles of Logic are used by the मीमांसकs only in order to give a rational interpretation of the Vedic sentences. Again, units admits five categories of the न्यायवैशेषिक system, viz., ह्रव्य (Substance), गुण (Quality), 新中 (Action), 初刊四 (Generality), and सम्बाय (Inherence), and adds to them शक्ति (Energy), साहस्य (Similarity) and सङ्ख्या (Number); while कुमारिस recognizes only five categories of the न्यायवैशेषिक, viz., द्रव्य, गुण, कमें, सामान्य and अभाव (Non-existence). Moreover, the rinjess generally accept the causal relation (कार्यकारणसम्बन्ध), though they differ from the न्यायवैशेषिक system as regards details. It will, therefore, be found that the later shirters have been influenced by the methodology of the न्यायवैशेषिक system in a broad outline.

The partition propounds that some transcendental result (sign), which is conducive to the attainment of heaven, comes into being by the correct
performance of sacrifices. All the principal and
subordinate acts of a sacrifice are, therefore, made
by the fluidess subservient to this sign, which leads
one to heaven. It can, therefore, be seen that the
quilibriar places a distinct goal or aim before a person wishing to perform sacrifices. With this definite
end in view it adopts the classification of things
accessory to that end. It classifies the Vedic texts
and sacrificial acts into main divisions and sub-divisions and adjusts the principal and subordinate

elements of the sacrificial lore in such a way that all of them should form a synthetic whole. All these are, of course, the distinctive features of methodology; and the process resorted to by the affigies in the interpretation of the Vedic texts is prominently analytico-synthetic. Thus though from the philosophical point of view the qualities is not very important, it can definitely be called 'a system ' on account of its adoption of methodological principles in the interpretation of ritual texts. One may, perhaps, differ from the मीमांसक in respect of their ideas of and or heaven and the utility of sacrifices. Yet the interpretative aspect of their system is so very important that their principles of interpreting sentences (वाक्य) have deserved the honour of wider application to the religious as well as secular literature. Those principles have been particularly employed in the interpretation of Law (धर्मशास्त्र). शङ्कराचार्य, though he differs from the मीमांसहड as regards the ultimate reality of the world and the interpretation of the Upanishadic sentences relating to जलन, has accepted their कर्मन (action) as conducive to the putification of the mind alone, and has made it subservient to the attainment of Self-knowledge. An important fact to be noted is that he utilizes the interpretative principles of the प्रमीमांसा every now and then, more particularly in the third chapter of his assessmen for establishing the synthesis of Vedic sentences that lead to the Knowledge of असन् . Moreover, those interpretative

principles have been discussed at length in the Sanskrit Poetics (साहित्र) and have been more or less accepted by the Sanskrit literary critics. It has already been pointed out that the later grammarians too employ the principles of the fluit in their works. It may, therefore, be said with certainty that the interpretative side of the प्रवेभीमांसा has substantially contributed to the general linguistics by stressing the sentence-aspect of language more than the etymological meanings (समाख्या) of detached words. It has properly demonstrated that etymology (and even comparative philology) is a futile process, unless the context of a sentence is taken into account. Another important linguistic principle of the मीमांसक is that the relation between a word and its meaning being natural and eternal, the word is eternal, and that the word is not created but is simply manifested by the sound. The मीमांसक lay down this principle mainly in order to establish their doctrine that the Vedas are eternal and not created by a human author. They further say that words in language primarily connote the genus (बाति, आकृति) and not an individual (व्यक्ति). Although the followers of कुमारिलमइ, who propound the theory of अभिद्वितान्वय (combination of significant words), say that detached words in language are meaningful, they never lose sight of the sentence-aspect of language. On the other hand, the प्रामाकर मीमांसकड, who uphold the theory of (signification of syntactical combi-व्यन्धिताभिधान

nation), lay down the principle that words become meaningful only in the context of injunctive sentences and that they are mostly learnt according to the usage of elders (बृद्धव्यवहार) through sentences. In general, it may, therefore, be said that the utuiges hold a sentence to be the unit of language; and this principle accords with the same Western principle of language-study. Again, both these schools of flyier hold that the verb is the principal part of a sentence and in the verb too the affix (प्रथम) is the most important part. The value of these linguistic principles and their bearings on language-teaching will be detailed later on. † The fact worthy of note is that all these linguistic theories of the मीमांस्ड evince a sound method of permanent value and have contributed much to the linguistic science.

Now in order to know the general nature of the methodology and interpretative principles of the finites it is necessary to see how they classify the whole of the Veda into main divisions and subdivisions, and expound the rules of its interpretation so as to reach the goal aimed at. In the first place, according to the subject-matter of the Veda they divide it into five principal parts, viz. (1) fift or injunctions laid down by the sign works, (2) was or the Vedic texts which do not lay down injunctions but remind us of their meaning, when they are recited at the time of performing sacrifices, (3) signed or names of sacrifices like

[†] See 'खण्डान्व्य ', Section X.

उद्भिद्, वित्रा, रपेन etc., (4) निषेष or prohibitions which are negative injunctions, and (5) अर्थवाद or passages which recommend or censure a thing and form a syntactical whole with the विधि or निषेष. Of these the विधि or injunction is the most important division to which other divisions are supplementary. It is, therefore, natural that the मीमांसक devote a major portion of their science to the elaborate treatment of the विधि and its sub-divisions.

A विधि commands a person to perform some action for the attainment of a desired object. For instance, the sentence 'स्वर्गकामा वजेत' impels a man to perform a sacrifice which would lead him to heaven, the most desired object. All such injunctive sentences are characterized by the verbal form like ' वजेत ' which is usually in the potential mood (জিছু). It is, therefore, the verbal form of the potential mood in an injunctive sentence (विधियास्य) that has the power to awaken an urge in the mind of a man to perform a sacrifice. The मीमांस्क have shown minute skill in the analysis of this verbal form and in the psychological exposition of the भावना (energy or causing to come into being, भाववति-साधवति-तरोति) indicated by the potential affix 'a'. They first divide the verb ' quad', which is the principal word in a sentence, into the root ' यज ' and the potential affix 'त', and further analyse the प्रत्य 'त', the most important part of a sentence into two elements.

The first element is the general verbality (आख्यातः) which is common to all tenses and moods, and the other is the optativeness (लिङ्ख) which is peculiar only to the potential mood. Both these elements of the same प्रस्य indicate 'मावना', which is the particular activity in the agent, which is conducive to the production of a thing that is to come into existence. According to the मीमांसक this भावना, contained in the affix 'a', is the principal psychological element or the centre to which every part of the Veda is somehow to be connected so as to serve some useful purpose. It can thus be seen that the ' भावना ' is principally expressed by the affix 'त' to which the root 'यून्' is subordinate. Corresponding to the above two elements of the alfix 'त' the 'मायना' (energy) too is of two sorts, viz., ज्ञाब्दी (verbal) and आर्थी (of the purpose or fruit). The optativeness (জিত্ৰে) indicates the शाब्दीभावना and the general verbality (आस्पातल) indicates the आधीं or फलभावना. The बाब्दीभावना is of the nature of gray (instigation) which produces the आर्थीमावना which is of the nature of प्रवृत्ति (inclination) in the mind of a person. The relation, therefore, between the शाब्दीमावना and the आर्थीमावना is respectively that of cause and effect, and hence the latter is subordinate to the former. In other words, the भावना latently existing in words produces a tendency (प्रवृत्ति) in a person to bring into being some action. Thus the आर्थीभावना or प्रवृत्ति further

causes the action of sacrifice (याग), denoted by the root-meaning of ag, to come into being. If 'मावना' means 'that which causes something to come into being' (भावयति, साधयति, करोति), the meaning of the affix 'त' is ' भावपेत् ' (should be brought into being). Both these भावनाड, which can be expressed by the verb 'भावयेत्' require, therefore, three factors in order to bring something into being, viz., the object or fruit (साप्य), the means (साधन) and the procedure (इतिकतन्यता), which correspondingly induce us to ask questions, as 'कि भावयेत्' (what), 'केन भावयेत्' (by what means) and 'क्यं भावयेत् ' (how). In short the भावना denoted by the verb ' मात्रपेत् ' has the expectancy (आकाङ्का) of साध्य, साधन and इतिकतंब्यता; and this expectancy, which gives rise to the above questions in our mind, is fulfilled in the following way. In the case of the बाब्दीभावना, which is of the nature of instigation (प्रेरणा), the object (साध्य) is the आधी मावना or tendency (प्रवृत्ति) instigated, the means (साधन) is the knowledge of the potential, etc. (लिङादिज्ञान), and the mode of performance (इतिकर्तव्यता) is shown by the recommendatory texts (अर्थवाद्वाक्यं); while in the case of the आर्थीभावना the fruit or object (पल, साम्य) is 'heaven', the means is the 'sacrifice' (याग) which is known from the meaning of the root qq, and the manner of performance is indicated by the several accessory rites (अइस) of the sacrifice like ' प्रयाद ' etc. Thus the whole-

sentence ' यजेत स्वर्गकाम: ' is understood as equivalent to ' बागेन स्वर्गे भावयेत् ' (One should bring heaven into being by performing the sacrifice). The example of a teacher and a pupil quoted in illustration of this two-fold भावना is note-worthy. The teacher says to his pupil ' सामानव ' (Bring the cow). From the verb ' आन्य ' of the sentence used by the teacher the pupil undertands the motive, command or instigation (प्रेरणा) in the mind of the teacher and a tendency (प्रवृत्ति) is aroused in his own mind to perform the action of bringing the cow. Hence the treatment of the fifty which gives rise to the psychological factor of ' भावना' is valuable from the linguistic point of view. The भावना, which expresses itself in the form of प्रेंगा of the 'word' and gaffer of a person, further evokes the three-fold आकाङ्शा (expectancy) which naturally arouses three questions. This concentration on the verbal part of a sentence and the eliciting of answers to the three questions through the verbal part establish the कारक relations of क्रम (object), 表列 (instrument) etc., of other words in the sentence with the verb. In this entire psychological process is rooted the खण्डान्ययपद्धति, which is mainly a method of questions and answers useful for the teaching of language, and which we shall discuss in detail later on.

^{*} See 《 國際日本年 ?, Section X.

The विधि which thus results in the भावना is subdivided into four classes: (1) उत्पत्तिविधि or originative injunction, (2) विनियोगविधि or injunction of application, (3) प्रयोगविधि or injunction of performance, and (4) अधिकारविधि or injunction of qualification.

- (1) Of these the उत्पत्तिविधि merely indicates the general nature of a sacrificial act to be performed, as ' अग्निहोलं जुहोति ' (He offers the अग्निहोत्र). This definition differentiates the उल्लेखिक from other fafus which indicate the relation of an accessory (i. e. गुणविधि) and the fruit (i. e. अधिकारविधि). this विवि the object (क्में) like 'अग्निहोत्रम्' with the root-meaning ' gig ' is construed as the instrument (करण). Thus the whole sentence like ' अभिहोत्रं जहोति ' is interpreted as 'अग्रिहोत्रहोमेन इष्टं भावयेत ' (One should bring into being the desired thing by means of the affight sacrifice). It is quite proper that the most desired thing (ईप्सिततम, इप्रतम) should be put in the objective case and the होम, which is the means (सावसतम) and which requires effort, should be put in the instrumental. In the Veda there are a few such authfafafas which are independent of each other, yet are principal with reference to the other classes of the and which are subordinate. As they first generate the desire of porforming sacrifices in one's mind, they are called उत्पत्तिविधिड.
- (2) The second subdivision of the विवि is the विनि-योगविधि or the applicatory injunction which intimates

the relation between the subordinate (अङ्ग) and the principal (प्रधान); as 'द्रप्ता बुहोति' (One should sacrifice with curds). This sentence lays down the connection of the subsidiary thing 'curds' with the principal thing 'sacrifice' by means of the instrumental case of the word ' द्वि', and is interpreted as 'द्या होमं भावयेत्' (One should bring into being the sacrifice by means of curds). In such a गुणविधि (injunction of the subsidiary) the root-meaning (i. e. होम) is construed as the object (कर्म) or that which is to be accomplished (साय). The various subsidiaries are the details of a sacrifice, such as, the substances (द्रव्य), the Vedic मन्त्रड, the deities and several sacrificial acts like the threshing of corn; while principal thing is the sacrifice, which is laid down by the उत्पत्तिविधि like 'अग्रिहोत्रं बहोति ', and which through the अपूर्व (transcendental result) leads to heaven. The विनियोगविधि, therefore, subordinates itself to the उल्लिबिधि which is principal, and lays down the details of the procedure to be followed in a sacrifice. This process of subordinating the details of a sacrifice to the उत्पतिविधि is carried on through six means of proof (त्रमाण), which are auxiliary to the विनियोगविधि. The six means of proof are: (i) अति or direct statement, (ii) लिङ्ग-mark or word-meaning, (iii) बाद्य-syntactical connection or sentence, (iv) प्रकरण or context, (v) स्थान or position and (vi) समाख्या or name. The मीमांसक have exhibited logical acumen in proving that each

preceding one of these gaps is stronger than each succeeding one; and the rules of interpretation enunciated by them in this respect not only indicate the relation of the subsidiary to the principal or of parts to the whole of a sacrifice, but are also linguistically valuable for ascertaining the relative strength of the different parts of language and arriving at the correct interpretation of a literary or scientific work.

(i) প্রবি—Now প্রবি is the direct statement or express declaration. It conveys its meaning directly without the aid of any intermediate steps which are necessary in the remaining five means of proof. This means of proof, which is the strongest of all, lays stress on the express meaning of words and sentences. The reason why safa is stronger than लिङ्ग (mark) and other means of proof is that in the latter there is no direct statement which would make us instantly apply the subsidiary to the principal, but it has to be assumed or inferred from the sentence possessing the fee etc. But before such an applicatory statement is inferred from the long and others, the direct statement which is already existing makes its immediate application, and the assumption or inference is rendered useless. For instance, in the verse 'कदाचन स्तरीरिंग नेन्द्र मश्चिम दाद्येप' (O Indra, you never destroy him who offers you an oblation, but you favour him), there is the mention of Indra. On account of the suggestive word or mark ' g-g' we might think that a statement like 'ऐन्झा इन्द्र-

मुपतिष्ठते ' should be assumed, and that the verse should be applied to the worship of Indra. But there already exists a direct statement 'ऐन्ह्या गाई-पत्वमुपविष्ठते ' (One should worship the गाईपत्य fire with the verse containing the mark of Indra), in which the word ' गाईपल्पम् ' in the accusative case makes us instantly apply that verse to the worship of the माईपल fire, For, अति reaches the stage of application (विनियोग) through only one step of the denotation of its own sense (स्वाभिषयप्रतिपादन); while few reaches the stage of application through two intermediate steps of स्वामिधेयप्रतिपादन and अतिकल्पना (assumption of a প্রবি). In other words, পুরি being nearer विनियोग than लिङ्ग is stronger than the latter. Thus when there is a conflict between a direct statement (अति) and a suggestive word or mark (लिङ्ग), the former prevails over the latter.

(ii) लिक्न-The power of a word to convey some meaning is called 'लिक्न'. In other words, the power of a word is the conventional sense (रूटि) itself. This लिक्न, therefore, which denotes the conventional or primary sense, must be differentiated from समस्या (name) which conveys the etymological (यौगिक) or secondary sense. For instance, in the sentence 'बहिदेबस्त दामि' (I cut grass for the seat of the gods) the word 'बहिस् ' may mean any kind of grass like दुस, उलप etc. But as it primarily denotes दुस grass and secondarily उलप grass, the primary sense is to be understood in the above

sentence and not the secondary one. The far (mark) becomes applicable when there is no direct statement (अति) which has, of course, to be assumed from the fag. This fag is stronger than syntactical relation (बाह्य) and the succeeding means of proof. For instance, the मन्त्र " स्थोनं ते सदनं कुणोमि पतस्य घारया सुरोवं कल्पवामि । तस्मिन्सीदामृतं प्रतितिष्ठ बीहीणां मेघ समनस्यमानः ॥ " [O प्रोहाश, I make a pleasant seat for you, I make it acceptable to you with a stream of ghee; sit on it, rest on that immortal seat with pleasure, O marrow of the rice-grains | is a sentence containing two clauses ' स्थोनं ... कलप्यामि ' and 'सीद...सुमनस्यमानः 'which are joined by 'तिहमन् '. The word 'तिस्मन् ' gives rise to आकाङ्शा and we ask a question 'करिमन् ' (where). This expectancy is fulfilled by the first clause which being syntactically connected with the second makes up the whole sentence. If we shall give prominence to this syntactical connection, we shall have to suppose that the whola me as one sentence is to be repeated for making the seat of the प्रोहाश as well as for placing the girst on that seat. In this case we shall have to suppose that instead of two clauses there is only one sentence which, as a whole, may be applied by cumulation (समुद्रप) or by option (विकल्प) to the two actions of 'making the seat ' (सदन) and ' placing on it ' (सादन). The मीमांस्ड, however, forbid this, and say that only the first clause is to be used as subsidiary to the action

of 'सदन' on account of the लिख 'सदनं हणोमि', and that only the second clause is to be made subservient to the action of ' साइन ' on account of the लिङ्ग ' तरिमन् सीद ?. If we suppose that the whole sentence is to be applied to the making of seat (सदन). we shall have to take for granted that the second clause has the power to denote the sense of ॰ सदन ' which is not denoted by it. Similarly, if the whole sentence would be made applicable to the placing (सादन) of the पुरोडाश, the first clause which has no power to yield that sense would have to be supposed to convey it. If we thus proceed on the evidence of जान्य, we shall reach the stage of विनियोग through the three steps of वाक्य, लिख् and अति: while if we, on the evidence of the लिख 'सदनं आणीम' take only the first clause to apply to सदन, we reach the stage of विनियोग through only two steps of विज्ञ and अति. The same can be said about the लिङ्ग of ered in the second clause. All this, therefore, proves that Res is stronger than area or syntactical relation.

(iii) बाइन्य or syntactical relation is the connected utterance of words indicating things that are mutually subsidiary and principal. This means that a sentence does not necessarily consist only of words in the accusative and other cases. Even in the absence of those cases two words standing together in the relation of an adjective and a substantive can form syntactical relation. The example of this बाइन् is found in ' प्रस्थ पर्णम्यी बहुमन्त्रित न स पाप क्षेत्रेड

शुणोति '[He, whose ladle is made of प्लाझ wood, does not spoil his fame], where the words 'पणेम्पी जुह: ' are uttered connectedly. Again, the relation between 'पणेम्पी ' and 'जुह: ' is respectively that of the subsidiary and the principal; or 'पणेम्पी ' is the adjective of 'जुह: '. The whole expression 'पणेम्पी जुह: ' can, therefore, be called a सुन्य.

This syntactical relation is stronger than context (प्रकरण). For example, in the context (प्रकरण) of 'दर्शपूर्णमास ' sacrifice the following sentences occur: (a) " अमीपोमाविदं इविरख्येताम्, अवीवृधेताम्, महो व्यायोऽकाताम् " and (b) ' इन्द्रामी इदं हविरख्येताम्, अवीवृधेताम्, महो ज्यायोऽहाताम् ' [' O Agni-Soma, you have accepted this offering, you have increased it, you have made superior splendour'; and' O इन्हाजी, you have accepted the offering 'etc.]. It must be remembered here that the deities of the full-moon sacrifice (पूर्णमास्याग) have been decided to be 'अश्रीपोमी', and those of the new-moon sacrifice (दशवाग) to be ' इन्द्राज़ी '. Now if we lay stress on the प्रकरण of दर्शपूर्णमास, we shall have to recite both the मन्त्रs at the time of the new-moon sacrifice as well as the full-moon sacrifice. That is, in the दश्याम we shall have to recite the whole of the second Heat along with that part of the first men which remains after dropping the word ' अमीपोमी '; and in the पूर्णमासपाग we shall have to recite the whole of the first मन्त्र along with that part of the second gas which remains alter dropping the word ' इन्ह्राजी '. But in this process

both the gras will have to be construed by us without any direct statement to that effect. For this construing we shall have to assume, on the strength of the gard, that both the gas form one sentence (बाक्य). Secondly, in the first मन्त्र, which properly refers to अत्रीपोमी, we shall have to assume the लिङ्ग of इन्द्राजी. Thirdly, we shall have to infer a अन् enjoining upon us to perform the act relating to segisfi; and lastly, we shall reach the stage of विनियोग. Thus if we start from the page, we reach the stage of विनियोग through the four steps of प्रकरण, वाक्य, लिख्न and अति. If, on the other hand, the वाक्य is taken into account, the word 'अझीपोमी ' naturally forms a syntactical whole with the remaining part of the first मन्त्र, viz., 'इदं इवि...अकाताम्', and we reach the stage of विनियोग through the three steps of वाक्य, लिङ्क and अति. Thus प्रकृत्य being more remote than बाक्य from विनियोग, the वाक्य sets eside the प्रकरण. It is then ascertained that the first are is subsidiary only to the quiquequi and the second only to the salutu.

Here it may incidentally be told that the दर्शपूर्णमास sacrifice is a primary form of the sacrifice or an archetype (मकृति) in which all the subsidiaries of the rite are stated; while 'सीयं', 'रेबन' etc., are called 'modifications' (विकृति) where all the subsidiaries are not stated, and some that are not stated find their place in the विकृतिs by the rule of transfer (चोदक, अतिदशे) from the मकृति or arche-

type; that is, the subsidiaries belonging to the प्रकृति are transferred to the विकृतिs for their performance. The rule of transfer (अतिरेश) formulated by the मीमांस्क्र has, as we have already seen, been used on a wider basis in grammar and other शास्त्र.

(iv) yeary (context, topic) is defined as 'interdependence' or mutual expectancy of sentences. In other words, sentences mutually expectant (明朝實) of each other for the purpose of completing their unified sense make up a gazy. Just as words in one sentence are expectant of one another for yielding a complete and unified sense of themselves, so several sentences in a yearn are expectant of one another so as to yield a complete and unified sense of themselves. This mutual expectancy of sentences is called a grave. The प्रस्ता may, therefore, correspond to a paragraph or a section on a particular subject. For instance, in the प्रकरण of दर्शपर्भमास there are sentences, (1) ' दर्शपूर्णमासाभ्यां स्वर्गकामो यवेत ' (One desirous of heaven should bring it into being by means of इश्वंपण्यात) and (2) 'समिधो यजति' (He should bring it into being by means of the effig sacrifice), which are connected with the प्रयास, the subsidiary of दर्शपूर्णमास. Both these sentences are expectant of each other. The first sentence has the expectancy as to how earl is to be brought into being (क्यंमावाकाला, उपकारकाकाला), and it is fulfilled by the second sentence; while the second sentence has the expectancy as to what is

to be brought into being by means of the समित्र sacrifice (साध्याकाक्षा, उपकार्यकाक्षा), and it is fulfilled by the first sentence which indicates the fruit 'रवी'. Thus both these expectant sentences form the प्रकरण of दर्शपूर्णमास, of which the दर्शपूर्णमासिविधि laid down by the first sentence is principal (अद्भिन्), to which the प्रयावविधि laid down by the second sentence is subordinate (अद्भ).

The प्रकरण (topic, context) is stronger than स्थान (proximity or position). For instance, in the प्रकरण of राजस्य sacrifice many sacrifices like प्रायागड and सोमयागड have been prescribed. Among them is mentioned a सोमयाग called 'अभिपेचनीय' in the proximity (संनित्य) of which acts like gambling etc., are stated in the sentences ' अहैर्दीव्यति, राजन्यं जिनाति. श्रीनःश्रेपमाख्यापयति '[He should play at dice, he should conquer kings, he should cause the legend of garany to be told]. As these acts occur in the proximity of the अभिषेचनीय, it appears at first sight that they are the subsidiaries of the अभिषेचनीय But the अभिषेचनीय being a modification (विकृति) of क्योतिहोस् has no expectancy about the procedure (क्यमाबा-काञ्चा); for, the subsidiaries from the प्रकृति ' न्योतिष्टोम ' are to be transferred to the fapid, and thus no expectancy (आकाङ्का) is left. On the other hand, the विधि " राजा स्वाराज्यकामो राजस्येन यजेत = राजस्येन यागेन स्वाराज्यं भाववेत् " [A king desirous of heaven's sovereignty should bring it into being by means of the समस्य

sacrifice in the actual राजस्यप्रकरण in hand gives rise to the expectancy as to how it [स्वाराज्य] should be brought into being (क्यंमावाकाङ्का); and that expectancy is satisfied by the sentences 'srat-दीव्यति...आख्यापयति ! again, the expectancy roused by these sentences as to what should be brought into being (साध्याकाङ्धा) is satisfied by 'स्वापाच्य-कामो ... पनेत '. Both of them thus depending upon each other form a secon. On the strength of the actual प्रकृत्य, therefore, the acts like gambling etc., become the subsidiaries of the रावस्य and not of the अधियेचनीय on the strength of their proximity (स्थान). If प्रकरण is taken into account, we can reach the stage of विनियोग through प्रकरण, बाक्य, लिक्न and श्रति; while if we pay attention to says, we add one more step and reach the stage of विनियोग through स्थान, प्रकरण, बाक्य, लिए and अति. Thus प्रकरण being nearer to विनियोग than Fur is stronger than the latter.

(ए) स्थान (position) means common location (देशसामान्य) or order of succession (क्रम). It is of two kinds: common location according to the text (पाठसादेश्य) and common location according to the performance (अनुष्ठानसादेश्य), पाठसादेश्य is again subdivided into 'the text according to number' (यशसङ्ख्यपाठ) and 'text according to proximity' (संनिधिपाठ). This स्थान is stronger than 'name' or a word which conveys the etymological sense (समास्या). In the इश्रमुणेमासमूक्यण there is a section,

which gives information about 'पुरोडाश', and is, therefore, called 'पौरोडाशिक ', 'पौरोडाशिक ' (related to the पुरोहाश) is a name(समाख्या), which is etymologically formed by applying a तदितमत्वय to the word 'पुरोडाबा'. That section also mentions giangages (vessels for milk and curds) and प्रोडाशपात्र (implements like the pestle, morter etc., required for the gdista). The same section again gives a मन्त्र about the purification of the vessels, as 'शुन्धध्वं दैव्याय कर्मणे' (O vessels, become purified for the divine rite). Here we have to decide whether the सानाय्यपात्रs are to be purified with this मन्त्र or the पुरोहाशपात्रड. If we rely on the समाख्या of the word 'पौरोडाशिक', the मन्त्र will have to be used while purifying the simpaquas as well as the प्रोडाशपालड, as they all occur in the same पौरोडाधिक section. But if the position or common location of the मन्त्र is taken into consideration, we find that the arm occurs in the proximity of the सानाय्यपालs. It should, therefore, be used in connection with the purification of the सोनास्वपात्रs and not of the पुरोहाशपात्रs, though it occurs in the पौरोडाग्रिक काण्ड. The स्थान is evidently nearer to the विनियोग than the समाख्या. This actual position or proximity of the upp is more directly known by us than the position of it inferred from समास्या, स्थान is, therefore, a stronger means of proof than expequ.

(vi) समाल्या or 'name' is the last and the weakest of all the six means of proof. As already

explained, surequis a word which depends upon etymology for its meaning. This expect becomes subordinately useful for determining which parts of the sacrifice are to be performed by whom. For instance, the समाख्याड ' हीत्रम्, ' 'आध्वर्यवम् ' and ' ओहात्रम ' help us in settling respectively that some parts of the sacrifice are to be performed by the होतृ priest of the ऋग्वेद, some by the अध्वयु priest of the बजुबेंद and some by the उद्गान priest of the सामवेद. The समाल्या ' होत्चमस ' shows that the सोम juice contained in the चमस (cup) is to be drunk by the होत priest. In other words, we can determine the विनियोग (application) that the होन् becomes the subsidiary to the drinking of the gin from the cup. It should be remembered that the enguy is to be adopted as the last resort when other means of proof fail. The मीमांसा has rightly given the last place to expeq in the matter of interpretation. This principle is of far-reaching importance deserves to be well borne in mind by the etymologists and philologists who deal with detached words bereft of their relation in a sentence paragraph. A somewhat detailed treatment of the above six प्रमाणs of the विनियोगविधि is purposely adopted here on account of their linguistic importance and general application to other शासुड. Besides, the विनियोगविधि, which shows the relative value of the principal and subsidiary, is a distinctive feature of the methodology of the

भीमांसका. It may also be added that the विनियोगविधि is calculated to guide a teacher in determining the principal and subordinate parts of a text to be interpreted and in giving him a proper perspective of the subject-matter which he is preparing for presentation.

(3) Now the प्रयोगविधि or injunction of performance is a natural development of the वितियोगविधि, since what is determined to be subordinate and principal by the विनियोगविधि is actually performed or brought into practice according to the प्रयोगविधि by following the order of succession in the various acts of the sacrifice, so that the speedy performance of the acts enjoined should be facilitated without unnecessary delay. The प्रयोगविधि thus tells us how the subordinate and principal acts are to be combined into an organic whole and what subsidiaries are to succeed what according to their order of succession. When several sentences indicative of the principal and subordinate acts are combined, there emerges from them one syntactical whole or महावाक्य, the combined meaning of which is the प्रयोगविधि itself. If this syntactical whole is to be maintained, the various acts of [a sacrifice will have to be performed briskly or without delay. For, delay in action prevents us from realizing the unification or association that a particular thing is connected with another, and the syntactical unity pre-determined by us is spoiled. It is for this reason that connected or uninterrupted performance

of acts in their definite order (新日) is enjoined by the प्रयोगविधि, which is, therefore, also defined as the all laying down the order of the subsidiaries. This order is a particular kind of extension (विविविधेष) or the sequence (पोबोपय) of acts, according to which we know which thing should succeed which. In this प्रयोगविधि too there are six means of proof which are instrumental in deciding the order in which various acts of the sacrifice should be performed. They are : (1) अतिकम or order determined by direct enunciation, (ii) अर्थेक्रम or order determined by purpose, (iii) पाउक्रम or order in the text, (iv) स्थानक्रम or order according to position, (v) मुख्यक्रम or order based on the principal matter, and (vi) 知识表明 or order by procedure. Here also each preceding qqqq prevails over the succeeding one. This discussion of order is also calculated to be helpful to teachers in deciding which step in their actual procedure of teaching should follow which.

(4) The अधिकारविधि (injunction of qualification) is that which indicates the ownership or the right of enjoyment of the fruit resulting from an action. The sentence ' बजेत स्वर्गकामः' is an example of this विधि, because it intimates that the man desirous of the fruit 'heaven' becomes the enjoyer of it by means of a sacrifice. Corresponding to the distinction of कमैन्ड into काम (performed with a special desire), नैमिनिक (occasional) and निल् (daily) the

three qualifications (अधिकार) required by the performer of a rife are respectively wearner (the desire for a particular result or fruit), निम्चिनिश्चय (ascertainment of the occasion) and शुचिविहितकाल-बीविल (leading a pure life and performing duties at the fixed times.) The three more qualifications added to these are विद्या (study and knowledge of the Veda), अग्रिमचा (the laying of fires) and सामध्ये (competency). As every शास discusses the particular qualifications of the person resorting to it, so it is natural for the प्रमीमांसा to enumerate the qualifications required by the performer of a rite. Although the above qualifications are special to the पूर्वमीमांसा, yet leaving aside their particular senses, if we take their general senses, it will not be too far-fetched to apply those qualifications except 'अविमचा ' to any person given to worthy pursuits and to a teacher. A teacher too should have a desire to achieve a definite result, should ascertain special occasions, should lead a pure life and perform his duties at the fixed times, should possess a sound knowledge of his subject and have competency to impart it to others.

So far we have dealt with the four chief classes of विधि, viz., उत्पत्तिविधि, विनियोगविधि, प्रयोगविधि and अधिकार-विधि. The विधि is again classified on a different basis into अपूर्वविधि, नियमविधि and परिसङ्ख्याविधि, all of which tell us whether we should execute a command in them wholly or partially. The following verse describes the general nature of these three विधिs—

विधिरत्यन्तमप्राप्तौ, नियम: पाक्षिके सित । तत्र चान्यत्र च प्राप्तौ परिसङ्ख्येति गीयते ॥

"The विधि or अपूर्वविधि (a new injunction) occurs when something is wholly non-existent; the नियमविधि (a restrictive injunction) occurs when something is partially non-existent; and the परिसङ्घाविधि (exclusive specification) occurs when, out of two alternatives equally existent or present, one is excluded." In other words, the angilate lays down something new (अपूर्व) which is not established by any other means of proof. When, out of many means, by which an action can be performed, one means is naturally present, while the other is not present, the निवमविधि restricts us to the use of that other which is not present. 'बीहीनवहन्ति' (He should beat the rice-grains) is an example of the नियमविधि. This sentence is not meant to indicate that the husk of grains can be removed by beating. It being a matter of common experience that when the rice-grains are beaten, the husks are removed from them, and when they are not beaten, the husks are not removed, a special sentence laying down this injunction is unnecessary. The real purpose of the sentence is, however, to restrict us to a means which is not present. We can remove the husk either by नखबिदलन (separating with finger-nails) or by अवहनन (beating). Out of these two means if we suppose that the alternative of नखिदलन is naturally present (पसे पास) and that of अवहनन is not present (पशे अप्राप्त), then the sentence fore, the example of the नियमविधि.

When two alternatives are simultaneously present (बुगपत्मात), the परिसङ्ख्याविधि excludes one of them. 'पञ्च पञ्चनखाः भस्याः [Five five-nailed animals are to be eaten] is the stock example of परिसङ्ख्या (exclusion). It is not meant to lay down the eating of five-nailed animals. For, it is generally found that men are naturally inclined without any discrimination to eat the flesh of all animals, fivenailed ones (पञ्चनल) as well as those that do not possess five nails (अपञ्चनख). Both the eating of five-nailed animals (पञ्चनसम्बण) and the eating of those without five nails (अपञ्चनखमञ्जूण) are, therefore, simultaneously present owing to the natural inclination (रागत: प्राप्त) of man. As पञ्चनसमक्षण is not wholly nonexistent (अञ्चल अप्राप्त), and as no new injunction is necessary when something is done out of natural inclination, the sentence ' पञ्च पञ्चनखाः भक्ष्याः ' cannot be an example of अपूर्वविधि, Nor is it an example of नियमविधि, because पञ्चनसमक्षण is not partially nonexistent (पंच अत्राप्त), but is wholly existent or established (प्राप्त). Human beings allay their hunger by means of पञ्चनलम्सम् as well as अपञ्चनलम्सम्, which both are simultaneously existent or present (बुनक्सात). According to the principle of residuum (परिशेष), therefore, the sentence 'पञ्च पञ्चनसाः मध्याः ' must be an example of परिसद्ख्या (exclusion). This fifty then wants us to desist from

अपञ्चनखम्बण which is other than पञ्चनखम्बण, The eating of five-nailed animals (पञ्चनसमझण) has thus to be understood as equivalent to the exclusion of the animals that are not five-nailed (अपञ्चनसम्बद्धाः निवृत्ति). Now 'पञ्च पञ्चनलाः मध्याः' is an example of implied exclusion (लासणिकी परिसङ्ख्या), as the word 'ga' (only) is not directly stated in it; while 'अत्र हि एव आवपन्ति ' (Here only, i. e., in the three verses of quantities alone they should insert extra words) is an example of expressed exclusion (श्रीती . परिसङ्ख्या), as the word ' एव ' is directly stated in it. The implied exclusion involves three faults, viz., अतहानि (abandonment of the direct sense), अअत-कल्पना (assumption of what is not directly stated), and singly (rejection of what is already existing or present). For instance, in understanding the sense ' अपञ्चनसमञ्जानवृत्ति ' from ' पञ्चनसमञ्जा' we abandon the direct sense of प्रानुखम्भूज्ञ, assume another sense ' अपजनसम्भागितृति ! which is not directly stated, and also reject ' अपञ्चनलम्भण ' which is already established by natural inclination. The sital afterwar in ' अत्र होवावपन्ति ', however, involves only one fault of giggs on account of the direct statement of " gg '. It is necessary to understand these details of the नियमविधि and परिसङ्ख्याविधि, as both of them are general rules of interpretation widely used in other mas, particularly in grammar and law.

After this treatment of the चित्रि, the first main division of the Veda, it is now advisable to turn to

the remaining four main divisions of the same, viz., मन्त्र, नामधेय, निषेध and अर्थवाद, which are subordinately helpful to the faft in one way or another. Of them the pas or sacred formulas remind us of the meanings of things connected with sacrifices. When this is their visible purpose (इष्ट्रफल्कर), it is improper to assume an invisible result (अहम-करपना) of their recitation. As every part of the Veda is considered to be purposeful or meaningful, the 'Names' (नामधेय) which are words (proper nouns) rather than sentences, are also useful in making definite the character of a sacrifice to be performed. The मीमोस्ड purposely accept this division (i. e. नामचेष) of the Veda for four reasons : (1) There is the danger of resorting to possessive implication (मत्वर्थ-लक्षणाभ्य); (ii) there is the danger of adopting the syntactical split (बाक्यमेदभय) or the fault of understanding a single sentence as enjoining two different things at once; (iii) there is another scriptural text prescribing an accessory (तलक्षास); and (iv) there is comparison with another thing (तद्व्यपदेश). The मीमांसक have exhibited subtle analytical power in the discussion of these four reasons. It may incidentally be pointed out that the avoidance of the वाक्यमेर is an important principle used in law.

The fourth division of the Veda is 'निषेष' (a negative injunction or prohibition) which is a sentence turning a man away from some action that causes an undesirable result. Just as a

विधि like ' युवेत स्वर्गकाम: ' suggests that a man performing a sacrifice would achieve a desirable result, and impels (प्रवर्तपति) him to perform the sacrifice, so a निषेष like 'न कळज्जं भसपेत्' (Oneshould not eat meat stuck with a poisoned arrow) suggests that the eating of poisoned flesh leads to an undesirable result and turns a man away from (निवर्तपति) that action. The मीमांसक have shown much insight into language by discussing whether the negative word ' नज ' is to be construed with the potential affix or the root-meaning or a noun; and this topic has much bearing on the interpretation of sentences. In the निषेष the negative word ' नज ' is generally to be construed with the potential affix which expresses मान्ना. It has already been shown that the verb is the principal element in a sentence and that in the verb too the potential affix expressing भावना is more important. It has also been seen that a verb contains two elements, viz., the root-meaning (बाल्बर्य) and the meaning of the affix (प्रत्यमार्थ). The rootmeaning 'eating' (मञ्जूष) in the verb 'मञ्जूत " is subordinately related as an instrument (साधन). to the principal element, i.e., the affix which expresses भावना, as the verb ' भक्षवेत् ' is equivalent' to ' भक्षणेन इष्ट भावपेत '. Thus the root-meaning ' भक्षण ' which is subordinate (उपस्यानीभूत) cannot be construed with ' नज ', since a subordinate word cannot be construed independently of the principal

word with some other word. For instance, in the sentence ' राजपुरुपमानय ' (Bring the king's man) the subordinate word ' राजन ' cannot be construed with ' squay' independently of the principal word 'पुरुष'. The 'नज ' therefore, cannot go with the root-meaning ' yay ' which is subordinate, but can go with the potential affix which is principal. Again, as we have already seen, the affix contains two elements, आख्यातल (general verbality) and हिड्ल (optativeness), which both express respectively आर्थी भावना and शाब्दी भावना; and the आर्थी भावना is subordinately related as साज (object) to the शाब्दी भावना which is principal. The 'नज़ 'is, therefore, to be construed with the principal element ' शाब्दी भावना ' or प्रवर्तना and not with the subordinate element 'आर्थी भावना '. Now the nature of 'न्त्र' is such that it expresses the opposite of the thing with which it is logically connected. Just as in the sentence 'बटो नाहित' (There is no jar) the word 'a' connected with 4 sifed ' shows the ' non-existence of the jar ' which is opposite to 'the existence of the jar,' so the • নুজ ' connected with the ভিত্ত (the optative) expressing प्रतेना (instigation) shows निवर्तना (the turning away from), which is opposite to the प्रवर्तना of the लिङ्ये, Thus if the sense of an injunctive sentence (विधिवाक्य) is प्रवर्तना (instigation to an action), the sense of a prohibitive sentence (निपेष-वाक्य) is नियतेंगा (turning away from an action).

Hence, when 'नज्' in a sentence goes with the optative affix, the sentence is called 'प्रतिषेत्र,'

But two difficulties come in the way of construing ' नज़ ' with the affix. The first difficulty occurs in the case of some vows to be performed; and the second is that if a sentence is understood as a निषेष, there is the contingency of an option (विकल्प) which is a fault. In order to remove these difficulfies the ' नम ' will have to be construed either with the root-meaning or with a noun, in which case the sense of the negative is called a quaje (exclusion). As regards the first difficulty, it may be said that a with has to practise some vows, of which 'नेशतोद्यन्तमादित्यम् ' (He should not look at the rising sun) is one. Now a vow (अत) is a thing that is to be practised or that partakes of the character of a positive command; while the figure is simply fager which cannot be practised. The ' नज् ' has, therefore, to be construed in the case of this qual with the root-meaning 'looking at' (इंक्षण). We then get the sense 'इंक्षणामाद ' (absence of looking at), which by implication (इस्त्रण) means ' ईञ्चणाभावसङ्कलप' (the resolution of not looking at). The sentence ' नेक्षेतोद्यन्तमादित्यम् ' is then equivalent to ' आदित्यविषयकानीक्षणसङ्कल्पेन...भावयेत् ' [He should bring into being ... by the resolution of not looking at the sun]. Here, we have the expectancy of ereq (object) which is fulfilled by the supplementary sentence (बाक्यरोप) ' एतावता इ एनसा वियुक्तो भवति ' | He becomes free from so much sin]. This वाक्यतेष, which yields the sense of 'पायस्य' (removal of sin) and becomes the साध्य, forms a syntactical whole with 'तेक्षेतोयन्तमादित्यम्', and the whole then means 'आदित्यविषयकानीवणसङ्ख्येन पायस्य मान्येत्' [He should bring into being the removal of sin by observing the vow of not looking at the sun]. This becomes, therefore, a पर्युद्ध or a positive command tinged

with negation.

The second difficulty is the contingency of an option in the case of understanding a sentence as a निवेश. In order to avoid this contingency it is sometimes necessary to resort to a प्युदान, the instance of which is found in 'बन्तियु ये—बनामहं करोति, नानुपानेषु (ये—बनामहं करोति)' [He should say 'ये बनामहं 'ते in the बाज्याड but not in the अनुपानड]. It has been settled by the मीमांस्ट्र that the होत्-priest should first utter the words 'ये बनामहें ' at the places where oblations are to be offered after the अन्यर्थ 's command 'यन', and then he should recite the बाज्याड (sacrificial formulas). Again, it has been stated that the words 'ये बनामहें ' are not to be added before the मन्नड for the अनुपानड (three offerings of ghee).

Here the prima facie view is that the first part 'यविषु वे-यवामहं करोति' expresses a विधि; while if in the latter part 'नानुयाबेषु (वे-यवामहं करोति)' 'न' is construed with the affix in 'करोति' (understood), 'नानुयाबेषु' etc. expresses a प्रतिषेष, which prohibits what is already established (पात) by the विधि in the

first part. This stays then means: "He should not say ' वे यजामहे ' in the अनुयाबड." As we have here two scriptural texts of equal force opposing each other, there is an option (विकल्प) as to what is to be done and no annulment (बाज). For, a बाज occurs when a passion (for the murder of a signo, for instance) is already existent (प्राप्त) and when it is annulled by a stronger scriptural passage (as, ब्राह्मणो न इन्तब्य:). As this is not the case with the विधि and निषेध in 'यनतिषु ये-यनामहं करोति, नानुयानेषु , i. e., as both the विधि and निषेष are of equal force, we should take this as an option (विद्रह्य) instead of and. Again, two scriptural passages which are mutually independent can annul each other; and then a बाध would take place. But the निषेत्र in 'न अनुयावेषु ' is dependent on the preceding विधि in ' यज्ञतियुः ' etc., for the completion of its own meaning and for the establishment of what is prohibited. When, therefore, one scriptural passage prohibits what is laid down by another scriptural passage, only विकल्प is possible and not वाध, as both the passages are authoritative.

The conclusive view is that it is not proper to assume a विकल्प here, as both the scriptural texts become unauthoritative thereby. In other words, if it is held that 'यजित्यु ये—यजामहं करोति' is true, the words—'ये—यजामहं करोति' used with 'यानुंपालेपु' become unauthoritative. A विकल्प should, therefore, be avoided as far as possible, as it involves faults. Again,

in the case of a fagey we have to assume a double unseen result (अपूर्व), one for a विधि and another for a प्रतिषेष, since the विधि and the प्रतिषय in the present instance would be for the good of man(पुरुषार्थ) and not for the sake of the rite (कलवर्ष). 'नानुयानेषु य-युवामहं करोति ' should, therefore, be understood as व पर्वेदास (exception) by construing ' सञ् ' with 'अनुयानेष्', and not as a प्रतिषेष (negation), where 'न्जू ' is construed with the affix in 'stild'. When we thus resort to पर्युदास and construe 'नज्' with 'अनुयाजेषु', the words 'न + अनुयालेषु ' are by स्क्षणा equivalent to अनुयाजन्यतिरिक्तेषु '(to the exclusion of the अनुयाजड), and the whole sentence ' नानुयानेषु ये-पनामहं करोति ' means ' अनुयाजन्यतिरिक्तेषु यजतिषु ये-यजामह इति मन्त्रं कुर्यात् (He should use the मन्त्र 'ये यजामहे ' with the याज्याड which are excluded by the aggress). Thus when we take this as a usque, the opposition between two scriptural texts of equal force occuring in the face does not arise. In this प्युदास ' वे-यजामह ' is not a new विधि, but is simply an allusion (अनुवाद) to what has been laid down in the general rule ' वजतिषु ये-यजामहं करोति ': and this अनुवाद is resorted to only in order to make an exception in the case of the saggras.

It is thus found that a quare (exclusion) restricts a general rule. An square (restriction) too does the same; yet the difference between both of them is that a quare restricts a general rule only to what is other than the particular case stated in the पर्युद्धातः, while an उपवेद्धार restricts a general rule only to the particular case that is stated in the उपवेद्धार itself. Again, a पर्युद्धात is negative, while an उपवेद्धार is positive. For instance, the four-fold division of प्रोद्धाश mentioned in the general rule 'प्रोद्धाशं चतुर्यो करोति' (He divides the प्रोद्धाश into four parts) is restricted only to the 'आग्रेय प्रोद्धाश' owing to the particular sentence, 'आग्रेय चतुर्यो करोति' (He divides the आग्रेय प्रोद्धाश into four parts), which is an example of उपवेद्धार.

In spite of the contingency of the faulty विकल, we have sometimes inevitably to resort to प्रतिवेध (prohibition) rather than to पर्युदास, पर्युदास is an exception to प्रतिवेष; but the प्रतिवेध resorted to in such a case is an exception to the प्युदास, i.e., प्रतिप्रसव (an exception to an exception). In the স্বারিষ্য (a form of sulfagin sacrifice) there are two sentences, of which 'अतिरात्रे पोडशिनं एहाति ' (At the अतिरात्र he should take the पोडशिन cup) is a विधि, and 'नातिराने भोडशिनं रहाति ' (At the अतिसन्न he should not take the पोडशिन cup) is a निपेध, A विकल्प which is faulty is, therefore, contingent here. If it is to be avoided, we have to resort to पर्युदास, in which 'नज़' will have to be construed with either of the nouns 'अतिरावे' or 'धोडशिनम्'. Then the second (negative) sentence will respectively assume the form of either 'अतिराजव्यतिरिक्ते पोहशिनं गृहाति' (He should take the पोडशिन् at the sacrifices other than the अतिरास)

or 'अतिरात्रे पोडशिव्यतिरिक्तं रहाति' (He should take the cups other than the पोडशिन् at the अतिरात्र). As both these meanings are quite contrary to the विवि ' अतिरात्रे पोडशिनं यहाति', it is impossible to assume a पर्युदास here. Hence, by construing as with the usuals the sentence ' नातिरात्रे पोहशिनं ग्हाति ' must inevitably be taken to be a प्रतिषेष (prohibition) of 'अतिराल पोडग्रिन रहाति ', although there is the contingency of विकल्प in this. It has already been shown that a gady like 'न कळाजे मक्षयेत्' prohibits that which leads us to an undesirable result (अन्धे). In other words, this प्रतिपेश is meant for the good of man (पुरुषार्थ). the प्रतिपेष of taking the पोडशिन cup does not lead us to an undesirable result, as it is laid down for the sake of the sacrifice (कल्क्ये), and not for the good of man (पुरुषार्थ). The विकस्प, therefore, that has to be admitted on account of this प्रतिपेश is not faulty. There are two classes of विकला, viz., व्यवस्थित (fixed or limited) and अञ्चलियत (unlimited). The fareus which are fixed or which depend upon the will of the agent are not faulty. The nice distinction made by the मीमांसक between प्रतिषेष and पर्युदास or between पर्यदास and उपसंहार, is very useful for interpreting negative sentences in literature in general, and Sanskrit literature in particular. Although the ringers lay more stress on the potential form (南阳陵) of verbs in this respect, the principles of विधि and निषेत्र may generally be made applicable to the verbs in the indicative mood also.

The fifth and last division of the Veda is अर्थवाद (explanatory or supplementary statement) which praises a thing laid down by a lafe and censures a thing prohibited by a निपेच. Although the अर्थवाहड in the signis consist of lengthy and imaginary stories, legends, etc., and sometimes contain descriptions of impossible or fanciful things, they are not meaningless. For, instead of understanding them in their literal or primary sense, we have to interpret them by resorting to implication(लक्षणा). Being taken in its implied sense an अर्थवाद is connected with the बाब्दी-भावना (verbal energy) in a विधि or निषेष, and praising or censuring a thing contained in either of them, it expresses the manner of performance (इतिकतंब्यता) of a rite. The अयंबाद thus becomes meaningful when it forms a syntactical whole (एकवाक्यता) with the विधि or निषेच laid down in the Veda. For instance, 'वार्युवे क्षेपिश देवता' (वायु is indeed the swiftest deity) is an अर्थवाद, the purpose of which is not merely to denote its own primary sense, but to become meaningful by praising the thing enjoined in the विधि ' वायन्यं श्वतमालमेत मृतिकाम: ' (One desirous of prosperity should sacrifice a white animal to any), and by subordinately forming a syntactical whole with that विधि which is principal. The avairs implying censure, of course, form a syntactical whole with a निषेत्र. As thus अर्थवादs indirectly impel a man to perform an action stated in a विधि, or turn him away from a thing prohibited in a निषेष, they are more connected with the शाब्दी भावना than with the आर्थी भावना.

अर्थवाद is of three kinds: गुणवाद (a figurative statement), अनुवाद (a corroborative statement) and भ्तार्थवाद (a statement of the past).

- (i) गुजवाद, taken in its primary sense, contradicts some other means of proof; but, understood in its secondary or figurative sense, it implies similarity or identity of a quality. In the sentence 'आदिशो यूपः' (The sacrificial post is the sun) the identity between 'आदिश' and 'यूप' contradicts Perception (प्रवश्यमाण); yet both of them are said to be identical on account of the common quality of 'brightness'. The sense of the sentence is that the sacrificial post is as bright as the sun; and this sense is obtained by adopting स्थणा (implication).
- (ii) अनुवाद reiterates or corroborates what is already existing or what is ascertained by other means of proof like Perception. The sentence ' अग्निहिमल भेपनम् ' (Fire is an antidote against cold) is in praise of आग्न; yet, it is the statement of an already existing fact that fire protects us from cold.
- (iii) भूताथैवाद neither contradicts other means of proof nor corroborates them, but simply states what is said to have taken place in the past. The sentence 'इन्द्रो द्वाप व्यवस्थान्यत् '(इन्द्र lifted his thunder-bolt against वृत्र) is an instance of this variety.

An avigg may comprise a sentence or passage in the form of a story, description, allegory, etc.; yet it is always to be formed into a synthetic whole with the ale. The wider meaning of this principle may be understood that subordinate parts of a sentence or passage in literature and signs are always to be unified with the main sentence or idea of an author. As in such sentences or main ideas the intention or purport of an author (व्यत्वालयं) is centred, the various concrete, illustrative, artistic and persuasive ways of expression adopted by him to arrive at the main idea should, in interpretation, be naturally construed with his purport, so that the synthetic meaning of that author would be clearly grasped. It is noteworthy here that in consonance with the principle of अर्थवाद the अभिहितान्वय school of the figier, with this synthetic purpose in view, emphasizes the तालपेंद्रित, which will be dealt with later on.

We have so far given a summary of the most important principles of interpretation propounded by the finites, leaving all the minute details. Those principles have been summarized here in such a manner that they might be seen in their proper perspective and their importance from a new point of view might be realized. There is, of course, twofold purpose in giving this summary. The first purpose is to show how the finites too in their particular science have followed the general principles of methodology. In other words, it is

shown here how the fluides determine the subordinate and principal elements of their cult, how they exhibit distinctness and unity of purpose (i. e. भूम), how they unite the subordinate and principal elements with that purpose and how they organize all the elements into a synthetic whole or a system. In this process they first classify their subject-matter into minor and major parts and then develop it into a synthetic whole. As thus the methodology of the siliniers is analytico-synthetic like that of the other spas, it evidently deserves a prominent place in the survey of general methodology. The second purpose is to present in a nutshell the principles of interpretation belonging to the मीम्बंबद्धs, as they have substantially contributed to the general linguistics and merit wider application. It is actually found that almost all the above laws of interpretation, particularly those of विनियोगविधि, निषेष, निषम, परिसङ्ख्या and अर्थवाद, which lay due strees on the sentence-aspect of language. i. e., which make individual words subordinate to a sentence, have been widely adopted by the areas like व्याकरण, साहित्य, वेदान्त, धर्मशास्त्र etc. Those laws of interpretation which are the best parts of the मीमांस are, therefore, of permanent value and are worthy of preservation. Particularly, when we take into account the nature of Sanskrit language, as formerly dealt with, we can realize the utility and importance of those principles in the matter of the scientific and synthetic exposition of sentences in language. We have also shown above at different places how those principles, looked at from a new point of view, supply a sound basis for language teaching; and in this respect they compare favourably with the Western principles of the study and teaching of language. It has been again shown how the sprinciples of methodology apply in a more or less degree to the science of teaching in general and languageteaching in particular. Other linguistic implications of those principles will again be brought out in detail later on at different places according as there is an occasion for their exposition. Suffice it to say here that in union with the theories of the न्याय about प्रमाण (means of proof) and those of the व्याकरण about पर (a word), those of the मीमासक about बाक्य (a sentence) give a completely scientific turn to the methods of expounding literature and sigs.

VII. THE COMPARATIVE METHOD.

Employment of the theories of प्र, वाक्य and प्रमाण in the scientific exposition of शास्त्रs and literature necessarily presupposes a comparative study of the व्याक्रण, the गीमांसा, and the व्याय, the sciences of general interest and application; and this will vouch for the existence of comparative, critical and scientific

methods in India, although it is denied by the advocates of Western learning. The existence of critical and scientific methods in India has sufficiently been proved in the former parts of this book. Now as regards the 'comparative method ' it may be said that 'Correlation of Studies' has always been the educational policy of the Hindus even from ancient times. On account of the enormous expansion of different branches of sings ancient students had compulsorily to adopt the course of the 'Participation of Labour' in their studies; and hence with specialization in one or two spas they maintained correlation not only with the orthodox but also with the heterodox sness. In spite of this specialization in a particular and every student learnt at least the fundamentals of general शास्त्रs like the व्याकरण, the मीमांसा and the व्याय: and some students studied the principles of the various schools of thought like the वेदान्त, साङ्ख्य, योग, बौद and केन philosophies also. The poet नाण (7th century A. D.) in his इपंचरित makes a direct reference, at the beginning of the third उच्छवास, to the study of the Vedas (वेदाम्यास), sacrificial lore (यशिव्या), exposition of grammar (ब्याकरणब्यास्थान), logic (प्रमाण), मीमांसा (पूर्व-मीमांसा and वेदान्त) and poetical discussion (काञ्यालाप) as the subjects of the ancient curriculum. Another important reference made by him in the staffa is to a Buddhist teacher, named दिवाकरमित्र, who in his hermitage encouraged the tendency of comparative studies of different systems of thought

among his students. † Again, ancient works like the तत्त्वसङ्ग्रह of the Buddhist ग्रान्तरक्षित (8th century A. D.), the पहदरांनसम्बन्ध of the Jain हरिमद्रस्रि (8th century A. D.), and the equipment of the Vaidika माधव or विद्यारण्य (14th century A.D.), which in order to search for Truth present in a nutshell the main tenets of the then existing Indian systems of philosophy, exemplify the tendency of Correlation of Studies among the Hindus and their prominent use of the Comparative Method. Besides, many notable authors of versatile genius, who, after a deep study of various Indian systems, have either written commentaries on them, who have tried to refute systems other than their own, or who have written original works on them, bear testimony to the existence of the Comparative Method in ancient India. Some of those versatile luminaries are शहराचार्य (788 A. D.), बाचस्पतिमिश्र (9th century A. D.), अभिनवग्रस (10th century A. D.), हेमचन्द्र (11th century A. D.), विद्यारच्य (14th century A. D.), awarfford (16th century A. D.) and arrive or नागोबिमङ (18th century A. D.).

[ं] तरम्लानि निषेवमाणैर्वीतरागैराईतैर्मस्करिमः खेतपटैर्मागवतः कापिकै-लोकायतिकैः काणादैरीपनिषैदेरैश्वरकारणिकैर्घमंद्यास्त्रिमः पौराणिकैः सासतन्त्रवैः द्येवैः द्याब्दैः पाञ्चरात्रिकैरम्पैश्च स्वान् स्वान्सिद्धान्ताञ्ज्युण्यद्विश्चन्तपदिश्च प्रत्युच्चरद्विश्च संश्यानैश्च निश्चिन्वद्विश्च व्युत्पादयद्विश्च विवदमानै श्चाम्यस्यद्विश्च शिष्यतां प्रतिपन्नैर्वूरादेवावेद्यमानं...दिखाकरिमन्नमहाश्चीत्।— हर्षचरितम्।

Naturally the Shastris, who study the equator. the मीमांसा, the न्याय, the बेदान्त, the साहित्य, etc., are fully conversant with all those methods relating to पर, बाक्स and प्रमाण; and the denial of these actual facts is a misrepresentation of truth. We must, however, be alive to the fact that some Shastris study only one of those spes and resort to narrow specialization, in which there is little scope for the Comparative Method. Yet generally speaking, many Shastris, having recourse to wider specialization, can be lound even up to the present day. This wider specialization, which is very desirable according to the modern tendency in education, prompts a student to know everything of a few allied subjects and something of other distantly related ones. It can, therefore, be asserted that this modern tendency was not only prevalent in ancient India, but is still observable in the Shastri methods of teaching the smas and literature.

VIII. THE SYNTHETIC METHOD: THE METHODS OF THE वेदान्त.

The most eminent of all the versatile luminaries of ancient India is शहराचार्य, who with a comparative outlook first realized the importance of amalgamating the principles of प्र (अवकरण), बाक्य (पूर्वमीमांखा) and प्रमाण (न्याय) for the exposition of the बेदान्त

philosophy, and who is, therefore, aptly called 'पदवाक्यप्रमाणपारावारपारीण'. He has enriched Sanskrit language with his substantial contribution to the rational or scientific exposition of the Vedic literature in general and of the Upanishadia philosophy in particular, and has immensely influenced later authors. It is, therefore, essential to observe the noteworthy features of the methods of explaining the Upanishadic sentences and the worldly phenomena, as employed by him. We have to limit this treatment to the observation of his methodology rather than to a connected and detailed exposition of his philosophical thought, which will, of course, be taken into account incidentally so as to shed light on his methodology. शहराचार्य, doubtless, admits that the principles of पद, वाक्य and प्रमाण are conducive to a systematic and graded exposition of the agree; yet he never forgets that they are relatively subordinate to the Transcendental Reality and intuitional realization (अनुमन) of the Highest Self (ब्रह्मन्, परमात्मन्) with Whom the Individual Self (बीबासन्) is intrinsically identical. He, therefore, saw with penetrating insight that the main purport (ताल्वे) of the Upanishadic sentences was identity (ऐस्य) or non-duality (अहैत) of the Individual Self and the Universal Self. This main purport of non-duality is corroborated by the Upanishads themselves in the four महावास्प्र of the four Vedas, viz., 'प्रज्ञानं बद्ध ' (Brahman is pure Intelligence), 'अहं ब्रह्मारिम' (I am Brahman), 'तत्वमिं

(Thou art That) and 'अवमात्मा ब्रह्म ' (This Individual Soul is Brahman). In the sentences ' यत्र हि दैवसिव भवति ! (Where there is, as it were, duality), 'सर्वेव सोम्येदमम आसीदेवमेवादितीयम (My dear, this was pure Existence alone in the beginning, one only without a second) the use of the words 'gg' and 'gg' respectively shows that duality is apparent or illusory (मापिक) and emphasizes non-duality as the Ultimate Reality. Sentences like 'द्वितीयाद्वै भयं भवति' (The idea of duality gives rise to fear), 'य उदरमन्तरं कुरते अय तस्य भयं भवति' (Fear overcomes him who finds even a slight difference) lead to the same purport. It must be remembered that शहराचार्य owes this synthetic method to the सत्रकार बादरायण, who first systematized the Upanishadic philosophy in his ARRHAS, and to his great Guru गोहपादाचार्य also, who in his कारिकाड on the माण्डक्योपनिषद showed the main outline of Synthesis. The credit, however, of building an edifice of the अदेत philosophy on this foundation goes to शहराचार्य.

The task that lay before शहरावार्ष was to show syntactical unity (एकबाक्यता) among the apparently contradictory sentences of the Upanishads, and this he successfully achieved by adopting the seven modes of the मीमांस्क † for the purpose of arriving at the purport or synthetic meaning (ताल्ये) of the Upanishads. He, however, asserts against the मीमांस्क that all Upanishadic sentences are directly

[†] उपक्रम, उपसेहार, अस्यास, अपूर्वता, फल, अर्थवाद and उपपत्ति. See pp. 123-124.

or indirectly devoted to the exposition of agg and are in no way subsidiary to Action or Injunction (कमेशेष, विधिशेष). He further says that the goal of an inquiry into an (duty, action) is the attainment of heaven, which is an imaginary entity from the absolute point of view; while the purpose of an inquiry into अल्लन is eternal freedom or highest bliss (मोध, नि:अयस), which can actually be realized as the intrinsic nature of all. The sentences, therefore, that prescribe Action for attaining an imaginary goal must be subordinated to those propounding ब्रह्मन्, the highest goal, according to the principle of the पूर्वमीमांसा itself, viz., 'पळवलंनिधावपळ तद्रम्' (That which is devoid of any result is to be subordinated to that which has a definite result.) Unlike the मीमांसक, शहराचार्य holds that not only injunctive (বিবিষয়ন্দ্ৰ) but also assertive sentences (सिद्धवाक्य) like 'तत्वमसि' which refer to जवान are meaningful and authoritative. As again the Individual Self (बीच) is superimposed (अध्यस्त) upon the Highest Sell through ignorance (अविद्या), his agency (कृतेल) required by the मीमांसक for the performance of Action is also imaginary. It is then found that शहराचार्य accepts the verbal testimony of the अति or revealed texts of the Upanishads as authoritative in regard to the intuitional knowledge of agra, where Reasoning (तर्क, युक्ति) is baffled. From another point of view the Upanishads are the inspired utterances of the ancient sages, who had realized the Highest Reality of परमात्मन. They are, therefore, very valuable for one who is earnestly proceeding on the path to Self-Realization. Hence शहराचार्य thinks that along with अति the intuition (अनुमन) of the Seers of Truth too is authoritative. He, however, does not discard the Logical Method, which should be followed, according to him, without contradiction to the purport of safa. It may be remarked here that few philosophers in India have resorted to the logical method to the extent to which शहराचार्य has resorted to it. The fact that many sentences in his was can be easily transformed into syllogisms testifies to his prominent use of the Logical Method, which he employs while refuting his opponents and convincing them of his conclusions also. Yet he fully recognizes the limitations of the Logical Method or Reasoning in transcendental matters, where safe must be the final authority. Mere reasoning without the help of sta leads us nowhere, since one reasoning is falsified by another, and this process continues ad inlinitum in the absence of any realization of Truth. He, therefore, concludes that Reasoning, which is supported by sta and helps its interpretation, should be adopted in the agra. As reasoning is useful only on the empirical plane (ब्यावहारिक सत्ता), and as in consonance with safe it gradually leads us to the Absolute State (पारमाधिक सता), the Logical Method without being opposed to Transcendental Experience subserves it. शहराचार्य thus harmonizes Reasoning (तर्क, प्रक्ति) with अति and intuition (अनुमन) of Seers." It should be remembered that we see through ignorance (अविद्या, माया) the duality of names and forms of the phenomenal world on the substratum (अधिष्ठान) of the non-dual ser. As the function of all the above supple including the safe is to dispel that ignorance and indirectly to lead an aspirant by the maxim of ' smergez' (the moon on the branch) to the self-luminous agra, they are relatively authoritative on the empirical plane only. The प्रमाणs cannot directly point out the ब्रह्मन् but suggest its all-pervading presence by means of ख्या (Implication). As soon as they dispel ignorance, the self-luminous and manifests itself as one Ultimate Reality, and the function and authority of the unions are over. Here the false ignorance is removed by the gapus which are false from the Absolute point of view, and then agra is realized as ever-present. For, the removal of darkness is itself the attainment of light. Maintaining this position about the प्रमाणड शहनराचार makes use

वाक्यार्थविचारणाध्यवसाननिर्द्वता हि ब्रह्मावगतिर्नानुमानादिप्रमा. णान्तरिर्न्द्वता । स्ट्सु त वेदान्तवाक्येषु जगतो जन्मादिकारणवादिषु तदर्थप्रहणदाद्यायानुमानमपि वेदान्तवाक्याविरोधि प्रमाणं भवल निवायते,
श्रुत्येव च सहायत्वेन तर्कस्याभ्युपेतत्वात् ।...न धर्मजिज्ञासायामिव श्रुत्यादय
एव प्रमाणं ब्रह्मजिज्ञासायां, किन्तु श्रुत्यादयोऽनुमवादयश्च यथासम्भवमिह
प्रमाणम्, अनुभवावसानस्वाद् भ्तवस्तुविषयत्वाच ब्रह्मज्ञानस्य ।

of the ब्याक्त (पद) for deriving words like 'ब्रह्मन्' from the root बृह् (to be great) or for explaining compounds and the कारक relation, of the मीमांस (ब्रह्म) for showing the unanimity of sentences relating to ब्रह्मन्, and of the ब्राम् (प्रमाण) for relating the fallacious arguments of his opponents and constructing a rational and graded system of Adwaita philosophy. This is how he establishes a higher synthesis of the divergent शास्त्र and of the phenomena of the universe.

In addition to the Upanishadic sentences relating to the Ultimate Reality of and there are other Upanishadic sentences referring to कर्मन् (Action), उपासना (meditation, devotion) and the creation of the universe. Although they seem to be opposed to and (supreme knowledge), they are, according to the main purport of the Upanishads, to be construed as subsidiaries or means to जान, which is the main goal. Thus कमेन्, उपासना and योग, mentioned in the Upanishads are, according to शहरराचार्य, designed for the purification and concentration of the mind, in which then the self-luminous and dawns. All activities, all sciences, all ethics of the wil, all diversity of कमन्, उपासना, etc., must, therfore, be graded in such a way that all of them should culminate in the unity of ज्ञान. Thus कर्मन्, उपासना and ज्ञान have been so hermonized by शहराचार्य, that a person desiring Liberation should be led step by step to the Ultimate Reality. ग्रहणुनाई here takes into account the important distinction of प्र विद्या (Higher Knowledge)

and अपरा विद्या (Lower Knowledge) made by the Upanishads themselves (मण्डक १-१-४) and postulates correspondingly the qu or Agin (Higher or unqualified) ब्रह्मन् and अपर or सगुण (Lower or qualified) 期間 according to the purport or synthetic meaning of the Upanishads. He further that the अपराविद्या which propounds means meditation etc., of the अपरव्रान् is a means to the पराविद्या relating to the परब्रह्मन्, as it is found in other spheres too that the description or illustration of concrete things facilitates the understanding of abstract thoughts in the case of ordinary people. He, therefore, purports that the अपराविद्या and अपरव्रद्य which are concrete by nature are useful for ordinary people on the empirical plane (व्यावहारिक सता) in leading them gradually to प्राविद्या and प्रह्मन्. Thus शङ्कराचार्य, the great teacher, postulates two grades of knowledge in the teaching of non-duality so as to suit the capacities or qualifications (अधिकार) of aspirants of different grades. He, therefore, makes provision in his comprehensive system for all grades of people, ignorant as well as intelligent and seekers (सायक) as well as seers (सिंदा) of Ultimate Truth, and hence the popularity of that system in India. Moreover, the system of शङ्गाचार्य gives scope for adaptability to changing circumstances on the phenomenal plane (व्यावहारिक सत्ता) without in any way modifying the fundamental principle of non-duality, which is the eternal substratum of all changing names and forms of the world. Again, instead of being opposed to the modern scientific inventions, which contribute to the worldly prosperity (अन्युद्य), बङ्कराचार्य's system affords full scope for them on the phenomenal plane, if they do not lead us astray to the destruction of humanity through exploitation and aggression, and if they do not delude us as to the main human goal, which is the Realization of the Self (नि:अयस). On the other hand, every such invention, past or future, is a new addition of names and forms (नामस्य) to the former ones, and demonstrates the truth that all this diversity emanates from Unity, which is defined by the बेदाना as 'the intelligent cause of this universe.'

As said above, the Upanishads contain descriptions of the creation and dissolution of the universe, and it is stated in them that नहान् is the intelligent cause of the creation, sustenance and dissolution of the universe in Itself. The sentences ' यतो वा इमानि भृतानि जायन्ते ॰ ' (तैत्ति ॰ ३।१)—' From whom these beings are born, etc. ', ' सर्वक्रमी सर्वकाम: सर्वगन्य' सर्वरसः ¹ (छां॰ ३।१४।२)—' From whom all actions' desires, smells and flavours emanate, 'etc., give us an idea of the relative or qualified (अपर, सगुण) ब्रह्मन . On the other hand, there are Upanishadic sentences which (merely indicate the true nature of बहान् , वड, 'सत्यं शानमनन्तं ब्रह्म' (तै॰ २।१)—'ब्रह्मन् is pure Existence, Intelligence and Infinity,' or those which negate all attributes of ब्रह्मन्, as, 'अञ्चल्यमस्पर्शमरूपम्ब्यम् ' (\$2,3134)-' Which is without sound, touch, form and

decay'. These latter sentences in the Upanishads tell that there is no relativity in जझन्, but that जझन् is Absolute or unqualified. शृङ्गाचार्य, on the basis of such sentences and of the ब्रह्मसूत्र (३१२१११-२१), says from the synthetic point of view that the saft makes this distinction simply for the sake of leading an aspirant from the first to the second by gradual stages, and does not mean that the समुणबहान् is the ultimate reality.* The harmony between such two sets of sind can be established by the hypothesis of Nescience or माया. That is to say, the निगुंण ब्रह्मन् becomes सगुण ब्रह्मन् or इश्वर on account of the limiting adjunct (उपाधि) of Nescience or माया, which is the incomprehensible power of surq Itself, and which, without really being an entity separate from ब्रह्म, is supposed to be different from it. This Nescience, illuminated by the self-luminous man, shows us the imaginary world of names and forms. In other words, সমন্ being associated with the limiting adjunct of Nescience is said to be the cause of the creation of the world, just as the rope associated with the ignorance relating to it is said to create the imaginary snake on it. Thus the world is falsely created, sustained and dissolved by the सतुण ब्रह्मन् or इंश्वर in Himself, just as the snake is

भेदस्योपासनार्थत्वादमेदे तात्पर्यात् । (त्र. स्. मा. ३।२।१२)
 तस्मादेवंजातीयकेषु वाक्येषु यथाश्रुतं निराकारमेव ब्रह्मावधारियत्व्यम् ।
 इतराणि त्याकारवद्ब्रह्मविषयाणि वाक्यानि न तत्प्रधानानि । उपासनाविधि-प्रधानानि हि तानि । त्र. स्. मा. ३।२।१४.

talsely created, sustained and dissolved in itself by the rope. As then the creation, sustenance and dissolution of the world are merely a false appearance or magic transformation (विवर्त) on the substratum of अझन, the causality of अझन and Its name 'इंबर', 'सगुण', etc., are relatively true as long as the worldly phenomena appear, and not Its true nature. Its true nature is, therefore, 'सन्यं शानमनन्तं अझ' or that which negates all attributes. The definition, wherein अझन is relatively described as the imaginary cause of the world, is called 'तरस्य-स्वाण', and the definition, wherein Its true nature is indicated, is called 'स्वल्यल्खा".

As बहान, through the agency of माना, becomes the imaginary cause of creation, so it is also the cause of the dissolution of the world in Itself. It is, therefore, both the efficient cause (निनिचहारण) and the material cause (उपादानहारण) of the universe, just like the spider sending forth and drawing in its threads.† Since बहान appears to be the world to ignorant people by magic transformation (निवर्त) and not by actual transformation (परिणाम), the view of शहरावार्य about causation is different from the परिणामवाद of the सङ्ख्या , who accept the non-intelligent Primeval Matter (पहाति) as the cause and the intelligent पुरुष as different from it. The theory of निवर्त differs also from the आरम्भवाद of the न्यायवैशिवह who accept God as only the efficient cause and the infinitesimal

[†] बयोर्णनाभिः सुबते गृहते च ।-मुं. १'१'७.

atoms, which are different from God, as the material cause. Now if it is supposed that actual transformation (परিणाम) of সভান takes place, the immutability of the Intelligent সভান described by the জুৱি would be falsified, and none would seek to attain It as the eternal Bliss of the Self.

It is noteworthy that the Causal Relation postulated in every science other than the वेदान्त is based upon the distinction of cause and effect. The cause is defined as 'an invariable, unconditional and immediate antecedent of a phenomenon. But there the 'invariability' etc. of the cause, without being actually and definitely perceived, rests on our belief in 'probability'; and even a single fact coming forth as contradictory to that probability frustrates that causation. There is, really speaking, no line of demarcation where the causal state ceases and where the effect begins to appear. In most cases the cause is never directly tangible but is only inferred from the effect. Hence, even though for the convenience of worldly affairs we take the cause to be different from the effect, yet the cause and the effect in their true essence are not different. They are merely names and forms attributed to the same eternal essence. The worldly phenomenon is then a beginningless flux of 'names and forms' where the entecedence of the cause and the sequence of the effect cannot be settled; and the change that appears to take place and that makes us employ the words 'cause and effect' is not at all a change in the inner essence, which is the eternal Existence,

Intelligence and Infinity (सत्यं शानमनन्तम्), but is a change only in names and forms imagined by our mind. This is the reason why शङ्कराचार्य adopts the अनिर्वचनीयख्याति (Theory of inexplicability) or the विवर्तवाद (Theory of illusory transformation) in order to account for the phenomena of the universe; and he accepts the causality of ब्रह्मन् through 'ब्रिवर्त' and that of माया associated with It through परिणाम, It is here interesting to note that the modern scientists cannot definitely explain the relation between electrons and protons, and are gradually being led to rest on ' अनिवंचनीयता ' (inexplicability). It may, therefore, be said that they are ceasing to be Materialists, that the causal relation of things, experimental work, scientific method, induction, etc., are relatively true from the phenomenal standpoint, and that all theories attempting to explain the phenomena of the universe are provisional or relative,—true in the व्यावहारिक सत्ता only. Time and space which are the basis of the causal relation and several provisional theories are merely the subjective musings of the mind. Although the विवर्तवार, postulated by शहराचार्य for explaining the creation and dissolution of the world, as described in the Upanishads, is such a provisional theory, it serves best its purpose of leading the aspirant to the Ultimate Reality of the Absolute. According to this theory the effect is not different from the cause; and hence the world of names and forms which falsely appears in manifold ways upon the unaffected बहान्, its substratum, is not different from sand, just as the snake on the rope is not different from the rope, or the dream-world is not different from the dreaming person.

The world is then an illusion, not in the sense that it is the void (शून्य) or non-entity of the Buddhists, but in the sense that it has no existence independently of age, which is Existence, Intelligence and Infinity. It should be particularly noted that शङ्कराचार्य does not deny the reality of the world on the phenomenal plane. What he means is that the world is relatively real as long as our senses and mind operate, or as long as the cosmic ignorance (समष्टि अशान = माया), which gives rise to it is not removed by the superconsciousness of Absolute Reality, just as a dream is real as long as we have not attained wakefulness. As in the case of the snake appearing on the rope we cannot say whether it exists or does not exist, so in the case of the world too we cannot say whether it exists or does not exist. Since the world is neither existent nor non-existent (सदसद्विलक्षण), we have to conclude that it is inexplicable (अनिवेचनीय). The existence or reality of the world does not belong to itself, but belongs to sara, just as the reality of the snake does not belong to itself but belongs to the rope. This is what शहराचार्य means by the words 'माया' and ' मिथ्या ' which do not mean ' शून्य ' (void, nothing). It must be remembered that an illusory phenomenon appears only on a positive basis and not on a negative non-entity. So the illusory world appears to be real (relatively) on the substratum of the ever-existent अञ्चन. Again, as the relation between the world and अञ्चन cannot be explained either by संयोग (conjunction) or by समन्त्र (inherence), it has to be concluded that it is due to the Super-imposition (अञ्चल) caused by Nescience.

It should not be supposed that the theory of साया and that of Superimposition (अव्यास) arising from it are शहराचार's own fabrications. For references to पाया, express,\$ implied† or through the use of the word ' अविद्या 🥞 can be found in the Upanishads as well as in the ब्रह्मसूब्र. In the ऋषेद the word ' माया ' is used in the sense of 'a wondrous or supernatural power', and in the नासदीयस्क (क. X. 129) the idea of ' अनिवंचनीयता' (inexplicability) is found embedded. In the अयवेदर the word 'माया' is used in the sense of ' magic, ' which further develops into the sense of 'illusion'. गौद्रपादाचार्य, the great Guru of शहराचार्य makes repeated references to ' माया' in the sense of ' illusion ' in his माण्डस्य-कारिका. What शहराचार्य did was that on the basis of these data he synthesized the idea of mig and gave a systematic exposition of it.

^{\$} वृह० २१५११९; प्रका० १११६; खेता. १११० and ४१९-१०; जवासूत्र ११२१३.

र वृत्र राष्ट्राहरू, शायार, प्रायाहर, छांत द्वाहाप्र, धारपाह,

ह ब्र श्रारा के के निर्मात होता है। होता है। होता है। होता है।

This idea can also be corroborated by quoting the empirical experience of every being. Just as every human being says 'I know', so he also says 'I do not know' (अहमज्ञ:, न किञ्चिदवेदियम्). This empirical experience of 'knowing' is the indication of the fact that every being is of the nature of pure Intelligence or Knowledge (चिल्यक्ष); while the experience of 'not knowing', which is somehow associated with 'knowing', and which is really illuminated by pure Intelligence, is the indication of the fact that he is possessed of innate Ignorance. This Ignorance looked at from the individual (व्यष्टि) point of view is called 'अविद्या' and from the collective (समिष्टि) peint of view it is called 'माया' or Cosmic Ignorance. This Ignorance or Nescience makes us superimpose the body, the mind, etc., upon our inward Intelligence and designate it as 'the individual, the knower or the subject ' (जीव, प्रमाता, विषयी). It again makes us superimpose the whole world of names and forms on the external all-pervading Intelligence and call it 'the universe, the known or the object' (बगत्, प्रमेय, विषय). ' Further the subject and his attributes are superimposed on the object and its attributes, or the object on the subject; and thus the Real and the Unreal are coupled together through this inherent Ignorance. The fact, however, is that the pure Intelligence (संविद्), internal and external, or individual and universal, is Unity without a gap. For, no means of knowledge (яни) can connect itself with the knower (प्रमाता) and the

known (ब्रोम्) unless all are essentially one, though they appear to be different from one another on account of illusory limiting adjuncts (उपाधि), Really speaking, the same eternal Intelligence (चित्, संविद्) is, as it were, divided into three modes, viz., प्रमाता, gure and gig by the process of Superimposition (अव्यास). This superimposition is defined by शङ्कराचार्य as, "the false perception, in the form of recollection, of a thing previously seen, in another thing", or "the supposition of a thing in another thing where it does not exist." † While giving this definition of Superimposition शहराचार्व quotes, on the same, the views of other systems of philosophy, viz., the अन्ययाख्यातिवादिन्ड or नैयायिक्ड and माहमीमांसकड, the आत्मस्यातिवादिन्ड or विज्ञानवादी बीद्धड, the अस्यातिवादिन्ड or प्राभाकरमीमांसका and the असल्यातिवादिन्त or शन्यवादी बीद्ध. It will be particularly noted that शहराचार्य here finds with a comparative and synthetic outlook the common feature of all those views, and says that all these systems agree in respect of holding Superimposition to be the assumption of the attributes of one thing in another thing. § He himself resorts, however, to the विवर्तवाद or अनिवंचनीयस्थाति, which is so called because we cannot explain how this phenomenon takes place.

आइ-कोऽवमध्यासो नामेति । उच्यते-स्मृतिरूपः परत्र पूर्व-द्यावमासः । (अध्यासभाष्य).

[†] अध्यासी नाम अतर्रिमस्तद्बुद्धिः। (अ. भा.)-

[🔋] सर्वथापि त्वन्यस्यान्यधमीवभासतां न व्यभिचरति। (अ. भा.)

It will be noted that शहराचार्व adopts the विवर्त-बाद according to the maxim of 'अध्यारोपापबाद' \$ or the method of assumption and negation. The world is first assumed to exist and then negated, as the snake is assumed to exist on the rope and then negated. Strictly speaking, this विवर्तवाद naturally culminates in the अजातिबाद of गीडपादाचार्य. For, false creation or dissolution of the world is equivalent to no creation or dissolution, as the false assumption or negation of the snake on the rope means that the snake is never born or destroyed. The Nescience, the विवर्त or अध्यास resulting from Nescience, and the duality of the world are, however, postulated, like the hypothesis in geometry, according to the ordinary experience or crude understanding of ignorant pupils, simply for the purpose of gradually leading them to the Highest Unity of प्रमातमन्. This method of teaching is strictly in accordance with the कारिकाड of गीडपाद, who purports that the assumed duality of the teacher, the taught and the sne is simply for the purpose of 'instruction', and that it disappears when the Highest Reality is known. § Again, गोडपाद says that the separateness of the Individual Soul and the Universal Soul is only secondary and not primary; for, it is assumed after

^{\$} Read the following verse- " इत्युक्त्वाभिमुखीकृत्य शिष्यं कृषणया गुरु: | अध्यारोपापवादाभ्यां निष्प्रपत्रं प्रपञ्चयन् ॥२९५॥ (सर्ववेदान्तसिद्धान्तसारसङ्गहः)

[§] उपरेक्षादं बादो जाते दैतं न विद्यते । माण्ड्क्यकारिका, १११८.

keeping in view their would-be identity (भविष्युवृत्ति), which is the main purport.† The Upanishadic sentences describing the creation of the world are, according to भीडपाद, meant for the purpose of explaining the identity of the Individual Soul and the Universal Soul. Really there is no duality of any kind. It is said about the विवर्तवाद as follows—

बालान् प्रति विवर्तोऽयं ब्रह्मणः सकलं जगत्। अविवर्तितमानन्दमास्थिताः कृतिनः सदा॥ (Quoted in the अद्वैतब्रह्मानिद्धि)

"The theory that the world is an illusory transformation of sun is resorted to simply for the clear understanding of beginners. The blessed, i. e., the knowers of sun are always full of Unlimited Bliss devoid of illusion."

विद्यारण too says that the language based on duality may be used for the expression and removal of a doubt. With the same view of facilitating the understanding of novices the later वेदान्तिव्ह \$ of the बहैत system have devised the अवस्थादवाद (Theory of Limitation), the आमासवाद (Theory of Resemblance),

^{† (}बीबात्मनोः पृथवत्वं) भविष्यद्कृत्या गौणं तन्मुरूपत्वं न हि युज्यते । मा. का., ३।१४.

[&]quot; ' . . सृष्टियां चोदिताऽन्यथा । उपायः सोऽवताराय नास्ति भेदः कथञ्जन ॥-मां. का. ३।१५.

[§] चोरं वा परिहारो वा कियतां दैतमापया । पञ्चदशी-२।३९.

^{\$} वाचरपतेरवच्छेद आमासो वार्तिकस्य च । सङ्क्षेपशारीरकृतां प्रतिविभविमेह्यते ॥ (सिद्धान्तविन्दु)

and the प्रतिविश्ववाद (Theory of Reflection), all of which are the corollaries of the विवर्तवाद. All these are the different Methods of Exposition (प्रक्रिया), which through easy illustrations aim at carrying the aspirant to the Highest Goal. For, it is said by the वार्तिकहार—

यया यया भवेत्युंसो व्युत्पत्तिः प्रत्यगात्मनि । सा सैव प्रक्रिया क्षेत्रा साध्वी सा चानवस्थिता ॥

-(Quoted in the सिद्धान्तविन्दु)

"That method should be known to be a good one, which would help a man to have a clear grasp of the Inner Self, even though it might be provisional."

Thus the विवर्तवाद, which gives rise to the above methods, is a comprehensive method provisionally adopted by शहरानाये in order to solve the riddle of the universe and to include people of all the three grades in his system. The first grade is the व्यावहारिक सता (Empirical or Phenomenal Plane) of ordinary people, who believe in the phenomenal reality and duality of the world; and this plane corresponds to the wakeful state (बाग्रत), in which all beings see this world and deal with it. The second grade is the प्राविभासिक सचा (Illusory Plane) of those who have understood the illusory nature of the world and see it just like a dream (阿耳). The third grade or the qualifies ear (State of Absolute Reality) is the highest stage of the Realization of the Self, in which there is no cognition of a separate entity (i.e. the world), and in which there is the Absolute Rest of प्रमासन्, as it is in deep sleep (सुप्ति). These three grades have been postulated by aggrand in order that the aspirant should reach the highest goal through graded steps. In the अद्भेतवेदाना the इष्टिम्प्रिवाद (Absolute or Pure Idealism) is the foremost theory, (which propounds that the universe is not apart from the sight (Intelligence) of the Seer, or that it is pure Intelligence (सविद्), and which is, therefore, meant for the advanced people of the प्राविमासिक and पार माध्यि states. The main point to be noted here is that extraordinary synthetic power, logical acumen and wider outlook have been exhibited by शहराचार in propounding the विवर्तवाद and in giving an analytico-synthetic interpretation of the Upanishads."

[&]quot;The task of reducing the teaching of the whole of the Upanishads to a system consistent and free from contradictions is an intrinsically impossible one. But the task once being given, we are quite ready to admit that Sankara's system is most probably the best which can be devised... We yet acknowledge that the adoption of that distinction (of a lower and higher Brahman) furnishes the interpreter with an instrument of extraordinary power for reducing to an orderly whole the heterogeneous material presented by the old theosophic treatises...Sankara's method thus enables him in a certain way to do justice to different stages of historical development, to recognise clearly existing differences which other systematisers are intent on obliterating...It (Sankara's system) is not only more pliable, more capable of amalgamating heterogeneous material

It has been shown above that the ब्यावहारिक सचा, प्राविभाषिक बना and पारमाधिक सना broadly correspond to the three states of the Individual Soul, viz., and. स्त्रम and स्पति. All human activities, physical as well as intellectual, ordinary as well as scientific, proceed only in the consciousness of ana; and the reality of the phenomenal world is perceived only in the wing. But to lay undue stress on this wing and to call the world 'real' from the stand-point of sign is only a partial appeach to Truth, which is, therefore, bound to remain 'relative.' Unless the experiences of all those three states are analysed, and unless the unbroken (अस्पद्ध) presence of One Seer or Witness (साधिन) of the three states is synthetically realized, there can be no complete approach to the Absolute Truth. Every one of those three states being evanescent is annulled by the other, so that when one state is present, the other is absent; while the साधिन, who illumines and unifies all their conscious as well as unconscious experiences and yet remains untouched by them, is one and the same. The assumption of the existence of those three states, therefore, involves अप्यारोप, and the negation of them so as to approach the everpresent eifer involves appre. In other words, the

than other systems, but its fundamental doctrines are manifestly in greater harmony with the essential teaching of the Upanishads than those of other Vedantic systems.

⁻G. Thibaut-Introduction to the Vedanta-Sutras,
pp. CXXII-CXXIV.

examination of all the three states and their negation is the process of Analysis, while the realization of one साधिन everywhere is the process of Synthesis. The whole method here employed may then be called the Analytico-Synthetic Method, which being throughly scientific deserves to be employed as the complete method even by the modern mental sciences in their search for Truth. Although this method is called 'अन्वयव्यतिरेक' in the वृद्दान्त system, it somewhat differs from the 'अन्वयन्यतिरेक ' of the त्रेयापिक्ड, as the latter is applied only to the experiences of the sunq-state and takes the world to be a real entity. The ' अन्वयन्यतिरेक ' method of the चेदान्त, on the other hand, is applied not only to जामत but to स्वम and सप्रि also, for demonstrating their reciprocal absence and negation (व्यक्ति) and for realizing the all-pervading presence(अन्त्य) of साक्षित. As then this method of अन्वयव्यतिरेक is applied to the whole human experience, conscious as well as unconscious, its employment for the examination of the three states (जाग्रस्यप्रस्पति) and realization of साधिन is the fundamental topic of the बेदान्त philosophy.

This most important topic has received very subtle exposition in several Upanishands, † the माण्ड्रनग्वारिकाड and the माण्ड्रकराचार्य, The method of अन्त्य (Inclusion, Synthesis) is employed by the

[†] कठ. ४।४; छान्दोग्य ६।८-११; बृह. २।१।१५-२०, २।५।१-१५, ४।२।३, ४।३।१-३८; कीपीतकि. ३।३.

Upanisheds in affirmative sentences like 'सर्व खरिवरं अस ' (छां. १११४११)—(All this is Brahman), or in those that describe the all-pervading presence of इसन् in all names and forms (चू. २१४६–११); while the method of अपितंद (Exclusion, Negation, Analysis) is used in negative sentences like 'नेह नानारित किञ्चन' (चू. ४१४११९)—[There is no duality of any sort here], 'नेति नेति ' (चू. २१३१६)—[Not this, not this], 'अन्यदेव तिहिरितादयो अविदितादिष ' (केन. ११३)—[It is distinct from the known and above the unknown], 'यतो वाचो निवर्तने अप्राप्य मनसा सह ' (ते. २१४११)—[From Whom words and the mind turn away without reaching Him], etc.

उदालक आविण in his advice to श्रेतकेत (छा. ६१८-२१) repeats the महावाक्य ' तत्त्वमित ' [Thou (जीव) art That (氧矾) I several times after quoting various familiar illustrations. It is worthy of note that he cites the experiences of deep sleep, dream and wakefulness in order to expound the identity of जीन and इंबर. In the अद्वेतवेदान्त ' इंश्वर' technically means Existence (सत्), Intelligence (चित्), and Bliss (आनन्द), the Self of all creatures, when It is associated with the limiting adjunct (उपाधि) of cosmic ignorance (समिष्ट अज्ञान-माया) in deep sleep or before the creation of the world. In deep sleep all creatures (sit) setting eside their Individuality are really united with ' सत्' their own Self. Although this 'सत्' or Intelligent Seer illumines the collective ignorance (समिष्ट अज्ञान) of all creatures, He, being as it were limited by that ignorance, is called 'इंशर', On

the other hand, Individuality (बीवल) is distinctly felt by all creatures in wakefulness, which too is illumined individually by the same Intelligent Seer, who is then, as it were, limited by the individual ignorance of every creature and is called 'बीव'. As every जीव of the wakeful state can recollect the identical experience of the 'हैंबर' of deep sleep in the form of a sentence like 'I slept very happily, I then knew nothing' (बुल्महमस्वाप्त, न किञ्चद्वेदियम्), he must be identical with हैंबर, since one who experiences and one who recollects that experience are bound to be identical. The identity of जीव and इबर is established by the following methods:

First, the limiting adjuncts of जीव and इसर (i. e. व्यष्टि अज्ञान, समिष्टि अज्ञान, etc.) are eliminated by the method of negation (व्यक्तिक) or जहल्लामा (Exclusive Implication) which is then supplemented by the method of अन्यय (synthesis) or अजहल्लामा (Inclusive Implication) enabling us to realize the inner presence of the common underlying Intelligence. Thus it will be seen that the combination of व्यक्तिक and अन्यय or the analyticosynthetic method leads us to the unifying Inner Self of us all. In the Upanishads, in the माध्यक of अञ्चरायाय and in later Sanskrit works, however, a major portion is occupied by the suffice method rather than by the अन्यय method. This is quite in accordance with the crude understanding of ignorant people, who are not expected to realize the full significance of व्यन्त्य, unless their Nescience is completely dispelled by the repeated employment of the व्यक्तिक method.

अविरेक or negation of the world is then the negation of apparent names and forms only and not of the Inner Essence. Use of Logic too is made in this method of व्यक्तिक for analysing the empirical experiences of the three states. But this logical analysis, though it places the बेदान्त system on a rational basis, is always supplemented by the authority of sefa. This logical analysis can do its utmost only in the elimination of duality. For, the selfluminous Inner Self, the substratum of all duality, cannot further be analysed or negated. The Upanishad says, " येनेदं विजानाति तं केन विजानीयात् । विज्ञातारमरे केन विजानीयात् " (वृ. ४)५)१५)—[Through what should one know Him by Whom one knows all this? Through what should one know the Knower?]. This Knower (विज्ञाता) is the Self who inspires us to establish the synthesis(अन्वय) of all. It need not here be supposed that when every name and form of the world is negated, what remains is only 'the void or nothing' (जून्य) of the Buddhists. For, that ' void or nothing' cannot be thought of unless it is illumined by the Eternal Seer who is not non-existent even in the absence of words. *

^{*} In connection with the method of अन्त्रवश्यतिरेक the following verses from the पञ्चदशी of विद्यारण्य may be read: अन्वयव्यतिरेकाम्या पञ्चकोश्यविवेकतः । स्वात्मानं तत उद्धृत्य परं ब्रह्मः अपविते ॥ ११३७ ॥ पञ्चकोशपरित्यांगे साक्षिबोधावशेषतः । स्वत्वरूपं स

This indescribable Eternal Seer, from the standpoint of apag, reveals Himself in the form of time, space, science, art, literature, religion, etc.; and the knowledge of this Seer can establish the higher synthesis of all dualistic systems of thought, even though they are in conflict with one another. allegatery significantly says—

परस्परं विरुध्यन्ते तैरयं न विरुध्यते । माण्डन्यकारिका, ३।१७.

"The dualists oppose one another; the Adwaitin, however, does not conflict with them."

In the भाष्य on this दाहिका, शहराचार्य eloquently and nobly says: "Our system, which establishes the unity of आत्मन् and which is based upon the अति, does not conflict with those mutually conflicting systems, on account of our inner identity with them all, just as one cannot conflict with one's own hands and feet."† This is why शहराचार्य accepts good points from all Indian systems and assigns to them a proper place in the wider scheme of his analytico-synthetic meth dology.

एव स्याच्छून्यत्वं तस्य दुर्घटम् ॥ ३।२२॥ अत एव श्रुतिबांच्यं बाधित्वा श्रेषयत्यदः । स एष नेति नेत्यात्मेत्यतद्वयावृत्तिरूपतः ॥ ३।३२॥ अतद्या-ष्टत्तिरूपेण साक्षाद्विधमुखेन च । वेदान्तानां प्रवृत्तिः स्याद् द्विधेत्याचार्य-भाषितम् ॥ ७।८७॥ विविज्ञता भोकनृतन्वं जावदादिष्यसङ्गता । अन्वय-व्यतिरेकाम्यां साक्षिण्यप्यवसीयते ॥ ७।२१०॥

ां तैरन्योन्यविरोधिमिरस्मदीयोऽयं वैदिकः सर्वानन्यत्वादात्मैकत्वदर्शनपक्षोः न विषथ्यते, यथा स्वइस्तपादादिभिः। माण्डूक्यभाष्य, ३।१७.

Thus शहराचार accepts the grammatical method of dealing with words (92), though he refutes the sale of the वैयाकरणs; he adopts the मीमांस-principles of interpreting Vedic sentences (वाक्य), though he refutes the क्रमंबाद of the मीमांसकड and subordinates इम् to हान; he sanctions in general the methodology of the न्यायवेशेषिकs and their theory of प्रमाणंड, though he rejects their आरम्भवाद and ईश्वर as the efficient cause only; he amalgamates the whole psychology of the साङ्ख्ययोग system with the Adwaita philosophy, though he shatters to pieces the प्रधानकारणवाद of the निरीधरसाङ्ख्येड and the two entities, प्रधान and ईश्वर, propounded by the संश्वरसाङ्ख्या (योगिन्ड) कि and he seems to lend support to the ethics of the बीवड and जेनड, though as regards the authority of the Veda and the Ultimate Reality of the universe he refutes their views. § Since शहरानार्थ sees all the followers of those systems as intrinsically one with his own Self, he does not regard them as his real opponents. He refutes all of them because the knowledge they deal with and the theories they propound fall only within the province of আলন্, which is actuated by the primal Nescience. They do not, therefore, lead human beings to their own real nature (ब्रह्मन्) as aimed af

^{\$} तेने हमेव साङ्ख्ययोगस्मृत्योः सावकाशत्वम्। (त. स्. मा. २।१।३)

[§] The refutations of all these systems are mostly included in the First अख्याय and the first two पादं of the Second अख्याय in the ब्रह्मसूत्रमाध्य.

by the benevolent safa. If it is said that even the बेदान्त philosophy and the विवर्तवाद or other theories put forth in it belong to the province of sing actuated by the primal Nescience, शहराचावें's ready reply is that the case of the agree philosophy too is really such. In other words, it is true that the विवर्तवाद and other theories of the वेदान्त are really provisional. He, however, makes one difference here that the other areas lead us astray from the Ultimate Reality of ब्रह्मन्, while the वेदान्तशास्त्र which, with its theories like the faudate, is based upon the superconscious intuition (अनुसद) of the Seers of ब्रह्मन्, and upon the अति indicating that intuition, is worthy of being studied for the attainment of one's own Highest Bliss.† The other sings keep us confined to the primal Nescience, while the agree-बाब, though it belongs to the sphere of Nescience, treats of the self-luminous ब्रह्मन and dispels that Nescience, just as a mere word, and not an actual staff, can kill the imaginary snake on the rope, or a weapon belonging to the dream-world alone can kill a lion seen in a dream. After fully taking into account this relative nature of the वेदान्तशास्त्र, शहराचार्य has purposely

[†] तमेतमेवंद्रश्रणमध्यासं पण्डिता 'अविद्या' इति मन्यन्ते, तद्विवेकेन च वस्तुस्वरूपावधारणं विद्यामाहुः।... तमेतमविद्याख्यमात्मानात्मनोरितरेतराः ष्यासं पुरस्कृत्य सर्वे प्रमाणप्रमेयव्यवहारा छौकिकाः प्रवृत्ताः, सर्वाणि च द्यास्त्राणि विधिप्रतिषेधमोक्षपराणि।... अस्यानर्थहेतोः प्रहाणाय आत्मैकत्व-विद्याप्रतिपत्तवे सर्वे वेदान्ता आरम्यन्ते। —अध्यासमाध्य।

adopted the विवर्तवाद, and has written all his भाष्यंड, particularly the बहास्त्रभाष्य, by interpreting the main purport of the अति in the light of this विवर्तवाद as well as with due attention to the ब्यावहारिक स्वा, which is suited to the understanding of ordinary people, and which is, therefore, a plane fit for the instruction of ब्रह्मच्.

शहरामाय's attitude in regard to the refutation of other systems is as explained above. His usual method of refutation is first to present step by step the varues of other systems so faithfully and completely that the reader should be led to regard the पूर्वपद्ध itself as the conclusive view (विद्वान्त), which is always quite convincing and not refutable. In such a presentation of the qqqq he anticipates all possible objections that may be raised by the opponent, and gives sufficient testimony to his deep comparative study of all those systems. After the presentation of the quique in this way, he gradually begins to expose flaws and contradictions in the arguments of the opponent with subtlety, extraordinary power of argumentation and logical acumen. In his own counter-arguments he employs all logical means of proof (प्रमाण) and plays the role of a skilful lawyer whose main aim is merely to beat the other party hollow without giving any clue to his own conclusive view. In such cases the tenets of the Adwaita philosophy occur only incidentally and can be collected or organized into a constructive philosophy with some

effort. Although refutation is sometimes his main aim, his language never smacks of derision or hatred, and even in the heat of argument he rarely uses cutting words. An important fact to be noted is that ग्रहरानापं's masterly presentation of the पूजपक्ष and its equally able refutation evince his comparative outlook and his wider use of the comparative method in his anges. We have already seen how he comparatively quotes the views of other systems about Superimposition in his अध्यासभाष्य. Again, while telling that the Individual Soul (आसन्) is identical with बहान he comparatively states various conflicting views held by the होकायतिकृत, बोद्धक नैवायिकs, साङ्ख्यs and योगिन्ड about the nature of आत्मन् (ज. स्. १।१।१). The tenets of the साङ्ख्या are put forth in a greater detail, in several parts of the ब्रह्मसूत्रमाप्य, than those of others and repeatedly refuted according to the maxim of 'प्रधानमञ्जनिवहंग' (vanquishing the most formidable adversary). This, however, does not mean that there is no adequate presentation of the tenets of other systems in the সময়ৰ্মাত্ৰ. The main features of those systems have, of course, been presented according to their relative importance. All this doubtless shows the extensive use of the critical, comparative and scientific methods and the process of arriving at the Higher Synthesis of all systems in ancient India.

IX THE HISTORICAL METHOD

This general survey of Methodology in ancient India naturally leads us to the Historical Method, which is conspicuous by its absence in the Sanskrit literature. This does not, of course, mean that the Sanskrit literature does not at all possess records of past events. The appropriation stage (legends), प्राण (cosmogonic myths), and नाराशंसी (songs in praise of heroes), which form parts of the अर्थवाद and yield grains of historical truth. All these component parts of the MINING developed later on, by the addition of new elements, into the epic poetry of the रामायण and the महामारत. these give us indications of certain historical facts and the latter is generally called stage. In the later gaus" too there are some parts in which historical matter is embedded. Why, the whole of Sanskrit literature being a record of past events may be said to contain vestiges of historical truth. Besides, oral tradition has handed down to us from generation to generation accounts of the past, some of which may be included under history. The Sanskrit literature is, however, a confused mass of myth and history. The Hindus have all along been very careful in preserving such records of the past, yet they have never cared to sift the historical material from the mythical one for want of

[&]quot;सर्गक्ष प्रतिसर्गक्ष वंशी मन्वन्तराणि च । वंशानुचरितं चैव पुराणं पञ्चलक्षणम् ॥

historical sense in them. † Even if it can be said that the Hindus might not have preserved their records of the past unless they had some historical sense, it ought to be admitted that they did not possess the 'historical sense' in the modern connotation of that expression. The only ancient Sanskrit works that partially stand the test of the modern connotation of 'history' are the 'राजवरक्षिणी of कह्ण, the इपंचरित of बाण, the विक्रमाङ्कदेवचरित of बिल्हण and the शिवमारत of प्रमानन्द. We have formerly seen that the पौराणिइड accept 'सम्भव' (probability) and ऐतिहा (tradition) as separate gappas. If probability be taken to be one of the marks of history, we come somewhat nearer to the modern historical conception. For, oral tradition or records of the past which come generally under क्षान्याण (verbal testimony) must agree

^{†&}quot; Nevertheless, one must not believe, as it has so often been asserted, that the historical sense is entirely lacking in Indians. In India, too, there has been historical writing; and in any case we find in India numerous accurately dated inscriptions, which could hardly be the case if the Indians had had no sense of history at all. It is only true that the Indians, in their writing of history, never knew how to keep fact and fiction strictly apart, that to them the facts themselves were always more important than their chronological order, and that they attached no importance at all, especially in literary matters, to the guestions of what was earlier or later...The authors of astronomical books generally also give the exact date of the day on which they completed their work. Since the fifth century after Christ, inscriptions too begin to give us information about the dates of many authors. "

[—]M. Winternitz—A History of Indian Literature, Vol. I, Introduction.

with the valid knowledge (प्रमा) gained by प्रत्यक्षяни (Perception). But this does not, of course, include the whole modern sense of 'History', asthere are works (Poetry, drama, novels, etc.) which are merely the products of imagination, though they do not contradict perceptual experience and contain 'literary truth' rather than 'historical truth.' The details gained from oral tradition or records must be corroborated by the authentic records (inscriptions, documents, coins, copper-plates, etc.) of events that have actually taken place in the past. This modern idea of history comprises not only records of political events of the past, but also records of all human activities, physical, mental, moral, religious and social, or of the whole civilization of a race on the earth in a chronological order.

In history then time and space, on which all the empirical (अपवृद्धिक) life of man depends, are the most important factors. Philosophically speaking, the truth of history is, therefore, the relative truth of the phenomenal plane (अपवृद्धिक स्वा) and not the absolute truth of Ultimate Reality. As seen formerly, philosophy gives its sanction to the relative truth of the phenomenal plane, since almost all human beings carry on their dealings on the phenomenal plane. Hence, history, historical truth and historical method, though they are relative, find their proper place in the ascending scales of truth as recognized by the Hindu philosophy and may seek their development. All this

phenomenal life of names and forms is a continuous and changing whole passing through various phases of time and place. The six stages of existence (मावविकार) viz., origination, existence, modification, growth, decay and destruction (जायते, अस्ति, विपरिणमते, वर्षते, अपनीयते, विनश्यति) are, therefore, applicable to this phenomenal life; and history, which necessarily deals with that life has, of course, to take into account all these stages or modifications. Time and place are then held to be the causes of those modifications, since the passage of time as much brings about a change in the activities, ideals, customs, etc., of a race as the place or environment in which that race lives. Change of locality and contact with other races too are factors causing modifications in ideals, activities, etc. There is, however, a substratum of racial character which remains unchanged on account of the particular environment in which a race lives on for ages, and that substratum becomes its hereditary property designated as 'Culture'. To trace chronologically all those modifications of human beings in the past as they have actually taken place, so as to shed light on the present, is then the task of 'history' according to the modern conception of that word.

If the connected history of a particular race in its proper sequence of particular events is to be written on the basis of all available evidence, oral and written, the historian has to undergo a special kind of mental discipline, which becomes the historical method in its wider application. Always aiming at the historical truth the historian, irrespective of the distinction between the conquerors and the conquered, will have to employ Logic for differen tiating the authentic from the spurious sources and history from legend. In other words, the verbal testimony (tradition and records) will have to be judged in the light of प्रस्तुप्रमाण (Direct perception) and अनुमानप्रमाण (Inference) in order to ascertain the high probability (समाय) of an event. This is the place where the Historical Method will be amalgamated with the Critical Method. If any source is found to contradict those two means of valid knowledge, it will have to be rejected. The authentic and internal sources, i. e., actual documents, inscriptions, letters etc., of a particular period will be valued more than external sources referred to in the general literature of a country, as they are of the nature of an indirect or circumstantial evidence. Even actual documents will lose their value as authentic sources, if they are found, by judgment and other sources to favour a particular party of the period by deprecating the other. In this case the internal evidence will have to be supplemented by the external one. It always happens that in the case of scanty internal evidence gaps in history have inevitably to be tilled in by external evidence But under no circumstances will the historian make an assertion that is not based on some authentic source. Unfortunately historical investigations do not always result in the certainty of a particular event, but at most give the indications of its high probability. Such results will, of course, remain provisional till stronger proofs come forth. But the labour of this sort is never wasted, since it serves as a datum for further research. Any way, rigorous application of logic to all available evidence so as to arrive at truth is a distinguishing feature of the Historical Method, and in this [respect it is on a par with the Scientific Method.

Further, the task of the historian is not only to collect particular facts of history, but is also to discover the causal relation of antecedent and subsequent facts. For this purpose he resorts to the analysis of individual facts and synthesis of more or less similar facts. This leads him to the stage of generalization based upon particular events. All this is purely an inductive process which is usually adopted by scientists in order to arrive at the scientific truth.

In all this process, however, the chronological approach is an important factor. For, chronology serves as sign-posts on the road to historical truth, and unless it is fixed, no order or sequence can be established among particular historical facts. Chronology has been a much vexed problem in the case of Sanskrit literature on account of its remote antiquity and the general indifference of Sanskrit authors themselves in respect of recording the dates of their works. In spite of many worthy attempts of Orientalists, the age of the Vedas and the dates of many Sanskrit authors have not as yet been definitely fixed. In their attempts to assign even

approximate dates to Sanskrit authors Eastern and Western scholars do not seem to be unanimous. It is, however, creditable to some scholars that they, with impartial judgment, have perseveringly tried to reach at least the approximate dates of Sanskrit authors and supply us with a broadly correct chronology of Sanskrit literature. Yet one cannot fail to notice the tendency of some Western scholars to assign a later date to an Indian author and that of some Eastern scholars to carry that author far back into antiquity. The truth perhaps lies between both of them; yet the outward show of approach to truth and the inward tendency to depart from it, is certainly a human frailty which does not seem to have forsaken scholars. † Apart from these failings it must be admitted that chronology is an important branch of the historical science.

A few aids to the settlement of the chronology of Sanskrit literature are the growth of Sanskrit, the reliable dates recorded by the Buddhist and Jain authors, inscriptions, coins, copper plates, grantdeeds, allusions made by later authors to their predecessors, references made to Indian culture and authors by foreigners like the Greek, Chinese and

^{† &}quot;Our critical method unfortunately is too often vitiated by extraneous influences. But this probably is due to human weakness. A critical scholar should consider his func. tion to be just like that of a judge in a law-court; but even there human weakness operates, and renders a number of appeals necessary, so that one judge differs from another, and so does one critical scholar from another."

⁻Collected Works of Sir R. G. Bhandarkar, Vol. 1, p. 320

Mohammedan travellers, and the fixed dates of Buddha, Chandra Gupta, Ashoka, etc. It is thus found that archaeology, epigraphy, etc., form the branches of historical investigation, and all of them collectively help chronology. The growth of Sanskrit language can be traced through three broad stages. viz., the Vedic Sanskrit, the Brahmanic Sanskrit and the Paninian or Classical Sanskrit; and the sequence of Sanskrit authors can be broadly determined according to the periods allotted to them. The Hindus also seem to have already known the general sequence of the ags, the aggs, the ags, the माध्यह and the काव्यह, the succeeding ones of which generally presuppose the preceding ones; and more ancient works are relatively held more authoritative. There is, however, some room for alteration in this sequence according to the modern welldefined criteria. Again, the Hindus too have their own chronology (e. g. the eras of युधिष्ठिर, विक्रम, महा-बीर, शालिबाइन, etc.) and science of astronomy, according to which they assign dates to different personages. Yet all these eras are used more for dally religious observances than for determining the dates of historical facts. The Western scholars, on the other hand, invariably prefer for their own convenience the Christian era to the former ones in assigning dates to several facts of Indian history. and the Hindu scholars follow them in this respect. It must be admitted here that the Western scholars, barring some exceptions, exhibit, on the whole, a minute, methodical and critical point of view in their chronological researches; and this point of view is worthy of being accepted by the Hindu scholars in their own researches.

Chronology gives a definite form to the sequence of events either in the history of the corporate life of a nation or in the history of the individual life of a person. From this point of view it is very instructive to settle the sequence of several works written by the same author like ansage by noting the stages of growth in his ideas, outlook on life and the linguistic form suited to his ideas. Chronology which thus seeks to divide immeasurable Time into suitable periods, or social as well as individual life into stages, naturally induces us to compare one period or stage with another on the basis of similarity or contrast. The sentence ' History repeats itself is true in the case of social as well as individual life. It is, therefore, natural for a man with historical insight to compare and contrast one period with another and synthesize all periods by discovering correlation among them. Thus life-problems, ideals, customs and all sorts of mental and physical activities of different periods can be subjected to the Comparative Method, which in alliance with the Historical Method establishes the causal relation of incidents. This comparative method which makes use of the उपमानवमाण (comparison, analogy) further leads us in the light of history to ascertain the influence of one individual, race or period upon another and understand the nature of the whole life, individual or corporate, in its proper

perspective. Thus for being able to have the perspective of the whole life of a nation the historian has to undergo a rigorous mental discipline. After making use of verbal testimony (शब्द), perceptual knowledge (प्रत्यक्ष), inference (अनुमान), comparison (उपमान), probability (सम्भव) and tradition (ऐतिहा) he has to amalgamate the chronological, critical, scientific and comparative methods with the Historical Method, which principally proceeds along the course of Time. He has then to present all particular facts of history in their sequence, with the proper perspective of the whole material, and with due attention to the permanent substratum of national character. He has, therefore, to take into account the spirit or soul of all the multifarious activities of a nation through different periods, and present, after eliminating all unnecessary details with a keen sense of proportion, those historical facts alone that bring out this soul. This is the modern idea of history and the science of historical composition. This scientific charater was lent to history and historical composition only in the 18th century A. D., by the Europeans, and it need not appear strange that it was unknown to the ancient Hindus.

When it was found that the same mental process or discipline that was required for historical investigation was also widely applicable to other departments of knowledge, the Historical Method of general application came into existence. That method is now widely employed for investigation

into almost all branches of human knowledge like mythology, religion, philosophy, psychology, education, art, literature, philology, textual criticism, Vedic interpretation, studies in Classical Sanskrit, etc., and has yielded fruitful results, which have enabled different authors to write the history of some of those subjects. It must be acknowledged that this Historical Method of study which was non-existent in India is worthy of being availed of by us. It is an undeniable fact that the Shastris are well-versed in the use of the abovementioned gaps propounded by the ancient Hindus. If they will collectively make use of all of them with particular attention to the course of Time, sequence of events and chronology, they will find it very easy to master the Historical Method. If they are adepts in पद, वाक्य and प्रमाण which are certainly far more abstruse than the Historical Method, they will very easily be able to adopt the Historical Outlook in respect of Sanskrit literature and Shastras.

It has been said above that the task of the historian is not merely to arrange historical [facts in their chronological sequence, but also to bring out the causal relation of them and to interpret them in a generalized form. Arrangement of particular facts of history in the light of the historical discipline described above is a topic properly belonging to the Science of History; while the generalized interpretation of those particular facts on the basis of the causal relation falls regularly within the province of the Philosophy of History. The Philosophy of History

is, therefore, a development of the Science of History, and requires, in addition to the same kind of mental discipline as required by the latter, the synthetic outlook of philosophy and an eye for the culture or soul of a nation rather than for physical events. For being able to give a rational and comprehensive interpretation of historical facts the Philosophy of History has also to depend upon the principles of psychology, logic, metaphysics and ethics. It has thus to see how the human mind works in different situations, what is the most satisfactory theory of the creation of the universe propounded by philosophy in general, and how the generalized interpretation of history is to be presented in a rational manner. It has, moreover, to evaluate human activities leading to success or failure, worldly prosperity or emancipation of the soul, and to show how those activities, external and internal, or individual and corporate, should be regulated and sublimated in the present and the future, so as to reach the final goal. In this respect the comparative method will have to be employed in order to compare the events that have successively taken place in a nation and those in one nation with those in another. The Western Philosophy of History usually seeks the aid of the Evolution Theory for generalized interpretaion. But that theory is inadequate, since it cannot explain what the substratum of that evolution is, whether there would be continuous evolution of humanity, or whether the processes of evolution and devolution, or rise and fall, occurring

on the same substratum, are true in the case of man. Here the idea of the creation of the universe propounded by the Hindu thinkers is a valuable aid to the Philosophy of History; for, it clearly explains that the creation, sustenance and dissolution of the universe occur on the same eternal substratum (अहान्), that both the evolution and devolution of humanity depend upon the proportion in which human activities approach or depart from that substratum, and that there is no continuous development, but there are development and decay, rise and fall alternately occurring in the destinies of humanity. The Hindu thinkers divide all human activities into सास्त्रिक, राजस and तामस, and further say that the quality of tag creates the universe and leads to worldly prosperity, the सन्त sustains both and leads to emancipation, and the and dissolves everything and leads to destruction. On the basis of those three qualities they have conceived the idea of four gas (ages) and classified in a philosophical manner the collective activities of humanity in terms of four gas. They, therefore, concerned themselves more with the Philosophy of History than with history, pure and simple-It cannot, however, be denied that they could not have given us a consistent Philosophy of History, unless they had some measure of historical sense in themselves. With this historical sense they have described in detail particular facts of history in the रामायण, the महामारत and the पुराणs for the purpose of philosophizing on them. The only flaw is that those historical facts have been promiscuously mixed with myths and are not given in their chronological sequence. The Hindu thinkers have, however, never failed to remark rationally that those myths and legends are not to be understood in their literal sense but are to be taken as mere 'अपनाद' for approaching the Ultimate Truth.

If from the philosophical point of view even the 'historical truth' is relative, and if that relative truth is meant for the Ultimate Truth, the ancient Hindus need not be much blamed only for their deficiency in unmixed historical and chronological sense. If their process of approach to the Ultimate Truth through the relative truth is correct, in other words, if they reach the real end which is aimed at by the philosophy of history, they should not be much deprecated for adopting purely mythical means along with the relatively real (historical) ones. It is true that they ought to have possessed a finer historical sense for arriving at the 'historical truth'. But when the modern Westernized scholars begin to magnify this fault and depreciate the Shastris for it, one cannot help remarking that the modern scholars too are deficient in the philosophic outlook on life and Shastric acumen possessed by the ancient Hindu thinkers and some Shastris even of the present day. It will have to be admitted that the Shastric acumen on the one hand and the historical sense on the other are products of different kinds of mental discipline, though it is

possible to amalgamate them in a few cases. When the modern scholars usually express their perverted opinion that the Shastria do not possess 'critical insight', they use the word 'critical' in a narrow and vague sense to suggest the 'historical', or more properly, the 'chronological' sense. The word 'Research' is similarly used by them in the narrow sense of 'historical and chronological research.' If the word 'critical' prominently connotes the sense 'logical' or 'rational', the Shastris are 'critical' enough. It is really strange that the Shastris should be called 'uncritical' simply for want of historical and chronological judgment, when it is quite clear that they can use rigorous logic or the critical, comparative and scientific methods in their search for the Ultimate Truth. It is then desirable that the activities of the modern scholars and Shastris should henceforth start with mutual understanding and cooperation, and should result in making up the deficiency. Nobody can deny the importance of historical truth on the phenomenal plane; yet the means should not be mistaken for the end, and the part which history and historical method have to play in our Sanskrit studies should not be unduly stressed to the detriment of more important branches of Sanskrit literature.

It has been formerly remarked that the Historical Method is applied to many branches of human knowledge and that the history of a particular branch in relation to the past and present achievements gives a proper perspective of the whole sub-

ject. Again, in alliance with the comparative and critical methods it supplies us with a wider outlook, deepens our interest in a subject, trains us in rationalism, makes the dead past alive, and helps us to see things with a new meaning. In spite of all these merits this method has its limitations. For, engaging us more in the sequence and chronology of events, and in the analysis of facts rather than in their synthesis, it tends to divert our minds from the spirit or substratum of events. In other words, if keeps us absorbed in the particular facts of history rather than in the philosophy of history. It is to be remembered that mere history can at best furnish us with concrete illustrations of actual and particular facts, which are inadequate unless they are put to the hard test of Logic and its univers, or supplemented by the philosophy of history. For, the knowledge of truth, relative or absolute, depends upon Impartial judgment and the logical supply, which are superior to mere history. It ought to be, therefore, properly understood that the Historical Method, which stresses the sequence and chronology of events more than other things, is one among many methods of approach to a subject and not the only method. It must be admitted here that when the particular historical events themselves have yet to be discovered and collected in an organized form of history, the adoption of the Historical Method is most essential. Remembering, therefore, the above limitations of the method it should be employed with judicious care without neglecting other important methods of approach to a subject. †

If this method is applied, for instance, to the study of literature, its merits and demerits are clearly perceived. The merits of the method lie in bringing before our mind the perspective of the whole literature in its synthetic form, in indirectly helping our appreciation of it, in presenting individual authors of that literature in their sequence, in enabling us to judge the influence of one author upon another, in making us ascertain the place of a particular author in his age and the whole literature, and in aiding us to account for changes in literary ideas and forms from age to age. Thus the Historical Method helps in its own way the study of literature, just as literature too supplies history, in a more or less degree, with facts of historical interest. Now the limitations of the Historical Method as applied to literature are as follows:-

Though the Historical Method ultimately results in synthesis, it prominently adopts logical analysis and impartial judgment, which give little scope for emotional attitude quite essential to the appreciation of literature. Even if it can supply us with an intellectual explanation of the circumstances in which a literary work was produced, it cannot help us in the actual enjoyment of the aesthetic

[†] In this context read Dr. Bhandarkar's two essays:

*The Critical, Comparative and Historical Method of Inquiry'
and 'Lines for Fresh Research In Sanskrit Literature and
Indian Antiquities' in the Collected Works of Sir R. G.
Bhandarkar, Vol. I. pp. 362-415.

element of that work. The critical, or more properly, the rational standpoint resorted to in the Historical Method mars the aesthetic delight instead of enhancing it. Thus we are engrossed in the external and insipid details about an author rather than in his personality, which must be felt as a whole rather than dissected, and for the complete appreciation of which the aesthetic approach based on psychology is the best one. Even though some amount of analysis is a necessary step to synthetic appreciation, it should never exceed its limits and spoil enjoyment of literature.

We find that many modern scholars have up to this time allotted inordinate space to the fixing of the dates of Sanskrit poets and dramatists, and have subjected their works to the discussion of historical facts like the political, social, economical and religious conditions of their respective times rather than to their literary appreciation. These external historical facts, though they distantly or circumstantially help appreciation, involve the process of intellectual analysis which, perhaps, turns us away from the main purpose of approach to Personality. It is, therefore, desirable that the above historical facts should be subordinated to the main purpose which is to enter into the spirit or heart of an author. The critical or rational method, which has been so far much exalted and which is useful in other spheres, should now make room for the literary criticism of aesthetic appreciation; and proper justice should be done to Sanskrit poets and dramatists by resorting to the extensive criticism of their artistic elements-the unity of plot, the poet's imagination, fancy, sentiments, the sense of proportion exhibited in his ideas and form, and other psychological fac. tors of the poet's personality-which are calculated to enrich our aesthetic experience. This main aim can be best accomplished by applying the principles of to and safa propounded, after the harmonization of the theories of qe, spay and name, by Sanskrit literary critics, and by combining those principles with the principles of psychology applied by Western critics to the literary criticism. This combination will, of course, lead us to the synthetic appreciation of Sanskrit literary works. This combination has already been made by us in the preceding sections and will also be made in detail later on. But before approaching those psychological principles of to and safa helping synthetic appreciation, it is necessary to turn to the preliminary analytical process which has been adopted in Sanskrit commentaries on poetry and drama, and is also followed by the Shastris in their oral explanation of the same.

X. THE METHODS OF TEACHING LITERATURE (दण्डान्यय and सण्डान्यय).

We have so far seen how the methods of wa, are and gard are comprehensively useful in the teaching of gress and literature. It is now an un-

doubted fact that the ancient Hindus had definite views on the art of interpretation or teaching, and that ancient teachers consistently employed the methods detailed in the foregoing sections. Those methods, logical as well as psychological, clearly indicate that exclusive use of the Analytical Method was never made even in the teaching of ansas. The methods were Analytico-Synthetic rather than Analytical, and the advanced teaching of small was also made concrete and interesting by quoting several familiar examples (उदाहरण, उपमान). It is then needless to say that 'Literature', which itself is naturally concrete in and form, was taught in a still more concrete and interesting manner, by introducina several examples and a good deal of information required for its clear understanding and enjoyment. While referring to the qualifications of a good teacher कालिदास significantly says in his मालविकामिनित : "Some teachers have profound knowledge of their subject, while others are proficient in the art of imparting. But one, in whom deep knowledge is coupled with the art of imparting, is the best teacher." What कालियास here means is that a teacher, besides being a profound scholar, should try to think objectively from the pupil's point of view also, so as to make his teaching

शिष्टा किया कस्यचिदातमसंस्था सङ्क्रान्तिरन्यस्य विशेषवुक्ता ।
 यस्योभयं साधु स शिक्षकाणां धुरि प्रतिष्ठापयितव्य एव ॥
 —माळविकाप्रिमित्रम् । १।१६

impressive. भवभृति, like a modern psychologist, recognizes individual differences in students, when he says from the pupil's point of view that there is much difference as regards the results of teaching between clever pupils and dullards, though the teacher imparts instruction in the same subject to both of them. These definite views about teaching and teachers have been corroborated by Yuan Chwang, the Chinese pilgrim of the 7th century A. D., as follows-"These teachers explain the general meaning [to their disciples] and teach them the minutiae; they rouse them to activity and skilfully win them to progress; they instruct the inert and sharpen the dull. When disciples, intelligent and acute, are addicted to idle shirking the teachers doggedly persevere repeating instruction until their training is finished. " &

The modern Shastris, on account of their deep knowledge of ब्याहरण, मीमांसा, न्याय, बेदान्त and साहित्य, have inherited the same ancient methods of प्र, बाक्य and प्रमाण which they use in their oral teaching. One fact must, however, be noted that all Shastris are not well-versed in all those शास्त्र collectively, but are proficient in one शास्त्र alone with the rudimentary knowledge of other systems.

[•] वितरित गुरु: प्राज्ञे विचा यथैव तथा जडे म तु खडु तथीशीने द्वांकि करोत्यपद्दित वा। भवति च पुनर्भ्यान् भेदः पत्ने प्रति तदाया प्रमवति श्रुचित्रिक्षशहे मणिने मृदो चयः॥ उत्तरग्रमचरितम्—राष्ट § Yuan Chwang's Travels in India, by Thomas Watters.

Detailed explanation of each and every word and its sense (प्रवार्थ) line by line, which helps the intensive study of a book, is the noteworthy quality of almost all of them. Their explanation, however, culminates in the complete exposition of अल्हार, ध्वनि and ख contained in individual verses or paragraphs only. They are not much inclined to exhibit their expository skill in bringing out synthetically the whole mind of a poet as revealed in all his works or at least in one work as a whole. In this respect the synthetic and psychological method of literary criticism employed by the Western literary critics is worthy of imitation.

It must be remembered that मस्त, आनन्दवर्धन and अभिनवगुस, who have contributed original theories of रस and व्यक्ति to the Sanskrit Poetics (साहित्य), are the pioneers in the field of synthetic literary criticism. They have rightly subordinated अल्हार, गुण and रीति to the principles of रस and धानि, or more properly to the रक्षवनि, which will be detailed later on. आनन्दवर्धन in the fourth suit of the variety has given his illuminating synthetic appreciation of the महामारत, which certainly deserves to stand the test of modern literary criteria, and would be a proper guide to Sanskrit literary critics wisking to follow that path. This path has, however, been rarely followed by the later specifies and Sanskrit commentators, who have wasted their energy in the minute analysis of awarts in detached verses. The only Sanskrit commentary that makes

an emotional approach to poetry and tries to enter into the heart of the poet by explaining the inner significance of words is the 'विश्वलता' commentary of पूर्णसरस्वती on the ' मेघसन्देश ' (मेघदूत) of कालिदास, The ancient Sanskrit works aiming at synthetic criticism. according to our limited information, are the महाभारततात्वविर्णव of श्रीमच्याचार्य and the धर्माकृतम् of ज्यम्बक्स्य मखिन ; but they have been written mainly with the ethical rather than with the literary or aesthetic purpose. The solitary instance of synthetic literary criticism, on the model of आनन्दवर्धन's appreciation of the महाभारत, is the concluding passage of राष्ट्रमार's commentary on the angeles. In the present century, however, two Pendits have attempted synthetic literary criticism through the Sanskrit medium in the light of the Western standard. Of them Pt. R. Krishnamacharlar, M. A., has written his रखुवंशविमर्श, मेपसन्देशविमर्श, and कुमारसम्भवविमर्श in felicitous Sanskrit prose; while MM. Pt. कालीपद तकीचार्य in his काल्यचिन्ता has attempted aesthelic appreciation of the works of काल्डियास and मनमृति in equally beautiful Sanskrit Prose. These two Sanskrit critics have in modern times set a worthy example to other Sanskrit critics in respect of synthetic literary criticism, which seeks to approach the Personality of a poet. Rabindranath Tagore's synthetic and emotional appreciations of Sanskrit poets, published in his Bengali book 'graffa साहित्य ' are unparalleled in the realm of literary oriticism, since they are the specimens of creative art

and testify to the sentence of Ben Jonson: "To judge of poets is only the faculty of poets, and not of all poets, but the best." In short, synthetic and emotional appreciation of Sanskrit poets through the medium of Sanskrit is a desideratum felt long.

In spite of the ancient principles of the and wife and the above-mentioned books comprising synthetic appreciation, the Shastris in general unnecessarily stress the intellectual process of the minute analysis of species, in which respect even the University graduates blindly follow them. There are, again, a few Shastris, who consider it below their dignity to teach 'Literature', and say that poetry is to be sung by harlots (रण्डानीतानि काञ्यानि). If such Shastris are sometimes required to teach 'literature', their lessons, which mostly comprise grammatical or etymological discussions, are uninteresting and tiresome to young pupils, Barring a few such exceptions there are a good many of them, who with real zest for literature have developed fine literary taste in themselves, and hence are able to develop aesthetic attitude among their pupils. Such Shastris are undoubtedly able to maintain interest and enthusiasm among their pupils by expounding literature in an enchanting manner. Thus though all Shastris are at one in generally following the theories of पद, वास्य, प्रमाण and साहित्य in their teaching, their methods in regard to the treatment of literature vary from person to person.

There is, therefore, no standardization among the Shastris as regards the methods of teaching literature and the number and sequence of steps to be followed in their lessons. The actual steps used by the Shastris in a 'Literature Lesson' vary from five to eight. An ancient couplet gives five steps to be followed while a literary subject is being expounded to pupils of tender age. They are (1) Separation of Sandhis in words; (ii) Meaning of words; (iii) Dissolution of compounds; (iv) Construing of words or sentences; and (v) Answer to an objection."

In consultation with several Shastris in the different parts of India, we have been able to determine that in spite of a few variations, five common steps are generally adopted everywhere for the teaching of prose as well as poetry. In their succession they are as follows:—

(i) Separation of Sandhis in words (पद्चेदः); (ii) Construing (अन्तवः); (iii) Dissolution of compounds (समासविग्रहः); (iv) Explanation of words unknown to pupils (पदार्थकथनम्); (v) Substance of a sentence, paragraph or verse (उत्तवर्षम्), \$

Here, according to the needs of pupils and the nature of the language material to be taught the Shastris add 'Parsing of words' after useds,

पदच्छेदः पदार्थोक्तिर्विमहो वाक्ययोजना ।
 आक्षेपस्य समाधानं व्याख्यानं पञ्चळक्षणम् ॥

^{\$} These five steps may be versified as follows:— पद्च्छेदोऽन्वयोक्तिश्च समासादिविवेचनम् । पदार्थवोघस्तात्पये ब्यास्यावयवपञ्चकम् ॥

'Translation' after प्रायंक्यन, and 'Discussion of constructional aspect' (प्रयोगिवियन) after ताल्यंक्यन as three more steps, which are dropped when the pupils are sufficiently advanced. Notwithstanding the general adoption of these five formal steps, there is full scope for the ingenuity of a tactful teacher in the treatment of his topic. One thing to be borne in mind is that every 'literature lesson' is accompanied by loud reading, which is mostly neglected in the grammar-translation method. Again, in all such lessons, pupils are required to take more active part than the teacher, who generally guides and corrects the pupils where necessary.

Reading-Generally at the initial stage the teacher reads for pupils, but after some acquaintance with language, pupils are invariably made to read a passage loudly, at the beginning of every lesson. Of course, for fear of adverse criticism from the teacher, the pupils have to practise this reading beforehend. While the reading is going on, attention is always to be paid to distinct articulation, pitch of voice, intonation, pause, emphasis, phrasing, etc.* From all these points of view the reading of the Shastris is expressive and flawless, so that it would serve graduate teachers as a model for imitation. It is worthy of note that many Shastris can repeat from memory several passages in prose and verse and can teach even without the aid of a book. Thus, being free to discourse direct-

^{*}The detailed treatment of all these will be found in Reading and Recitation in this book.

ly with their pupils, they can gain the advantage over graduate teachers of making their personal influence telt more.

(।) Separation of Sandhis (प्रस्तुदः)-Separation of Sandhis in a sentence or verse follows the reading of the same. Here also the pupils have to take more part than the teacher as before. Along with this separation of Sandhis, the grammatical form of every word has to be recognized by the pupils. By this continued practice in the separation of words pupils develop their knowledge of Sandhis incidentally without learning rules; and when the forms of different words in a sentence are known beforehand by pupils from the स्पान्ति , the matter of splitting Sandhis becomes an easy task for them. With the knowledge of the basic facts of the vernacular grammar, pupils are here able to give all the parts of speech, viz., a noun, a pronoun, an adjective, a verb, an indeclinable, etc. After eliciting the original forms of words (i. e. the nominative singular), pupils are led to recognize the different cases of words as used in a sentence or verse, and to connect those forms by analogy with the forms of model words already learnt in the space wildle. This is the place where pupils realize the utility and importance of having at their fingers' ends the forms in the equals. This procedure is resorted to at the initial stage until pupils get sufficient practice in the ready recognition of the grammatical froms of words. Although this process makes for the clear understanding of each and every word, beginners find it rather irksome and lengthy. If young pupils are by nature
more intent on the human element (story-interest)
of a passage than on the grammatical forms of
words, it would be expedient to postpone this
detailed parsing of words to a later stage. We
must, however, note that this sub-division of the first
step is not compulsorily followed by all Shastris.

- (ii) Construing or arrangement of words (अन्यय:)-The second step to be followed in a 'literature lesson' is 'construing' or the arrangement of words in their syntactical order. Taking into account the facts indicated above, the Shastris, in expounding literature to their students, follow a few syntactical rules, which have been recorded in some Sanskrit manuals † for beginners and which undoubtedly train those students in construing literature by self-help. It is well worthy of note that the students of the Pathashalas are able to construe any unseen passage in Sanskrit, after the study of a few cantos from a महाकाव्य; while the students of high schools and colleges cannot do so even after studying Sanskrit for years. It is, therefore, highly desirable to bring the knowledge of those syntactical rules to bear upon the understanding of literature.
- (a) द्ण्डान्वय:—'Construing' or the arrangement of words in their syntactical order is the most

[†] Read:—(a) रघुरामकृत: 'क्लोकयोजानिकोपाय:, (b) ओङ्कार-दासविरचित: 'अन्वयमोध: ', and (c) The concluding portions on कारक in the 'समासचक्रम्'.

important step in a 'literature lesson'; and its proper knowledge is indispensable to the study of Sanskrit literature. When the arbitrariness of the order of words in a Sanskrit sentence necessitates this arrangement even in a prose passage, it is needless to say that verses also must be construed. For being able to construe, definite knowledge of the function of cases or area, as indicated above, is to be presupposed; and the most important fact to be borne in mind is, first of all, the functions of the nominative and accusative cases. So the first thing for the students to do is to find out for themselves or sometimes with the help of the teacher the principal sentence which generally consists of the subject, the object, and the finite verb. For this purpose it is necessary for them to know that in the active construction the subject is in the nominative case and the object in the accusative. When students are able to determine the inflexions of all words, they can easily distinguish the nominative from the oblique cases and find out the subject. Now, the subject can occur in a sentence in any one of the three persons and numbers, and the finite verb must agree with it in respect of both of them. If a participle is used as a finite verb, it should agree with the subject in person, number and gender also. Being equipped with this information, students can readily construe the subject with the verb or the verb with the subject according as either of them is expressed or understood. If the verb is understood, it is to be supplied according to the form of the subject which is expressed; on the other hand, if the subject is understood, it is to be supplied according to the form of the verb which is expressed.

After being thus fully acquainted with the active construction, the next important fact for the students to remember is the passive construction, in which the subject being in the instrumental case and the object in the nominative, the finite verb agrees with the object in number and person; and if a participle is used as a finite verb, it agrees with the object in number, person and gender also. Here, parallelism between a Marathi sentence like र लाने काम केले and its corresponding Sanskrit sentence 'तेन कर्म इतम् 'can be sought with advantage. The knowledge of these basic facts, which are already known by students in their mother tongue, leads them to find out the principal sentence. When the principal sentence has thus been found out, students are led to construe the remaining words of the whole sentence.

In a Sanskrit sentence the remaining words, which are chiefly of four kinds, are the substantive, the adjective, the indeclinable and the verbal form.

The substantive can be sub-divided into a noun and pronoun, both of which can occur in a sentence in the nominative case as the subject, or in any other case-relation, gender, number and person. In a sentence, words in the instrumental case are to be placed after the subject and before words in the accusative, ablative and locative. Words in the ablative case should immediately

precede verbs governing the ablative, but sometimes they may precede even the subject, the object, and words in the instrumental and the locative. Words in the locative signifying time or place, those in the vocative, interjections and interrogative words should generally be put at the beginning of a sentence. An adjective doing the work of a noun should be treated accordingly.

Adjectives which generally qualify substantives are to be construed with the latter and placed before them. The special feature of Sanskrit is that an adjective always agrees with its substantive in gender, number and case. Of course, adjectives in a particular gender, number and case are to be construed with the substantives in the same gender, number and case. Since a Sanskrit sentence generally contains many adjectives qualifying a substantive, all of them should be put together and joined with the indeclinable '4'. Attributive adjectives must be placed before their substantives; but predicative adjectives or adverbs should come after substantives and stand immediately before verbs or other adjectives. Adjectives to pronouns are generally placed before the latter; yet, if an adjective is intended to be more prominent than a pronoun, it should be placed in its predicative sense after the pronoun. Words in the genitive case do the work of adjectives and are to be construed with the substantives to which they are related, even though several other words occur between the genitive forms and their substantives.

As the forms of indeclinables are unchangeable under all circumstances, there need be no difficulty in construing them with their respective words.

Verbal forms denote an action, complete or incomplete. Those denoting a complete action are finite verbs or participles used as finite verbs, which are either active or passive, which show three tenses, and are to be construed with the subject and the object faccording as they are transitive or intransitive. Verbal forms showing an incomplete action are absolutives and infinitives, which are invariably to be placed before finite verbs. The object to an absolutive or infinitive must, of course, precede either of them. In the case of verbs governing two accusatives, the direct object should be placed just before the finite verb and after the indirect one. In short, for arranging words in a Sanskrit sentence according to their syntactical relations, the subject will have to be placed first, words in the accusative and other cases afterwards, absolutives and infinitives with their objects, if any, in the middle, and the finite verb last. The construing method, in which all the words of the whole sentence are thus arranged, is named as 'दण्डान्यपदिते'. ' दण्ड ', which means 'a staff' with many knots represents the whole sentence with its various parts or sections (खण्ड); or in Sanskrit ' दण्ड ' is the vertical punctuation mark used to denote the completion of a sentence. Thus, the construing of words in a complete sentence standing between vertical marks is designated as 'दण्डान्वय'. Hence, in the दण्डान्वय-

aga all the words in a complete sentence are arranged according to their grammatical function and syntactical relation. While construing, the Shastris, with due attention to these syntactical rules, desire their students to have the inner working of their minds as indicated above. So in this method questions in the mother tongue as, 'Which is the subject?', 'Which is the object?', 'Which is the verb?' etc., are asked to bring out the natural order of words in a sentence. Yet, such questions being mainly of a grammatical or formal type bore the pupils. Such a procedure, no doubt, helps clear understanding and is suited to the pupils of very small classes where individual attention is possible. But for large classes it would be monotonous.

(b) स्पद्वान्वय:—\$ There is another method of construing, ' स्पद्वान्वयवाते ! by name, which resembles the former only in respect of picking out first the principal sentence, but differs from the दण्डान्वय with regard to the construing of the remaining words of the whole sentence. In the सण्डान्वयवति the use of several interrogative words in suitable Sanskrit questions enables the exponent to elicit

^{\$} दण्डवत् खण्डवचैव द्विभेदोऽन्वय उच्यते । आये विशेषणं पूर्व विशेष्यं तदनन्तरम् ॥ क्त्वाणमुह्यसप्त्रमत्येवं पूर्व दण्डान्वये मन्नेत् ।

⁻ओक्कारदासनिरचितः 'अन्वयप्रबोधः '।

different adjuncts in a sentence, and explain them by using simpler equivalents. After this construing the order of words in the whole sentence will be the same as in the दण्डान्यय; but this construing is carried on in parts or sections (खण्डड) by framing questions on individual words or phrases. In this questioning students must be also assumed to possess the knowledge of the same syntactical relations as recently described, and the same rules for construing as set forth above hold good here. Yet, both these methods differ from each other in the types of questions framed and in the order in which words in a sentence are elicited. Thus in a sentence the principal clause should be elicited before words in the oblique cases (from the instrumental to the locative), absolutives and infinitives before their objects, substantives before adjectives, and verbs before adverbs. Even in the principal clause the verbal form should be elicited before the subject with its adjuncts and the subject before the object with its adjuncts.

In the augrestical questions are mainly of a grammatical or formal type; while in the enginer, they are principally based on the subject-matter

कर्तृकर्मक्रियास्तावच्छ्लोके योज्यास्ततः परम् ।
 किमो रूपं पुरस्कृत्य तृतीयादि नियोजयेत् ॥
 स्ववन्तं च दमन्तं च क्त्वान्तं कर्मविभूषितम् ।
 -रपुरामकृतः स्ठोक्योजनिकोषायः ।

क्त्वाणमुल्ह्यप्रभाषेवं पूर्वे दण्डान्यये मवेत् । खण्डान्यये पुनः प्रश्नपूर्वमन्ते प्रयोजयेत् ॥३६॥ —ओङ्कारदासकृतोऽन्वयप्रयोघः । or the sense of a literary passage rather than on the grammatical function. Though in the adoption of the augraquald, syntactical relations are implied in the questions on a literary piece, they are of a secondary importance. Thus questions to elicit words with different senses are asked as follows:—

- (1) Persons or things denoted by nouns and pronouns in a sentence are elicited by framing questions with the various case-forms of दिन्; as द:, कन्, कन्, कन्, कर्म etc.
- (2) Qualities or attributes denoted by adjectives are elicited by using in questions words like कीहरा, क्यम्प्त, किम्म्त, किविशिष्ट, किलक्षण etc., along with substantives (nouns or pronouns).
- (3) Actions shown by verbs or participles can be elicited according to their number and person through questions wherein the subject is used with words like, ' कि करोति-कुरत:-कुर्वन्ति ' or कुर्गत, कृत, कृतवन्त, कर्तन्त्व etc.
- (4) The priority or purpose of an action shown by absolutives and infinitives can be elicited by using in questions words like 情報 表明' and ' 情 報识" respectively.
- (5) Time, place, manner, purpose, reason, etc., denoted by adverbs can be elicited by using with the verbal form words like इदा, दुन, इथम्, किम्पेम, दुत:, करमात् कारणात् etc.

These types of questions and several other types have been actually used in some Sanskrit

commentaries on the पञ्चमहासाज्यङ and some works on Shastras by the commentators following the खण्डान्ययपद्धति, These question forms can be advantageously utilized at one's discretion in the teaching of Sanskrit by maintaining variety in questioning. The characteristic of a Sanskrit sentence, however, is that its major portion generally consists of adjectives which are either single words or sentences compressed into a spain compound. It is, therefore, inevitable that the same question forms with the use of कीहरा, क्यमान etc., have often to be resorted to, there being little scope for variety in the wording of such questions every time. We thus see that the questioning in the खण्डान्ययद्वि draws the attention of students more to the spirit or the sentiment of a literary piece than to its grammatical aspect; and hence, according to the accepted technique of modern teaching the humanistic side of language study can be more attended to in the engraquald than the formal one.

Again, there are two possible ways in which the subject and the verb of a principal sentence may be elicited. In the रण्डान्यपद्धि the subject is generally picked up first and the verb atterwards; while in the लण्डान्यपद्धि the verb, which is first picked up, is connected with the subject and the object by framing suitable questions. These two methods are based on the principles laid down by the नैयाविष्ड, मीमासङ and वैयाद्यण्ड. The नैयाविष्ड are of opinion that the subject is the principal word in

a sentence; the मीमांसद्ध assert that the verbal form is the principal word to which all the words in a sentence are related; and the वैयाद्वरण hold the root-meaning of a verbal form to be important. So the रण्डान्त्रपद्धि seems to have its source in the theory of the नैयादिक; while the व्याद्वरपद्धि owes its existence to the theory of the मीमांबद . For practical purposes no distinction need be observed in regard to the precedence of the subject or the verb in construing. Yet the व्याद्वरपद्धि, which is superior to the रण्डान्त्रप्य in appealing to the students' instinct of curiosity and their literary sense, naturally leads us to side with the मीसंबद, who recognize the precedence of the verb and the importance of आवाह्या.

With special reference to the লগ্ডান্ব্ৰ্ব্ৰি we may say that the knowledge of expectancy (প্ৰাৰ্ভ্যা), consistency (প্ৰাৰ্ভ্যা) and contiguity (প্ৰাৰ্ভ্ তা চনিছি) as recognized by all schools of thought is quite essential to 'construing' or comprehension of a sentence. When a word cannot convey the whole meaning of a sentence owing to the absence of some other word, there is expectancy (প্ৰাৰ্ভ্যা) or the desire to know the other word. For instance,

आकाङ्का योग्यता संनिधिश्च याक्यार्थशानहेतः । (तर्केष्ठ्महः) ।
 याक्यवन्य च शाने आकाङ्कायोग्यतासत्त्ययस्तात्वर्यशानं चेति चत्यारि
 कारणानि । (वेदान्तपरिभाषा)

 ⁽०) पदस्य पदान्तरव्यतिरेकप्रयुक्तान्ययाननुमावकत्वमाकाङ्क्षा । (तर्कसङ्ग्रहः)

if in a sentence 'रामो बरमानवृति ' we suppose that the word 'snaufa' is absent, we have a desire to know the verb. A question that naturally arises is 'fa stifa are: ?' and the expectancy is fulfilled when the verb 'anaufa' is supplied. Similarly, if we suppose that the words 'राम:' and 'प्रस्म' are absent in the above sentence, there is a desire to know (आवाका) the subject and the object respectively, and further questions arise, as 'क: आनयति!' and 'किम् (or कम्) आन-यति १ ' This desire to know 'What about राम, बर, ' etc., is curiosity itself which gives rise to various questions. The phiers while dealing with ' मानना ' (energy or efficient force) refer to this আত্মতভা and say that it expresses itself in three ways, viz., साध्याकाङ्क्षा, साधनाकाङ्क्षा and इतिकर्तन्यताकाङ्क्षा. The first variety of suggest is related to an 'end' or 'object' and expresses itself in the question-form' हिं भावपेत ? " (What should be done?); the second is related to a 'means' or 'instrument' and expresses itself in the question-form ' क्रेन भावयेत ?' (By what should it be done?); and the third is related to the 'manner' of doing and expresses itself in the question-form 'क्यं भावपत !' (How should it be done?). It will be

⁽b) यत्पदेन विना यस्याननुभावकता भवेत् (आकाङ्का)।
—भाषापरिष्टंदः।

⁽५) तत्र पदार्थानां परस्परजिज्ञासाविषयत्वयोग्यत्वम् आकाङ्क्षा । क्रियाभवणे कारकस्य, कारकभवणे क्रियायाः, करणभवणे इति-कर्तव्यतायाभ्य जिज्ञासाविषयत्वात् । (वेदान्तपरिभाषा)

found that 'आवाद्या' principally leads us to ask questions, which can be framed by taking the verbal form in a sentence as the principal word, and which can be put to students with the view of helping them in construing (अन्त्र) the words of a sentence and understanding their implications. It is thus clear that all questioning in language-study originates principally in this Expectancy or आवाद्या.

In addition to Expectancy there must be Consistency (बोग्बता) * in the words of a sentence; i. e. the meaning of a word must be consistent with that of the other. For example, in the sentence 'प्यम किन्नति' the word 'प्यम्' (water) is consistent with 'सिन्नति'. But in the sentence 'अभिना सिन्नति', it being impossible to sprinkle anything with fire, the two words are not consistent with each other, although 'अभिना' has 'शासाहा ' for 'सिन्नति'.

Now Contiguity (आवित् or विविध) is uninterrupted utterance or unbroken apprehension of words which are placed in juxtaposition. It each word in a sentence is uttered after a long interval, or if

^{*(}अ) अर्थावाचो योग्यता । (तर्कसङ्ग्रहः)।(आ) पदार्थे तत्र तद्वता योग्यता परिकीर्तिता (भाषापरिच्छेदः)।(इ) योग्यता च तात्पर्य-विषयसंसर्गावाचः (वेदान्तपरिभाषा)।

^{†(}अ) पदानामविलम्बेनोचारणं संनिधिः (तर्कसङ्ग्रहः)।(आ) संनिधानं तु पदस्यासत्तिरूच्येतं (भाषापरिच्छेदः)। (इ) आसत्तिश्चान्यवमानेन पदजन्यपदार्थोपरिथतिः । मानान्तरेणोपस्थापितपदार्थस्यान्वयवोधामावात् पदजन्येति । (वेदान्तपरिभाषा)।

words in a sentence are not placed in juxtaposition, the sentence would make no sense, although the words in it might possess anatign and alvant.

It will thus be seen that आक्राङ्खा, योग्यता and संनिधि, the causes which yield the construed or unified meaning of a sentence, are usually taken to be the 'characteristics of words. Really speaking, ' आकारका' (Expectancy, desire to know), which is a property of the human mind, contains mainly a psychological element. For, it is not words but human beings that have a desire to know. But 'expectancy' is attributed to words in a secondary sense (उपचार), since a word conveys a meaning which further arouses in the mind of a reader or hearer a desire to know the meaning of another word connected with it. \$ Again, apragr (Compatibility, consistency) concerns itself more with meanings or things denoted by words than with words themselves. बोम्बता, which is really a property of things, is attributed to words in a secondary sense, as things and words denoting them are closely related. It is only आसारि or संनिधि (Contiguity) that is properly a property of words themselves. In short, the process of construing, particularly that in the लण्डान्यपद्धि, is

^{\$} सा (आकाङ्क्षा) चैकपदार्थज्ञाने तद्यान्वययोग्यार्थस्य यज्ञाने तद्विषयेच्छा ' अस्यान्वय्यर्थः कः ' इत्येवंस्पा पुरुषनिष्ठैव, तथापि तस्याः स्वविषयेऽर्थे आरोपः । अयमर्थोऽर्थान्तरमाकाङ्क्षतीति व्यवद्वारात् । ... पदं साकाङ्क्षमिति त साकाङ्क्षार्थवोषकमित्यर्थकम् । इ०

based on the psychological principles of languagestudy propounded by the Hindu schools of thought.

In connection with 'आकारखा', which may psychologically be termed 'curiosity' it can definitely be said that several traces of the modern technique of reformed teaching are found scattered in the vast range of Sanskrit literature. In ancient times, the method of questions and answers that owes its rise to this approximate was a method of wider application in oral teaching. Various dialogues in the Upanishads, भगवद्गीता, योगवासिष्ठ, महाभारत etc., and the dialogue-form predominantly employed in the ancient works on different शास्त्रड (व्याकरण, मीमांसा, etc.) are, as it were, the actual records of the oral lessons (प्रपादकः) given, and bear ample testimony to the fact that the method of questions and answers was largely used in expounding a subject, though in later times this ancient method was replaced by the 'Lecture Method' in the शाहरबाध्य and similar works. The method of questions and answers may thus be said to have been developed in the infancy of humanity, while the 'Lecture Method' came into existence to suit the advanced stage of human understanding. This fact further proves the psychological truth that the Method of Questions and Answers is very well suited to the curiosity and capacity of juvenile as well as average students; whereas the Lecture Method can be effective only when the students' understanding, power of concentration, and capacity for passive listening are sufficiently advanced.

The ancient method of questioning, however, differs in some respects from the modern one. According to the modern technique chiefly the teacher has to ask questions and the pupils to answer them; whereas in ancient times comprehensive questions (परिवाद) were asked by students, and teachers answered them. Answers were given by ancient teachers at the psychological moment when the curiosity of the pupils had been fully awakened (বংখবাদ্বাস্থ্য) by trying to discover things for themselves as in the Heuristic Method. Sometimes the teachers, by making use of 'Suggestion' in their teaching, created opportunities to rouse the curiosity (उत्याप्ताकाङ्वा) of their pupils or bring about the psychological moment, when the need for explanation would be badly felt. Besides, the ancient teachers having an exceptional power of supplying concrete and apt illustrations repeatedly utilized the means of knowledge called 'comparison' (उपमानप्रमाण), and told interesting stories for expounding highly philosophical abstractions. In short, आकाञ्चा (expectancy or curiosity) along with योग्यता (consistency) and संनिधि (contiguity) forms the backbone of the सण्डान्यपद्धति, which can be designated as the 'Dialogue Method', 'Conversational Method' or the 'Method of Questions and Answers'.

In contrast with the दण्डान्ययपदित questions in the खण्डान्ययपदित are asked in Sanskrit and not in the mother tongue; for, the construing of a Sanskrit passage is manifestly possible in Sanskrit alone. Construing a Sanskrit poem or a prose passage in the mother tongue is a contradiction in terms, and it is as unnatural as dissolving Sanskrit compounds in the mother tongue! It is, therefore, against nature and common sense to ask questions in the mother tongue to get at Sanskrit prose order. If questions in the mother tongue could be asked on a Sanskrit passage, they would possibly be of the formal type as referred to in the treatment of the दण्डान्ययप्रवित. But when the खण्डान्ययप्रवित is adopted, questions have to be framed mainly on the subjectmatter and secondarily on the grammatical forms of words. If exclusive use of the mother tongue is made for eliciting even the subject-matter, the answers received from students must obviously be in the mother tongue. Where is then scope for attending to the original language of a passage and ascertaining the inter-relations of words in a sentence? It is really unintelligible how according to the लण्डान्वयपद्धि vernacular questions could be framed on a Sanskrit passage in prose or verse, and be answered in the vernacular! The procedure appears to be so artificial that on account of this prominence of the mother tongue in a literaturelesson, the Sanskrit language of the passage without being studied is in danger of being thrown over-board !

For a good grasp of Sanskrit, due attention to its syntax and other peculiarities ought to be paid, though it would be a means to the end which is primarily the understanding and appreciation of literature. Various constructional aspects of Sanskrit would be properly attended to, if the actual wording of a Sanskrit passage would be elicited from the pupils for supplying full explanation in simple Sanskrit; and this is made possible only through Sanskrit questions. These are some of the reasons why in the expressing questions are asked in Sanskrit and not in the mother tongue.

Too much adherence to the usual questionforms in the every available is likely to result in monotony, to avoid which variety in the wording of questions will have to be maintained. For instance, at the initial stage instead of dissolving compounds in the prosaic traditional way, questions should sometimes be so framed that the compounds might of themselves be dissolved, and that the pupils might be led to understand intuitively the mutual relations of their different members. The questions at the initial stage should again be amplified by other questions asked to elicit even the subject object and verb of the principal clause, which in the खण्डान्यपद्धति is purposely isolated from the whole sentence and supplied by the teacher or the commentator through a statement. Again, at the advanced stage of the study of Sanskrit, intelligent questions according to the खण्डान्यपद्धि will have to be framed with tact to get at the subtle suggestion (ब्रह्मवार्थ) of words for a passage, to ascertain the purport (तालवार्थ) of a literary piece, to elicit the imagery of a poem by appealing to the emotions and imagination of students, to bring the poet's felicity of expression to their notice, and to impress upon their minds the writer's outlook on life.

Although the Shastris are aware of this सम्बान्यgafa on account of their study of Sanskrit commentaries, they do not seem now-a-days to bring it into practice. Perhaps, being fond of reading learned commentaries written in a high-flown style they generally disfavour the simple and loose style of the खण्डान्यपद्ति, which for the very reason conduces to the better understanding of students. Or possibly, owing to a very small number of pupils in their classes they are not required to adopt this method; and they find it convenient for such compact classes to have recourse to the quereq, which is more favourable for 'lecturing' than for 'questioning'. When the 'Lecture Method' is still so much prevalent all over India even in English Schools and Colleges in spite of the advent of improved methods of teaching, it would be unjust to deprecate the Shastris for relying much on the 'Lecture Method'. The खण्डान्यपद्ति, though not practised by the Shastris in their teaching, has been widely used in Sanskrit commentaries on famous poems and other classics. For explaining abstruse thoughts in different ज्ञासूड, as in the ज्याकरणमहाभाष्य of प्रास्तृति "

^{* (}a) "In Katyayana and Patanjali we can already recognise the technical reasoning, the dialectics of the Purva-Mimamsa and the philosophical schools, where the doubts and difficulties are formulated as dilemmas and where the solution of

and the मीमांसासूत्रभाष्य of श्वरस्वामी, this method has been invariably used. Thus the खण्डान्ययपद्धति

one dilemma starts the formulation of a new dilemma and so on in a long stream of debate, and where even the accuracy of expression seems to acquire mathematical exactness."

-Studies on Panini's Grammar, by Barend Faddegon, p. 42.

- (b) The method of Patanjall's Great Commentary-" This method is analogous to that which has become familiar through the classical commentaries of शहर on the Upanishads. of मेघातिथि and कुल्ट्क on मनु, of सायण on the Vedas, of विज्ञानेश्वर on याज्ञवस्क्य, and so on. Its character chiefly consists in establishing, usually by repetition, the correct reading of the text, in explaining every important or doubtful word, in showing the connection of the principal parts of the sentence, and in adding such observations as may be required for a better understanding of the author. Patanjall even excels in the latter respect, the commentaries instanced, for he frequently attaches his own critical remarks to the emendations of कात्यायन, often in support of the views of the latter, but not seldom, too, in order to refute his criticisms and to defend पाणिनि; while, again, at other times, he completes the statement of one of them by his own additional rules." -Panini, by Goldstucker, p. 100,
- (c) "The महामाज्य is interesting stylistically as giving us a lively picture of the mode of discussion of the day. A question is posed; an आचार्यदेशीय deals with it, not altogether incompetently but not quite satisfactorily, and an आचार्य solves the issue. The style, therefore, is lively, simple, animated, and not rarely do we find the question "Wherefore?", "How?", or "What?" put and then answered. Proverbial expressions and references to matters of everyday

is not only useful in juvenile teaching, but is also of immense advantage in the higher study of Sanskrit. But the Shastris, notwithstanding their familiarity with this लण्डान्ययपद्धि, have not realized the value of their own method as the most effective means of studying and teaching language. On the other hand, University students, who study Sanskrit, being more disposed to rely upon English translations of Sanskrit works than read original texts with their Sanskrit commentaries, are quite in the dark about the every . If a few of them ever care to read Sanskrit commentaries, they mostly come across commentators like महिनाय and others, who have almost adopted the querray for explanation. They do not at all know that there are many published as well as unpublished Sanskrit commentaries on spags, written according to the

life are introduced and serve both to enliven the discussions and to give us valuable hints of the conditions of life and thought in the time of Patanjall, who thus is a source of Information for religious and social history as well as for literature."

"The सूत्र [The प्रतिमासासूत्र] develops a method which is common to Indian science generally, and which was adopted by the writers on law; the subject is posed, the doubt is raised; the prima facial view is set out; then the correct decision is developed, and the matter brought into connexion with other relevant doctrines."

⁻A History of Sanskrit Literature, by A. B. Keith.

सण्डान्वयपद्धति, † which is the continuation of the Dialectic Method of प्राञ्चलि and others, and which, being perfectly psychological, can be brought into line with the most effective methods of teaching language as recently developed in the West. Owing to this ignorance the graduates, who were keenly intent on the improvement of Sanskrit teaching, could not bring the indigenous सण्डान्ययद्वि

- १ नैपधीयचरितम्-नैषधीयप्रकाशाख्यनारायणीयटीकासहितम् ।
- २ शिशुपालवधम्—बङ्घभदेवविरचितसन्देइविधीपधिव्याख्याः सनाथम् । [बङ्गभदेव is a well-known Kashmirlan commentator of the first half of the 10th century A. D.]
- ३ कुमारसम्मवम् नवनीतरामकृतवुद्धिबोधिनीव्याख्यायुतम् । [Unpublished]
- ४ मेषदूतम् बङ्गमदेवविसचितमेषदूतविवृतिसमेतम् ।
- ५ मेबदूतम्-स्थिरदेवकृतव्याख्यासनाथम् ।
- ६ यशस्तिलकचम्पू:-श्रुतसागरस्रिकृतचन्द्रिकाख्यव्याख्यासहिता ।
- ७ गीतगोविन्दम्-कुम्मरुपतिप्रणीतराधिकप्रियाञ्याख्यासहितम्।
- ८ गीतगोविन्दम्-शङ्करमिश्रनिर्मितरसमञ्जरीसमेतम् ।
- ९ अमबशतकम्-अञ्चनवमेदवप्रणीतरसिकसञ्जीवनीयुतम् ।
- १० स्यंशतकम्-त्रिमुवनपालप्रणीतटीकासहितम् ।
- ११ साम्बपद्माशिका—राजानकक्षेमराजविरचितविद्वतिसमेता।
- १२ राषवपाण्डवीयम्-शश्यरकृतप्रकाशदीकासहितम्।
- १३ सुधिष्ठिरविजयम्-राजानकरत्नकण्ठकृतटीकासहितम्।
- १४ हीरसीभाग्यम्-श्रीदेवाधमलगणिविरचितं स्वोपज्ञव्याख्यासहितम् ।

[†] The following are some of the Sanskrit commentaries representative of the खण्डान्यसम्बर्धाः—

to light and had to look for help at the Direct Method of the West!

After judging the relative merits of these two methods of construing, we find that the खण्डान्वय is far superior to the augusted in respect of languageteaching. In the first place, it is purely a psychological method suited to the instincts of pupils, since predominance of questioning in it is expected to arouse their curiosity (उत्याप्याकाङ्या) to the fullest extent and maintain constant interest in the classroom. Secondly, it is more suitable for group teaching than for individual teaching. Particularly for the large modern classes where heterogeneous types of pupils are trying to avail themselves of the ancient lore enshrined in Sanskrit, the खण्डान्यपदित of the Sanskrit commentaries is the proper method calculated to ensure co-operation between the teacher and the taught. Thirdly, in the individual and intensive study of original Sanskrit works of a higher type, the commentaries following the खण्डान्यपद्धि are sure to enlighten a student by making clear the inter-relations of words and ideas, nay, every minute detail, through the questions employed in them. Fourthly, the equipqu is more appropriate than the average for the teaching of poetry. Prose order or the regular construing in the mars the beauty of a poem instead of enhancing it; while questions in the खण्डान्त्रय can be so framed as to bring the emotional content of a poem into prominence and lead the pupils to understand automatically the relations of different words in a sentence. Thus the engrey helps us to bring out the hidden charm of a poem, heightens our sentiments and creates living poetic atmosphere which is most desirable for the real appreciation of that poem. In short, the engrey and bears close resemblance to the Direct Method, which in actual use is much akin to the 'Question-and-answer Method' that forms the main part of language lessons. It is worthy of note what the modern authorities on language-teaching say about this 'Question-and-answer Method'—

"Question-and-answer work is the most effective of all the language-learning exercises ever devised. In its various forms and grades it initiates, develops and utilizes the natural language-learning forces with which we are all endowed. It is the quickest and most effective approach both to the spoken and the written aspects of the language; it is the shortest cut to writing; and it may be adopted for purposes so diverse as the teaching of conversation, of abstract grammar, of composition, and of pronunciation".—'This Language-learning Business', By H. E. Palmer, and H. V. Radman.

It is needless to add here that the above remarks are equally applicable to the खण्डान्यपद्धि. Now that we have discovered that the indigenous खण्डान्यपद्धि, when it is amalgamated with the usage of elders (इदल्पवहार), is much the same as the Direct Method, many misconceptions about the New Method of teaching Sanskrit will vanish. When

we can see so much agreement between both these methods, will it not be unjust now to say that we are imitating the Westerners? Of course, in the light of the Western Principles of Language—Study, which also coincide with the main principles of the Indian schools of thought, the augraphy will have to be modified a little to suit the present needs.

After thus dealing at length with the utility and importance of the second step of a 'Literature' lesson according to the Shastri method, and taking into account the manifold purposes for which their सम्बान्यव्यक्ति could profitably be used, it would now be advisable to turn to the remaining steps.

(iii) Dissolution of compounds (समासविष्रह:) and (iv) Explanation of words unknown to pupils (पदार्थेकथनम्).

As both these (i. e. the third and the fourth) steps are adopted simultaneously by the Shastris instead of following them one after the other, it is expedient to combine the two into one. For, the meaning of a compound is not understood unless individual words in it are explained; and the meaning of the whole sentence cannot be grasped unless all the compounds in it are dissolved. Again, in order to dissolve compounds correctly, it is necessary to know their proper context, which can be known only after understanding the meaning of the remaining words in that sentence. This is why in the Shastri method the dissolution of compounds and explanation of unknown words go on side by side.

If thus the interdependence of both these steps leads us to the clarification of the meaning of the whole sentence, it is in the fitness of things that both of them should be mixed together. Nay, as the questioning alone in the खण्डान्ययपद्धि enables us to combine the stages of प्रच्छेद and अन्यय्योजना with the above two stages, the fourfold procedure of separating the Sandhis, construing, dissolving compounds and explaining unknown words goes on side by side in a unified form. Questioning, then, is the most important stage which facilitates the automatic separation of Sandhis in the same way in which it does the automatic dissolution of compounds. Now, although 'the dissolution of compounds' and 'explanation of unknown words' are mixed together in the guggaga as well as in the guggaga, convenience of treatment obliges us here to devote individual attention to each of them.

(iii) Dissolution of compounds—Sanskrit language is noted (not 'notorious', as some people wrongly suppose) for its compounds, which are decidedly of much help in securing brevity of expression. As already remarked, the striking example of this brevity is found in the spriffs compound into which a whole sentence can be compressed. We must, however, acknowledge the fact that some Sanskrit authors being fond of elaborate and florid style have carried the tendency of using compounds to excess, and made their style highly artificial and obscure at the sacrifice of lucidity. But this particular fact does in no way permit one to

generalize about the notoriety of the whole of Sanskrit literature for its compounds. τηση while treating of the nightlyle in contrast with the applier the best style, defines † sinam(vigour) as the profusion of compounds; and rhetoricians agree that superabundance of compounds is the characteristic of the night style.* Any way, students of Sanskrit literature must be conversant with compounds which, as indicated formerly, presuppose the knowledge of case-relations (πησης).

Pupils can be presumed to possess some know-ledge of compounds through their study of poetry in the mother tongue. This knowledge can be turned to good account while teaching Sanskrit, and made more definite and exact by asking the pupils to study the expense, \$ so that they themselves would be able to dissolve compounds without the aid of the teacher. Thinking that the expense serves all the practical needs of beginners, the Shastris value the applied knowledge of compounds more than mere theoretical knowledge, and give their pupils ample drill in recognizing and dissolving them in the proper context of literature.

[†] ओजः समासभूयस्वमेतद्रसस्य बीवितम् । परोऽप्यदाक्षिणात्यानामिदमेकं परायणम् ॥ — काव्यादर्शः, १८०

^{*} ओज:प्रकाशकैवेणैवेत्व आडम्बरः पुनः । समासबहुला गीडी॥— साहित्यदर्पणः, ९१४

^{\$} The distinguishing features of the main varieties of compounds with their examples and ways of dissolution are briefly but very clearly given in the समासनक as follows:-

In the case of a difficult and lengthy compound the Shastris themselves supply its literal meaning, which can express in the mother tongue all the विभक्तिs implied in that compound; and then they ask their pupils to use the corresponding faufters in Sanskrit. Here the Shastris say from a practical point of view that the length of a compound need not scare the pupils away, if the meanings of its several members are known. Their practical and useful hint for ascertaining the number of smaller compounds in a larger one is to ask the pupils to count all the words in the whole compound and then subtract the number 'One' from them: For instance, if a compound contains five words, the number of smaller compounds in it is generally four. Moreover, the Shastris show by precept and exemple how, while dissolving a larger compound, two components of it have to be taken each time, and how the preceding smaller member is to be connected with the following one.

(iv) Explanation of words unknown to pupils:
At the initial stage the Shastris who follow the
serpanced generally use the mother tongue as the
medium of instruction; and the more the pupils are
advanced in understanding, the more they make
use of the Sanskrit medium. It is then obvious that

चकारवहुलो इन्द्रः स चासौ कर्मधारयः। यस्य येषां बहुवीक्षिः शेपस्तत्युक्षयः स्मृतः॥ वृक्षशास्त्रा तत्युक्षयः श्वेताश्वः कर्मधारयः। रक्तमको बहुवीहिदैन्द्रश्चन्द्रदिवाकरी॥

at the elementary stage the meaning of every word unknown to the pupils is given by them in the mother tongue, at the intermediate stage simple Sanskrit equivalents are supplied along with their vernacular meanings, and at the advanced stage the mother tongue being dispensed with, Sanskrit explanation is given in the manner of Sanskrit commentaries. This, however, does not mean that the use of the mother tongue is totally banned at the advanced stage, or that all the Shastris without an exception employ the Sanskrit medium for higher teaching. Still this oral explanation in Sanskrit trains the pupils in the ready recognition of the language heard, establishes a 'direct bond' between words and ideas, makes for the assimilation of Sanskrit, and enlarges Sanskrit vocabulary. Moreover, the Sanskrit explanation paves the way for the study of Sanskrit commentaries, the reading of which is generally recommended at the advanced stage by the Shastris with a view to initiating their pupils in the art of construing literary passages for themselves and thus training them in self-help.

Along with the vernacular or Sanskrit explanation, lines from the Amara-Kosha are quoted every now and then by the Shastris in order to show how several synonyms can be found for a particular word in the text. These frequent quotations from the Amara-Kosha remind the pupils of the verses already learnt therein, show them how the 'spectral can now be used intelligently in the proper context of the text, and help them to enrich their Sanskrit

vocabulary. We may incidentally remark here that although the frequent quoting of the surregulat the time of teaching literature greatly helps the pupils to memorize words in their context, it is needless to burden the memory at least of average pupils at their tender age with the learning of the whole of the अमरकोश, which is merely a collection of versified word-lists without any context. This learning of the suprature is, even in the opinion of some learned Shastris, a wastage in education, as the major portion of it is forgotten unless pupils get an opportunity of studying a good deal of literature. If we desire average pupils to use the supply with advantage, it would be expedient to introduce select verses from it in the context of literature, and recommend the use of an abridged edition of it like the surrent of Prof. Gole to them for constant reference and memorizing.

Some Shastris think that this explanation of individual words would not suffice, and in order to ensure clear understanding, they ask their pupils to give in a synthetic form the vernacular translation of a verse or a sentence. This process of translating need not be considered much objectionable from the psychological point of view. For, even according to the New Method occasional use of translation is made to test the understanding of pupils. As has been convincingly proved by H. E. Palmer in 'The Scientific Study and Teaching of Languages', translation is not so 'indirect' as the staunch adherents of the Direct Method suppose.

On the contrary, it is more 'direct' than a more difficult Sanskrit synonym or paraphrase, and a vague, roundabout explanation in Sanskrit, \$ Again, what is the use of explaining the word 'ere:' by the word 'बृक्षविशेष:', 'चम्पकम्' by 'पुष्पविशेष:', 'मन:शिखा' by ' शातुविशेष: ' or ' कुकवाक: ' by ' पश्चिविशेष: ', when the corresponding equivalents in the mother tongue are more apt and intelligible than the above? Even after taking into account all the merits of the Direct Method we find that the establishment of the 'direct bond' is limited only to concrete objects, things or actions. Besides, in spite of the scrupulous avoidance of the mother tongue in the class, it is not possible for the teacher to prevent his pupils from mentally translating and thinking in the mother tongue what is spoken in the class, or from consulting an elderly person at home for vernacular equivalents or the translation of a Sanskrit passage. It is, therefore, quite necessary, in the interest of pupils, to resort occasignally to 'translation into the mother tongue.' Experience also tells us that even though a Sanskrit passage be taught by the Translation Method, "the direct band' between Sanskrit words and ideas

^{5 &}quot;Translation is a more direct mode of conveying the meaning of a unit than Definition, and a fartieri, more direct than context". p. 88.

[&]quot;The exclusion of translation as a regular means of conveying the meaning of units is an uneconomical and unnatural principle", p. 93.

⁻ The Scientific Study and Teaching of Languages' by H. E. Palmer.

in it can be established simply by asking the pupils to practise the loud and fluent reading of that passage for a number of times.

There are, however, other reasons why the sole use of the Translation Method for languageteaching is open to objection. In the adoption of the Translation Method in schools and colleges, it is, at present, the teacher who plays a more prominent part in the class-room than the pupils do. The teacher all the while translates literally the sentences of a passage one after another, and the pupils, having no scope for self-activity, either listen passively to what is said by the teacher, or take down what is dictated by him. Excepting a jew conscientious pupils the majority of them are either inattentive or sleepy. Again, the quality of this translation is low, since little attention is paid in it to the felicity of expression or the idiom of the mother tongue. All this procedure, being laborious and disgusting, is against psychology and the technique of teaching, both of which emphasize more self-activity on the part of the pupils. We are thus faced by the new problem of group-teaching and class-management, to solve which, more effective methods must be adopted. The खण्डान्वयप्रति or 'The Question-and-answer Method', as we have already observed, is of great service in giving full play to the activity of pupils and in keeping them all alert and active in the class.

The plan of the Shastris to use the Sanskrit medium for teaching in a gradually increasing

proportion is, we think, more natural than that of the Direct Methodists who employ the Sanskrit medium only at the elementary stage and recommend the use of the mother tongue at the advanced stage! Thus the Direct Method as practised at present in the teaching of Sanskrit is inadequate to the higher classes, where the Translation Method is mostly followed as an invariable alternative by the average teachers who feel diffident of using the Sanskrit medium. But the खण्डान्ययपद्धति, which unifies the two rival methods, viz., the Direct Method as well as the Translation Method, and incorporates all the best qualities of the old and new, or the Eastern and Western methods of teaching in an organized whole, is the only complete method embodying the 'eclectic' principle, and promises to be of immense advantage at the initial as well as the advanced stage of learning Sanskrit. A close study, therefore, of Sanskrit commentaries written according to the खण्डान्वयपद्धति is calculated to show the teachers of Sanskrit effective ways of supplying Sanskrit explanation through questions.

(v) Substance or purport of a sentence, paragraph or verse (बार्युयम्): The fifth step actually followed by the Shastris in ' literature ' lessons is ' बार्युवस्यन ' or the ' telling of the purport.' This fifth step is psychologically and logically an essential step, since it sums up in a synthetic form what is taught in detail according to the सण्डान्यय-पद्धि. In the adoption of the सण्डान्ययमद्धि as former-

ly treated of at length, the matter to be taught is, as it were, scattered on a wide area by the process of analysis through questions; and this circumstance might perhaps make a subject incomprehensible from the viewpoint of young learners. It must, however, be remembered that the method of every is not simply an analytical process of separating a sentence into its component parts, but it is an analytico-synthetic process in which several analysed members of a sentence are combined through the comprehension of the inter-relations of words by means of the principles of आकाङ्का, योग्यता and समिषि: and at last, the students are led to the unified and comprehensive meaning or Purport (वाल्प) of a senience or passage as a whole. In short, what is analysed by the equal-qq is again synthesized by this Purport or Drift. This drift is generally told by the teacher in a sentence or two; but it may also he elicited from the pupils by asking questions according to the angray. Yet, in order to avoid too much of the monotony of the questioning process and to bring variety in teaching, it would be better for the teacher actually to tell the drift than ask questions on it. The drift is usually repeated twice at the beginning and end of a new 'thought-unit' (a sentence or passage). It is first stated in the form of an ' अवसरिवस् ' (introductory remark)† or

[†]The commentaries written by आनन्दगिरि on the भाष्य of शहराचार्य are generally in this form of अवतरणिवा⁵, which

gaz, before presenting the details of a thoughtunit, after which it is repeated for the second time at the close of that thought-unit. What is newly learnt is thus cemented by this repetition of the Purport. The purport that is told at the beginning in the form of ' spage ' is again logically turned into ' fanga' or conclusion at the end. This process goes on from the first step to the fifth and vice versa in a cyclic order, and presents a finished form to the teaching of that thought-unit. This repetition of the purport further creates the unified impression of a topic as a whole, and is psychologically sound in so far as it gives the idea of the 'whole', particularly in the अववरणिका, before the learning of its parts. Modern psychology not only sanctions the learning, in general, of the whole before its parts, but combines the 'whole' and 'part' methods of learning into a Joint Method for a quick and ready recollection of the subjectmatter. Thus the method of guggett and the function of and both together create the unified impression of a subject, aid memory by making for the assimilation of what is taught and lead to the mastery of the subject learnt.

XI THOUGHT AND LANGUAGE.

In connection with the ताल्यंक्यन it is necessary to understand the scientific meaning of 'ताल्यंक्ति' give synthetically the purport of the प्रकृति of भाष्यु and facilitate clear understanding of the original.

as discussed by the Hindu schools of thought. But before dealing with the anadafa it is essential to turn to the linguistic topics that are preliminary to its full understanding. Those topics mainly relate to the nature of Speech, relation of thought and word, meaning of words in relation to objects, mutual connection of words in sentences, etc., and have been discussed in a hair-splitting manner with divergent views by the different Hindu schools of thought. The psychological implications of those views cannot be ignored, and the principles of language-learning contained in them can profitably be compared with the Western principles of language-learning. A summary of those views has already occurred in this book;† yet, a more detailed treatment of them here is calculated to accentuate their importance from the philosophical, psychological, linguistic and educational points of view.

According to the Upanishadic philosophy the energy (शकि) of the Intelligent Universal Self (परमासन्) changes by magic transformation (विवर्त) into the all-pervading Sound-essence (शब्दवर्ग), which is symbolically called OM, and which is the source of all names and forms (नामस्प) of the universe. In other words, the creative idea or thought of the Universal Self gets itself transformed into this uni-

[†] See pp. 118-120.

अनादिनिधनं ब्रह्म शब्दतत्त्वं यदसरम् ।
 विवर्ततेऽर्थमावेन प्रक्रिया बगतो यतः ॥ वाक्यपदीयम् १।१

verse with its names (speech) and forms. This creative idea or thought is further diversified through individual beings (রার) into their individual thoughts or ideas, which are still further developed into their individual forms of speech, articulate and inarticulate. In human beings Speech is articulate (वर्णात्मक), while in inanimate things and lower animals it is inarticulate (ध्वन्यात्मक). Thus all speech or sound is the incarnation of ओप , the three syllables अ-उ-प of which are, cosmically as well as individually indicative of the three states of wakefulness (जाप्रत्), dream (स्वप्न) and deep sleep (स्वप्ति) respectively, through which all beings with their thoughts and speech have to pass. In the beginning Speech lying motionless in union with the cosmic energy of quantity receives the name of परा बाक. This परा बाक undergoes three stages or gradually developing forms of speech, namely, प्रवन्ती, मध्यमा and बेलरी, corresponding to सुपति, स्वम and बाबत् , which are, in their reverse order, the aforesaid three states experienced by beings. Thus Speech, which is originally one indivisible whole (ओम्) is, as it were, divided into four forms by the limitations of the three states of individuals. The articulate speech that is used in all our daily affairs in the wakeful state is called 'केलरी'. Before this aged manifests itself and becomes articulate, it is identical with the idea or thought of the Universal Self or the Individual Self and is obviously the

incarnation of प्रा बाक्. In short, Speech is Thought itself in its developed form.

From this metaphysical point of view 'thought' and 'speech' are not two distinct entities, but speech is identical with thought. No relation can, therefore, be established between both of them except that of superimposition (style). But if from the phenomenal point of view speech is held for some time to be distinct from thought, then speech may be said to be the effect of thought which is the cause. Thought and speech are thus inseparably connected with each other. In the displays the psycho-physical process of the development of speech from thought is described as follows:—

"The Individual Self determines by his reasoning power the meanings (thought) to be expressed. With a desire to communicate them to others he impels his name (mind) to action. The mind makes its effort to push the internal heat (at the navel), which further impels the internal breath to rise. This internal breath after moving through the passage in the chest produces a low murmuring sound." With all this internal effort the breath allied with a motive or purpose starts from the name of the body, where it is designated as 'qu que' or speech of the unconscious level.

आतमा बुद्धचा समेत्यार्थान् मनो युङ्के विवसया । मनः काया-श्रिमाइन्ति स प्रेरयति मास्तम् । मास्तस्त्रसि चरन्मन्द्रं जनयति स्वरम् ॥। (वेदाङ्गशिक्षा, ६-७).

This breath rises up to the navel (नानि) and is on the point of coming to the conscious level, when speech is called 'quadi'. The same internal breath comes up to the chest (उत्स्, हृदय), and being transformed into a distinct thought of the conscious level, it assumes the form of speech called ' मध्यमा'. This inaudible form of speech is indicative of the स्पेट (essence of speech) of the वैशावरणड , When the same breath reaches the glottis (505) or mouth, the speech, which was thought itself at the former stage, is changed now into an audible sound (व्यति) which is termed 'देखरी', This बेखरी develops into human speech or language that is used in daily affairs. All languages on the earth are on a par with one another up to the limit of the 'quant' speech which consists of thought; but when they reach the level of and, they are diversified into languages of several countries. Human speech or language is, therefore, a developed form of देखरी, and it consists of words combined into sentences according to a desired sequence. Really, the desire or purpose of the speaker himself comes into contact with the objects of the world and attaches meanings to words in relation to them. All words in language are,

[†] मध्यमानादोऽर्थवाचकस्तोटात्मकशब्दव्यञ्जकः । (परमञ्जूषा, ४).

परा वाङ् मूलचक्रस्था पश्यन्ती नाभिसंस्थिता । इदिस्था मध्यमा जैया वैखरी कण्ठदेशमा ॥ वैखर्या हि इतो नादः परअवणगोचरः। मध्यमया इतो नादः स्कोटब्यञ्जक उच्यते ॥ (परमलप्रुमञ्जूषा, ४).

therefore, indicative of objects which are not apart from names and forms flashing forth from the Intelligent Self, and are inseparably associated with their meanings which are on the same level with thought. A word, therefore, is a collection of sounds or letters, which, being the transformations of thought or motive, are produced in relation to objects according to a particular order by the internal effort of the speaker. That words are permanently associated with their meanings or thoughts is a fact long ago recognized by the मीम्सिक्ड. The ब्रेस्ट्रिशक who uphold the theory of one indivisible arrange establish eternal relation between thought and word." The नैयाचित्रs, however, say that words are non-eternal, and that Sound is a quality of the ether, though they are found to recognize that the relation between thought and word is conventional (संइतासक). The linguistic principle that can be derived from all this is that the motive or purpose of a speaker is understood by ascertaining the inseparable association of thought and word in relation to objects.

It will now be seen how the न्यायिक and वियाकाणs express, on the psycchological basis, their views about the relation of a word to its meaning. A word is a collection of sounds (letters) arranged in a particular order. Single letters or syllables, how-

^{*} See the वार्तिक ' सिद्धे द्याब्दार्थसम्बन्धे ' and the भाष्य thereon (पातं. म. भाष्य, १)

ever, cannot convey a meaning unless they are combined into one whole to form a word. A word thus singnifies a person or object only when several syllables in it are unified. For instance, single letters like ar == a must be unified before they denote 'a child'; This unification, however, is not possible unless there is the simultaneous perception of all the letters of a word. This simultaneous perception is impossible, since the letters of a word are perceived by us one after another and vanish as soon as they are uttered or heard. Thus each syllable of a word, when uttered, lasts for a moment and hence there can be no unification or conjunction of all letters. But here the aurities hold that memory helps the unification of letters into a word. They add that the perception of the last syllable of a word, when it is associated with the recollected impressions of the immediately preceding syllables, gives synthetic form to a word, which then denotes an object and conveys sense according to convention (सङ्कत). What they mean is that letters recalled and unified into a word by memory produce the sense of that word. Here an objection may be raised that even though the perception of the last syllable of a word is possible, there is no reason why all the preceding syllables should occur to the memory. It is probable that some letters will not be recollected; and even if it is supposed that all the preceding letters are recollected, we can simply say that memory helps us in recollecting letters and not in conveying

sense. An entity distinct from letters must, therefore, be postulated for coneying sense.

This entity is, according to the aurerus, ' स्तेट' or the eternal sound-essence. The देवादरणड recognize two forms of a word. One form is all the noneternal and audible sounds (ध्वित) in language expressed by the anti-speech. The other form is the eternal and inaudible sound-essence (स्केट). which is the unifying principle of all non-eternal words and their meanings, and which is indicated by the quant-speech. Of these two the latter is principal with reference to the former. All noneternal words in language are, therefore, the effects of the eternal cause or ultimate germ of words known as ' स्कोट '. This eternal and indivisible स्कोट contains eternal meanings in their unmanifested form and is responsible for assigning the same meaning to the same articulate word denoting an object or person. Even though letters or words disappear as soon as they are uttered, yet the entry which is the cause of lending unity to a word and its meaning, remains unaffected. What the non-eternal letters, words or sentences in language do here is that when they are uttered in succession from the first to the last, they simply reveal or suggest, in a more and more distinct and synthetic form, eternal meanings already contained in the unmanifested Thus the perception of the last letter of a language-unit (a word or a sentence) aided by the impressions of preceding letters is only instru-

mental in making the eternal sense flash suddenly before our mind as an indivisible whole. Again, es the ent is divested of parts, it is manifested as a unity directly indicating the meaning of a word, sentence, paragraph, etc. The order of letters, words, sentences, etc., is an unreal and conventional attribution to the eas which is without order or sequence; and the grammatical process of analysing words or sentences into component parts is imagined only for conducting affairs in life and for facilitating the understanding of novices. Thus, as things on the earth and sounds in language (i. e. letters, words, etc.) are intimately connected with the entry words express themselves as well as the meanings of things in relation to the refiz. One thing must be noted here that it is the sound (letters, words, etc.) that is first cognized and then its meaning, and that the meaning is not produced but is revealed by letters, words, and others. The वैयाकरणंड have enumerated eight varieties of स्क्रेट; but they hold ' वाक्यकोट ' to be the principal and real one. They say that a sentence alone can reveal complete meaning, i.e., a sentence is the unit of language; while letters and words are subordinate to that sentence. This principle well corresponds with the Western principle of languagestudy that a sentence is the unit of language.

The Indian schools of thought (सङ्ख्य, मीमांबा, बेदाल, त्याय and बेधायर) except that of बोग co not find it necessary to postulate this स्तोट or sound-essence. They either take only the physical (external) view of 'sea' or accept 'amaga' which embodies the principle that letters (वर्ष) are expressive of sense, though there are subtle differences among them as to whether 'spec' is eternal or non-eternal. If we look at the internal aspect of 'spet', we need not say that the स्रोटवादिन्ड are very far from truth, since their स्कोट can be identified with the मध्यमा-speech of the प्रणव (ओम्) propounded by the Upanishads. But श्रुकराचार्य, who sides with the वर्णवादिन्ड thinks that the theory of exiz is superfluous and complicated. Quoting the opinion of उपवर्ष, an ancient मीमांस्ट and वेदानित , he has refuted the theory of स्तेट in his Illuminating माध्य (ब. स्. १-३-२८) and psychologically explained the relation between thought and word by establishing that letters can convey sense. A summary of his arguments will not be out of place here. He says as follows-

A word is not the स्कोट but it is a group of letters (वर्ण). That the letters क, स and others vanish as soon as they are uttered is a false notion. For, we have always the experience: "I repeat the same letter 'क' that I uttered before." This experience is proved to be true in respect of every letter and it is called 'recognition' (प्रत्यक्षित). This experience is not based upon likeness, since we do not say: This letter क is like that letter क' but say: 'This is the same letter that I uttered before.' The experience of recognition is based upon the identity of

past and present experiences and not upon likeness. Again, this recognition relates itself to the individual letters = , w and others and not to their class-notion (करव, खरव, etc.); for, difference among individuals along with their similarity should be seen before they can be included in a class. As only identity of letters is recognized by us instead of their difference, we must conclude that we have the recognition of individual letters and not of their class. For instance, when we repeat the same word ' it is said that the word 'nh' has been repeated twice and not that two words ' if; ' are repeated. If any differnce is observed among individual letters #, #, etc., it is due to the external influences such as voice, pronunciation, accentuation, etc., and not due to the innate nature of letters. The recognition that the same letters are uttered is due to the inherent nature of individual letters themselves. As sense can be gathered from a group of such letters, it is unnecessary to postulate the theory of sale

If it is said that the sense in the form of स्थार flashes out suddenly in the mind after it has received impressions left by the perception of single letters, it may be replied that this is the synthetic activity of the mind (समस्त्रव्यवम्भिनी बुद्धिः) that is also connected with letters. For, after each letter of the word 'भी: '[ग्+औ+:] has been perceived in succession, the synthetic power of the mind forms collectively a single concept which is connected with the aggregate of letters and not

with File. As this unifying mental activity refers only to letters, it cannot be an indication to prove the existence of witz which is different from letters. For instance, when the word 'm: ' is desired to be spoken, only the letters n and others occur to the mind; and the letters and others of a word which is not desired to be spoken do not occur. If the object of cognition for the unitying activity of the mind is exit which is different from the letters q and others, then there is no reason for a and others to occur to the mind, just as there is no reason for a word beginning with a to occur, when a word beginning with it is desired to be spoken. But this does not happen-Hence the synthetic mental activity which refers to the aggregate of letters is not about witz, but is only a recollection connected with the letters. Again, it is also possible for the different letters of a word to become the object of a single concept, as in the case of words like पश्चित (row), वन (forest), सना (army) and others. The collective sense of each of these words is understood by the synthetic mental activity, though each one contains several individual things or members (i.e. trees, soldiers). The same unifying mental activity forms an aggregate of the different letters contained in a word.

One would object to this that if only letters combined into an aggregate to form a word would become an object of a single concept, there would be no difference between words like \$1 (ape)

and ' fre ' (cuckoo) on account of the occurrence of the same letters. But it may here be explained that though the aggregate of letters (of a word) would be the object of cognition to the mind yet those letters would occur to the synthetic mental activity according to their desired sequence in that word, just as ants going one after another give us the idea of a row. Although the letters in a word would, perhaps, be the same, the particular sequence of them would help us to differentiate one word from another. The usage of elders (बृद्धव्यवहार) has established that when letters with a particular number are grouped and arranged in a particular sequence, they should be associated with a particular meaning; and when a child begins to learn a language, those letters, though they are perceived singly one after another, are similarly unified by his (or her) synthetic mental activity into different words, which invariably convey particular meanings according to the predetermined sequence and number of letters. This process of understanding the sense from letters, as propounded by the avignizas, is simpler than that propounded by the agreems on the basis of the theory of स्क्रेंट. Thus शहराचार्य concludes his साध्य by saying that in the hypothesis of salz there is a negation of facts that are actually perceived (इप्हानि) and an assumption of what is not perceived (अहम्बद्धाता). That letters uttered in succession reveal the 'exit' and that this exit again reveals the sense

is a far-letched and complicated idea involving the fault of ' nite '.

The most important point to be noted in the foregoing summary of the appr is that the unification of single letters into aggregates (words) is made by our synthetic mental activity (समस्तप्रत्यसमर्थिनी g(2:) and that the meaning arises from such words in relation to things in the universe. This synthetic mental activity is continuously engaged in unifying letters into words, words into sentences, sentences into paragraphs, etc., and helps us to give the connected sense of a passage or a book also. This mental activity which is a reflection of Pure Consciousness (चेत्रल्) assumes a particular state (वृत्ति) in relation to a particular word, just as it does with objects, and retains that mental state with regard to a particular word as long as it has not given rise to another state with regard to another word. In other words, the span of this mental state lasts till another state different from it has arisen," and the cognition of words, sentences, etc., in their synthetic form is made possible, though they are uttered or written one after another. Another important fact to be noted in the my is that in the process of learning a language शहराचार्य recognizes the prominent place occupied by the usage of elders (बृद्धव्यवहार), which is held by नागेश, the celebrated grammarian, to be the chief of all the

^{* · · ·} अन्तःकरणवृत्तिरकैय, न तु नाना, वृत्तेः स्वविरोधिवृत्युत्पत्ति-पर्यन्तं स्थायित्वाभ्युपयमात् । (वेदान्तपरिभाषा, १).

ways of learning the meanings of words (शक्तिआहक-शिरोमणि).†

XIL THE UNIT OF LANGUAGE—3 sentence or a word. (अन्विताभिधानवाद and अभिहितान्वयवाद)

The reason, why the usage of elders or popular usage (ह्यूब्यवृह्द, लोकव्यवृह्द) is held to be so very important among the eight modes of language-learning (See p. 119), is that when a child first begins to learn a language, it is only the actual use of it by elders in daily life that counts for much; while grammar, dictionaries, commentaries, etc., do not help a child in determining the senses of words, since they are beyond its capacity. In the case of a child the psychological process of understanding the meanings of words, according to the popular usage, takes place as follows:—

An elderly person ordering another elderly person (a servant, junior) says 'देवदच, मामानव' (Oh देवदच, bring a cow). The second elderly person, who hears this sentence, performs the action of bringing the cow. A boy who sits near hears the same sentence and observes that the cow has been brought. The boy then inters that since the second elderly person performed the action of bringing

[†] हा च शक्तिः सायुध्विवापभ्रंशेष्वपि, शक्तिमाहकशिरोमणे-व्यवहारस्य तुल्यत्वात् । -परमलसुमञ्जूसा, प्र. १.

the cow after understanding the meaning of the command, the words (t.e. the sentence) 'mqqq' must be related to that action. He thus broadly concludes that the meaning of the action of bringing the cow must be the meaning of the sentence 'बामानव'. Again, the boy hears the first elderly person saying to the second, 'at asia' (Tie the cow), and observing that the cow has been fied accordingly, he infers that the sense of tying the cow must be the sense of the sentence, ' at agra'. This is how he understands broad senses of words as combined in sentences. He again hears the first elderly person saying to the second, 'अध्यानम्' (Bring a horse), and observing the action of bring ing the horse, he infers that the words in the sentence 'अध्यमानय' are related to the said action as before. After hearing these sentences he naturally begins to compare them. He then finds that in the sentences 'गामानय' and 'गां वधान' one word 'mmy' is common, and that the beast brought and tied is also common. Then by the mental process of inclusion and exclusion (आवापोद्धाप-अन्वयव्यतिरंक) he excludes the individual words आन्य and बचान, and takes the common word my to mean 'a cow'. Thus a direct bond (relation) between the word ma and the beast 'cow' being established in his mind, he understands the meaning of that individual word. Similarly by the comparison of the sentences ' शामानव ' and ' अश्वमानय ' and by the process of inclusion and exclusion (अन्वयञ्चतिरेक) he finds that

the word ' आन्य', which is common, was repeated twice in connection with the same action of bringing, and concludes that ' आन्य' must mean' Bring'. This is generally the process by which a child learns to speak." Here the child understands the senses of individual words, only when they are combined in sentences and not independently of any context or relation.

As the meaning of a word is understood only in relation to the other words or context of a connected sentence, the process of learning a language by popular usage is otherwise called 'अन्विताभिधान '† (expression of the connected), and the प्रामाकरमीमांसक, who propound this theory of अन्वितामिधान, are called अन्वितामिधानवादिन्ड. The अन्विता-भिषानवादिन्ड say that the सङ्कत or शक्ति (convention, power) that such and such a word should have such and such a sense, is understood through the connected sense of a sentence and in relation to an object, person or action also. Hence, according to the अन्वितामिषानवाद a sentence is the unit of language and not an independent word. The नैयाविकड and आल. द्वारिक्ड have recognized this theory of अन्विवाभिषान as a mode of learning the significance of words, though they do not lend complete support to it. The वेदान्तिन्ड, as referred to above, give due importance to the

^{*} See pp. 60-61.

^{ां} अन्वितानामेव अर्थान्तरसम्बद्धानामेव पदार्थानामभिषानं शब्दैः प्रतिपादनम् ।

usage of elders, and the वैदाकरणs call it 'शक्तिप्राहकशिरोमणि' (the foremost among the modes of knowing the meaning). The agragus do not recognize the existence of a word as apart from the indivisible sense (अखण्डाय) of a sentence (बाबयस्तीर), i. e., they think that a word is unreal with reference to the wordessence (स्कीट) which is real; while the अन्विवाभिधान-वादिन्ड recognize the separate existence of a word as a part of a sentence. Yet they lay more stress on the construed meaning (अन्तितामिद्यान) of a sentence than on the individual meaning of a word. Hence according to the अन्विताभिधानवादिन्ड it is first the sentence that is understood in its connected form and then its constituent words. As in popular usage a child understands connected sentences before understanding their separate words, a sentence is the unit of thought and speech according to the ' अन्विताभिधानवादिन्ड. It will thus be found that in spite of their mutual difference as regards the position of a word in a sentence, the अन्विताभिषानवादिन्ड and the agrages seem to hold that a sentence is the unit of language, and both of them recommend the usage of elders or the actual use of a language as a superior way of teaching the meanings of words. This principle closely corresponds to the Direct Method of the West.

As described above, a child subconsciously undergoes the detailed process of hearing, observation, inference, understanding the connected sense of individual words, and the establishment of

a direct bond between a word and an object, person, etc., in their due order; and this process being thoroughly psychological is in complete harmony with the modern psychology and linguistic principles. The child here learns a language without being conscious of the fact that it learns. According to the Western principles of language-study † a child possesses, in a high degree, natural or spontaneous capacities for acquiring speech. It is not only the mother tongue but other languages also (even a classical language) that can be learnt by means of the natural capacities for the imitation of elders and sub-conscious assimilation · of language units. Studial capacities for learning languages are not natural but can be acquired by conscious practice, when a child is ripened in age and understanding. This is the reason why grammar, dictionaries, and commentaries can be used for learning a language only at the advanced stage. To make exclusive use of studial capa-. cities in language-learning from the beginning is an unnatural process. Language-learning must, therefore, begin with the use of natural capacities, which may, at the advanced stage, be supplemented by studial capacities. The studial capacities then make it possible for the teacher to lay down a corrective course for eradicating bad habits of speech and writing and for developing good ones. It will thus be found that the Eastern and Western thinkers are at one in laying due stress on the

[†] Read 'The Principles of Language-Study' by H. E. Palmer.

usage of elders, and that the actual use of language in its association with objects persons etc., was recognized long ago by the Eastern thinkers as an effective means of language-learning. For this reason the Direct Method of teaching Sanskrit to young pupils should not be regarded as an innovation, and the efficacy of teaching new Sanskrit words in the context of connected Sanskrit sentences need not be doubted.

Now the granaufiniess hold that a verb is theprincipal word in a sentence and that the remain ing words in the sentence possess a meaning only in relation to the action (fixur) denoted by the verb; in other words, the remaining words have a susrelation to the verb. Then the construed meaning of the sentence not being something different from the expressed meanings of several constituent words, there is no necessity of postulating a separate function called any as has been done by the अभिदितान्त्रयवादिस्ड. They, moreover say that the usage of elders being mostly concerned with injunctions or something to be done (), only those sentences which contain commands (शिव्यर, कार्यपर), i. e., sentences like 'गामानव', 'अश्र बदान' containing verbs in होट (imperative), हिङ (potential), etc., can convey a legitimate meaning; while the assertive sentences which are mere statements of fact (सिद्धव व्यव) or which do not signify something to be done are spiggs and cannot convey any meaning independently of a faffaging. This view is, according

to the नेवाविक्ष and बेटान्सिन्ड, not correct. For, even सिद्ध बाक्य like ' बेल, पुत्रस्ते बात: ! (Oh बेल, o son has been born to you), ' कम्या ते गरिवर्ग जाता' (Your unmarried daughter has conceived are independent and significant enough in gladdening or saddening the person addressed. Leaving aside the implications of these divergent views of the riples and agricage, we can derive from this a linguistic principle that connected sentences used in conversational lessons based on granger can be in any mood, indicative, imperative or potential, and can equally convey independent meanings. Again, as assertive sentences (सिद्धवास्पर) like काउन्यां त्रिसुवन-तिलको भूपति: ', ' त्रय: काला: ' etc., ere significant enough in the absence of verbs, sentences without verbs can also be used in conversational lessons without loss of meaning.

Again, the प्रापादर्गामांबाइ say that every sentence is composed of two portions (अंग). Of them the first portion is the constituent words and the second is their mutual relation which is called 'construction' (अन्वय, संस्ते). It is, therefore as much necessary to understand the signification or power (शक्ति) of the portion of अन्वय, as that of the portion of constituent words. For, if we shall understand simply the signification (शक्ति) of individual words, then we shall be at a loss to understand their mutual relation. It is, therefore, essential to comprehend the signification of the portion of relation (अन्वयाह) also.

But the नेपापिकs along with the माइमीमांसक take a different view of the matter. It is somewhat true, they say, that according to the usage of elders a child begins to learn the meanings of individual words through the connected sense of a sentence, and that the context of a sentence often helps us to understand the meaning of a word. Yet during the further stages of the learning process the child is able to understand the signification of a word in isolation or bereft of its relation to a sentence. According as he advances in understanding, he comes to know that single words exist independently in language and their meanings can be known from dictionaries, reliable persons (आप) etc. If it is supposed, say the नेपापिक and the माइमीमांसक, that there are no independent words having separate meanings in language, we shall not be able to classify them into different parts of speech. Again, while reading a book or hearing a speech, sentences become unintelligible, if individual words in them are not known. The meanings of sentences are thoroughly understood only when the definite meanings of difficult words in them are known from a dictionary, though the general meanings of words in dictionaries are modified a little in the context of sentences in a book. Even our former knowledge of individual words helps us to understand any new matter, Again, for supplying explanations, oral or written (commentaries), particular words or phrases are selected more than others, although their general senses are to be made

specific to suit the context. Similarly etymological explanations are supplied only in the case of detached words and not in the case of a sentence. All these instances show that words are taken by us to have general, unconnected senses. It is then the individual senses of separate words in language that must first be expressed (अभिद्वित) and known; and then their construction or combination (अन्त्रव) into a sentence would be intelligible. Thus the theory advocating the synthesis (अन्त्रव) of the senses of unconnected words in the form of a sentence is called अभिदिवान्त्रववादक (connection of the expressed), and the माइमीमांबद्द as well as the मैयाविद्ध who uphold it are designated as अभिदिवान्त्रववादिन्ड.

According to the अभिहितान्यवादिन्छ, then, detached words in language possess individual meanings, which are conveyed by the वृद्धि (functions of words) called अभिषा (Expression) and अभूषा (Implication). Yet, if several independent words like 'गोरबः पृद्धो इत्सी ' are merely put together without any relation (अन्वय), they do not convey anything beyond denoting the meaning of each individual word. As soon as each of these words is uttered or read, its expressive power (ग्रांकि) after doing its work is exhausted and does not lead us further. As there is no mutual relation between the words 'गोरबः पृद्धो इत्सी ', they do not convey any

अभिद्वितानां पदार्थानां अर्थाभिधायिनां वा पदानामन्वय इति वादः ।

unified sense of a sentence. This unified sense in the form of a sentence arises, when the general meanings of detached words mutually bear a relation (अन्त्य), which is established by Expectancy (आकाङ्वा), Consistency (योग्यता), and Contiguity (स्तिष्), as formerly treated of at length. This new or additional sense, which results from the correlation of individual senses, is not only a totality of them, but something more than that totality. It then assumes a peculiar shape (193949:) and is distinct from the individual senses of words (अपदाय), र The individual senses, which then become the constituents, are modified and subordinated to this principal sense of a sentence. The independent meanings of words thus becoming dependent are turned into relational ones and subserve the unified meaning, which is called the sense of a sentence (वाक्यार्थ) or the purport (ताल्यार्थ), and which is conveyed by a separate function called arguista. If, therefore, the correlation (संसर्ग, अन्वय) of significant words themselves is the connected sense of a sentence, and if that correlation can be automatically established by means of आकाङ्का, योग्यता and विनिधि, it is sufficient for us, say the अभिद्वितान्त्रय-बादिन्ड, simply to understand the signification (शकि) of constituent words, and it is unnecessary to

[†] आकाङ्बाबीम्यतासंतिषिवशात्यदार्थाता समन्त्रेय सायर्थथी विशेष-बपुरपश्योऽपि वाक्यार्थः समुद्धसतीश्रमिहितान्वयवादिनो मतम् ।

⁻काव्यपकाराः, राह्.

postulate a separate signification of the portion of relation (अन्वयांश), as the अन्वितामिधानवादिन्ड do.

It may here be remarked that the views of both the अन्यिताभिषानवादिन्ड and अभिद्वितान्वयवादिन्ड, as detailed above, contain partial truth. Although neither of them is absolutely true or superior to the other. each of them is correct and useful from its own standpoint. If the aspect of the precedence of a sentence in language-learning is taken into account, the view of the अन्त्रिताभिषानवादिन्ड is much plausible. For, when a child begins to speak, it assimilates language and thinks in the form of a connected sentence rather than in that of disconnected words. though it may express itself in single words for want of sufficient vocabulary. In order to encourage and develop this natural capacity of a child to assimilate language, the teacher will have to resort to the view of the अन्यताभिषानवादिन्ड, who lay more stress on the aspect of a connected sentence than on that of words. This is how the conversational method of teaching Sanskrit at the beginner's stage finds full support in the theory of the खन्विताभिधानवादिन्ड. But the theory of the अन्विता-भिधानवादिन्ड does not seem to be absolutely true, when the aspect of the independent existence of significant words (42) in language, as shown by the अभिदितान्वयवादिन्ड, is taken into consideration. There is some truth in the view of the अभिहितान्ययवादिन्ड also. For, when the pupils reach the advanced stage of language-learning, they begin to realize

that words in language exist apart from their context of a sentence also, and they learn their individual meanings from different sources like dictionaries, oral explanations, written explanations (commentaries) and others. It will thus be found that the principle of अभिहितान्वय is useful particularly at the advanced stage of languagelearning, and that the conversational method, based on the relatively correct principle of अन्तिता-भियान, has its own limitations, though it is at the beginning the natural method calculated to create a proper attitude towards Sanskrit. At the advanced stage too when the context of a connected sentence determines the meaning of a particular word in it, the principle of अन्वितामिधान is occasionally useful. But the principle of अभिहितान्त्रम, that detached words are unified into the special sense of a sentence by the process of construing (अन्वय), is more useful at the advanced stage than that of अन्यतामियान, since the pupils by that time are able to recognize different parts of speech as well as their distinction from a sentence (unified sense), and are expected to have developed their studial capacity for consciously learning isolated forms of words through grammar (ब्याकरण), dictionaries (क्षेत्र), commentaries (विवृति), etc.

Though the अन्विताभिषानवादिन्द and the अभिदितान्वय-बादिन्द differ from each other as regards their approach to language, they are at one in recognizing the principle of अन्वय. As the अन्विताभिषानवादिन्द, however, say that a sentence expresses itself only

in its construed (अध्यत) form, they are not required to resort to the separate process of correlation or construing (अन्यय) of different words into a sentence as the अभिहितान्ययवादिन्ड do. The process of construing, specially advocated by the अभिहितान्वयवादिन्ड, further develops into the two distinct modes of suspense and esuspense, which have been formerly dealt with in detail. Since those two methods of construing (दण्डान्वय and खण्डान्वय) have received the form of a permanent record in the Sanskrit commentaries on Sanskrit classics, their oral use in actual teaching must have long preceded the commentary literature and must have been current in encient times. It may thus be said that the methods of querray and querray are based more on the linguistic theory of अभिहितान्यय than on that of अन्विसामिधान.

In contrast with the अखण्डवाक्यायंगादिन्ड (स्रोटवादिन्ड) the मीमांसक and the नेपाधिक are 'खण्डवाक्यायंगादिन्ड'; for, according to them a sentence is capable of being resolved into several parts. Of these खण्ड-वाक्यायंगादिन्ड, the अभिहितान्ययगादिन्ड (भाइमीमांसक and नेपायिकड) say that separate words, which form a sentence, can be construed and re-arranged in their proper order for the easy understanding of their connected meaning. So the views of the अभिहितान्ययगादिन्ड seem to have played a prominent part in the development of the methods of रण्डान्यय and खण्डान्यय. As the नेपायिकड hold the 'subject' to be the principal word in a sentence, the method of

gazing is akin to their process of understanding the meaning of a sentence.\$ On the other hand, though the मीमास्ट्र in general take the verbal form to be the main word in a sentence, \$ the views of the माहमीमांबकs (अभिहितान्वयवादिन्ड) might have particularly led to give a fixed and organized form to the method of wagrag, since only the mass are found to recognize the existence of single (detached) words and their construing. Against these खण्डबाक्यार्थवादिन्ड there are the स्रोटवादिन्ड who are called आलग्हवाक्यायवादिन्ड, because according to them a sentence is an indivisible whole. According to their view there is no scope for the construing of words, as a sentence cannot be divided into parts. They again think that only the indivisible graperity is real, and that the disintegration (अपोदार) of a sentence into words, or of words into crude forms (प्रकृति) and affixes (प्रस्त्) is an unreal process resorted to for the understanding of unintelligent people. If they are thus found to adopt, against their main tenet, the imaginary process of analysis for the sake of children, there is no reason why, according to them, even the imaginary process of synthesis or construing (अन्त्य) should not be adopted. The Sausans may, therefore, be taken in this particular

^{\$} For instance, the नैयायिकs understand the sentence 'देवदत्तस्तण्डुलं पचित ' as 'तण्डुलकर्मक-पाकातुक्लकरा अयो देवदत्तः' and the मीमांसक understand the same as 'देवदत्तकर्तृक-तण्डुल-कर्मक-पाकिका.'

sense to be favourable to the methods of construing, which facilitate understanding. Thus the recognition of significant and separate words in language and their combination into a sentence by the process of ल्डान्य and द्वान्य for the purpose of expressing an additional sense or purport (ताय्य) are both of them an analytico-synthetic process adopted by the अभिहितान्ययादिन्छ.

It will then be found that the views of the अन्वितामिधानवादिन्ड as well as of the अभिद्वितान्वयवादिन्ड are relatively true, and that the parts of truth contained in both of them can be amalgamated for fixing a graded course in languageteaching. As indicated above, the view of the अन्विताभिधानवादिन्द sanctions, according to the usage of elders (बुद्धव्यवहार), the conversational or oral method, which is to be adopted particularly at the initial stage of teaching Sanskrit; while the view of the अभिहितान्वयवादिन्छ, who lay down the principles and methods of construing, will be of great help in teaching at the advanced stage, when the pupils have learnt to read and write that language. It has already been seen that the natural capacities, which can be utilized in the oral teaching of language, do not suffice when boys begin to read and write. Reading, writing (dictation, composition, translation, etc.), analysis, synthesis, conjugation and declension require studial capacities, which can be developed by making use of inelligence, eyes and hands in addition to ears. At

the first stage, therefore, sufficient scope for hearing, imitating and reproducing language, all of which require natural capacities for assimilation, may be given; yet these natural capacities must be gradually assisted by studial capacities, if an allround course in language-teaching, following the linguistic principles of 'Gradation', 'Proportion', 'Rational Order of Progression' and 'Multiple Line of Approach', is to be prepared. The methods of इण्डान्यय and खण्डान्यय, which require the use of studial capacities, are expected to give a finished and graded form to the Sanskrit course. It has formerly been shown in detail that the method of खण्डान्वय, which is based on the principles of अभिहितान्वयवाद, is far superior to that of दण्डान्वय, since it is a psychological method making a prominent use of questions and answers. Question-and-answer work being an important feature of the Conversational or Direct Method, the method of खण्डान्य has been found to bear much resemblance to it. Thus the oral use of the method of waggage for teaching Sanskrit at the advanced stage will be a natural growth of the Conversational Method, which is based on the बृद्धच्यवहार of the अन्विताभिधानवादिन्ड and which is to be utilized at the elementary stage. This combination (समुद्धय) of the theories of अन्वितामिधान and अभिहितान्वय is calculated to prove very beneficial to the practice of Sanskrit teaching, which will thus be based on the Eastern

^{*}Read The Principles of Language-Study, by H. E. Palmer.

linguistic principles gradually evolved from the peculiar nature and structure of Sanskrif language. Moreover, the Eastern linguistic principles and methods finding their parallels in those of the West, will stand the test of the modern scientific methods of language-teaching. It may be remarked in passing that the above combination (समृद्ध्य) of अन्तिवा-मिमान and अभिविद्यान्य is not an innovation; for such समुख्यवादिन्द, as referred to by 'मुकुल' in his 'अभियावृद्धि—मानुका', seem to have already existed in ancient times. †

XIII. EXPRESSION (अभिधा).

It will now be opportune to see how the अभिद्वितान्यवादिन्द, after accepting the independent existence of words in language, explain their individual significance. Among them the नेवाविष्ड, while dealing with the psychological process of the comprehension of words, say that mere words in language are not the cause of verbal comprehension. In the first place, the perception of a word must take place; i. e., a word spoken or written must be

[ं] अन्येषां तु मते पदानां तत्तत्तामान्यभूतो बाच्योऽर्थः । वाक्यस्य तु परस्परान्विताः पदार्थां इति पदापेक्षयामिहितान्वयः, वाक्यापेक्षया त्वन्वि-तामिषानम् । एवं चैतयोरमिहितान्वयान्वितामिषानयोः समुख्य इति ॥ — अभिषाद्विमातृका, कारिका ७,८

actually heard or read. Secondly, we must possess the knowledge of sife or the inherent power of a word to facilitate the memory of a certain meaning "; i. e., we must be aware of the convention (संद्व) that such and such a word should denote such and such a meaning.† Thirdly, this knowledge of शक्ति or सङ्कत leads, through the association of words, to the recollection of meaning in relation to objects. Now the law of mental association is that if one out of two related things is seen, it naturally reminds us of the other thing related to it.5 For example, if a man knows the relation of 'the served and the servant' (सन्यसेवकसम्बन्ध) between an elephant and an elephant-driver respectively, he will be reminded of the other, in case he comes in sight of one of them. As in the present case a word and its meaning are two related things, the knowledge of the power (朝帝) of a word immediately reminds us of its meaning, which is related to an object. This recollection of meaning by association takes place through 'बुलि' or significatory function, which is a conventional relation between a word and an object (the idea of an object, i. e., the meaning) signified by it. For instance, if the word 'qz' (jar) is known, it awakens, when uttered, the memory of the object '92' (i. e. the meaning) through the conventional

^{*} अर्थस्मृत्यनुकूलः पदपदार्थसम्बन्धः शक्तिः । (तर्वदीपिका).

[🕇] अस्मात्पदादयमधीं बोद्धव्य इतीश्वरसङ्केतः शक्तिः । (तर्कसङ्ग्रहः).

३ एक्सम्बिशानमप्रसम्बन्धिरमारकमिति न्यायात् ।

relation (वृत्ति) established between the word 'बर' and the object 'gz'. In short, the instrument of verbal comprehension is the knowledge of a word the intermediate mental action in it is the recollection of the sense (the idea of an object) of that word, its auxiliary is the knowledge of the denotative power of a word, and the result is the verbal knowledge in relation to an object. * Now the relation (afa) of a word to its meaning is, according to the नेपापिकड, of two kinds, viz., शक्ति or अभिष्य (Expression) and ल्हाणा (Implication); while according to the आल्हारिक्ड it is of three kinds, viz, अभिषा, समाण and ज्युना (Suggestion). Of these the direct or conventional relation between a word and its meaning leading to the immediate comprehension of the primary sense is called 'शक्ति ' or 'अभिषा '. For example, the word 'gz' directly expresses a particular object with a shape having a large bottom, body, etc.+ Hence this direct relation between the word 'sz' and the object signified by it is called शक्ति, सङ्घा वर अभिषा. Since the meaning of a word is contained in its relation to the object signified, the meaning recollected by the help of aft (inherent power) being primary (मुख्य) is termed 'शुक्य' (what is denoted by शक्ति) or 'बाब्व ' (the expressed), and the word

^{*} पदशानं तु करणं द्वारं तत्र पदार्थंची: । शाब्दबोधः फलं तत्र शक्तिधीः सहकारिणी ॥ —माधापरिच्छेदः, ८१

^{ां} शक्तिनाम पदानामधेंपु मुख्या वृत्तिः। यथा घटपदस्य प्रयुद्धभो-दराबाकृतिविशिष्टे वस्तुविशेषे वृत्तिः। (वेदान्तपरिभाषा, ४).

having such a meaning is designated as 'arm' (having the power to express) or 'arm' (expressive).

There are divergent views among the Hindu schools of thought about the question whether the युत्ति called 'शक्ति' or 'अभिषा', i. e., the power or convention (表面) of a word, is concerned with a class (बाति) or an individual (ब्यक्ति). The नव्यनेयायिक and साङ्ख्या, who are 'बेयलव्यक्तिवादिन्ड', think that the convention of a word should be understood with reference to an individual (व्यक्ति), since it is the individual (a concrete substance or form) alone that can become the object of sense-perception (sound, touch, colour, taste and smell) and practical activity, and not the class or genus (and). For, when we say 'आमानव', we refer to the individual 'sh' (cow) and not to 'nieg' (cowness) as 'nieg' cannot be the object of the practical activity of bringing, milking, etc. (अर्थिक्याकारित्व). Similarly, in sentences like 'This is a flower', 'This is a book', etc., we do not refer to their class but to the individual.

The मीमांसक object to this theory of individual denotation (केवल्ब्बिक्वाद), and say that it is the genus (बाति) that is denoted by a word and not an individual. Firstly, if the convention of the word 'गी:' would be understood with respect to all individual cows, the fault of endlessness (आवन्त्व) would occur. As the individuals are numberless, it is impossible for us to understand the convention or power of the word 'गी:' with reference to all of them at

the same time. We shall, in that case, have to use as many different words as there are cows on the earth; and those words being distinct from one another, we shall have to understand the convention of each of those words separately. This is against our actual experience that one word should denote one primary sense. Thus the convention of a word cannot be understood with reference to all individuals. Secondly, if it is supposed that the convention of a word can be understood with regard to one individual or some individuals, the fault of violation (व्यभिचार) occurs. In other words, the rule that a word denotes that sense alone in regard to which a convention is known, is violated. For instance, if we shall understand the convention of the word ' ni: ' with reference to 'a white cow' we shall not be able to understand the same convention with reference to 'a black cow" i.e., the black cow will have to be excluded from the said convention (सङ्कताविषय), just as a jar (घट) cannot be the object of that convention. This leads us to the fault of violation (व्यभिचार), which 'occurs when we understand the convention with respect to one individual or some individuals. The convention of the word 'nh; 'is, however, usually understood by us with regard to any cow, white, black or tawny. In other words, we actually apply the word ' मी: ' to a white as well as a black cow without distinction, though no special convention is made regarding the black cow. Thirdly, if we suppose that words denote individuals alone, the words

भी: ब्राह्मआले दित्य: ' being used with respect to one and the same individual, would be synonymous; i. e., the scope of those words as nouns, adjectives, verbs, etc., not being distinct, the objects denoted by them would not be differentiated, and such synonymous words would not form a complete sentence. It must, therefore, be concluded, say the inviers, that the convention or power of a word must be understood in respect of the genus or class (बाति), which is the [attribute (उपाधि) of an individual + As the genus is the common property residing in similar individuals, it gives us a comprehensive knowledge of several things that possess this common property or attribute. The genus is, therefore, one eternal property among non-eternal things. What is then meant by the word 'n); ' is not the particular भी: but the common attribute or property भोल which resides in all cows. If it is objected that 'बाति' (गोल, घटल, etc.) cannot be brought for practical purposes, but the individual ' गोव्यक्ति' can be brought, the phijess say that the individual (व्यक्ति) can be understood by implication (आसप. ब्ह्यणा), as the individual is inseparably connected with its class (जाति). According to the मोमांसकडर therefore, it is the genus or class (and) that is

^{ां} यद्यप्यंकियाकारितया प्रकृतिनिकृत्तियोग्या व्यक्तिरेव, तथाप्या-नन्त्याद्वयभिचाराच तत्र सक्केतः कृते न युज्यत इति, गीः शुक्कश्रवलो हित्य इत्यादीनां शब्दानां विषयविभागो न प्राप्नोतीति तदुपाषावेव सक्केतः।

primarily understood by the convention of a word, the individual being known secondarily (स्थापना). Thus the word 'गी:' primarily means 'गील' (the common property 'cowness') and secondarily the individual 'गी:' (cow).

The मीमांसक and the वैयाकरणs agree in holding the view that convention can be understood with reference to the genus (आवि) which is an attribute (उपाधि) of an individual, though they differ as regards the number of उपाधित. The मीमासदा, who are केवलजातिबादिन्ड, regard mere जाति as the attribute of an individual, in respect of which (बाति) the convention of a word is established; while the वैयाक्रणंड, who are जात्यादिवादिन्ड, say that the convention of a word is to be understood with regard to the four attributes of an individual, viz., wift. गुण, क्रिया and संज्ञा or यहच्छा, as words in language can be divided into four groups. § Again, while discussing whether the sense of a word is sufa (आकृति) or व्यक्ति (इव्य) पतञ्जलि says on the basis of पाणिति's सत्र that the sense of a word is both wild and safe, \$ Out of the four attributes mentioned above ' sift' (genus) is an attribute which being inherent in a thing gives life to it. For

चतुष्टयी शब्दानां प्रवृत्तिः । जातिशब्दाः गुणशब्दाः कियाशब्दाः
 च्यद्यकाशब्दाश्चतुर्थाः । पातं. महाभाष्यम् , आ. २, सूत्र २.

३ किं पुनराकृतिः पदार्थ आहोस्विद् द्रव्यम् । उभवित्याह । कथं जायते । उभवया ग्राचार्येण स्त्राणि पठितानि । पा. म. भाष्यम्, आ. १.

instance, the cowness (गोल) in a cow (गी:) is the very essence which can never be separated from ' गी: ' and which distinguishes गोवावि from other animals. All common nouns in language are thus indicative of this common attribute 'genus' (স্বারি). The second attribute, according to the वैयाकरणंड, is a quality (गुण), which distinguishes a thing from others of the same class. A quality like 'white' or 'black' can be separated from a class like 'a garment' in which it resides; and all the words showing such a quality are adjectives. The third attribute '原理' consists of a series of actions, which are prior and posterior, and which occupy successive portions of time. For instance, the main action of cooking rice consists of several successive actions, viz., the taking of rice in a winnowing basket, its winnowing, its putting into a pot with water, the placing of the pot on the fireplace, boiling, and lastly the taking of the pot from the fireplace. All verbs in language denote this by or a series of actions. F. Gouin in his 'Art of Teaching and Studying Languages' has invented the 'linguistic series' on the basis of such series of actions. He has included several subordinate actions under one main action and prepared his linguistic series for the purpose of teaching any language by the Conversational Method. Occasional use of such linguistic series of actions may also be made while teaching Sanskrit by the Conversational Method. Fourthly, संज्ञा or पहन्छ। is an attribute which is impose upon

a person or a thing by the will of the speaker, and which is, therefore, called a proper noun. The आवद्धारिक, from a practical point of view favour this view of the वैयादरणंड for the purpose of signifying the manifold function of words.

The ancient auftas assume a different position as regards the import of words. They say that a word does not denote an individual (व्यक्ति) alone, as the faults of angrey and eathers occur in that case. Again, a word does not signify only the genus (बाति), since it is, for instance, the individual 'ती: that is related to the primary meaning of a word as well as to the practical activity of 'bringing', 'milking', etc., and since it is absurd to bring the genus 'cowness' (गोल). Thus, after rejecting the extreme views of the केवलव्यक्तिवादिन्ड and the केवलवाति-वादिन्ड the ancient नेपाविक्ड strike a golden mean and further say that the word 'nt; ' indicates all the three factors, viz., व्यक्ति, जाति and आकृति at the same time. In other words, a word denotes an individual. connotes the genus or class of similar individuals. and distinguishes the individual from other dissimilar individuals by its peculiar form or configuration. Now the form or configuration of an individual is the arrangement or conjunction of its constituent parts or limbs in a particular way; and this peculiar configuration being the common property of similar individuals leads us to the idea of the genus. Thus the word 'm' denotes the individual 'm' distinguishes the ' in: ' from other animals owing to

her peculiar form 'सास्तादिम्स्य' (possession of dewlap, etc.), and connotes the genus 'itea' (cowness) on account of the possession of the common property 'सास्तादिमस्त्र'. What the नेपायिकड mean is that a word refers to an individual as characterized by its class or genus (जातिविशिष्टव्यक्ति) or to an individual as distinguished by its class and configuration (जात्याकृतिविधिष्टव्यक्ति). The word 'भी: ' then denotes the individual ' मी: ' as qualified by भोलवाति, which is in intimate union with the concrete गोल्पकि. If a word thus refers to an individual and its peculiar form or shape, it cannot be said that it signifies the genus alone, as the phiers assert. For, a class or genus can be apprehended only through the individuals forming it and through their form or shope. It must, therefore, be said that व्यक्ति, आकृति and wife are the three factors, which are present in the sense of every word, and which taken together give us the full meaning of that word. Although all these factors are present in the sense of a word, yet the motive or interest shown by the speaker in a particular factor or aspect gives more or less prominence to that factor. If we say, 'This is a cow', we give prominence to the individual aspect; if we say 'This cow is possessed of the dewlap, hump, etc.,' we lay stress on her form, and if we say " A cow is called ' a cow ' because of her intimate relation to cowness", we emphasize the class-notion or genus. It may be remarked here that the form or shape of an individual not being

apart from its class, the आकृति may be included in जाति, and that the view of the old नैयाविक that a word denotes an individual as distinguished by its class or genus (जातिविशिष्टव्यक्ति) may be held as representative.

Against all these views about the import of words the बोद्ध say that a word indicates neither safe, nor बाति, since ब्रांक, ब्रांत, etc., being simply the conceptions of our mind imposed upon the so-called external things, are momentary and unreal. They, therefore, uphold the principle of अवेष्ट or अवद्व्यावृद्धि (distinction or exclusion of a thing from what it is not), and say that a word like 'ब्रूट' indicates a thing, which possesses peculiarities distinguishing it from all other things like पर, बीद, etc. Thus by saying that 'ब्रूट' is not 'प्ट' they hold that the import of words is of a negative nature.

Now the अवेतेव्हान्तिव्ह hold from the absolute (प्रमाधिक) point of view that the word is an illusory form (विवर्त) of the Absolute महान् and that the distinctions of व्यक्ति and वृद्धि are simply the conceptions of the mind. According to them, therefore, the most expressive word is that which after its own negation seeks to pass beyond all distinctions of व्यक्ति, व्यक्ति, etc., and helps one to merge in the all-pervading silence of the Absolute महान्, or which is instrumental in establishing the primal identity of the individual soul with the Universal Soul. Yet, in order to help the individual soul to reach that

Absolute State by easy and graded steps, they resort to the phenomenal reality (ब्यावहारिकससा), and in alliance with the ripriess they try to reconcile the above conflicting views. Along with the मीमांसक they then think that sife (power or significance) is an independent category, and that the thing which becomes an object of this power (शक्ति) is called ' शक्य ! (what is capable of direct signification). Again, like the phiers they say that the space (capacity of being directly signified) belongs to the genus and not to the individual. With the same view श्रुहराचायं says that words are related to the eternal genus (आकृति=बाति) and not to the noneternal individuals + According to the Vedant there is identity between an attribute (प्रमे) and the thing possessing that attribute (sfing), i. e., between wife and safe respectively. The same cognition, therefore, that can cognize the genus (আরি) can also cognize the individuals. § The बेहान्तिन्ड further express a reasonable view that the foregoing independent power (शक्ति) of a word resides in an individual (অকি) as well as in a genus (दावि), though in different degrees. In an individual if remains, by its mere existence (स्वरूपवर्ता), latent or unknown; while in a genus it is

^{ों} आकृतिमिश्च शब्दानां सम्बन्धों न व्यक्तिभिः। ज्ञ. सू. भा. १-३-२८ § कथं तर्हि गवादिपदाद् व्यक्तिभानमिति चेत्, जातेव्यक्तिसमानस्वि-त्संवेदात्वादिति जूमः। -वेदान्तपरिभाषा, प्र. ४:

express or known. 5 For the cognition of the genus the power or significance as known is necessary, while for the cognition of an individual it is unnecessary that the power should be known. It is sufficient that the power exists in the individual in a latent form. When from the word ' if; ' we have to cognize गोलवाति, we are required to know the power residing in गोलवाति; but for the cognition of गोल्पक्ति we are not required separately to know the power in गोव्यक्ति, if the same power is latently existent there. For, the word ' n: ' itself can give us the idea of गोव्यक्ति through गोत्यजाति. As soon as the cognition of जाति arises in us after the knowledge of the power in बाति, the same power that latently exists in बाहित can lead us immediately to the cognition of sufar In other words, if after hearing a word we have cognized the genus through its express power (जातवाकि), we can without delay cognize the individuel which is inseparable from the genus, even though we have no knowledge of the power residing in the individual. + For this reason, the individual has no capacity of being directly signified (शक्यत्व, वान्यत्व), though the power (शक्ति) exists in it. On the other hand, the genus alone has the capacity of direct signification, since it is the genus,

^{\$} यदा गवादिपदाना व्यक्ती शक्तिः स्वरूपसती, नृतु शाता; जाती तु सा शाता हेतुः। —वेदान्तपरिभाषा, प्र. ४.

[†] बातिशक्तिमस्यज्ञाने सति व्यक्तिशक्तिमस्यज्ञानं विना व्यक्तिभीविल्लास्य-भावाञ्च । –वेदान्तपरिभाषा, प्र. ४,

and not the individual, that becomes the object of बाकि व्ह known, and only that which is an object of बाकि as known is capable of direct signification (शक्य, बाब्य). § This, in short, means that a word primarily signifies बाति and secondarily व्यक्ति.

Thus there are five conflicting views propounded by the Indian schools of thought regarding the import of words. Now in the terminology of Western Logic words are denotative according to to the केवलव्यक्तियादिन्ड, connotative according to the हेबलकातिवादिन्ड, both denotative and connotative according to the नातिविधिष्टव्यक्तिवादिन्ड, and the नात्पादि-वाहिन्छ and neither denotative nor connotative according to the antenness. Every view contains a a grain of truth and none can be wholly rejected, since the function of language is to indicate the individual aspect as well as the class-aspect of a word. The problem then rests on what aspect gives us the direct signification of words; and it is preferable, on the whole, to say that the aspect of genus or class has the capacity of direct signification. Words in language are by nature never perfectly expressive of the internal meaning; but there are higher and lower degrees of perfect expression in the use of words. It may, therefore, be said that the aspect of genus contains a higher degree of perfect expression.

क्रायमानशक्तिविषयत्वमेव वाच्यत्वमिति वातिरेव वाच्या । अथवा
 व्यक्तिर्वक्षणयाऽवगमः । —वेदान्तपरिभाषा, प्र. ४.

From the standpoint of Western psychology it will be seen that the केवलव्यक्तिवादिव्ह stress the perceptual aspect of words, the केवलजातिवाहिनड emphasize the conceptual aspect, and the maniferities and mild-विशिष्टव्यक्तिवादिन्ड attend [both to the perceptual and conceptual aspects. 'Perception' is concerned with concrete objects or things affecting the five sense-organs; yet the object of perception, which is the result of sensations caused in the mind by an external object, is not the actual object in the external world; it is the 'psychical object', which is supplied by the mind itself in order to help the interpretation of the unity of our sensations, and which is, therefore, more directly present in our consciousness than the external object. This psychical object being a sign or symbol of the outer object is different from the latter. It, therefore, partakes of the aspects of an individual as well as a class or genus, or of the particular as well as the general at the same time. Or, it may be said from another point of view that the psychical object is neither particular nor general, as it is detached both from the external object and the 'Concept'. This double and dubious character of the psychical object accounts for the divergence of views held by the Indian schools of thought about the import of words. This is the reason why the hansufmarkers stress the individual aspect of words, the बाह्यादिवादिन। and the बातिविशिष्टव्यक्तिवादिन्ड take into account the aspects of both the individual and the class, and the sairgantas deny the existence of safe and and

This psychical object, which is aroused in the mind itself by our present and past experiences, supplies us, in relation to the external object, with a 'meaning'. Our mind has a natural tendency to find out a relation between two or more things mentally presented to it The relation thus established between the psychical object and the actual object by our present or past experiences contributes to the meaning, which arises in the mind itself rather than in the outer object, and which also depends on the relations formerly established in the mind. In order to denote this meaning words in language are conventionally used as symbols or signs; and the meaning remains individual, concrete and incomplete until the psychical object has assumed a definite form of the class-aspect; i. e., words used to denote merely objects in perception cannot convey their full significance unless they have widened their scope by the help of the generic aspect in conceptual thinking. This is why there is much truth in the view of the क्षेत्रस्वातिवादिनक.

When, therefore, the class-aspect of words becomes definite in the mind by the transformation of a percept into a concept or a general idea, the meaning in our mind assumes a complete form. The concept is formed in us by the analytico-synthetic process of the observation of several individuals, analysis of their attributes, comparison for finding out their similarities and differences, abstraction of similarities from differences, synthesis of common properties or attri-

butes, and the use of names or linguistic symbols for fixing the unified idea in the mind. The unified idea itself contains a full meaning, for indicating which verbal symbols or words in language are used as in the case of the perceptual or individual objects. The unified idea formed by the analyticosynthetic process is the concept, pattern or schema of similar individual objects in the world, and it being a developed form of the 'psychical object' enables us to interpret comprehensively several individuals so as to obtain a general meaning. The concepts or patterns in our mind being separated from the concrete and particular objects in the perceptual world are of a general and abstract nature. They, therefore, give us a comprehensive knowledge of things by including many particular individuals in a general category; and the more such concepts or patterns are stored in our mind, the more we develop our power of understanding the universe. Here we understand the propriety of the view of the क्यलवातिवादिन्ड that the power (यक्ति) of a word is primarily understood in wife and secondarily in squa. Human mind has been, from generation to generation, so accustomed to the above patterns that the power of conceptual thinking is, as in the case of the concepts of time and space, inborn in us along with our power of the perception of individual objects.

It may, however, be said that our thinking is never purely perceptual, but it is both perceptual and conceptual from our childhood. Although the

psychical object in us partakes of a tinge of abstraction on account of its isolation from the actual object, yet the concrete and individual aspect seems to be prominent in it. It is, therefore, true that our thinking in childhood is more perceptual than conceptual, though we find from the behaviour and speech of children that generic images, which are based more on similarities than on difference of objects, are gradually being formed in them, leading them slowly to the conceptual level. Though children use words to express generic images of things, yet they are not fully conscious of the class-aspect of those words. as they learn their use mostly by the imitation of elders (वृद्धव्यवहार). The use of words by children is, therefore, denotative than connotative: and here we realize importance of the theories of जात्यादिवादिन्ड and बाविविधिष्ठव्यक्तिवादिन्ड. The process of perceptual and conceptual thinking thus goes on alternately throughout the whole life, and we have correspondingly to attend to the individual as well as the generic aspect of words in the use of language. Thuswords, which are, as indicated above, the external linguistic signs or symbols of inner ideas, perceptual or conceptual, help us to recall them in our minds and carry on our daily affairs by means of the communication of those ideas. Language, therefore, not only aids, records and preserves our thought, but assists us in our communication, which may be known to be its chief function, particularly in language-teaching.

The teaching of a new language to children should accordingly be based on both the aspects mentioned above, though the individual and concrete aspect of words depending on perceptual thinking would occupy a prominent position in their case. Language-teaching in childhood will thus be fruitful, if we shall gradually start from the perceptual to the conceptual level. In other words, gestures, pictures, diagrams, etc., will be used in association with spoken words. Here the common truth contained in the views of significate and sindiffagues fragists will be amalgamated in the interest of young pupils, after taking into account the aspects of sign (genus), safe (individual), and significate form of objects.)

An important point must be noted here that although the मीमांसक in general recognize the theory of class-connotation of words (केंब्रजातिबाद), yet the अन्वितामिमानवादिन् among them, who hold that a sentence is the unit of language, recommend the usage of elders (ब्रुड्यव्हार) and the learning of individual words through the context of a sentence as a superior way of learning language. In the usage of elders and in the imitation of it by children the perceptual (individual) aspect of words seems to be more prominent than the conceptual (generic) aspect, which is the mainstay of the theory of केंद्रव्यानिवाद, How is this contradiction in the views of the अन्विवामियानवादिन् to be removed? The answer is that the conceptual aspect of words is not

altogether absent in the minds of children and that it is gradually developed in them through the mental process of inclusion and exclusion or the analytico-synthetic process (अन्वयव्यक्तिरेक) as formerly treated of. The pupils, therefore, by the time they begin to learn a new language like Sanskrit, have already their power of conceptual thinking more developed than that in childhood. Particularly, in the use of their mother tongue, at this stage, they are accustomed to be more conscious of the classaspect of words than the individual one. In other words, they can, by the use of 'common nouns' in the mother longue, understand individual objects or persons (व्यक्ति) secondarily through class-notions (wifa). Inasmuch as these class-notions exist in the minds of pupils at this stage and lead them. still further to understand other class-notions, the theory of केवलजातिबाद is correct. This theory can, therefore, be reconciled with the 'usage of elders' particularly at the stage of learning a new language like Sanskrit. It will then be found that while teaching individual words, at this stage, in the context of a connected sentence and in association with concrete things, the class-notion of those words is not to be newly formed by the teacher. The class-notion, being already existent in the minds of pupils, is simply to be awakened through concrete objects, pictures, etc., so as to establish a direct bond between a new word and an idea (concept). The pupils, while learning new words in association with concrete objects, do not also take particular

words to mean only the objects shown by the teacher, but understand them in the light of their class-notions of those objects. The class-aspect of words here primarily arises in their minds and the individual aspect secondarily. In other words, the same cognition of pupils that cognizes the genus (बाति) also cognizes the individual (इपक्ति). When the words in an unknown language are being newly introduced in association with objects, it is the class-aspect of words present in the minds of pupils that instantly suggests the corresponding words in the mother tongue to them as a result of mental translation; and those known words are sometimes impatiently given out by them. The theory of age. जातिवाद in alliance with बद्धव्यवहार is thus found to be consistent particularly at the pupil's stage of learning a language other than the mother tongue.

One would ask here why words in the mother tongue should not be brought into relation with new words in an unknown language by the process of 'translation', when the pupils have thus reached the conceptual level. The answer is that the process of translation is purely conceptual and results in the tedium and disgust of young pupils, in whose case the perceptual aspect of teaching is beneficial. Translation may, of course, be resorted to in the case of abstract words, as the next direct way of introducing them. But we must not forget that words in any language are dead things unless they are made alive by the concrete devices of gestures, intonation, objects, context, etc., in oral

teaching. Again, it is an obvious fact that while forming new concepts or learning an unknown subject the tendency of all human beings, young and old, is generally to think in terms of concretethings which symbolize those concepts and facilitate clear understanding. It need not be told how the use of concrete illustrations and symbolism makes an abstract and abstruse subject intelligible. Hence, while teaching a new language, concrete things like models, pictures, diagrams, etc., are calculated to serve the purpose of fixing, even in the minds of advanced students, the concepts already formed by them through their mother tongue; and they awaken the 'apperception masses' of pupils after bringing out the relation between 'a psychical object' and 'an actual object,' or between a concept and a concrete thing: In other words, they revive their memory and help the direct communication of the meaning of a word. As the relation (द्वार) between a new word and its meaning (पद and पदार्थ) is here directly established by immediately showing an object closely related to the meaning and by making the pupils briskly repeat that word in chorus and individually, very little chance is left for its mental translation by the pupils; and the new word being coloured and made alive by concrete lifesituations can be well learnt and recollected owing to the association of ideas. This principle of the modern Direct or Conversational Method may, therefore, be advantageously followed at the elementary

and intermediate stages of teaching Sanskrit, since it, without being antagonistic, can be well reconciled with the above linguistic principles formulated by the Indian schools of thought, more particularly with the 'usage of elders' (बूद्धबुद्धार) sanctioned by the अस्वितामित्राव्यादिन्ड. Just as the आस्द्धारिन्ड have in general tried to harmonize the views of the above Indian schools and specially followed the जात्यादिन्द्धार (वैयादरण्ड) for the practical purpose of explaining the poetic function of words, we too have here tried to harmonize the Oriental and Western views for the purpose of their practical application to the teaching of Sanskrit words.

After discussing the problem as to where the expressive power (sifts) of a word resides and after dealing with its practical implications, we shall turn to the four kinds of शक्ति viz., योग, सहि, योगरूदि and योगिक्रहि, corresponding to which words are divided into four classes, viz., यौगिक, रूद, योगरूद and बीतिक्ट, according to the different modes through which they yield their meanings. 'बीग' means elymology, derivation or the significance of the component parts of a word (अवववशक्ति). Here, of course, the meaning of a word is understood from its component parts, which are either a root or a noun and a prefix or a suffix. The affing word does not signify anything more or less than the meanings of its parts, but yields, on the whole, only that meaning which is implied in the parts. For instance, the meaning of the word 'qran' is understood by us as 'पाकतां' (a cook) from the root 'पच्' (to cook) and the इति suffix 'अइ '. Secondly, 'इति ' means the popular, conventional or customary significance of a word. In the case of a word the meaning is determined by the power of the whole word (समुदायuff) without reference to the meanings of its parts. For instance, the word ' मण्डप' etymologically means 'one who protects scum' (मण्ड + प = मण्ड पार्ति); but this meaning rarely occurs to us, since we conventionally understand the collective meaning of the whole word 'queq' as 'an open hall'. The नेरसंड (etymologists) and the वेयानरणंड propound a theory that all nouns in language are derived from roots ('आख्यातजानि नामानि '-निरुक्त). But this is an extreme case, as the conventional meanings of ag words are seen to have acquired more significance than their root-meanings, which can rarely be traced in the former. Thirdly, a 'alnes' or derivatively conventional word is that which yields its meaning through derivation or etymology (योग) as well as convention (本程). Here both the derivative meaning and the conventional meaning coincide with each other, as both of them refer to the same object. In other words, the meaning obtained from the component parts of a word is the same as that obtained collectively from the whole word. For example, the etymological meaning of word 'qgg', when it is derived from its component parts, is 'what grows in the mud' (पद + च = पहाजापते

(ta). This meaning is joined through contiguity to the conventional or collective meaning of the whole word 'qgg ' which signifies ' a lotus'. Both these meanings referring to the same object agree with each other. It must be noted here that the conventional meaning is more proximate to the mind than the etymological one, as the former occurs to us instantly; while the etymological meaning occuring late to the mind is distant from us, as it is necessary for us to possess the knowledge of gent (crude form) and gaqq (affix) before we undersand the root-meaning. Many Sanskrit words belong to this ' wings?' type, since both the etymological and conventional meanings can be traced in them. There is, however, a linguistic rule in Sanskrit that convention or usage is more powerful than etymology (योगाइहिन्हीयसी). Lastly, a 'alfreez' or derivative-and-conventional word is that, the meaning of which is ascertained either etymologically by the powers of its component parts or conventionally by the power of the whole. In this case both the meanings being determined independently of each other, both of them are understood separately. The word ' उद्भिर्', for example, etymologically means 'what shoots up or a tree ' (उद् + भिद् = ऊप्चे भिनतीति), while conventionally ' afac ' is a kind of sacrifice. Here the two independent meanings are understood from the same word, yet they refer to separate objects.

An important point to be noted is that 'इड ' words always prevail over 'ग्रीगिक' words as regards

the determination of meaning. The shriess too while discussing the relative importance of the six modes of evidence (प्रमाण) in the treatment of 'injunctions of application' (वितियोगविधि) lay down a very sound principle of interpretation that 'es' or the word-meaning established by usage (表記) is a stronger mode of evidence than ' समाख्या ' or name, the meaning in which is determined by an (etymology). Thus in the matter of interpretation they attach more importance to the conventional meanings (इटाये) of words than to the etymological ones (बीविकार्थ). They further say that etymology is to be resorted to only when other more important means of interpretation are unavailable. important linguistic principle is as much useful in language-teaching as it is in the interpretation of advanced Shastric works. Sanskrit teachers, who have up to this time been so fond of laying undue stress on the teaching of verbs so as to enable their pupils to derive Sanskrit words from their rootstems, will, it is hoped, note this principle and will kindly abstain from the predominantly etymological teaching of Sanskrit language and literature. They will bear in mind the fact that etymology is purely an analytical and tedious process of dissolving a unified word into a crude form (45td) and an affix (प्रत्य). If this process is resorted to, particularly at the elementary and intermediate stages of Sanskrit teaching, pupils are left in the dark about the beauty of ideas and expression in Sanskrit

liferature, and are bound to be scared away from the subject. To engage oneself simply in the etymological study of words bereft of their context of a sentence is a futile attempt. This does not, of course, mean that while teaching Sanskrit literature to advanced students, the etymology of a word or two should not be given here and there. if without marring the beauty of a passage it adds to the purport (synthetic meaning) of a sentence or a paragraph. Yet it is advisable for the sake of literary appreciation and interest of pupils to accept the conventional meanings of words as they are current in Sanskrit literature, and teach them in their proper context. The Sanskrit words, therefore, that are to be introduced according to 'बुद्दल्पनहार' at the beginner's stage, should all of them be concrete rather than abstract, and of conventional meanings (रुद्धार्थ) rather than of etymological ones (योगिकार्थ).

XIV. PURPORT (तात्पर्य)

Thus the अभिहितान्ययबादिन्ड, with whom even the नैयायिक्ड should be classed, after dealing with the detached meanings of words existing independently in language say that when a relation is established between the senses of such words in a sentence by means of आकाङ्का, योग्यता and सन्निष्कि, a new meaning, which is not the meaning of indivi-

dual words but which is that of the sentence as a whole, arises in the form of apaquia. It will then be found that unlike the अभिवासियानarizes they start from words to a sentence rather than from a sentence to words. It has aiready been observed that the views of the अन्विताभिधानवादिन्ड are useful at the initial stage of Sanskrit teaching, that those of the अभिदिवान्ययवादिन्ड must be taken into account particularly at the advanced stage, and that the combination (सम्बद) of both of them would thus be fruitful in Sanskrit teaching as a whole. It is with this end in view that the principles of the अभिहितान्ययवाहिन्ड about the power (বালি) of detached words in language have been detailed in the preceding section. While dealing with the goggag and goggag we have already explained the significance of the three principles of आकाङ्खा, बोग्यता and सम्निधि as formulated by the अभिद्वितान्त्रप्यादिन्ड. It will now be advisable to turn to the anaged of that school after noting the special features of आकाङ्का, योग्यता and समिषि, which establish relations between the senses of independent words and lead us to maning of the whole sentence. According to the अभिवितान्त्यartes then a sentence is a group of words* possessing आकाङ्सा, योग्यता and समिषि.

Now the special feature of special is that it does not merely lie in the juxtaposition of words, as in

वानमं पद्सम्हः—तकंसङ्ग्रहः ।

the expression 'बट: कर्मलमानयनं इति:' (pot-object-hood; bringing-action) in which words are not mutually expectant (सामाह्य) आमाह्या can be found between the crude form (अहति) of a word and the affix (प्रत्यय) applied to it. For example, in the sentence 'बटमानय' (Bring a pot) the apprehension (आन) of the objective case-affix 'अम्' after the word 'बट' shows its expectancy with the words 'बट' and 'आनय' and becomes the cause of the verbal comprehension (साध्योध) that 'बट' is the object of the verb 'आनय'.

Secondly, ब्रोग्वता means consistency or proper connection (अन्तर्म, सम्बन्ध) of the meaning of a word with that of another word. This proper connection depends upon the non-contradiction of our empiric experience. For instance, in the sentence 'बरमानम्' the meanings of the words 'इरम्' and 'आन्य' have between them a proper connection or consistency which does not contradict our experience. The sentence, therefore, leads us to verbal comprehension. On the other hand, in the sentence 'आकाशमान्य' (Bring the sky) the meanings of the words 'आकाशम् ' and 'आन्य' have between them an improper connection which contradicts our experience. The latter sentence, therefore, does not yield a consistent meaning.

Thirdly, exaft or sateful (contiguity, proximity) is usually defined as the juxtaposition of consecutive words without an interval. If words are

separated from one another by the intervention of other words, the connection (अन्यय) of their meanings cannot be understood. For instance, if we say ' विरिम्कमिमान् देवदचेन ' (the mountain, has eaten, fiery, Devadatta), the expression does not lead to verbal comprehension, since suitable words are not placed in juxtaposition; while the sentences 'गिरिरग्रिमान, भुक्तं देवद्त्तेन ' (The mountain is fiery; देवदच has eaten) yield meanings on account of juxtaposition. The मृत्यूनेयाविक्ड, however, reject this kind of spells and psychologically define it as an immediate recollection (उपस्थिति, स्मृति) of the meanings of words through their 'बुचि' (relation of words to meanings). They say that even when words in a verse are separated by the intervention of other words, we can have verbal comprehension. Hence contiguity or proximity in the form of uninterrupted recollection of meanings related to words through the वृत्तिs called शक्ति (expression) or लक्षणा (implication) is the cause of verbal comprehension, and not the first kind of contiguity. It does not matter whether words in a sentence are proximate or not proximate to one another; but the recollection of word-meanings mutually related must be immediate. This does not imply that any meaning of any word in any form is recollected. The suitability of words depends, of course, upon the non-contradiction of our experience. Such आवि itself is the cause of verbal comprehension and not the knowledge of आसति.

In connection with this आस्ति the नेपापिक psychologically explain how the comprehension of a sentence takes place through individual words. In this respect they say that while reading a book or hearing a speech the perception of the first word in a sentence leaves an impression on our mind and then that of the second, third, etc. Though such perceptions are transitory, the impressions left by them last for a long time and awaken our memory. Thus through the impressions of consecutive words left on the mind there arises in us a collective recollection of all words. recollection is of the nature of collective cognition (समुहालम्बनात्मक ज्ञान) and is itself the knowledge of anefa. Like a single perception arising from the contact of our sense-organs with a collection of objects,, as a picture, a book, a table, a clock, etc. a single recollection can arise in us from several impressions of words. The stimulus of the collective recollection (समूहालभ्यनात्मक स्मृति) is the perception of the last letter of the last word. accompanied by the impressions of preceding words. Just as the collective recollection of a single word 'देवदत्त' occurs to us by the perception of the last letter 'a', aided by the consecutive impressions of the preceding letters, similarly the recollection of the last letter of the last word in a sentence, aided by the consecutive impressions of the preceding words in it, arises in us. As this collective recollection of words, otherwise called आसित, does take place in the mind, it

becomes the cause of creating a synthetic comprehension of words, sentences, paragraphs, etc.

The प्राचीनम्यापिक्ड, however, describe the genesis of this recollection in a somewhat different way. As all knowledge, they say, is a transient phenomenon, the recollection of every preceding word also is transient. Hence several recollections of several words would not stand together simultaneously, and thus verbal comprehension would be impossible. For this reason it must be said that the recollection, which is related to the meanings of words and which is the cause of verbal comprehension, itself being of the nature of collective cognition, is one and not manifold. This collective recollection alone leads to the simultaneous verbal comprehension of the meanings of all words connected as किया (action), इमें (object), (instrument), etc., according to the maxim of 'pigeons on a threshing-floor' (खेळकपोतन्याय). Relating to this maxim there is a verse which means as follows: "Just as pigeons old, full-grown and young, simultaneously come down to a threshing-floor for picking grains, similarly in a sentence (e. g. घटमानव) the meanings of words (e. g. घटम् and and) being mutually connected together as किया. कमे, etc., become the object of simultaneous verbal comprehension. " †

[†] वृद्धा युवानः शिशवः कपोताः खळे यथामी युगपत्पतन्ति । तथैव सर्वे युगपत्पदार्थाः परस्परेणान्वयिनो भवन्ति ॥ Quoted in the सिद्धान्तमुक्तावळि under verse 83.

Some नेपायिक्र who do not recognize the above simultaneous recollection (सम्हालमनात्मक स्मृति) say: "That meaning of a word, which is expectant of, and consistent with, the meaning of another contiguous word, can be understood from words themselves, after it is connected with another meaning. "\$ That is to say, the meaning of a word having a connection with another meaning is first understood from words. Similarly, the meaning of the parts of a sentence (सण्डवास्य) arising from the connection of several meanings can first be obtained from words, and the comprehension of the meanings of parts (सण्डवास्य) then leads to the comprehension of the meaning of the whole sentence (महाबाह्य). Even though we suppose that the comprehension of the meaning of former paris vanishes on account of the transitoriness of knowledge, yet the recollection of the meaning of those parts or subordinate clauses (अवान्तरवास्य) lasts in our mind. This recollection, therefore, leads us to the verbal comprehension of the whole sentence. For instance, in the sentence 'बरमानव' we first understand from the word 'sen' the meaning of the part that 'धट' (jar) is the object (क्रमें) of some action, or is connected with some action. This meaning of the word 'wan,' being connected with the meaning of 'bringing' obtained from the

यद्यदाकाङ्क्षितं योग्यं स्विधानं प्रपद्यते ।
 तेन तेनान्वितः स्वार्थः पदैरेवावगम्यते ॥
 Quoted in the सिद्धान्तमुक्तावृत्वि under verse 83.

word 'आनम्' yields the meaning of the whole sentence. The नेमादिक्ड, therefore, are not required to postulate the superfluous स्टेट which, according to the नेमादिकड़, is said to contain the whole unified meaning of an author or speaker, and is also said to be revealed by the letters, words, sentences, paragraphs, nay, the whole book he writes. सहस्मार्थ here tells us, as we have already seen, that the synthetic activity of the mind (समस्यस्प्रमाधिनी बुद्धि) is able to give us a unified meaning from letters, words, sentences, paragraphs, etc. (विद्वार समुकाविष्ट).

Now what should be supplied (apaged) when a sentence is incomplete in consequence of something being unexpressed? Are we to supply an actual word or simply its meaning? That is to say, if, for instance, in the incomplete sentence 'greq- ! (-door) the verb is unexpressed, should we supply the actual word 'fight' (shut) or would it do if simply the general meaning of 'shutting' occurs to the mind without our being required to supply a particular word in the sentence? Here the प्रामानस्मीमांसक say that it is better to supply simply the meaning than the word, since we are more concerned with the meaning than with the word used to indicate it; and unless the meaning of 'shutting' first occurs to us from the incomplete sentence '氣尺平一', we cannot supply the word 'fait'. The meaning which first occurs to us in such cases should, therefore, be supplied rather than the actual word. It is not necessary that the

recollection of meaning should arise only through a word; for, it can also arise without the use of a word. It is, therefore, preferable to supply in an incomplete sentence 'meaning' (अधांध्याहार) rather than a 'word' (पदाच्याहार). The नैयायिकs and देदान्तिन्ड" say against this that in such cases a word must be supplied and not simply its meaning, if we want to have verbal comprehension. Meaning, without the actual use of a word, can be conveyed by perception (дамини) also. If, for example, a jar (92) is placed in front of us, we can show it merely by means of gesture (i. e. with the linger) and say 'एनं—पश्य' (See this—) without using the word ' घटम '. Here, the meaning of the object ' qz' is understood, though the actual word ' qz' for it is not used. But it must be remembered that this meaning of the jar is understood through perception and not through verbal comprehension (शाव्योघ), which requires us to understand the connection (अन्वय) of words in a sentence. It is, therefore, necessary to supply the actual words 'विवेड्डि ' and ' घटम् ' in the incomplete seniences 'द्वास्म — ' and 'एनं — पश्च ' respectively, so as to show the connection of words and have verbal comprehension. For, verbal comprehension is possible only if the cognition of mean-

मानान्तरोपस्थापितपदार्थस्यान्वयबोधामावात् 'पदजन्या' इति । अतः
 एवाश्रुतस्थले तत्तत्पदाध्याहारः । 'हारम्' इत्यादौ 'पिघेहि' इति ॥

⁻वेदान्तपरिभाषा, प्र. ४; विद्वान्तमुक्ताविं, स्रोक ८३.

ing takes place through particular words, which must be supplied to complete the sense of a sentence. When a word is thus supplied, the perception of it conveys to us its meaning by means of कृति (relation of a word to its meaning), and the apprehension of this meaning further leads us to the verbal comprehension of the whole sentence. Again, in every sentence the verb and words with particular case-affixes (कारकपदानि) are expectant (साहाङ्क) of one another. Unless, therefore, the actual verb 'quit' is supplied (पदाध्याहार), the कारकपद ' हारम् ' would not yield its complete meaning. There are other examples where a word alone must be supplied (पदाच्याहार) and not its meaning. If we simply say 'geq +q: __' (-for flowers), the actual word 'स्इयति' (craves) must be supplied, as the peculiar use of the dative case in the word 'guira;' requires us, according to a grammatical rule, to supply the verb 'सुइयति' alone and not merely the meaning of 'craving', which may be expressed in any other way. This principle of qapaga (supplying a word) is very important in language-teaching. When a language is being taught newly, mere gestures, intonation, etc., used by the teacher will sometimes convey meanings to the students. It must, however, be noted that though the meaning can be conveyed by gestures, etc., without the use of words, yet in language-teaching actual words introduced to pupils are more important than other means of

conveying the meaning. It will, therefore, be advisable to make a clear statement of actual words in sentences, though gestures, etc., will be used in direct association with those words for amplifying their meanings. In the matter of construing and understanding literature at the advanced stage too it is necessary to supply actual words in incomplete sentences rather than their meanings only. (विदान्तमुकावरी, तकेंदीपिका).

It has been observed above that the recollection of the meanings of parts or subordinate clauses (अवान्तरवाक्य) leads us to the verbal comprehension of a large sentence or paragraph (महावान्य) as a whole. In other words, a large sentence or paragraph is a collection of subordinate clauses, t which are mutually connected together by means of आङ्काश्चा, योग्यता and आएति. When, after knowing the mutual connection of different subordinate clauses, a special unified sense (वालयांश) is exhibited, we get syntactical unity (एक्लाक्यता). This syntactical unity is based on a two-fold relation: (1) that of a word to a sentence of which it forms part (पदेकवाक्यता), and (2) that of a sentence to another sentence (बाक्येकबाक्यता). (1) As regards पदेखवाक्यता the मीमांसक think that अथवादा (corroborative or eulogistic sentences) never directly signify a command (विधि) or prohibition (विधेष); but that they indicate their meanings by means of an

^{ां} वाक्योचयो महावाक्यम् । (साहित्यद्र्यणः, २।१)

explanatory repetition (अनुवाद) or a subordinate statement. The sentences comprising spars cannot, therefore, yield the principal meaning, but merely praise or censure an injunction (विकि), which indicates the principal meaning. The squares are not, however, meaningless, since they are syntactically connected with an injunction or the principal sentence. Thus the collection of words contained in an avaga conveys the meaning of 'preise' which is required by an injunction, occupies the position of a single word, and forms syntactical unity with the sentence containing that injunction. Hence अथवाद serving as a single word forms a syntactical* whole with an injunction (विचि). In other words, the relation of an अर्थवाद to an injunction is respectively that of a word to a sentence of which it forms part. This is called परैक्याक्यता by the मीमांसक. (2) Now syntactical unity manifested in the relation of a sentence to another sentence (वाक्येहवाक्यता) can be found where two (or more) sentences, each of which indicates distinctly a

विश्वपेक्षितप्राशस्य रूपपदार्थप्रत्यायकतयाऽर्थवादपदसमुदायस्य पद-स्थानीयतया विधिवाक्येनैकवाक्यत्वं मयतीत्यर्थवादवाक्यानां पदैकवाक्यता ।
 —वेदान्तपरिमाषा, प्र. ४.

क तर्हि वाक्येकवाक्यता १ यत्र प्रत्येकं मिन्नमिन्नसंसग्यति-पादकयोर्वाक्ययोराकाङ्शावशेन महावाक्यार्थनोषकत्वम् । . . वाक्यानाः परस्परापेक्षिताङ्गाङ्गिमावनोधकतया एकवाक्यता । तदुक्तं महपादै:— 'स्वार्यनोषे समातानामङ्गाङ्गित्वाद्यपेक्षया । वाक्यानामेकवाक्यत्वं पुनः संद्रत्य वायते ॥ ' इति । —वेदान्तपरिमाणा, प्र. ४.

different relation of words and their meanings, yield the meaning of a larger sentence or paragraph on account of their mutual expectancy. Here, the meaning of one sentence being connected with that of another, the two (or more) sentences together express one meaning in the form of purport (ताल्य). Thus sentences showing the mutually expected relation of principal and subordinate, are found to possess syntactical unity. Here, कुमारिकम् says-" When sentences, which are complete in respect of their own meanings, again combine because of their relation of principal and subordinate, they form syntactical unity. "This syntactical unity in respect of sentences (बाक्येकवाक्यता) is itself the meaning of a major sentence or a passage in the form of purport (काल्प). Before we understand the meaning of such a longer sentence or a passage (महावाक्य), it is necessary for us to understand the meanings of subordinate clauses (अवान्तरवाक्य⁸).

The above syntactical unity lies especially in the intended meaning or purport (बाल्ये) of a speaker or writer according to the नैयादिक. They, therefore, hold that the unified meaning of a sentence depends upon the intentions of the speaker or writer and that a definite knowledge of this intention is as much the cause of verbal comprehension as that of आवाह्या, बोग्यवा and आवादि. Whether

^{\$ (}a) बनतुरिच्छा तु तात्पर्यम् । -मापापरिच्छेदः ८४

⁽b) वत्प्रवीवीच्छयो^चरितत्वं वात्पर्यम् । -तर्बदीपिका

the meaning of a word should be construed with the meaning of a particular word alone depends more on the intention of the speaker or writer than on anaiger. For instance, in the sentence ' अथमेति पत्रो राज्ञ: पुन्योऽपसार्यताम् ' there is expectancy (smeletal) between the words 'yat' and 'va: as well as 'सह: ' and 'पुस्प: '; and the sentence being thus ambiguous would either mean, 'Herecomes the son of the King, the man should be removed', or 'Here comes the son (my son), the man (officer) of the King should be removed.' In this case a doubt arises whether the word ' राह: ' is to be construed with 'ya; 'or 'yea; ', and it can be removed by knowing the intended meaning (and) of the speaker or writer that the word 'tis: ' is to be construed with 'ga; ' and not with 'yeq: '. Knowledge of anyq (purport, drift) is, therefore, the fourth condition necessary for verbal comprehension in addition to आकाङ्झा, योग्यता and आवित. Again, a word in a sentence has sometimes more than one meaning. Whether it means this or that in a particular context depends also upon the intention of the speaker or writer. For example, the sentence 'सैन्यवमान्य' can either mean 'Bring salt' or Bring a horse', as the word 'gaga' has two meanings, viz., 'salt' or 'a horse'. Which of these two meanings is to be accepted in a particular context is determined by the hearer after knowing the intention of the speaker. If the speaker is dining, the word ' केन्स्न ' would mean 'salt' and if

he is going out, it would mean 'a horse'. For, at the time of dining the speaker's intention cannot be that a horse should be brought; while at the time of going out he cannot fintend that salt should be brought. The particular occassion or context (प्रकार), therefore, demands that we should understand only a particular meaning which can be determined by knowing the intention or purport of the speaker. If we suppose that the apprehension of purport is not a cause of verbal comprehension, the word of the speaker of the speaker. For this reason it must be admitted that there is a causal relation between the knowledge of intention or purport and verbal comprehension.

नागेश thinks that the apprehension of तालगं is essential only in the case of equivocal words, and that the mere context, etc., can determine वालगं. Others also say that purpose (अथ), context (अवरण), a special characteristic (विद्य), propriety (अभिन्य), place (देश), and time (काल) are the determinants of the meanings of words, † and since they always precede verbal comprehension, they, rather than the knowledge

नानार्थस्थले लोके तात्पर्य तु एतत्पदं वाक्यं वा एतद्रथैप्रसायाय
सयोचार्यत इति प्रयोक्तुःशिक्लाक्तपम् । तात्पर्यनियामकं च लोके प्रकस्णादिकमेव ।

[†] अर्थात्मकरणाहिः झादौचित्पादेशकालतः । शन्दार्थात्त्व विभिद्यन्ते न रूपादेव केवलात् ॥

of great, should be held to be the causes of verbal comprehension. The Auffless, however, think that if 'context' etc., are taken to be the causes, it is clear that all those causes precede every verbal comprehension. But since those causes are manifold, it cannot be decided what particular cause precedes what particular verbal comprehension. Instead of grouping together all those manifold causes as showing the purport or intention, it is better, according to the law of parsimony (लावन). to accept the knowledge of purport itself as a single cause of verbal comprehension. According to the author or speaker of the Vedas and the apprehension of his intention is the cause of verbal comprehension; while in the case of words mechanically repeated by a parrot they take the intention of God or a human trainer to to be the cause.

The वेदान्तिव्ह along with the मीमांख्ड accept ताल्यें as the cause of verbal comprehension. If they would not accept ताल्यें, all their attempts for the ascertainment of the purport of Vedic sentences would be futile. They, however, say that the definitions of ताल्ये formulated by the नेपायिक, as, 'the intention of the speaker' (ववत्रिक्डा) or 'the utterance of words with a desire to convey a meaning' (तल्यतीतीक्डयोबरितल्य) are not correct. For, it is not always true that there is an intention or desire of the speaker to convey a meaning. For instance, there can be no intention or desire of

communication in the words mechanically uttered by a parrot or an idiot; yet those words are understood by us. Secondly, a Vedic priest repeating Vedic texts without knowing their meaning cannot be said to have an intention or desire to convey a meaning; yet the words uttered by him can be understood by one who knows their meaning. Thirdly, when a teacher is found to give a wrong explanation of a text, the student realizes that the teacher does not understand what he says. It cannot be here said that the teacher has a definite intention or purport, since what he supplies as an explanation differs from actual experience and the meaning of the text. We cannot even say that in the Vedic texts uttered unintentionally by a Vedic priest there is the intention or purport of God who is supposed to be the author of the Vedas. For, schools like those of the नातिन्छ and the मीमांस्ड deny the existence of God and his authorship of the Vedas; yet they are found to interpret the meaning of the Vedas. Thus declaring the नैयाविक्ष' definition of ताल्यं to be wrong the वेदान्तिन्ड say that ताल्यं (purport) is ' the capacity of words in a sentence to express a particular meaning. ' † That is to say, words themselves have the inherent capacity (शक्) to produce a particular cognition of the correlation (संसर्ग, अन्त्य) of their meanings. If the sentence 'सेह पट:' (A pot in the house) is utfered without understanding its meaning, the sentence

[†] तत्मतीतिवननयोग्यत्वं तात्पर्यम् । -वेदान्तपरिभाषा, म. ४.

itself has the inherent capacity for producing the cognition of the relation of '92' (a pot)-and not that of 'yz' (a garment)-to 'ng' (the house). The listener is able to understand this particular meaning in spite of its comprehension or non-comprehension by the speaker. Hence the capacity of the sentence to convey the particular relation between ' पर ' and ' तेह ' is called ताल (purport), and the apprehension of this anua leads us to verbal comprehension. This capacity or power (sfa) of a senience is known when it is not impossible for us to show the mutual relation of words in that sentence. As in the sentence 'hit az: ' there is no establishing the relation impossibility of (अन्वयानपाति) between 'तह' and 'बट', the capacity of that sentence to show the particular relation of 'location and the thing fit to be located ' (STRITT-वयमायसम्बन्ध) is purport itself. Thus according to the वेदान्तिन्ड the impossibility of establishing a relation (अन्वपानुपपत्ति), non-accomplishment of purpose (प्रयोजनासिद्धि) and context, etc. (प्रकरणादिक) help us indirectly in determining the purport of sentences. If, then, the existence, in a sentence, of words lit to convey a particular sense can be defined as 'purport', a non-Vedic (क्लिक) or a Vedic sentence, though unintelligible to the speaker, has inherent capacity to convey a meaning according to this flawless definition.

Here the नेपापिकड after quoting the sentence 'केन्यवमानय' raise an objection that although the word ' सम्बद ' has capacity to signify (तत्त्रतीतिजननयोग्यत्वम्) two meanings, yet the speaker's idesire (वन्तुरिच्छा) to convey a specific meaning ('salt ' or ' a horse') in a specific context must be taken into account.

The derivers answer this objection by adding a qualification, viz., 'तदम्यप्रतीतीच्छ्याऽनुबरितत्वम्' to their above definition of and, and give the whole definition es: 'तत्मतीतिजननपोग्यत्वे सति तदन्यप्रसीतीन्छ्या s — नचरितलम् ! Purport then means "the capacity of words to convey a particular meaning in the absence of their utterance with the desire or intention of conveying any other meaning." In this way, though the word ' areq' has capacily to signify two meanings, viz., 'salt' and 'a horse', yet if a person utters that word while dining, his servant, after understanding the purport of the sentence from this particular context, will take the word 'area' to mean 'salt' alone and not 'a horse', because the word 'ersq' having capacity to mean 'salt' is not ultered with the intention of conveying the other meaning, viz., 'a horse'. Again, the word 'trug' cannot simultoneously suggest the two meanings. For, when the purport of the sentence ' के अवसान्य' is understood, the meaning that first occurs to the servant is 'salt' and then 'a horse' in due order. Although we suppose that the two meanings of an equivocal word-as in the case of an-are meant to be simultaneously perceived in a literary passage, the above definition is not inapplicable, since the

word 'an 'in the definition can include two meanings also. This definition of 'and' is thus equally applicable to non-Vedic as well as Vedic sentences unintelligently uttered either by a parrot or a priest without any desire or intention of communication.

According to the मीमांसक and बेदान्तिन्ड शक्ति or the inherent power residing in all things is a distinct category. Just as there is inherent power in the fire to burn anything, so in words also, say the मीमांसकs and बेटान्विन्ड, there is inherent power, which being distinct from words and objects denoted by them is the determining characteristic (अवच्छेदक) of their capacity to express a particular meaning. Such words in a sentence having inherent power in them to show the mutual relation of their meanings naturally express Purport. Thus purport, which consists in the inherent power of words or sentences to produce a synthetic cognition independently of the intention of the speaker (वस्तुरिस्छा), is a cause of verbal comprehension according to the बदान्तिन्ड. The बदान्तिन्ड and the मीमास्डड, therefore, accept वालवंद्रचि in this particular sense and often utilize this important linguistic principle in determining the unified sense of the Vedic texts. They always determine the purport or synthetic meaning of the Vedas by employing the six मीमांसा rules of interpretation, viz. (1) Unity of the beginning and conclusion of a work (उपक्रमीपसंदार), (ii) Repetition of the main topic in various

contexts (अन्यास), (iii) Novelty of the subjectmatter (अपूर्वता), (iv) Fruit or result (प्रका), (v) Preise or incidental remarks as distinguished from the main theme (अयंबाद), and (vi) Arguments in favour of the main topic (उपनि). The मीमांसदं employ these rules for determining the purport of the इमेडाण्ड of the Vedas, while the वेटान्तिन्ड (शहरराचार्य) use them for establishing the unified meaning or वास्त्र of the जानकाण्ड that all Upanishadic sentences are closely related in their meaning to the allpervading ANH alone. The above rules of ultrier are also very useful in determining the purport of the uniques (law); while in the case of secular (a) (a) sentences contained in poetry and other forms of literature the purport is determined by means of प्रकृत्य (context), श्रीचित्र (propriety), देश (place), बाल (time) etc., as referred to above.

Here अभेदरस्कार says that though the apprehension of purport (तारपंदान) is necessary for verbal comprehension at particular places, it is not required for every verbal comprehension. He further adds that when there arises a doubt about the purport of a passage or when it is certain that there is the total absence of purport, no verbal comprehension is possible. But it in the beginning we are in doubt about the purport and if afterwards we gradually determine the purport, verbal compre-

ई सर्वेषु वेदान्तेषु वाक्यानि तात्पर्येणीतस्यवार्यस्य प्रतिपादकावेन समनुगतानि । -ब. स्. माध्य, १.१.४.

hension is possible. In other words, the knowledge of purport becomes the cause of verbal comprehension after the period of doubt. If we are doubtful as to whether a sentence means this or that, the knowledge of gray helps us to understand the unified sense of that sentence. Or, if we misunderstand the view of a speaker or writer, the knowledge of purport is useful for removing our misunderstanding. It is, therefore, not true that all verbal comprehension takes place only after the knowledge of gray.

It may be said in connection with the above view that students learning a language like Sanskrif are always in doubt regarding the purport of a sentence, verse or paragraph of the book they read. It is, therefore, desirable to remove this doubt by telling the purport and facilitating verbal comprehension before and after the detailed study of a thoughtunit. With this end in view Shastris usually tell the purport twice, before and after the detailed study of a passage; and Sanskrit commentators supply in their commentaries agarings or introductory remarks containing the purport of sentences and paragraphs of the original texts. This procedure in oral teaching or in written commentaries really helps the analytico-synthetic or intensive study of literature and Shastras in the following way. The detailed study of a passage enables the teacher and the taught to analyse the principal and subordinate parts of sentences conveying corresponding ideas: while the purport told at the beginning and end of

the detailed study maintains synthesis of ideas. The telling of the purport (वायवेद्यन), as adopted by Shastris in their teaching is, therefore, a wise plan worthy of being followed by all teachers of Sanskrit. The teacher may either tell this purport to students or may elicit it from them through suitable questions. Any way, due attention to the Purport must always be paid by the teacher in the

teaching of prose rather than of poetry.

It has been observed above that the actions accepting शक्ति (power) as a distinct category give a rigidly technical definition of appear as " the inherent capacity of words to produce the cognition of a particular meaning." The auriques, however, do not accept ब्रांक as a distinct category, but identify it with the will or intention (FGI) of God or a human speaker. This is why they define appl as "the intention or will of the speaker." With the exception of words reproduced by a parrot, an idiot, a Vedic priest or a gramophone it may be generalized that intention is a predominant factor of any speech or writing. Although there is no intention in a parrot, an idiot, a gramophone or a book itself, yet the original speaker or writer whose words are reproduced or recorded by each of the above has definitely an intention. Unless words are uttered with a conscious purpose or intention, they cannot be meaningful. It is only due to the speaker's intention that peculiar words acquire peculiar meanings in different contexts. There is, therefore, much truth in the definition of

तालवे given by the नैयाविक्ड, though that definition might be faulty from a strictly scientific point of view. While dealing with equivocal words and adding the qualification 'तदन्यप्रतीती ज्ल्याऽन्यरितत्वम् । (non-ulterance with the intention of conveying any other meaning) to their own definition of ताल्ये even the actimas indirectly acknowledge the factor of intention. Hence the definition of the नेपापिक is serviceable for all practical purposes. Although the sentences repeated by a parrot or an idiot are not uttered with the intention or desire of communication, yet it cannot be said that Vedic sentences mechanically repeated by priests and speeches or songs recorded by gramophones are not uttered with a desire of communication. It is then found that Vedic sentences etc., are uttered with the desire of communicating their meaning to the hearer, and that the knowledge of array is the cause of understanding the meaning arising from sentences desired to be communicated. That वासमें is contained in the intention of the speaker or writer is a well-known fact. Nothing, therefore, can be gained except contradiction to this well-known fact in formulating a rigidly technical definition of area as the agrifage do. As the cases where the intention of the speaker is absent are rare, it is preferable to accept for practical purposes the definition of नायमें as formulated by the नेपापिक and to pay due attention to the intention of the speaker or writer in language-study. The knowledge of apply is, therefore,

the cause of verbal comprehension which arises from a sentence desired to be communicated.

XV. IMPLICATION (SEGUT)

Sometimes peculiar words in a sentence stand in the way of understanding its general purport (ताल्यं). We are, therefore, required to understand those words in a sense other than the primary one (बाच्य, शक्य) for the purpose of making them suit the context or purport. Thus when after hearing or reading a word in a sentence we have to abandon its primary sense (मुख्यार्थमाघ) on account of its inapplicability to or incompatibility with (अनुपपत्ति) the other words or context of that sentence, and when we accept there another (implied) sense, which is in some way related to the primary sense (तदोग, शक्यसम्बन्ध), we have to resort to the process called implication or इन्नुगा. In other words, इन्नुगा occurs when the primary sense, which is opposed to some means of knowledge like 'direct perception' (प्रत्यक्षप्रमाण), cannot be accepted, and when, for the suitability of the context, we have to suppose another meaning which is related to the express or primary meaning. For instance, in the sentence 'गञ्जायां श्रीप: ' (A hamlet is situated on the Ganges) the primary meaning of the word 'ngg' is 'nguals' (the stream of the Ganges) which first arises in our mind; but this meaning is opposed to the direct perception, as the hamlet cannot be situated on the stream. The purport of the speaker in uttering the sentence is that we should understand the meaning 'nemaz' (the bank of the Ganges) from the word 'nem', and not the express meaning 'nem' (the stream) which is incompatible with the purport. We, therefore, abandon the primary or express meaning 'the stream' and understand the implied or secondary meaning 'the bank' which is related to the primary meaning 'the stream'. We understand the implied meaning (nemy) 'bank' from the word 'nem' according to the following psychological process—

The Knowledge of 'the bank' does not first arise in our mind but arises after the knowledge of the primary meaning 'stream'. We first know the word 'सङ्गा' and its primary meaning 'stream', after which we understand the implication that the express meaning 'stream' is related to 'the bank' through the relation of proximity (सामीव्य) or conjunction (संयोग). Here, according to the mental law of assocition that the knowledge of one thing leads to the memory of another thing related to it, \$ we recollect the bank. We have already seen that there is a relation (सम्बन्ध) between a word and its meaning, and that the two related things (समन्त्री) showing that relation are a word and its meaning. When one related thing, i. e., a word is known, we can recollect another related thing, i. e., the mean-

एकसम्बन्धिकानमप्रसम्बन्धिस्मारकम् ।

ing. Here, according to this mental law the knowledge of the one related thing, i. e., the word 'गङ्गा' which also contains the implied meaning 'bank' through its relation of conjunction or proximity to the primary meaning 'stream', leads us to recollect the other related thing, viz, the implied meaning 'bank.' Thus from the whole sentence ' तक्तावा पोद:' we understand by Implication (SEGUI) the reasonable sense that the hamlet is situated on the bank (nemes बीप:). Here the relation of the word 'गहा ' with its primary meaning 'stream' is direct and with the secondary meaning 'bank' it is indirect (प्राथसामान्य), since the word 'agr' is directly related to the primary meaning 'stream' which is again related to the secondary meaning 'bank'. लक्षणावृत्ति thus occurs when the relation of a word is understood indirectly through the primary meaning. The relation of conjunction (संयोग) between the primary meaning 'stream' and the secondary meaning bank' is here called equi or Implication. † Although this relation of conjunction is originally between the things ' गङ्गा ' and ' वर ', i. e., between ' the stream ' and 'the bank' and not between the words ' neg!' and 'az', yet the word 'nem' is indirectly related to the word 'gz'. Thus the knowledge of 'the bank' being derived from implication (रहाना), the meaning 'bank' becomes 'say' (the thing implied). The wellknown meaning of a word is its express or primary

र्गं सक्षणा शक्यसम्बन्धस्तात्वयांनुपपत्तितः ।—नापापरिच्छेदः, ८२

meaning, yet when this meaning is incompatiable with the context, we invariably resort to want. The implied meaning (same) is, however, of secondary importance as compared to the express meaning. Of course, if the purport of the speaker can be ascertained by means of the primary meanings of words, it is not proper to resort to same and accept the secondary meaning.

Now wherein does the incompatibility (अनुपर्याचे), which is the essential condition of implication (अञ्चला कीच), lie? Does it lie in अन्वयानुपप्ति (Incompatibility of ascertaining the logical or syntactical relation) or in तालपर्यानुपप्ति (Incompatibility of ascertaining the Purport of the speaker)? Here, some schools, the प्राचीननेपाविक्ष and the आल्ड्कारिक्ष, for instance, say that अन्वयानुपप्ति is the essential condition of स्वणा. But instead of regarding अन्वयानुपप्ति as a separate condition of Implication it is better, according to other schools like the नस्पनेपाविक्ष and the बेद्यान्तिक् to regard तालपर्यानुपप्ति as the essential condition in all cases, and include the former in the latter. The reasons for this are as follows—

For example, in the sentence 'वर्षी: प्रवेशव' (Admit the sticks into the dining room) there would be no necessity of resorting to Implication. For, it being possible to admit the sticks into the room, the logical connection (अन्वव) between 'प्रवेशव' and the primary meaning of 'वृष्टी:' (sticks) can be well established. Hence अन्ववानुवर्गने which is supposed to be the essential condition of Implication does not occur in

this sentence. On the other hand, there is तालपांतुपपित here, since the purport of the speaker is not that 'sticks' (primary meaning) should be admitted, but it is that 'ascetics with sticks' (पश्चिपाः) should be admitted for dining. If the primary meaning of 'पश्चीः' (sticks) would be accepted here, it would be impossible to serve food to the sticks. This incompatibility can be removed by taking the implied meaning of 'पश्चीः' as 'पश्चिपान' (ascetics with sticks). The implication is thus rooted in तालपानुपपित and we, therefore, take the sentence 'पश्चीः प्रयोग' to mean 'पश्चिपान प्रयोग' (The ascetics with sticks should be admitted).

Again, in the sentence 'काकेम्यो दिख रह्यताम ' (Protect the curds from the crows) it is possible to establish the syntactical relation (अन्तव) between 'रहपताम् 'and 'काकेश्यः', the primary meaning of which is ' crows'. If we shall understand from this sentence that animals other than the crows may be allowed to spoil the curds, then we might miss the purport of the speaker. According to the purport of the speaker we should not merely understand the primary meaning 'crows' from the word ं हाहेम्यः ' but should also take by implication all creatures that spoil the curds (क्ष्युपचातकाः प्राणिनः). For, the purport of the speaker here is that the curds should be protected from all creatures. If we would take simply the primary meaning 'crows', there would be arraying which can be removed by resorting to again and understanding that the curds should be protected not only from the crows but from all creatures.

Similarly, in the sentence 'simil upa' (The men with umbrellas are going) we are not to understand from the word 'simus' merely its primary meaning, viz., 'men with umbrellas', but also to understand by implication 'the collection of all men with and without umbrellas', since the purport of the speaker is to make us know the latter meaning which is implied. If simply the primary meaning of 'simus' be taken, there would be anauliquifus which can be removed by taking the latter meaning.

Again, in the sentence ' [] HEST ' (Eat poison) the logical relation (अन्त्य) of the primary meanings of the words ' विषम् ' and ' मुङ्द्य ', i. e., of the object and the varb respectively, can be well established, though the eating of poison will surely result in death. The father's intended meaning (and), however, in saying this sentence to his son is not that he should eat poison which causes death. The intended meaning is that the son should desist from dining at the house of the enemy. For all these reasons the condition of the incompatibility of establishing the logical relation (अन्यपान्पपत्तिक्षणा-बीबम्) being non-pervasive (अव्यात), it is necessary to accept the incompatibility of ascertaining the Purport as the essential condition of Implication (सात्पर्यानुपपसिकंशणाबीजम्).

Moreover, all sentences in which there is अन्वयानुष्पत्ति can be shown to involve तार्ययानुष्पति also. The sentence ' गङ्गायां भोप: ' is generally quoted as an example of अन्ययानुपपत्ति, The आलङ्कारिकड say here that the primary (express) meaning of the word ' nem' viz., 'the stream' cannot be logically connected with the meaning of ' sig ' (a hamlet) and that the implied meaning 'bank' should be underatcod from the word ' सङ्गा '. But this is an example as much of तालयांन्यपति as of अन्वयान्यपति, For, if we take the primary meaning of the word ' near', the sentence is also incapable of yielding the purport. which should imply 'the bank'. It is, therefore, better, according to the law of parsimony (कावद) to take तासवानपपति as the only essential condition of Implication. There are several factors that determine the purport of a sentence. Thus in the example भारतायां घोष: ' अल्बपानुपपत्ति is helpful as a factor of determining the Purport; in ' बाइम्बो द्वि रहरताम' nonaccomplishment of purpose (प्रयोजनासिद्धि) is helpful and in 'सैन्यवमानव' etc., context (प्रकरण), time (काल), and place (रेश) are helpful in determining the purport.

If only अल्बानुवर्गन be supposed to be the cause of implication, another fault occurs as follows:—In the sentence ' गङ्गाया भोष: ', the speaker purports that we should understand 'the bank' from the word 'गङ्गा', and we do understand actually that 'the bank' is implied by the word 'गङ्गा'. Now if the speaker purports that we should understand the meaning 'fish' from the word 'भेष', the implied meaning of 'शेष' would be 'a fish'. This rule for

understanding the speaker's purport would be violated it अन्यानुष्पत्ति would be supposed to be the condition of Implication. For, there would be no criterion to decide when the word 'गज़ा' should imply 'the bank' and when the word 'ग्ज़ा' should imply 'a fish'. For this reason it is necessary to accept the incompatibility of ascertaining the purport (गल्यानुष्पत्ति) as the only cause of Implication. In short, the knowledge of the speaker's purport leads us to understand the implied meaning (लज्याक).

हञ्जा is divided into several varieties by the आहर्जारिक, नेपादिक and वेदान्तिक according to different principles of division and the peculiar process involved in each variety. The most important and common varieties that are indispensable to the expounding and understanding of the inner spirit of literature and शास्त्र may be noted as follows—

ममार gives the third condition of स्थान वह 'रूटि-प्रवोद्यान्यतरत्' meaning thereby that along with the two conditions ' गुरुवार्थवाघ' and 'तदोस' either 'established usage' (रूटि) or 'a definite motive or purpose' (प्रयोजन) must justify a स्थाना. The first variety is, therefore, called by him 'निरुद्धमा ' and the second 'प्रवोजनवती स्थाना'. He, from a scientific point of view, accepts निरुद्धमा in the case of words like 'कुशस', which etymologically (कुशान् सतीति) and hence primarily means 'इम्ब्रहीता' (one who handles कुश

grass), and which through usage or secondarily means ' चतुर ' (clever). The purpose of this सक्षणा, which is rocted in this elymological sense, might have been known by people in ancient times; yet it is forgotten by us now owing to the # (convention, usage) of the word 'spec' in the sense of ' wat, which we now take as the primary meaning of that word. The same may be said about the word which etymologically means 'saltiness' (ख्वणस्य भाव:) and conventionally or primarily means beauty'. All similar words like 'तैलप् ' (तिलस्य विकारः), हिरेफ: (ही रेफी यस्य) etc., are now understood by us in their conventional and hence primary senses through usage, and their etymological senses are known by few persons. As we now take the conventional senses of those words to be primary, it is unnecessary for all practical purposes to resort to ह्मणा in the case of those conventional (इंट) words. This is why साहित्यदर्गणकार and हमचन्द्र are inclined not to recognize freezewij in the case of the above words, and there is some truth in their view. †

If निरुद्धकाण is left out, all other varieties of स्थापा are found to proceed from a definite purpose or motive and are, therefore, collectively termed 'प्रयोजनवर्ती स्थापा'. For instance, there is a definite

च्रिश्चाहरूपार्थस्य व्युत्पत्तिकभ्यत्वेऽपि इक्षरूपस्यैव मुख्यार्थत्वात् । अन्यद्वि शब्दानां व्युत्पत्तिनिमित्तमन्यस्य प्रवृत्तिनिमित्तम् । —साहित्यदर्पणः, २ कुशकद्विरेफद्विकादपस्य साक्षात्मक्केतितिविषयत्वानमुख्या एवेति न रुदिर्वक्ष्यस्यार्थस्य हेतुत्वेनास्मामिकका । —काव्यानुशासनम्, १ (१. २५)

purpose of अञ्चल in the use of the sentence ' सङ्गापी and it is in suggesting the extreme coolness and purity of the bank and of the hamlet situated on it. Although the sentence ' महातर पोप: ' is capable of suggesting these qualifies, yet it is unnecessary to resort to essur in it. Moreover, the excess or abundance of these qualities is not so much suggested by the word 'az' in the sentence 'agaz wig:' as it is done by the peculiar mode of the word 'ngr' in 'गज़ावां बोप:'. The word 'गज़ा' can imply not only 'the bank' but can also suggest a third sense, viz., 'coolness and sanctity' (शैलपावनत्व) of गङ्गा in an excessive degree. Thus the suggestion of the excess of 'coolness and sanctity' which really belongs to the stream, but which is transferred to the hamlet () through association, is the motive or purpose of इल्ला in the sentence ' गहनावां बोप: '. It may then generally be said that the definite purpose of प्रयोजनवती हशका is to help the process of suggestion (व्यञ्जना) and suggest a third sense, which is the same as the purpose and other than the express (बाब्द) and implied (इस्य) senses. This suggestion depends, of course, upon the individual frame of mind of which one is possessed. and it is, therefore, subjective rather than objective.

Now the mental process involved in प्रयोजनवरी लक्षणा leading to ब्युडाना (suggestion) is this:— In all the varieties of प्रयोजनवरी लक्षणा the understanding of the purpose (प्रयोजन), which is in the form of ' suggestion', depends upon the consciousness of identity (अमेदप्रतिपति) between the primary sense (बान्यार्थ) and the secondary sense (स्थाप). This consciousness of identity leads us to transfer the characteristics (अस) of the primary sense to the secondary sense. This transfer further makes possible the association of those characteristics with the secondary sense, and the association then enables us to understand the purpose in the form of 'suggestion'. For instance, in 'ample when the identity of the primary sense 'stream' and the the secondary sense ' bank' is realized, we transfer the characteristics of 'coolness and sanctity' belonging to 'the stream' to 'the bank', and the association of 'coolness and sanctify with the bank makes us conscious of the purpose of ways, viz., the suggestion of 'coolness and sanctify 'atlaching to the bank and the hamlet.

प्रवोजनवर्ती इसमा is divided into two main varieties viz., इदा (Pure) and मोनी (Qualitative). In बुदा स्थमा there is the absence of 'उपचार' which, in its restricted sense, means 'the secondary use of a word' owing to similarity between its primary sense (बान्सायं) and implied sense (ब्रह्मायं). We must remember here that 'इपचार' in its wider sense means the secondary or figurative use of a word, and such उपचार being present in all varieties of स्थमा (even in ब्रह्म स्थमा) becomes an equivalent of स्थमा, since स्थमा also is the secondary or figurative use of a word. The word 'उपचार' in this wider sense is used

in different systems of Hindu philosophy. But the distinction between झुद्धा रहाया and मीमी रहाया without being based on this wider sense of avert is based on its restricted sense. In star easy, therefore, the primary sense and the implied sense of a word have between themselves a relation which is other than that of similarity (साहर्यतस्यम्बन्ध). Some of the numerous relations other than the one of similarity are—(i) conjunction or association (संवोग or साहचये) as between ' बुन्ता: ' (lances) and ' कुन्तिन: ' (lancers) in the example ' कुन्ता: प्रविद्यन्ति ', (ii) proximity (सामीप) as between the stream and the bank in the example 'गङ्गायां चोप: ', (iii) effect and cause (कार्यकारणमात्र) as between 'long life' and 'lifeprolonging property' (ghee) in the example 'anguay', (iv) subserviency or serving the purpose of another (तादध्ये), as between 'इन्द्र' and the 'post (स्थूणा) serving the purpose of Frz ' in the example ' युवापान इन्द्रं (स्थुणां) पूजवति ', etc.

In the other main variety of प्रयोजनवती स्थागा, viz., the गोगी स्थागा there is the presence of 'उपचार' in its restricted sense. In other words, there is the secondary use of a word in it owing to the relation of similarity or extreme resemblance (सहस्थेन सम्बंग प्रवृत्ति:) between its primary sense and implied sense. 'गोगी' (qualitative) etymologically means' one which proceeds from qualities' (गुमेर्य आगवा) that are common to the primary sense and the implied sense. For example, in the case of the words 'चन्द्र:' and 'अग्नि:' that are

वयचित or लाश्चित्त (figuratively used) in the sentences 'मुल चुन्हा,' and 'माणवृद्धः अग्निः', there is the relation of similarity between the primary senses of those words, viz., 'the moon' and 'fire', and the secondary senses of the same, viz., 'the face' and 'the boy' respectively. The identity between 'चुन्हा and मुख्य ' or 'माणवृद्धः and अग्निः' is then felt by us owing to the extreme resemblance of their qualities, though the primary sense and the secondary sense of 'चुन्हा' and 'अग्निः' are quite separate things.

There are four subdivisions of गुद्धा स्थापा, viz., उपादान, स्थाप, सारोपा and साध्ययसाना, which are dealt with in their order as follows—

(1) उपादानलक्षणा (Inclusive implication), which is also called अवह स्वार्थ or अवहल्लामा, is that in which there is the inclusion or acceptance (उपादान) of the primary sense in the implied sense. In other words, द्रपादानस्थाणा is that in which the primary sense accepts an additional sense for the purpose of establishing itself (primary sense) in the context of a sentence. In this want the primary sense of a word is not given up (न जहाति पर स्वार्थ यस्याम्) but in combination with the additional sense it is included in the implied sense. Thus the sentences—यहुय: (यशिषरा: पुरुषा:) प्रविद्यन्ति, कुन्ताः (कुन्तिनः पुरुषाः) प्रविद्यन्ति, श्वेतः (अश्वः) बावति, काके भ्यः (दश्युपधातक प्राणिम्यः) दधि रहयताम्, छतिणः (छत्रिणोऽच्छत्रिणका) यान्ति, are all examples of the inclusion of the primary senses of the words in bold type, along with additional senses, in their implied senses. (ii) ভারতভারতা (Exclusive implication), otherwise called অনুক্রামা or মুন্তভারতা, is that in which there is the exclusion or abandonment of the primary sense, which being incompatible with the context of a sentence indicates (ভারতা ব্যক্তভারতা) another sense connected with it so as to suit the context of that sentence. For instance, in the sentences 'মানুমা' (মানুমা: 'and 'মানুমা: (মানুমা: মানুমা: 'মানুমা' (The children on the cots cry), the primary senses of the words 'মানুমা' (stream) and 'মানু:' (cots) are excluded or given up in order to point out the implied senses 'bank' and 'children on the cots' respectively.

In connection with these two sub-divisions of जुदा क्ष्मणा, an independent variety 'अहरबहुक्षमणा' (Quasi-inclusive or partial implication), otherwise named 'भागव्याण ' or 'भागव्याण गां, may be mentioned. Though this variety has not been recognized by the आक्ष्मणिक or the नेपापिक, it is usually resorted to by the बेपानिक for establishing the identity of the Individual Soul with the Universal Soul while expounding the great Vedic dictum 'क्ष्मणि' (Thou art That). Thus बहुदबहुक्षमण occurs when a word signifying some qualified entity (विशिष्ट) abandons one part of its primary sense and retains another part.

बहदबहतीति सा स्याया वाच्यार्थेकदेशमपहाय। बोधपति चैकदेशं सोऽयं द्विज इतिबदाअयेदेनाम्॥

वत्र हि विशिष्टमाचनः शब्दः एकदेशं विद्याय एकदेशे वर्तते तत्र बहदबहहृद्धभूमा, यथा 'सोऽयं देवदत्तः ' इति । —वेदान्तपरिमाणा, म. ४

For instance, in the sentence ' सोऽयं देवदत्तः ' (This is that देवदच) the two qualified entities signified by the words 'H:' and 'squi' referring respectively to East of the past time and East of the present time cannot be identical. The two words, however, refer to the same fairy or substantive, viz., the individual हेवदच, who is unrelated to time, past and present. When, therefore, the identity of the qualified entities is not possible, the part consisting of attributes (विशेषण) of these entitles is abandoned and the part indicating the substantive is retained or accepted. Thus the attributes or qualifications of the past time and of the present time being abandoned, the substantive 'देवदच' is retained for establishing the unity of देवदस. Similarly, when in the sentence ' तस्वमिष ' [Thou (बीव) art That (ईश्वर)] we eliminate parts of the primary senses of 'Thou' and 'That', viz., the adjuncts or qualifications 'limited intelligence' (陶麗明母) and 'omniscience' (सर्वज्ञाव) of बीव and इंश्वर respectively, Pure Consciousness common to जीव and ईश्वर is left, and the difference between both of them being annihilated, the identity of both is established.

(iii) Now बारोपा स्थापा (Superimponent Implication), the third sub-division of गुद्धा as recognized by the आस्ट्यारिका, involves the process of superimposition (आरोप) of one thing on the other. The बारोपा स्थापा, therefore, occurs when the object of superimposition (विषय-आरोपाअय) is identified with the thing

superimposed (विषयी-आरोपनमाण), and when both of them are distinctly mentioned by using separate words. In this variety of agai want the relation between the primary sense and the implied sense of a word is other than that of similarity. For instance, in the sentence ' व्यमापुः ' (Ghee is life) ' पतम्' which is the object of superimposition is identified with 'ang: ' which is the thing superimposed, since thee conduces to long life. Again, as there is the causal relation between the primary sense (long life) and the implied sense (life-prolonging article, i. e., ghee) of the word ' आहु: ', and as both the object of super-imposition and the thing super-imposed are distinctly mentioned by using separate words, the sentence ' garng: ' is an example of शहसारोपा लक्षणा,

(iv) In the fourth or last sub-division of बुद्दा स्थापा, i. e., in the बार्यसम्बद्धमा (Introsusceptive Implication) there is the introsusception or taking in (अस्पवस्त) of the object of super-imposition (विषय) by the thing super-imposed (विषयी). In other words, the object of superimposition is completely swallowed (विश्वी) by the thing super-imposed. Hence the object of super-imposition is not expressed in a word, while only the thing superimposed is expressed by means of a word. Moreover, there is a relation other than that of similarity between the primary sense and implied sense of that word. The sentence 'आयुरेवेदम्' or 'आयुः विवित्त ' is an example of this बुद्धाध्यवसम्बद्धमा. Here the object of super-imposition viz., ' यहम्' is completely

taken in (strated) by the thing superimposed viz., 'stag:'; and it is only the word 'stag:' that is expressed. Again, as already stated, there is the causal relation between the primary sense and the implied sense of the word 'stag:'.

Now the apply segun has only two variaties viz , (a) चारोप and (b) साध्यवसान, and the examples of both of them are 'बाहीको गी: ' and 'अयं गी: ' or 'मीबंदति ' respectively. Here we identify 'बाईकि:' (विषय-उपमेष) with 'नी:' (विषयी-उपमान), as both of them possess the common qualities of 'dullness and stupidity' (बाड्यमान्यारि). In the first example, i. e., of the गोणी erity both the object of superimposition or the thing compared (विषय-उपमेप) and the thing superimposed or the standard of comparison (विषयी-उपमान) बान separately mentioned; while in the examples of गीणसाध्यवसान they (विषय and विषयी) are not separately mentioned, the faqq or squiq being swallowed (निनीण) by the विषयी or उपमान. We have already seen that the apply assur occurs when the primary sense (बारवार्थ) and the implied sense (रुखार्थ) of a सामाणिक word are found to possess similar qualities (1711), or when there is the relation of similarity between the बास्याय and सहयाय. In other words, the primary sense is found to possess the same qualities as those possessed by the implied sense. In the sentence ' वाहीको गी: ' there is the relation of similarity between the primary sense 'bull' of the उपचरित or हाझणिक word 'all ' and its implied sense ' quels: ', since

the primary sense ' bull ' possesses the same qualifies of 'duliness' etc., as are possessed by the implied sense ' वाहीक: '. Thus the लावणिक word ' ni: ' implies ' a man possessed of dullness', i. e., ' बाहीक: ' on account of similarity between ' गी: ' and ' वाहीक: '. As both these words are in the same case (समानाधिकरण), they signify one and the same person and hence yield one and the same sense (एकायांभिषायक), Other examples of the गीणसारीपळक्षणा are 'माणवकोऽशिः' and 'मुखं चन्द्रः' or 'मुखचन्द्र उदेति', and those of the गाणसाध्यवसानसङ्गा are 'अनिः कुप्पति ' or बन्द्र उद्वि'. From all such examples we find that the बीमसारोपलक्षणा is at the basis of the figure रूपक and the गोणसाध्यवसानलक्षणा is at the basis of अतिशयोक्ति, otherwise called रुपदातिश्योक्ति. In both the ग्रीणसमोपा and गौषमाञ्चलाना we seek to suggest the identity of the विषय (उपमेय) with the विषयी (उपमान). But in the गोणसारोपा the extreme similarity between the विषय and the विपयी leads us to realize the identity of both, though they are mentioned separately; while in the गोणसाव्यवसाना the sense of similarity reaches such a point that the Aqq is thought to be the Aqui itself. This is why the word standing for the faug is swallowed and hence not expressed.

Authors like surfice distinguish nivit still from saying by saying that exput occurs when there is the apprehension of the secondary sense which is merely connected with the primary sense, i. e., when the connection between the primary sense and the

implied sense is other than that of similarity; and that गीजी इति occurs when the connection between the बाज्याये and बह्याये is through the qualities of the thing implied, i. e., when there is the connection of similarity between पाच्यार्थ and उद्यार्थ, 5 The examples of भौणी वृत्ति usually quoted are 'माणस्कोडिमः', 'देवदत्तः विदः' etc. In these examples the primary senses of 'affi: 'and 'feg: ' are 'fire' and 'lion' respectively. As these senses are incompatible with the Purport of the sentences, i. e., as the primary senses of these words cannot be properly connected with 'माणवक: ' and ' देवदत्तः ' respectively, we have to resort to अञ्चल. Now the implied senses of ' अधि: ' and 'fee;' are respectively 'a boy with the qualities of fire (brightness, etc.)' and 'द्वदन possessing the qualities of a lion (bravery, etc.)'. Thus the connection between 'माणवक; and अमि: ' or 'देवदच: and feg: ' is established through their common qualities. But, as has already been shown, this गौंधी दृत्ति of कुमारिल is the same as the गांधी लक्षणा of मुन्तर, and the खब्जा of the former is nothing but the गुद्धा रुक्षणा of the latter. Thus मम्मट takes गाँगी वृत्ति to be a division of अञ्चला and not an independent function as guiffe does. It is, therefore, unnecessary to hold लक्षणा and नांगी पुचि to be separate functions.

अभिषेयाविनाभ्तप्रतीतिलंशणोच्यते । लक्ष्यमाणगुणैयोंगाट् वृत्तेरिष्टा तु गौणता ॥ Quoted in the काव्यप्रकाश from the तन्त्रवार्तिक.

It has already been shown that the consciousness of identity (अभेदप्रतिपत्ति) between the primary sense and the implied sense of a market word is indispensable to the understanding of the purpose (प्रयोजन) of all the varieties of प्रयोजनवर्गी लक्षणा viz., गुद्धा and ज़ीजी. This means that the feeling of identity leading to the understanding of the purpose is as much necessary in the case of उपादानलक्षणा and लक्षण-ख्यापा as it is in the case of the सारोप and साध्यवसाम varieties of both paresun and nimesum. Generally the purpose of early being closely related to the primary sense is lelt by us in association with the implied sense. We must, therefore, realize the identity between the primary sense and the implied sense in order that the characteristics of the former should be associated with the latter. But mere perception of the relation between the primary sense and the implied sense cannot make us alive to the Purpose. For example, the sentence 'name's sin: 'does not suggest the Purpose in the form of 'coolness and sanctity ' that are associated with the bank, even though we can perceive the relation between ' and ' az '. On the other hand, in the sentence ' महामा भोष: ', which is an example of अक्षणस्थामा, the साक्षणिक word ' nay' helps us to feel the identity between its primary sense 'stream' and the implied sense bank'; and this feeling of indentity further becomes a step to the Suggestion of the Purpose viz. 'coolness and sanctity' in association with the bank

and the hamlet situated on it. Coolness and sanctity are really the characteristics of the 'stream' and not of the 'bank'. When, however, the identity between the primary sense 'stream' and the implied sense 'bank' is felt by us, these characteristics can be associated with the bank. Similarly, in the sentence ' कुला: प्रविश्वाल ', which is an example of उपादान अल्ला, the feeling of identity between the primary sense (lances) of the word ' gran; ' and its implied sense (lancers) becomes a step to the understanding of the Purpose, which is to suggest the sharpness and harshness of lancers. This purpose is understood, when, after the consciousness of identity between 'lances' and 'lancers', we associate the characteristics of sharpness etc., really belonging to 'lances' (primary sense) with 'lancers' (implied sense). Thus even in उपादानसभाग and स्थाप-इन्नुगा, the varieties of जुद्धा, we must feel identity between the primary sense and the implied sense. Yet in both these varieties of sign the identity between the बान्यार्थ and इड्यार्थ is not prominently felt by us until we begin to think of the Purpose, which is other than that identity. Hence the identity in उपादान्हश्चमा and सञ्ज्ञाहश्चमा being indirectly felt becomes a step to the understanding of the Purpose.

Now the Purpose in ' वृत्तायु: ', which is an example of गुद्धारोपलक्षणा, is the suggestion that ghee is superior to the other articles of food in bringing about its desired result (अन्ययेलक्षणेन कार्यकारित्वम्); which is an example of गुद्ध-

enuagenasim, the purpose is the suggestion that ghee invariably brings about its desired result (अन्याभिनारण कापेकारित्रम्), since complete identity between ghee and life is shown through the introsuception (अन्यवसान) of the word ' बुत्तम् ' by the word ' आहा: '. In both these varieties of हादां, the superimposition (आहोप) in ' बृत्तमाहा: ' and the introsuception (अन्यवसान) in 'आहा: पिनति ' make the identity between ' बृत्तम् ' and ' आहा: ' more perceptible than that in उपादानञ्ज्ञणा and कशणक्ष्यणा.

On the other hand, in an analysis the purpose is the apprehension of identity between the faga. and the farsh, even though the distinction between the two is felt by us owing to their separate mention. For example, in the sentence ' पाडीको गी: ' the difference between 'बादीक:' (विषय) and ' गी: ' (विषयी) can be observed by us on account of the distinct mention of the two. In spite of this difference the identity of the two is felt by us in consequence of the same case-relation (सामानाधिकरण्य) of those two words. This consciousness of identity between the fava and the fault, in spite of their difference, is itself the Purpose of ग्रीमसारोपलल्या in all its examples like 'बाडीकी गी: ', ' मुखं चन्द्र: ', 'माणवकोऽप्रि: ' etc. Again, in गीणसाध्यवसानthe Purpose is the apprehension of complete Identity between the faug and the faug on account of the introsusception of the former by the latter. For instance, in the sentence ' नीवंदति' complete identity between 'angla: ' and ' m: ' is felt, since the fave (बाहीक:) has been swallowed by the विषयी (ती:). This consciousness of complete identity between the विषय and the विषयी is itself the purpose of तीणसाध्ययसान-स्थाम in all its examples like 'तीवंदिति', 'चन्द्र उदेति', 'बाह्रिः कृष्पति' etc. Thus the purposes of तीणसाध्ययसान कार्य ती तोणसाध्ययसान स्थाम ere common to all their respective examples, since the तीणी स्थाम in general proceeds from the relation of similarity alone.†

Although the consciousness of identity (अमेद-प्रतिपत्ति) between the primary sense and the implied sense is a necessary factor common to all varieties of प्रशेवनवर्ती सम्राण, a distinction between बुद्धा सम्राण and गोंशी लक्ष्मा may be observed. While the sense of identity in that easy is only a step or means to the understanding of the Purpose which is different from that identity, the sense of identity itself in गोणी कारणा becomes the Purpose. In other words, the identity in gg is indirectly lelt and has to be apprehended for the sake of the Purpose, which is something else; while the identity in another seron is prominently or directly felt and itself occupies the position of the Purpose. A still more subtle distinction between उपादान स्थापा and स्थापस्था on the one hand and सारोपलक्षणा and साध्यवसानलक्षणा on the other, may be noted that in the former the sense of identity being indirectly felt becomes a step to the apprehension of the Purpose; while in the latter the sense of

^{ां} अत्र गौणभेदयोभेदेऽपि ताद्र्यप्रतीतिः सर्वयेवाभेदावगमश्र प्रयोजनस्। ग्रस-भेदयोस्यन्यवैद्रक्षण्येन अव्यभिचारेण च कार्यकारित्वस्। -काव्यप्रकाशः, उ.२.

identity being directly felt occupies the position of the Purpose on account of the process of superimposition (आरोप) and introsusception (अव्यवस्था).

XVI. SUGGESTION (व्यञ्जना)

We have seen that every variety of the प्रयोजनवर्ती सञ्जा is accompanied by a purpose (प्रयोजन) which being different from the communication of 'the primary sense ' and 'the implied sense ' is understood through the process of Suggestion (अञ्चला). In the sentence 'गङ्गायां घोष: ' the primary sense of the word ' negr' is ' the stream' and its implied sense is 'the bank'; but the peculiar use of the আপ্রনিদ্ধ word 'ন্ত্রা' in the sentence enables us to understand the additional sense 'coolness and sanctity', which is other than the primary and implied ones, and which is, therefore, conveyed to us through no other process than that of Suggestion. This suggested sense becomes manifest to us when we understand the speaker's purpose or Intention, which is to suggest that the pleasant properties of "coolness and sanctity" really belonging to the stream are associated with or possessed by the bank. Hence the suggested sense which itself is the purpose of earn is different from the primary sense 'stream' and the implied sense 'bank'. Thus for understanding the Purpose of the speaker we must

postulate a third function called 'व्यक्ता' or 'व्यक्ति' (Suggestion) in addition to the functions of अभिवा (Expression) and eagur (Implication). Unless the Purpose contains a beautiful idea, the suggested sense is not prominently felt by us in poetry. The speaker's purpose in using the sentence ' गङ्गाया योष: ' is to suggest ' the extreme coolness and sanctity of the bank' and unlike the primary sense and the implied sense this suggested sense contains a delightful idea, which appeals to our emotions rather than to our Reason. This beautiful sense or purpose is, therefore, conveyed to us by the only process of व्यक्त. which is the mental process of the pleasant and immediate association of ideas apart from words. The purpose of the speaker is sometimes to convey his ideas directly through Expression (अभिषा) and sometimes he skilfully conceals that purpose in such a way that it should be circumstantially realized by the hearer. This is why the purpose containing a beautiful idea is suggested rather than expressed. Hence the suggested sense (ध्वनि), which is superior to the expressed and implied senses, is held to be the distinguishing mark of excellent poetry.

Western psychologists include 'Suggestion under 'Mimesis' which means 'imitation' in a wider sense. In the psychology of the group 'mimesis' is said to be a manifestation of the gregarious (social) instinct, the three aspects of which are 'sympathy', 'suggestion' and 'imitation'. 'Sympathy' is imitation in feeling, 'Suggestion' is

imitation in ideas or thought, and 'Imitation' in its narrow sense is imitation in action. When two or more persons come together so as to form 'a psychological group', these three aspects of ' mimesis' are set in motion. These three aspects are so closely related to one another, that when one aspect is at work, another is aroused, because there is close relation between subtle suggestion and feeling. These aspects stand both on the instinctive (unwitting) as well as conscious levels. Of them Suggestion is the unwitting acceptance of the ideas of others. McDougall defines Suggestion as " a process of communication resulting in the acceptance with conviction of the communicated proposition in the absence of logically adequate grounds for its acceptance."5 As suggestion is unwitting in the case of the person who accepts the ideas, the instinct of 'submission' is aroused in him: while in the superior person who suggests the ideas, the process of suggestion may be conscious or deliberate. If we apply these psychological facts to a good post and his reader, we find that the poet, who has an artistic or literary interest in Suggestion, consciously or purposefully suggests beautiful ideas, though while actually composing poetry he forgets himself and is one with the emotions and ideas, the 'constructive instinct' being arcused in him; while in the reader who appreciates or admires his ideas unwittingly or without logical discrimination, the instincts of 'submission' and

^{\$} An Introduction to Social Psychology by McDougall, p. 83.

'curiosity' are awakened. Thus the process of suggestion working in the poet as well as in the reader being closely related to Feeling gives rise to the feelings of Sympathy and admiration in the latter.

The Sanskrit term for Suggestion in poetry is ध्विन which is used by आनन्दवर्धन, the first exponent of the व्यति-theory, in his व्यत्याहोक. It is, he says, borrowed from the grammarians, who, as we have already seen, employ it for the audible and noneternal sounds (व्यति) that suggest the eternal स्तेर,\$ Although the ध्वनिवादिन्ड do not recognize the theory of the on account of their acceptance of the suggestiveness of words as well as their parts, yet by the analogy of the suggestiveness of non-eternal words as held by the grammarians, they employ the term ' प्वति' to connote the wider significance of the suggestiveness of words as well as their senses. Thus the term 'ख़िन' of the खनिवादिन्ड widely includes its various connotations, viz., suggestive words, their expressed senses which are suggestive, suggested sense, function of suggestion, and suggestive poetry. As in all good poetry prominence is found to be given to the धानि or व्यङ्ग्यार्थ (suggested sense) in relation to which a word and its expressed sense occupy a subordinate position, आनन्यवर्धन establishes

^{\$} ते (वैयाकरणाः) च श्रूयमाणेषु वर्णेषु ध्वनिरिति व्यवहरन्ति । तथैवान्यैस्तन्मतानुसारिभिः सुरिभिः काव्यतत्त्वार्थदर्शिभिर्वाच्यवाचकसंमिश्रः शब्दारमा काव्यमिति व्यवदेशो व्यञ्जकत्वसाम्याद् ध्वनिरित्युक्तः । (ध्वन्याक्रोकः, १११६).

the synthetic principle of criticism that ध्वनि is the soul of poetry. † Further, this ध्वनि-theory naturally leads अन्तव्यक्ष and his illustrious commentator अभिनवपुत to the psychological exposition of the theory of एस. They, therefore, establish the aesthetic principle that सम्बद्धि (suggestion of sentiments) is the foremost of all the varieties of ध्वनि, and that अवद्धार (figures of speech), गुण (excellences), शिव (style), बस्तु (plot), etc., must all subserve the main purpose of the development of रह. This is predominantly a synthetic method of the criticism of literature.

Now the suggested sense (अनि or अञ्चलको) cannot be conveyed by the power of अभिना (Expression). For, the अभिना establishes a direct and conventional relation between an already existing thing and the words denoting it; and after it has performed its function of denoting one conventional sense, it is exhausted. A separate function must, therefore, be postulated for the purpose of conveying a sense other than the primary or conventional one; and it is performed by the suggestive power residing in the expressed sense of words. Hence we find that the suggested sense is indirectly related to words; and if it is supposed that it is directly related, the existence of a sense other than the primary one

[†] यत्रार्थः शब्दो वा तमर्थमुपसर्वनीकृतस्वार्थौ । व्यङ्कः काव्य-विशेषः स ध्वनिरिति सुरिमिः कथितः ॥ (ध्वन्यालोकः, १११३).

विशेष्यं नामिषा गच्छेत् श्रीणशक्तिविशेषणे । —काव्यप्रकाशः, २।५

would never be possible.† If thus the suggestive power resides in the expressed sense of words, the suggested sense cannot be apprehended by us unless we first know the whole expressed sense and the words denoting it.\$ At such places the expressed sense of words with their various aspects, viz., their syllables, order, etc., becomes instrumental to the apprehension of the suggested sense which is principal. Here the expressed sense without being cancelled helps the manifestation of the suggested sense. The variety of eafq that occurs in this case is called ' विवक्षितान्यपरवाच्य ' (in which the expressed sense is meant for the sake of another-suggestedsense) or ' अभिषामूल ' (based on Expression). It may be noted that in this variety of safa the suggested sense is indirectly related to words. The subdivisions of this variety of ध्विन are 'संलक्ष्यक्रमञ्बद्धन्य' ' असंबद्धक्रमञ्ज्य ' or 'सस्यिन '. The mental process involved in both is this:- In the former the graded steps of realizing the suggested sense from the expressed sense can be well ascertained; whereas in the latter the understanding of the expressed sense in the form of the representation of the विभावत (causes of emotions or determinants), अनुमावड (after-effects of emotions or consequents)

[†] बाच्यो हार्थः साक्षाच्छव्दस्य सम्बन्धी । तदितरस्विभिष्वसामर्थ्या-श्विप्तः सम्बन्धिसम्बन्धी । यदि च स्वसम्बन्धित्वं साक्षाचस्य स्थात् , तदाऽ र्थान्तरस्यवहार एव न स्थात् । —ध्यन्यालोकः, ३।३३

^{\$} बाच्यार्थप्रतीतिपूर्विका व्यङ्ग्यार्थस्य प्रतिपत्तिः ।- ध्वन्यालोकः, १।१०

and व्यभिचारिभावड (transient emotions) awakens without well discernible steps or immediately the स्यापिभावड (permanent moods) latent in us, and instantly gives rise to the स्य which is the suggested sense. Although there are some intermediate steps between the understanding of the expressed sense and the realization of the स्थ here, yet the process takes place so quickly that the steps cannot be perceived, and the suggestion of the स्थ appears to be instantaneous.†

An example of the संख्यक्रमञ्जूष is found in the sixth canto of the कुमारसम्भव. When the divine sages approached the father of पावती and when अक्षिरस्, their leader, requested him to offer पावती in marriage to जिल, पावती who was inwardly delighted at this proposal, is described as follows—

एवंबादिनि देवपौँ पार्श्व पितुरधोमुखी।

लीलाकमलपत्राणि गणयामास पार्वती॥ -कुमार॰ ६।८४

"When the heavenly sage (अक्टिस्) spoke thus, पार्वती, who was by the side of her father, counted the petals of her play-lotus with a down-cast look."

Here, though quad was pleased in her mind, she could not, out of bashfulness, display her love

-ध्वन्यालोकः, ३।३३

[§] Read pages 136-143.

^{ां} न हि विभावानुभावव्यभिचारिण एव रसा इति क्रस्यचिदवगमः।
अत एव च विभावादिप्रतीत्यविनाभाविनी रसादीनां प्रतीतिरिति तत्य-तीत्योः कार्यकारणभावेन व्यवस्थानात् क्रमोऽवद्यंभावी। स तु लाघवास प्रकारयते 'इत्यलक्ष्यकमा एव सन्तो व्यक्ष्या रसादयः ' इत्यक्तम्।

for fig in the presence of the elderly persons. She, therefore, tried to conceal her feelings by pretending to count the petals with a down-cast look. Here, पार्वती's transient emotion (व्यभिचारिमाव) ' bashfulness' (ear) is suggested. This suggestion is realized by us when, after understanding the expressed sense which is subordinated, we take into account पार्वती's former love for शिव, the mention of far as the proposed husband, and quad's counting of petals with a down-cast look. This suggestion of the व्यक्तिचारिमाय appeals to us through these clearly perceptible stages and not immediately after the understanding of the expressed sense. For, the counting of petals with a down-cast look may also take place on account of circumstances other than bashfulness. Thus in order to realize the suggestion of this bashfulness we have to undergo the stages, viz., पांवती's love for ज्ञिव, her penance, Bra's mention, etc.

An example of the असंस्थ्यक्रम्बद्भ्य occurs in the third canto of the कुमारसम्बद्ध. In the former part of this canto the following incidents have been described. मूद्ध in order to excite the love of द्विष्य for पावती goes with his friend बस्त्य (the Vernal Season) to the place where द्विष्य is practising penance, पावती, who is in the prime of her youth, is attending upon द्विष्य out of love for him. She offers to द्विष्य a resary of

^{\$} अत्र हि लीलाकमलपत्रगणनमुपसर्वनीकृतस्वरूपं शब्दव्यापारं विनेवार्यान्तरं व्यभिचारिभावलक्षणं प्रकाशयति । —व्यन्यालोकः, २।२३

lotus-seeds which he accepts through love. At the very moment महन is ready to discharge his arrow on शिव, who is then described as follows—

हरल् किञ्चित्परिलुप्तधैर्यश्चन्द्रोदयारम्भ इवाम्बुराशिः। तमामुखे विम्बफलाधरोष्टे व्यापारयामास विलोचनानि॥

" शिव, slightly losing his firmness like the ocean at the rising of the moon, cast his eyes on पावती's face with its lower lip like the Bimba fruit."

In this verse the स्थाविमान (permanent mood) is the love (रति) of शिन्; the आलम्बनियमान (fundamental determinant) is पानती; the उद्दीपनिविमान (excitant determinants) are the vernal season and the darting of the arrow by मदन; and the अनुमान (consequent emotions) are शिन् s loss of firmness and his glance at पानती's face. This representation of the विमान and अनुमान suddenly or without discernible steps suggests to the reader the अभिनारिमान (transient emotions), viz., eagerness, joy, agitation, etc., which give the suggestion of मुझारक, It will thus be found that the अभिना—power of words is inadequate to convey the inner sentiments (स), which can only be suggested by means of the skilful and

[ं] यत्र साक्षान्छव्दनिवेदितेम्यो विभावानुभावव्यभिचारिभ्यो रसादीनां प्रतीतिः, स तस्य (असंव्हत्यक्रमव्यङ्ग्यस्य) केवलस्य मार्गः । वधा कुमारसम्भवे मधुपसङ्गे वसन्तपुष्पाभरणं वहन्त्या देव्या आगमनादिवर्णनं मनोभवद्यरसम्बानपर्यन्तं, द्यमोश्च परिवृत्तवैर्यस्य चेष्टाविद्येपवर्णनादि, साक्षान्छव्दनिवेदितम् ।—ध्यन्यालोकः, २।२३.

harmonious delineation of the विभावत etc. \$ This is the chief variety of suggestion called 'रहवानि', where the व्यक्ति—theory being happily blended withthe रह—theory culminates in the latter.

The variety named ' संस्थानमध्यक्षाय ' is again subdivided into वस्तुव्यनि (suggestion of an idea or fact) and अल्ह्यारव्यति (suggestion of a figure of speech). The suggested sense of fact (वस्तुव्यनि) is so different from the expressed sense that when the former is negative, the latter is positive and vice versa.* It must be noted that the spesses cannot go beyond beautifying words and their expressed sense. Their real function, according to आनन्दवर्षन, is to serve the purpose of the development of रस (ध्व. २११७), अभिनवगुत, therefore, says that रसव्यक्ति is really the soul of poetry and that वस्तुव्वनि and अलङ्कारव्यनि wholly terminate in the development of ve. Yet as these two varieties of safa are superior to the expressed sense, they are included under the comprehensive term 'safa', and a general statement is made by आनन्दवर्धन that Suggestion is the soul of poetry.+

\$ तृतीयस्तु रसादिबक्षणो न तु साक्षाच्छब्दव्यापारविषय इति वाच्या-द्विभिन्न एव । ... यतश्च स्वाभिधानमन्तरेण केवलेभ्योऽपि विभावादिस्योः विशिष्टेभ्यो रसादीनां प्रतीतिः ।—ध्वन्यालोकः, १।४.

^{*} आयः (बस्तुष्विनः) ताबस्यमेदो वाच्याद्दूरं विभेदवान् । स कदाचिद्वाच्ये विधिरूपे प्रतिपेधरूपः । कचिद्वाच्ये प्रतिपेधरूपे विधिरूपः । -ध्यन्यालोकः, १।४. † रस एव वस्तुत आत्मा, वस्त्वलङ्कारध्वनी तु सर्वथा रस प्रति पर्यवस्थेते इति बाच्यादुत्कृष्टी तावित्यभिप्रायण ध्वनिः काव्यत्यासेति सामान्येनोक्तम् । —ध्वन्यालोकलोचनम्, १।५.

अभिषा is generally related to one object; yet in the Sanskrit language we usually meet with words having the same form but possessing more primary senses than one, as they are related to more objects than one. For instance, words like द्वर, मञ्, नग, मित्र, पवोधर, etc., possess more primary senses than one owing to their reference to more objects than one-The existence of such words in Sanskrit has led Sanskrit poets to use the Russet to such an extent that they have missed the real purpose of poetry and have made it artificial. A 'sig' generally occurs when a writer intends to convey two or more primary senses of a word. But when out of many primary senses of a word only one is intended to be conveyed on account of the presence of the following factors restricting that particular sense, we apprehend the primary senses, not intended to be conveyed, by the process of Suggestion. It is, therefore, said that when there is a doubt with respect to the sense of a word which has more senses than one, the causes that lead to the restriction of a particular sense out of many are—(1) संयोग:-conjunction or existence of a well-known and general connection between two things, (2) विप्रयोग:-disjunction or the loss of that connection, (3) सहस्यीम्-mutual association or companionship, (4) विरोधिता- wellknown hostility, (5) spi:-motive or purpose, (6) प्रकरणम्- context, (7) हिङ्गम्- a special attribute or characteristic, (8) शब्दस्यान्यस्य संनिधि:- proximity of another word, (9) सामध्यम्-power that has already

manifested its result, (10) ओचिती-propriety or fitness, (11) 读:-place, (12) 事底:-time, (13) 明年:-gender, (14) स्त:-accent or change of voice, and others, i. e., अमिन्य (gestures), अपदेश (pointing out directly or making definite by gestures), etc. 5 This suggestion of another primary sense of a word in consequence of its restricted sense is called 'अभिषाम्ख-व्यञ्जना ! Here the restricted sense is understood by the power of अभिया and the other sense, though a primary one occurs to us by the process of Suggestion. This अभिधामुलब्यञ्जना (Suggestion based upon Expression) along with लक्षणामुख्यम् (Suggestion based upon Implication) is called ' शाब्दी ', as the particular words used in it are more important than their sense, i. e., the words are incapable of being changed by the use of synonyms (पर्यायपरिकृत्यसह).

It is not, however, always true that Suggestion solely depends upon words; for, even in the absence of words merely the melody of music, gestures, etc., the sense of which is not fixed but varied, are suggestive of sentiments or the inner motive. Again, the shall power is limited to a particular object or objects, while the suggested sense assumes varied forms according to the speciality of the speaker,

^{\$} See the काज्यप्रकाश (२।१४), the साहित्यदर्गण (२।१४), and the quotation 'संयोगो विप्रयोगक्ष' etc., with the respective illustrations of each factor.

अवाचकस्यापि गीतशब्दादे रसादिलक्षणार्थावगमदर्शनात्, अशब्दस्यापि
 चेष्टादेरर्थविशेषप्रकाशनाधिदेः। –(घ्व. २।३३).

the person addressed, the sentence, the proximity of another person, the expressed sense, the occasion, the place, the time, the intonation or gestures, and affords free scope for the imagination of the reader. This sugar is called 'small', since the sense of words in it is more important than particular words, i. e., words in it are capable of being exchanged for synonyms (quiquiaffeet).

Moreover, the expressed sense exists only in individual words, while the suggested sense shines through words, their syllables, a sentence, a mode of expression (espect) or the whole poetic composition, and thus presents a synthetic aspect to the whole meaning of the poet.† Lastly, the expressed sense relating itself to the already existing things appeals only to our understanding, whereas the suggested sense prominently appeals to our emotions and brings instantly before our inner vision 'the light that never was on sea or land.' It will thus be clear that owing to the insufficiency of the function of start, it is not possible to realize the suggested sense simply by knowing the meanings of words from a dictionary, or by studying

[§] काल्यमकाश (३११-२) and साहित्यदर्पण (२११६-१७).

[†] बस्त्वलक्ष्यक्रमञ्ज्ञकृत्यो ध्वनिवंशंपदादिषु । वाक्ये सङ्घटनायां च स प्रबन्धेऽपि दीध्वते ॥ —ध्वन्यालोकः, ३।२.

तद्वत्तचेतमां मोऽयो बाच्यार्थविमुखात्मनाम् ।
 तत्त्वार्थदर्शिन्यां झटित्येवावमासते ॥ —ध्व. १।१२.

grammar. The suggested sense (ध्वति) can be appreciated only by a man of fine literary taste (सहस्य).9

squar differs from sam just as it differs from अभिया, If it is supposed that in ' गृहायां भोय:' the Purpose (प्रयोजन), which is conveyed by the suggested sense coolness and sanctity', is conveyed by assur, we shall have to adopt a second agur in order to imply that Purpose. After the adoption of the first ways, the sentence ' quiry quy ' assumes the form of ' गङ्गातेट घोष: '. Now, if a second लघुणा is adopted here, the three conditions of wayn are not fulfilled. For, ' ब्लावर' is not the primary sense of the word 'बला' but the secondary one, which is not inapplicable to the present context. Secondly, the properties of 'coolness and sanctity' are connected with the stream and not with the bank. Thirdly, popular usage (सिंट) does not allow us to use the word 'त्रट' in order to imply the properties of coolness and sanctity. Moreover, there is no second quisq which will enable us to understand the first unitary by implication. If the second spine is supposed to exist, a third galag will have to be supposed for the implication of the second uquan, and so on ad infinitum. The three conditions of garant thus not being fulfilled, the प्रयोजन of the first सक्षमा cannot be implied by a second expm, but can be suggested by

<sup>शब्दार्थशासनज्ञानमाध्येष न वेदाते ।
वेदाते स तु काव्यार्थतत्त्वज्ञैरेव केवलम् ॥ व्य. १।७.</sup>

the power of अञ्चला alone. But even in the absence of the three conditions of उद्यक्षा, the word 'गङ्गा' itself is not unable to communicate the प्रयोजन 'coolness and sanctity', which is conveyed by the independent function of अञ्चला. \$ The function of अञ्चला ceases after conveying the implied sense 'bank'; and the प्रयोजन in the form of 'coolness and sanctity' can be apprehended by अञ्चला alone. अञ्चला may sometimes depend upon अञ्चला as in the case of 'अञ्चला स्थला (suggestion based upon Implication); yet अञ्चला is not the same as अञ्चला which, just like अभिन्ना, is only a means to the apprehension of the suggested sense.

Some ancient आल्ड्राहिक्ड identify खनि (अजना) with भक्ति (ल्झणा), and deny the existence of the former. 'मृद्धि 'is to be understood here in the sense of 'उपचार'," which in its restricted sense means 'the secondary use of a word proceeding from extreme similarity.' This मृद्धि or गुणवृत्ति (secondary function) is, therefore, the same as the गौगी ल्झणा (Qualitative Implication) formerly dealt with. Both मृद्धि (गौणल्झणा) and लक्षणा or गुद्धल्झणा (Pure Implication) can thus be included under the general term 'ल्झणा' and can be

 ⁽अ) लक्ष्यं न मुख्यं नाप्यत्र वाघो योगः फलेन नो ।
 न प्रयोजनमेतस्मिल च द्यव्दः स्ललद्वतिः ॥ –काव्यप्रकादाः, २।११.

⁽आ) मुख्यो दृति परित्यज्य गुणवृत्त्यार्थदर्शनम् । यदुद्दिश्य ५७ं, तत्र शब्दो नैव स्खलद्वतिः ॥ –ध्व. १।२०.

^{*} उपचारमात्रं तु भक्तिः । ध्व. १।१७.

differentiated from व्यवसा, आनन्दवर्धन says here that ख्याणा and खिन differ from each other with regard to their nature and subject-matter. The difference in their nature is that easy is the process of understanding the secondary sense of a word after cancelling its primary sense; while square is the process of apprehending the suggested sense, which being the principal one does not necessarily discard the primary sense of a word. For, the sense derived from the suggestion of te, etc., can never be a secondary one. Again, as waying is based only on the primary sense of a word, it is, as some people say, merely an extension or tail of Expression (अधिषापुच्छ); while ध्वनि, which depends only upon the suggestiveness of words, is quite different from their expressiveness. § Another distinction between both of them is that in eggi, when the expressed sense loses itself in favour of the secondary one, the former itself is developed into the latter and ceases there only; while in व्यञ्जना, when the expressed sense suggests another sense, it illuminates itself as well as the suggested sense, just as a lamp illuminates itself and other objects. For instance, the expressed sense of the verse ' लीलाइमलप्राणि गणयामार पावंसी ' makes itself known to us and suggests

[§] बाचकत्वाअयेणैव गुणवृत्तिर्व्यवस्थिता । व्यक्तत्वेकमृतस्य भ्वनेः स्याङ्क्षणं कथम् ॥—भ्व. १।२१.

^{\$} व्यञ्जकत्वमार्गे तु यदाऽथींऽथीन्तरं चीतयति, तदा स्वरूपं प्रकाशयन्नेवासावन्यस्य प्रकाशकः प्रतीयते प्रदीपवत् । -ध्व. २।३३.

the bashfulness of qiadt. Here the expressed sense, without being discarded, is suggestive of the other sense of bashfulness. If it is supposed to be understood by Implication, there would be the contingency of taking the subordinate function of early to be the principal one. For, sentences generally possess an independent synthetic sense apart from the expressed senses of individual words, which light up that synthetic sense. The expressed sense can become suggestive only when words are modified by the peculiarity of the speaker, context, and the like. The suggested sense thus aided by words becomes prominent and principal. Yet this principal sense yielded by the function of Suggestion cannot be identified with the primary sense, which is yielded by the function of अभिषा, For, अभिषा is based upon convention, while sagar depends upon the peculiarity of the speaker, etc., and reveals a unique sense.

Even the topics of same and sagar are manifestly different. The subject-matter of same is only an ordinary fact (ag) which is understood after cancelling the primary sense and making the implied sense compatible with the senses of other words in a sentence. On the other hand, there are three topics that come under the domain of sagar, viz., res, particular saggests and age that can be suggested rather than implied. The suggested sense is, therefore, that which is not derived from the cancellation of the primary sense, which

is not conventional, and which is distinct from any other sense. It is impossible to say that the realization of te and others is a secondary function like that of sawr. The suggested sense is likewise that which, incapable of being conveyed by words, is desired to be communicated for the purpose of realizing its inherent charm. In other words, ज्ञी consists in the prominent manifestation of a charming sense that cannot be conveyed by any means other than suggestive words.† It should be incidentally noted that a slight concealment and suagestion of the poet's purpose lend charm to his poetry.§ It cannot be said that all this charm comes within the purview of लक्षणा. For, भक्ति or लक्षणा is found to be employed even when the suggested sense is not charming or is not meant to be conveyed. All examples of the secondary use of words proceeding from रूदि, as 'लावण्यम्', 'कर्मणि कुशलः', 'कलिङ्गः साहसिकः', 'ब्रामः पलावितः', etc., do not contain any purpose. Even though in examples like ' अंतो घावति ', 'कुन्ताः प्रविशन्ति ', 'मजाः क्रोशन्ति ' some purpose may be detected, yet that purpose does not comprise any beautiful idea. Poets are also found to make a secondary use of words without any special purpose of suggesting a charming idea. For instance, in the line, 'क्रशाङ्ग्याः सन्तापं यदति विसिनीपत्रशयनम् ' [The bed of lotus-leaves speaks for, i. e., shows the torment of

[†] उक्त्यन्तरेणाशक्यं यत् तबाकत्वं प्रकाशवन् । शक्दो व्यक्तकृतां विभ्रद् ध्यन्युक्तेविषयी भवेत् ॥ -ध्व. १।१८.

[§] अर्थी गिरामपिहितः पिहितश्च कश्चित् । सीमाग्यमिति मरहष्टवधूकुनामः ॥

the lady with a slender frame] the secondary use of the word 'agfa' does not suggest a specially charming idea. In all these cases ways is employed even in the absence of a special purpose. It can, therefore, be clearly distinguished from sagas.

Although व्यवसा is thus distinct from अभिष्य and अञ्चला, it depends upon both of them. Suggestiveness sometimes rests on the expressiveness of words, as in the variety of व्यक्ति called 'विविध्यान्य-प्रवाच्य ', where, as we have already seen, there is no scope for इहाला; and sometimes it resorts to the secondary significance of words, as in the other variety of व्यक्ति named 'अविवश्चितवाच्य ', where the expressed sense is not desired to be communicated and is hence discarded. This latter variety of व्यक्ति is, therefore, otherwise termed 'इहालामूह्ह्यव्यवसा ' or Suggestion based upon Implication.

The following verse is an example of the

अविवक्षितवाच्यध्वनि **ा** लक्षणामूलव्यञ्जना-

सुवर्णपुष्पं पृथिवी चिन्वन्ति पुरुषास्त्रयः । स्रक्ष कृतविद्यक्ष यक्ष बानाति सेविदुम् ॥

"Three persons gather gold flowers from the extensive earth—the brave, the learned and one who knows how to serve."

As gold flowers cannot be found on the earth, the word ' सुवर्णपूष्म्' here is incompatible with the sense of 'gathering'. The primary sense of that word not being meant here (अधिवश्चित्वाच्य) is to be dropped in favour of another sense bearing resemblance to it, viz., 'abundant wealth'.

This suggestion, therefore, depends upon स्थापा on the word 'स्वणेष्यम् '.

Another example of the same variety is—

धृति: क्षमा दया श्रीचं कारण्यं वागनिष्टुरा ।

मित्रागां चानभिद्रोहः सतैताः समिधः श्रियः ॥

"Fortitude, forgiveness, mercy, purity of mind, compassion, gentle words and non-injury to friendsthese seven are the sacrificial fuel of wealth."

Here, the primary sense of the word 'sfirs:' (fuel) is incompatible with 'wealth', because wealth can neither be increased by fuel nor can it blaze like fire. The literal sense is, therefore, to be dropped and another sense similar to it, viz., 'that which increases or promotes' is to be understood from the word 'sfirs:' so as to suit the context, just as we do in the example 'apayan,'. This suggestion, which is realized by us from the word 'sfirs:', is based upon exam. The word 'sfirs:' again suggests one more happy idea that wealth must be acquired by fair means and used for good purposes, just as the sacred fire kindled with sacrificial sticks is to be kept up for holy purposes.

The अविविधितवाच्यव्यति is further classified into two sub-divisions, viz., अत्यन्तितिरकृतवाच्य and अर्थान्तर- सङ्क्रमितवाच्य. In the former, the primary sense of a word being incompatible is completely discarded in favour of some suggested sense; while in the latter, the primary sense, though not incompatible with the context, is transferred to the suggestion of

some other beautiful sense. The following verse, which describes राम's affliction caused by his separation from सीता, is an example of both these subdivisions. राम says—

हिनम्भव्यामलकान्तिलिप्तवियतो वेल्लद्बलाका धनाः वाताः श्रीकरिणः पयोदसुहृदामानन्दकेकाः कलाः । कामं सन्तु हदं कठोरहृद्यो रामोऽस्मि सर्वे सहे वैदेही तु कथं भविष्यति हहा हा देवि शीरा भव ॥

—ध्वन्याङोकः, २

"Let there be clouds that have besmeared (pervaded) the sky with their oily and dark-blue lustre and that have cranes moving about sportively in them; let there be winds mixed with water-drops; let there be the joyful and sweet cries of the friends of clouds (peacocks). I, hard-hearted Rama, can bear all this; but what will be the condition of add now? Alas! Oh lady, have courage!"

In this verse the primary sense of the word 'लिय' (besmeared) has to be discarded completely and the other qualitatively similar sense 'आय' (pervaded) has to be understood in its stead, since it is impossible to besmear the formless sky with anything. Again, as it is impossible to have friendship with inanimate clouds, the expressed sense of 'मुद्धाम' (friends) has to be abandoned completely and the other sense 'peacocks' has to be understood on account of the connection of 'मुद्धाम' with 'चेडा' (the cry of a peacock). Thus the suggestion of the other senses is awakened in us when the expressed senses of 'लिय' and 'मुद्धा ' are

completely discarded (अत्यन्तित्कृतवाच्य). But in the use of the word 'त्म ' there is the suggestion called 'अयोग्तरसङ्क्रमितवाच्य'. The expressed sense of the word 'त्म ' is ' the son of द्वारम,' and this sense is not incompatible with the context. Yet the propriety of that word is understood when we transfer this expressed sense to the realization of the suggested sense, viz., 'त्म who is patient in spite of the calamities of forest life'. When this sense appeals to us, we know why त्म can stand all the sights and sounds of the rainy season, though they are excitant of his emotion of love for effor.

आनन्द्रवर्षन recognizes the fact that his predecessors like उद्भर and वामन slightly touched the principle of व्यक्ति. For, they admit that मक्ति, a variety of छश्चणा, is based upon the relation of extreme resemblance or identity between the expressed sense and the secondary sense, and hence conduces much to poetic charm. Thus मक्ति, otherwise called 'वृष्ठोक्ति' by वामन," is found to stand just on the border of व्यक्ति. Yet आनन्द्रवर्षन contends that these predecessors could not define this poetic mode in precise terms, and erred in taking it to be a second-

⁵ वद्यपि च ध्वनिश्चन्दसंत्रीतंनेन काव्यलक्षणविधायिमिर्गुणवृत्तिरत्यो वा न कश्चित्प्रकारः प्रकाशितः, तथापि अमुख्यवृत्त्या काव्येषु व्यवहारं दर्शयता ध्वनिमार्गो मनावस्युष्टोऽपि न लक्षित इति परिकल्प्येवमुक्तम्— 'माक्तमाहुस्तमन्ये ' इति । —ध्व. १।१.

^{*} साहदबाळक्षणा वक्रोक्तिः । (वामन-काव्याळक्कारस्वम् ४।३।८).

ary function and in not recognizing its distinct value as a form of ख्रिन. We have already seen that it is chiefly the purpose of the poet that makes us seek similarity or identity between ' विषय ' and 'विषयी', and use words in a sense other than the primary one. As in मृक्ति or ग्रीणलक्षणा the extreme similarity or identity itself assumes the prominent position of the purpose which develops into the suggested sense, it is not proper to call this merely a secondary function. It is, therefore, advisable to give this poetic mode a place under the prominent function of Suggestion and call it 'अविविश्वतवाच्यप्वति ! आनन्द-वर्षन, therefore, says that it does not matter if भक्ति or इञ्चल becomes occasionally a distinguishing mark (उपरक्षण) of only the variety named 'अविवक्षितवाच्य'. Thereby same is not exalted nor is safa rendered useless. § Thus though ब्युड्मा depends upon both अभिषा and इक्षणा, it cannot be identified with either of them, since there are other varieties of safa in which neither affigi nor easy is present. As sometimes in the अविवक्षितवाच्य variety, खनि is based upon लक्षण, it cannot be identical with अधिया. Again, as in the विवक्षितान्यपरवाच्य variety ध्वनि is found to rest on अभिषा and not on लखना, it cannot be identical with लक्षणा. Nor can we say that because ध्वनि partakes of the characteristics of both sifting and easil, it is identical with both. For, sugart also exists in the

[§] कस्यचिद् ध्वनिभेदस्य सा तु स्यादुपळक्षणम् ।

ळक्षणेऽन्यैः कृते चास्य पक्षसंसिद्धिरेव नः ॥ —ध्व. १।२२.

tunes of music which are free from the processes of अभिभा and अञ्चा, It has already been shown that tunes of music are suggestive of sentiments. Again, suggestiveness exists not only in sounds but also in silent gestures. Although suggestion sometimes seeks the aid of गुणवृत्ति (secondary signification) or लक्षणा, yet a clear distinction must be observed between गुणवृत्ति (अक्षणा) that is employed without a special purpose or charming sense and the same resorted to for the special purpose of suggesting a beautiful idea. Wherever it (বুগৰুনি) becomes the cause of apprehending a charming suggestive sense, it does not do so on its own merits but only on the merits of suggestiveness. Just as the expressed sense of भवोऽस्तमकः (The sun has set) becomes charming not on account of the power of अभिषा, but on the merits of its various suggestions occurring to different people, so the गुणवृत्ति or लक्षणा also becomes charming owing to its suggestive power alone. In the verse ' मुक्क पूर्ण पृथिवीम् ' etc., where the expressed sense of the word • मुद्रणपुष्पम् ' is discarded, the suggestion of the pleasing sense referred to above is the only motive. It is then reasonable to say in general that even when such use of secondary signification is made, it should be understood as the process of equal and not that of segur. It must, therefore, be concluded that in both the sub-divisions of अविवश्चितवाच्यव्यनि the secondary signification is qualified by the common characteristic of suggestiveness. That signification should be differentiated from the secondary signification without the above qualification of 'suggestiveness', since the latter does not yield a suggested sense, which would gladden the hearts of connoisseurs. [ध्वन्यादीक:, उद्योत १ and ३]

व्यञ्जना should also be distinguished from वात्यये. वात्पर्यश्वित, as has formerly been shown, is postulated by the अभिद्वितान्वयवादिन्ड for the purpose of understanding the synthetic sense of a whole sentence, and is held to be a function distinct from अभिषा and दश्जा, which are concerned with individual words. The powers of अभिषा and लक्षणा, they say, are exhausted after yielding the expressed and implied senses of individual words. Hence the logical connection (अन्वय) of words in the form of the unified sense of a sentence is established, according to the अभिद्तिान्वयवादिन्ड, by the separate power of तात्वये The sense of a sentence (बाक्यार्थ) is principal (qt) in relation to the words contained in it, and words achieving that principal object (तस्पर=वाक्यार्थपर) are shown to have a logical relation among them on account of आकाहा, योग्यता and संतिषि by the separate function of तातपर्य. The generic senses of individual words are then turned into the specific sense of a sentence, which emerges from the intention or purpose of the speaker (वन्त्रिच्छा तात्पर्यम्). The अभिहितान्वयवादिन्ड, therefore, assert that the purpose of the speaker is conveyed by the वाल्यवृत्ति, and that it is unnecessary to take व्यञ्जना, which too is held to convey the

purpose, as a function separate from argu. The rhetoricians, on the other hand, are generally unwilling to accept ताल्य as a separate function, and include it under the function of sugar. This confusion about the identity of तालयं and व्यवना arises on account of a few common characteristics possessed by both. In the first place, both and added are said to manifest the purpose of the speaker. Secondly, both convey the principal sense with reference to the subordinate senses yielded by अभिषा and इक्षणा. Thirdly, both begin to function after the powers of splitter and same are exhausted, though both of them depend upon sifting and sayir. Fourthly, both are said to rely on the speciality of the speaker, context, etc., and require the aid of the determinants of the special meanings of words, viz., संयोग, विप्रयोग and others, as formerly referred to. Fifthly, both of them are found to convey the synthetic sense of a sentence, though व्यवस्ता sometimes yields the suggested sense of words and their syllables also. These common characteristics of both lead the rituies to include व्यञ्जामा in वाल्य्ये and the rhetoricians to include वाल्य्ये in expent according to the prominent part played by the word-aspect in their respective systems. HIHE. however, seems to be the first rhetorician, who with clear insight makes a compromise between these two views. Whenever he has an occasion to refer to these two functions, he, instead of including तालवं in व्यव्याना, makes a separate mention of it along with

अभिषा, सक्षणा and स्पञ्जना: * This perhaps means that he wants to accept तालपंड्ति, though with reservation, as a function distinct from व्यञ्जनावृत्ति. The necessity of recognizing तात्ववृत्ति might have been felt by him for the reason that it is तात्पर्यवृत्ति rather than व्यञ्जना-वृत्ति that is indispensable to the understanding of the purport of intellectual topics in sings and prose works. Thus with the view of reserving the province of बाह्य and kindred subjects for तालपंत्रित he invariably makes a separate mention of it. On the other hand, in the case of emotional subjects like poetry he seems to think it preferable to give due importance to the function of easier rather than to that of ताल्यं. The suggested sense manifested by ञ्यञ्जना is prominently emotional and culminates naturally in the रसव्यनि, as remarked above. It is, therefore, quite necessary to distinguish this emotional process of sugar from the intellectual one o/ तात्पर्य. तात्पर्यवृत्ति can at most bind together the individual senses of words conveyed by अभिया and ळश्चणा, and can exhibit the synthetic sense or purpose of ordinary thoughts and scientific subjects which require the use of Reason for their grasp. It is,

क तात्पर्यार्थोऽपि केषुचित् (का. प्र. २।६); तटादौ वे विशेषाः पावनत्वादयस्ते चाभिषातात्पर्यल्खणाम्यो व्यापारान्तरेण गम्याः (का. प्र. २।१८); अभिषातात्पर्यल्खणात्मकव्यापारत्रवातिवर्ती व्यननादिपर्यायो व्यापारोऽनपह्नवनीय एव (का. प्र. ५।४७); इति सिद्धमभिषातात्पर्य-ल्खणाव्यापारातिरिक्तं व्यननम् (शब्दव्यापारविचारः).

however, inadequate to convey the suggested sense (খনি) which awakens our emotions and Imagination, and sometimes baffles verbal expression. In the apprehension of the suggested sense we have to undergo three or four mental stages. The first stage is the recollection of the conventional or generic senses arising from words owing to the power of अभिया. At the second stage the power of अभिषा being exhausted, the comprehension of the sense of the whole sentence takes place through the separate function of तालवे, which establishes logical relation (संस्में, अन्वय) among the generic senses, transforms them into particular ones, and gives a peculiar form to the sense of the whole sentence. Thus the mutual relation, which itself assumes a peculiar form of the sense of the whole sentence owing to the तालपंशिक, is not conveyed by the power of अभिषा, since the sense of a sentence is not the sense of individual words in it. If there is incompatibility of ascertaining the वास्पर्व, सक्षणा will perform its function, and after the removal of that incompatibility at the third stage the तालवार्थ will be re-established. At the last or fourth stage when the sense of the whole sentence is understood, i. e., when the वात्पवैवृत्ति has exhausted its power, the suggested sense (অনি) in poetry will arise. If thus the power of sifty is already exhausted at the second stage, how can it extend its function to the fourth stage of realizing the suggested sense? If the function of अभिन्न is distant from the function of वाल्ये, it is doubtless more distant from the function of अनुना which is remote from वाल्येन्द्रि. It will thus be clear that the function of वाल्ये is exhausted merely in establishing the logical connection of the expressed and implied senses of words in a sentence and can lead us no further. § It is only after we have uninterruptedly understood the sense of the whole sentence that we are enabled to realize the suggested sense. The वाल्ये may sometimes help the manifestation of the suggested sense; yet the suggested sense is unique in comparison with the वाल्यों में, just as the वाल्यों में is a new sense in comparison with the conventional sense of a word.

The मीनांसक specify the meaning of ताल्य in the following way. According to them a sentence is composed of two parts (the Subject and the Predicate) conveying respectively two kinds of sense, viz., भूत (बिद्ध) and भूव (बाध्य). The portion consisting of the Subject contains senses that are already

अर्थशक्तिम्लेऽपि विशेषे सङ्केतः कर्तु न युज्येत इति सामान्य-रूपाणां पदार्थानामाकाङ्श्वासंनिधियोग्यतावशात्परस्परसंसगों यत्रापदार्थोऽपि विशेषरूपो वाक्यार्थस्तत्रामिहितान्वयवादे का वार्ता व्यङ्ग्यस्यामिधेयता-याम् । (काव्यप्रकाशः, उ. ५, झळकीकरकृता टीका). See also the प्रदीप on this.

[§] विशेषरूपे वाक्यार्थे तात्पर्यशक्तिः परस्परान्विते । प्रतिपत्तिश्चान्वयस्य
नाभिषाशक्त्या, तस्याः पदार्थप्रतिपत्त्युपायश्चीणाया विरम्याव्यापारादिति
तात्पर्यशक्त्येवान्वयप्रतिपत्तिः । व्यापारश्च नाभिषात्मा, समयाभावात् । न
तात्पर्यात्मा, तस्यान्वयप्रतीतावेव परिश्चयात् । —व्यन्याङोक्कोचनम्, ११४.

existent (feg) or obtained from other sources; while the part representing the Predicate comprises the senses that are yet to be brought into existence (बाज्य). As the former portion is already known, any predication (विधान) with respect to it is thought to be useless; while the latter portion which is yet to be known is thought to be worthy of predication (विषय). Thus in a sentence the former portion is used for completing the sense of the latter. The वासर्व of a sentence is then found only in this latter portion; and only that portion which contains the नालपे is determined to be the sense of the whole sentence. A sentence is always employed to convey only this sense which is not yet known by other means. The latter portion is, therefore, held to be the criterion of understanding the unknown sense of that sentence and is hence predicated. For instance, in the sentence 'इप्ना जहोति ' (He offers sacrifice with curds) the 'offering' and the 'curds' are already known from other sources of knowledge: but the instrumentality (करणस्व) of 'द्वि ' with respect to 'इवन' (offering) is a fact yet to be known (साम्य). The greet of this sentence, therefore, lies only in the instrumentality which is worthy of being predicated (विषय). This ताल्य again lies only in the

[&]quot; भूतमव्यसमुचारणे भूतं भव्यायोपदिश्यते ' इति कारकपदार्थाः कियापदार्थेनान्त्रीक्षमानाः प्रधानिक्षयानिक्तंकस्वकियाभिसम्बन्धात् साध्या-यमानतां प्राप्नुवन्ति, ततश्चादग्धदहनन्यायेन यावदप्राप्तं तावदिमधीयते । यथा-इवनस्यान्यतः सिद्धेः 'दध्ना चुहोति ' इत्यादौ दध्यादैः करणत्वमात्रं

sense of a word that is pronounced or uttered, and not in the sense that is known from any other relation or source of knowledge.

Of course, these peculiarities of the angula evidently distinguish it from the suggested sense (धानि) which is neither predicated nor pronounced by means of words. Again, as the expressed senses of words prominently come within the range of सारपंत्रति, the unified sense of a sentence like ' गतोड स्तमके: '(The sun is set) yielded by it admits of no difference, but is uniform and fixed in spite of the diversity of the speaker, context, etc., and if the वास्पर्वार्थ might not be uniform and fixed, the purport of ordinary statements and sugs might be wrong or unintelligible. It is, therefore, necessary for all the siles, which involve an intellectual process of thinking and reasoning, to yield one and the same meaning under varying circumstances. † On the other hand, the suggested sense of the sentence ' सतोऽस्तमके: ' can be manifold according to the diversity of the speaker, context, etc., § and this manifoldness of the suggested sense instead of falsifying

विषेयम्।... 'यदेव विषेयं तत्रैव तात्पर्यम् ' इत्युपात्तस्यैव शब्दस्यार्थे तात्पर्यम्, न तु प्रतीतमात्रे । -काव्यप्रकाशः, उ. ५ (शळकीकर, पृ. २२६)

ां शास्त्रं हि चकुतुचारितं, समयबलेनार्थं प्रतिपादयशुगपहिरुद्धानेक-समयस्मृत्ययोगात्कथमर्थेद्वयं प्रत्यावयेत् । अविरुद्धत्वं वा तावानेको वाक्यार्थः त्यात् । कमेण विरम्यन्यापारायोगः । पुनरुचारितेऽपि वाक्ये स एव, समयप्रकरणादेस्तादवस्थ्यात् । —ध्व. लोचनम्, १।२१.

§ See 'कार्यमकाश ', उ. ५ (काळशीकर, प्र. २४०).

it enhances its poetic charm. Moreover, as we have already seen, the suggested sense, unlike the वालवंध, does not depend merely on words or sentences, but is manifested even by tunes and silent gestures. Thus the mental process involved in understanding the बालवंध is different from that involved in the realization of the बालवंध, Although every sentence in language can be found to convey some purpose of the speaker, yet a distinction should be made between the purpose of thoughts contained in ordinary statements and बाला on the one hand, and that of exquisite and emotional ideas in poetry on the other. The former may come within the range of बालवंधि, whereas the latter deservedly belong to the domain of safe.

Now from the absolute (पासाधिक) point of view of the वेदान्तिव्ह the whole universe is unreal and hence the relation between words and senses also is unreal. Yet, if from the phenomenal point of view (अपवहासिक्सचा) they accept the relative existence of words and their senses, they cannot negate the process of Suggestion. Moreover, as Suggestion is not an intellectual process, it cannot be identified with the logical process of inference (अनुमान), since no invariable concomitance (अपनि) is found to exist between the suggestor (अपनि) is found to exist between the suggestor (अपनि), just as it is found between the middle term (अपनि), and the major term (अपनि), Nor can Suggestion be called a process of recollection (अपनि); for, recollection is based upon the experiences of the

past. Memory cannot be awakened in the absence of past experiences. On the other hand, Suggestion is a process of the direct, immediate and unprecedented realization of the suggested sense which naturally culminates in the स्टब्लि (Suggestion of sentiments). Thus the existence and uniqueness of ख्रि cannot be denied in any way, since ख्रि is a fact belonging to the actual experience of the appreciators of poetic beauty (सहस्व).

XVII. THE AESTHETIC EXPERIENCE.

It has been formerly shown that अभिनवगृत holds the result (suggestion of sentiments) to be the most important of all the varieties of eafa. He has here made an original and substantial contribution to the theory of poetry by harmonizing the doctrine of ध्वनि with the रह-doctrine of भरत. It is भरत who first stressed the emotional aspect of the drama and treated of the psychological process of realizing रस. After him आनन्दवर्धन and particularly systematized and expounded in detail अभिनवगृप्त those psychological facts for the first and applied them in a comprehensive manner to the theory of poetry. That भरत, आनन्दवर्धन and अभिनवग्र should employ psychology in the exposition of the aesthetic experience derived from literature is a fact which really redounds to the credit of their far-reaching insight, when it is

seen that the psychological treatment of literature is a tendency only recently developed in the West. It is, therefore, advisable to turn to the psychological process of realizing is, which is held by sifting it to be suggested rather than expressed.

The theory of the aesthetic experience of as in literature is briefly stated in the well-known aphorism of भरत, viz., 'विभावानुमावव्यमिचारिसंयोगाहसनिष्पत्तिः' (The union of the Excitants, the Ensuants and the Accessory Emotions leads to the manifestation of (8):5 and on account of the ambiguity of the words 'संयोग' and 'निप्पत्ति' the सूत्र has given rise to various interpretations and theories propounded by HE. खोलट, श्रीशङ्कुक and महनायक, the predecessors of अमि-नवगुत." महलोहार, who is a मीमांसक, thinks that the correlation (संयोग) of the विभावत etc., with the स is that of उत्पादक (cause) and उत्पाद (effect). He thus means 'उल्पि' by the word ' निष्पि ' and puts forth the 'Production Theory' (उ.पतिबाद) of रस. श्रीशङ्क्त, a नैयाविक, holds that the relation between the विभावड etc., and the ve corresponds to the relation between the middle term (हेत) and the major term (साप्य); in other words, the विभावs etc., are अनुमापक (the ground of inference) and the te is seque (the thing to be inferred). He, therefore, means ' अनुमिति ' (infer-

^{-§} भरत-नाट्यशास्त्रम् , अ. ६. See Pages 140-144.

See the अभिनवमारती अ. ६, the अवन्याजीकलीचन, उद्योत १-२,
 and काव्यप्रकाश, उ. ४.

ential knowledge) by the word 'नियाति' and resorts to the theory of the Logical Inference of रह (अनुमितिवाद). This theory was in later times upheld by महिममह, who tried to demolish the 'Suggestion Theory' in his व्यक्तिविवेक.

These theories have been refuted by महनायक. who for the first time gives a somewhat correct interpretation of भरत's रसस्य. He says that the relation between the विभावड and the रह is that of भोजक (cause of enjoyment) and भोज्य (the enjoyed); and thus signifying ' मुक्ति ' by the word ' निपासि' he establishes the Theory of the Enjoyment of te (मुक्तियाद) on the basis of the साङ्ख्य psychology and metaphysics. He thinks that was not produced; for, if it is supposed that way (the Pathetic) is really produced, no one would think of enjoying it. † Again, ve cannot be inferred, because inference is an intellectual process which cannot enhance a sentiment. For the exposition of the enjoyment of रस through the विभावड etc., भटनायक postulates three functions of the poetic language as distinguished from the language of prose and sugs; and they are अभिषा, भावना (भावकत्व) and भोग (भोवकत्व), (a) अभिषा is, according to him, concerned with the expressed sense of a word and includes ਫ਼ਬ੍ਯਾ also. He means by this अभिया the use of rhetorical language and

[†] उत्पत्तिपक्षे च कदणस्योत्पादाद् दुःखित्वे कदणप्रेश्वासु पुनरप्रवृत्तिः स्यात्। —ध्यन्याङोकङोचनम्, २

felicity of phrase, which are different from the अभिधा in शास्त्रs, where words are important. When the अभिया is accepted, there is no need, he says, of postulating the function of equal as propounded by the ध्वनिवादिन्त. Recognizing the importance of to he says that the essence of this to is beyond verbal expression. Thus though he does not accept विने as the soul of poetry, yet in defiance of वस्तुव्यनि and अल्ड्रार्व्यनि he appears to give his partial sanction to रसस्त्रित. According to his verse \$ quoted by अभिनवग्रम in his लोचन he seems to acknowledge ध्वनि (i. e. रसव्यनि) as a constituent (अंश) of poetry and not its essence (aq). It, therefore, appears that he does not much differ from अभिनव्यास. (b) The second function postulated by him is भावना or भावनत्व, which is also called 'साधारणीकरण' or the function of generalization or universalization, by which the farias etc., depicted in poetry or drama lose their colour of particularity and are generalized or idealized in the minds of the readers of poetry or spectators of the drama by their power of imagination. This generalization made by the power of imagination must, of course, be distinguished from the generalization arrived at by the intellect from particular facts. It may properly be called 'idealization' or 'universalization'. The readers or spectators then lose their consciousness;

^{\$} ध्वनिनांमापरी योऽपि व्यापारो व्यञ्जनात्मकः । तस्य सिद्धेऽपि भेदे स्थात्काव्येऽद्यात्वं न रूपता ॥—ध्वन्यालोकलोचनम्, १.

and their स्थायिमान (Sentiment) also being idealized, they identify themselves with the poetic situation through their universalized sympathy. According to महनायक गुणड and अव्ह्हारड in poetry help to bring about this idealization (सामर्गाकरण), which, along with अभिन्न inheres in poetry. (c) At the third stage, when the स्थायिमान aided by the निमान etc., is idealized or generalized by means of अभिन्न and मानकल, it reaches its climax and is enjoyed by the reader or spectator as pure bliss, enlightenment and repose owing to the excess of सत्त्र्ण. This enjoyment of रख is variously named as 'भोग, भोगकरल, भोगीकरण' etc., and is different from inference (अनुमान), reminiscence (स्मृति) and perception (प्रत्यक्ष).

An important thing to be noted here is that भड़नायक is the first Sanskrit critic who rightly stresses the subjective aspect of as the aesthetic experience of the reader or spectator rather than that of the poet, the characters and the actors, though sentiments exist in the latter also. Again the threefold process of अभिषा, भावकल (साधारणीकरण) and मीत is in consonance with modern psychology; for, the understanding of the senses of words by means of अभिषा is the process of cognition which subserves the idealization of emotions by the power of ' बाघारणीवरण', wherein imagination plays a prominent part. The imagination of the reader bringing before his mental eye rich imagery heightens his sentiment, awakens universal sympathy and raises the sentiment to the state of aesthetic pleasure.

अभिनयाम has stated all these views of his predecessors and pointed out their flaws in his masterly and psychological exposition of the theory of recontained in his commentaries on area's arranger and the sarately. His main contention is that these views do not truly represent the intended meaning of the terra of uta. He, therefore, bases his own interpretation only on and's actual words and trend of thought at different places in the meaning, and finds ample support for explaining the word ' निप्ति' by the word ' sufficient' or ' sufficient'. He further adds that the relation (संयोग) between the विभावड etc., and the re is respectively that of suggs (suggestor) and squary (the suggested). This is how he, with his penetrating insight, represents the true import of med and harmonizes it with the theory of ध्वति or ब्युब्ता. Although he does not, on the whole, differ much from महनावक, yet he criticizes him for rejecting system and inventing the two functions of भावस्त्व and भोग, which are not supported by the words of and and which must be included in the process of रसव्यक्षमा according to the import of भरत. He partially acknowledges the भावकल or साधारणीकरण of भहनायकः yet he shows the correspondence of भावकाव with suggestiveness) and that of sing with the squary (suggested sense) which is the same as रसप्रवीति or रसारवाद (relish of रस). He, therefore, says that there is no testimony of भारत for inventing the two words ' भारतहरू ' and ' भोरा '.

He further points out that the विभावड etc., together with the proper employment of gus and stagets in poetry, are themselves represented in a generic or idealized form. The भावकत्व or साधारणीकरण of भइनायक is, therefore, inherent in all of them, " nay, in all poetry. When the swifthis, which is also idealized. is united with the idealized famus etc., there is the manifestation (निध्यत्ति-अभिव्यक्ति) of रस. The विमावड etc., are expressed by the power of अभिषा, yet they become suggestors (阿里布) on account of their inherent suggestive power; while emotions, particularly the स्थापिमावड, cannot be expressed by अमिधा, but can only be suggested (व्यङ्ग्य). This is why there is no express mention of the स्थापिमान in the रससूत्र of भरत. These स्थायिमावड, which are dormant in the form of instincts (वासना) in all beings, and which themselves are idealized (साधारणीकृत) in poetry, manifest रह in union with the विभावs etc. It is not, therefore, advisable to postulate the separate power of भावकत्व which naturally exists in the poetic language and its sense possessing the power of suggestion. It must, however, be remembered that though the rhetorical language generally possesses suggestiveness, yet Suggestion is something more than the rhetorical language, which properly comes within

भावकत्वमपि समुन्तितगुणालङ्कारपरिम्रहात्मकम् ... तस्माद् व्यञ्जक-स्वास्त्रेन व्यापारेण गुणालङ्कारोचित्यादिकयेतिकतंत्र्यतया काव्यं भावकं रसान् भावयतीति व्यंशायामपि भावनायां करणांशे व्यननमेव निपतिति ।

the domain of अभिषा. Lastly, the भोग of महनायक is the same as the realization of the suggested sense (ब्यङ्ग्य) or the relish of रह, the source of which is the स्थाविमान or the predominant passion of poetry that possesses suggestive power. Thus both the भावकान and भोग of महनायक come under the process of Suggestion and should not be taken to be independent processes. \$

It has been said above that the games etc., are represented in poetry in an idealized form. This means that the विभावड, अनुभावड and व्यभिचारिभावड are respectively not the causes, effects and accessories in the worldly (ভীকিৰ) sense, but are the generalized or extraordinary (अलीकिक) causes, effects and accessories imagined for the purposes of poetry. In the real world the stimuli or the worldly causes of awakening the instincts (बासना) are not always accompanied by a pleasurable emotional response. In the idealized or transcendental (প্ৰতীক্ষিক) world of poetry, however, the विमावड, अनुमावड and व्यक्तिवारिमावड being graphically depicted in union with the स्यापिमान or the main theme invariably manifest to or delight. According to अभिनवगुप्त स्थाविभावड already exist in the minds of all beings in the form of latent impressions (संस्कार) or dispositions (बासना), which are held to be innate (नेस्किन) on account of their transmission

मतस्यैतस्य [सहनायकमतस्य] पूर्वस्मान्मतात् [अभिनवगुतमतात्]
 सावकत्वव्यापारान्तस्वीकार एव विशेषः । भोगस्त व्यक्तिः । भोगकृत्वं
 त व्यक्तनाद्विशिष्टम् । अन्या त सैव सर्गिः । –रसगङ्गाघरः, १.

from the past birth to the present one, and may be called 'instincts' according to modern psychology. These instincts being trained or organized by experience in the present birth become चित्रवृत्तिः which may be termed 'sentiments'. † In human beings and particularly in the appreciators of literature (eggs) the sentiments are developed to a high degree of sensitiveness owing to the study of fine arts and the observation of the behaviour of others. In the real world the aggus get sufficient practice in inferring the moods of others from their behaviour on account of the invertable connection between the ordinary causes and effects, or psychologically, between stimulus and response. observation of the real world and the study of literature train their sentiments and imagination, and help them in appreciating similar situations in poetry and drama, in which the same sentiments (विसमृति) depicted in a generalized form receive the name of स्थाविमावड. As then the स्थाविमावड latently exist in all of us, there is no doubt that the ag exists in a potential state in the reader or the spectator on the one hand, and in the poet, his poetry or drama, characters and actors on the other. But the रस in the latter is called होकिक (ordinary) and that revealed in the hearts of the former is called

[†] सर्वेषामनादिवासनाचित्रीकृतचेतसां वासनासंवादात् ।...चात एव हि चन्दुरियतीभिः संविद्धिः परीतो भवति ।...न होतचित्रकृतिवासनाशून्यः प्राणी भवति । तस्मातस्याविरूपचित्तवृत्तिसूत्रस्यूता एवामी व्यभिचारिणः ।

⁻अभिनवभारती, अ. ६

अलोकिक (extraordinary), since it is manifested in the appreciators alone, through the union of the idealized विभावत etc., with the idealized स्थायिमान of the appreciators. Of course, the difference between the लोकिक रस and the अलोकिक रस is not the difference in kind but only in degree; and the अलोकिक रस is the sublimation of the लोकिक रस. As then the रस in the appreciators (सहस्य) is अलोकिक (transcendental) in the sense that it is the sublimated form of ordinary emotions, the process of its realization is described in Sanskrit literary criticism particularly from the viewpoint of appreciators. Thus the readers and spectators who are possessed of keen sensitiveness and imagination (विमलप्रतिभाजशालिक्स) are alone entitled to appreciate literature.

It will, therefore, be instructive to observe how अभिनव्युत psychologically expounds in the अभिनव्यारती the process of aesthetic experience in the appreciator's mind while the latter reads a poem or witnesses a drama. He takes the examples of two verses from the द्वाग्रसम्भव and one from the शाकुन्तल for elucidating this mental process. Of the two verses from the द्वाग्रसम्भव one beginning with 'इस्तु किञ्चत्' has formerly been dealt with. We shall now take the famous verse from the शाकुन्तल, viz. 'भीवा-मङ्गाभियाम गुहुरनुपति स्पन्तने बद्दिष्टः' etc., which अभिनवगृत has particularly selected for his psychological explanation from the viewpoint of the appreciator. This verse contains a very graphic description of a deer, who, chased by इस्तन, is running at a great

speed through fear. When we begin to read this verse or witness the scene in the drama, we first cognize the meaning of sentences in it. At the first stage, therefore, the cognition of meaning, which is an intellectual process, takes place, when we follow the sequence of individual words and sentences through the functions of अभिषा and तालावे. But at the second stage, after the meaning of the whole verse has been understood, there stands vividly before us a mental image of the scene in which the sequence of individual sentences totally disappears and cognition gives place to the free play of our imagination, which is automatically at work owing to the idealized representation, in the verse, of the विमानड in union with the स्थाविमान of fear." The trightened deer that stands before the eye of our imagination is thus divested of all particularity and appears in an idealized form, on account of the power of generalization inherent in words and ideas. At the third stage the स्यापिमाव of fear corresponding to the fear of the deer is awakened in our hearts. The actual deer being now idealized is deprived of the limits of time and place, and the individual consciousness, viz., 'I am afraid', 'He is

[&]quot;अधिकारी चात्र विमलप्रतिभानशालिहृदयः । तस्य च 'श्रीवा-भङ्गाभि० ' इति 'हरस्तु किञ्चित् ' इत्यादिवाक्येम्यो वाक्यार्थप्रतिपत्ते-रनन्तरं मानसी साखात्कारात्मिकाऽपहिस्तततत्तद्वाक्योपात्तकालादि-विमागा तावत् प्रतीतिकपवायते । तस्यां च यो मृगपोतकादिभाति तस्य विशेषरूपत्वाभावाद्गीत इति त्रासकस्यापारमार्थिकत्वाद्भयमेव परं देश-कालाचनालिङ्गितम् । —अभिनवभारती, अ. ६.

afraid, 'The enemy is afraid,' The friend is afraid,' or 'A third person is afraid' is completely lost here. Thus at the third stage the sentiment of fear being realized in the absence of any barriers of the external world and heightened by our imagination is directly experienced by us as the pleasurable भ्यान्य रस. This happens in the case of every appreciator who reads that verse. This universalization (साधारण्य) of sentiments is, therefore, not limited to place, time or an individual, but transcends all these barriers. This is the identification of our soul with the poetic situation experienced by ourselves through the power of imagination, after the obstacle of the sense of individuality and the physical world is removed. In the case of the audience witnessing the drama this idealized स्थापिand of fear develops into the sentiment of the group or community (समिष्टि), as the instincts (बासना) handed down from time immemorial are common to all. At this time the self-illuminant soul, which is of the nature of pure consciousness (चित्-संविद्) and bliss (आनन्द) and which illuminates all their mental states, reveals itself in the form of delightful surprise (चमलार) which exhibits fremor and horripilation on the body. जगनाय says that this is the suggestion or manifestation of the pure consciousness of the soul, the veil of ignorance (अविद्या) on which has passed away, and that the विभावड in union with the स्थापिसाव presenting themselves as mental images are illuminated by the Eternal Seer (साई), just as objects seen

in a dream and silver appearing on tin owing to optic illusion in wakefulness are illuminated by the Eternal Seer in the प्रातिभाशिक (illusory) state, according to the agree philosophy. § Though this transcendental relish (चर्चणा, आस्वाद) is akin to the relish of ब्रास्त्, it is not the absolute state of प्रमामन्. This ineffable 'रख' is, therefore, the suggested sense (व्यक्त्य), the suggestors (व्यञ्जक) of which are the idealized विमान्ड etc. Here, it is the स्थाविभाव alone that is fit to be relished, yet the स्थायिमाद itself is not रस. Nor can the famines etc., be individually called at, just as the ingredients of a beverage cannot be called a beverage. As long as the स्थाविमान comes into contact with the faures and others, the union of all manifests itself as a synthetic inward experience or the relish of te, which vanishes when that union is disturbed. As, however, the separateness of the स्थापिमान and the विभावs is not felt in the realization of re, the latter (re) is free from the limitations of the former. It is only for the relish of this are or a short liberation from the bonds of the physical world that the eggqs read and enjoy poetry again and again. It cannot be said that a poem once enjoyed is not enjoyable again and is to be thrown away. It is repeatedly read not because words in

९ व्यक्तिश्च ममावरणा चित्।...प्रकाशयति स्वयं च प्रकाशते आत्म-चैतन्यं विभावादिसंबिलतान् रत्यादीन्। अन्तःकरणधर्माणां साक्षिमास्य-त्वाम्युपगतेः। विभावादीनामपि स्वप्रतुरगादीनामिव रङ्गरजतादीनामिव साक्षिमास्यत्वमविषद्धम्। —रसगङ्गाधरः, १.

it convey only an expressed sense which once known need not be known again, but because poetic words are capable of yielding a suggested sense which is ever new to the appreciator. This suggested sense is the स्वस्त्रि, the stages in the realization of which are imperceptible (अवस्त्रम्).

अभिनवगृत, however, broadly marks, as detailed above, three psychological stages in the realization of the re in a poem by the reader. The first stage involves the cognition of the formal or intellectual elements of the poem, and serves as a means to the second. The second stage consists of the idealization of things in poetry or drama by the power of Imagination in the reader or spectator. The third stage can be marked as the climax of the inexpressible affective (emotional) condition of the reader or spectator. When thus the formal or intellectual, imaginative and emotional elements of a poem blended into one predominant sentiment and making a simultaneous appeal awaken the स्थायिमान of the reader or spectator, the relish of THE is manifested as a unity in his heart, leaving no trace of the constituent elements; and this is why the रसध्यति is called 'असंबद्यक्रमध्यकृत्य' or the suggested sense without imperceptible stages.

[†] इत्यते हि तदेव काव्यं पुनः पुनः पटंश्रव्यमाणश्च महृदयो लोकः।
न तु काव्यस्य तत्र 'उपादायापि ये हेयाः' इति न्यायेन कृतप्रतीतिकस्यानुपयोग एवेति शब्दस्यापीह ध्वननव्यापारः। अत एवालक्ष्यक्रमता।
—ध्वन्यालोकलोचनमः, ११९८.

It may be noted here that Dr. I. A. Richards recognizes in his 'Principles of Literary Criticism' (Chapter XVI) the following six stages of the aesthetic experience derived from a poem: (I) The visual sensations of the printed words; (II) Tied imagery or images very closely associated with these sensations; (III) Free imagery or images relatively free; (IV) References to, or 'thinkings of', various things; (V) Emotions; (VI) Affectivevolitional attitudes. The Stages I and II can be broadly included in the first stage referred to by अभिनवगुप्त, stages III and IV in his second stage, and stages V and VI in his third stage. comparison will show that the stages marked by अभिन्याम agree in general with those stated by Dr. Richards, though the details given by the latter are not mentioned by the former. Yet it will be found that the details of Dr. Richards are implied in the three stages referred to by अभिनवगुप्त. It is essential, however, to remember here the difference between the संख्यकम्बद्धाययानि and the असंबद्धक्रमव्यहम्यवित. While ascertaining the exact number of stages the following sentences of Dr. Richards should be particularly noted: "The facts upon which speculations as to the relations between thoughts and the things which they are 'of' have been based, have as a rule been taken from introspection. But the facts which introspection yields are notoriously uncertain, and the special position of the observer may well preclude success. Introspection is competent, in some cases, to discover the

relations between events which take place within the mind, but cannot by itself give information as to the relations of these events with the external world (p. 126)....... It is difficult to represent diagrammatically what takes place in thought in any satisfactory fashion (p. 131)."† Under such circumstances it is immaterial whether the number of stages is three or six. On the whole, the mental process of aesthetic experience described by अभि—
अवस्था is generally in agreement with the Western Psychology.

It may also be said that the same processgoes on in the mind of the poet while composing a poem, since he is possessed of the same mental outfit, though the affective, cognitive, conative and imaginative elements unified in a synthetic whole are at work in him in a higher degree than in the reader. It is worthy of note what Coleridge says in his enlightened exposition of the poet's mind. It is as follows—

"The poet, described in ideal perfection, brings the whole soul of man into activity, with the subordination of its faculties to each other according to their relative worth and dignity. He diffuses a tone and spirit of unity, that blends, and (as it were) fuses, each into each, by that synthetic and magical power, to which I would exclusively appropriate the name of Imagination. This power, first put in action by the will and understanding, and retained under their irremissive, though gentle

[†] Principles of Literary Criticism, Chapter XVI.

and unnoticed, control, reveals itself in the balance or reconcilement of opposite or discordant qualities: of sameness with difference; of the general with the concrete; the idea with the image; the individual with the representative; the sense of novelty and freshness with old and familiar objects; a more than usual state of emotion with more than usual order; judgment ever awake and steady selfpossession with enthusiasm and feeling profound or vehement; and while it blends and harmonizes the natural and the artificial, still subordinates art to nature; the manner to the matter; and our admiration of the poet to our sympathy with the poetry ... Finally, Good Sense is the body of poetic genius, Fancy its Drapery, Motion its Life, and Imagination the Soul that is everywhere, and in each; and forms all into one graceful and intelligent whole."

-Biographia Literaria, Chapter XIV.

It is worth remembering that the mental process up to the limit of the actual realization of the can be described in psychological terms; and the same has been done by अभिनव्युत from whom the Western critics and psychologists do not seem to differ. But even the modern psychology is unable to expound the actual relish of the as experienced by the reader or the poet, and cannot proceed beyond using merely the words 'Aesthetic Pleasure' or 'Poetic, Attitude' to indicate it. The fact is that the very moment we begin to think, 'What is this relish?' or 'I am enjoying that relish', the the vanishes. This awareness is really an impediment in the realization

of te, where the Knower and the Known become one. We may entertain the above thoughts before and after that ineffable state, but they can never be directly indicative of the experience of te. The reason why psychology is inadequate to expound the actual relish of re is that it has limited its own scope particularly to the conscious states (Affection, Cognition and Conation) of the mind, and even if it has recently begun to seek the aid of the 'Unconscious' for the explanation of the conscious behaviour, yet it cannot explain the definite nature and limits of the 'Unconscious'. Moreover, Western literary critics like I. A. Richards have lately begun to apply psychology to Literature and Literary Criticism. Under such circumstances it would be unwise to seek the exclusive aid of psychology for explaining aesthetic experiences. If poetry aspires to transcend the physical as well as the mental planes, how can it rest solely on psychology for the elucidation of all the facts of its experience? Shelley says, "A poet participates in the eternal, the infinite and the one; as far as relates to his conceptions, time and place and number are not."-(A Defence of Poetry). Is it not then natural for poets and literary critics to rely on philosophy in matters where psychology fails? Is not Coleridge's brilliant exposition of the 'Creative Imagination' in his 'Biographia Literaria' based on philosophy? Does he not again harmonize poetry with philosophy by saying: "No man was ever yet a great poet, without being at the same time a pro-

found philosopher "? अभिनवपुत, after fully supplying the psychological exposition of the inward aesthetic experience of the reader, depends upon philosophy in the last resort, and all later critics up to while have followed him in this respect. Particularly जगजाय has clarified अभिनवगुप्त's doctrine by adding that this aesthetic experience is 'श्राग्वरणा चित्' | pure consciousness free from the veil of ignorance | or that it is gramma [illuminated by the Eternal Seer]. When this is a perfectly scientific explanation and the last word on the actual aesthetic experience, it is nothing less than short-sightedness to reject it. Why, the noted authors of Sanskrit Poetics not only avail themselves of the agra philosophy, but also of the शास्त्र like व्याहरण, मीमांसा, न्याय and साङ्ख्य, as we have formerly dealt with in detail. Branches of human knowledge are always correlated; and it is only for the convenience of treatment that we specify them as different sciences. If these facts are taken into account, it appears unjust to blame the Sanskrit critics for giving a philosophical aspect to their exposition of the poetic (aesthetic) experience, when it is quite evident that even the Western poets. and critics have done the same. Of course, in addition to the aboveshess, the modern psychology ought to be applied to literature and literary criticism as a valuable sid. Its limitations, however, should never be lost sight of, just as the limitations of the above sneas have never been ignored by the ancient Sanskrit critics.

XVIII. CONCLUSION.

In this general survey of the Hindu Methodology we have found that the methods of teaching handed down by oral tradition for several generations and followed by the Shastris up to this time are corroborated by the mutually acknowledged linguistic theories of the Hindu schools of thought and by the actual records of those methods of explanation in the commentary literature in Sanskrit. We have, therefore, traced this Methodology in the oral tradition of the Shastris as well as in the vast range of Sanskrit Iterature, so as to preserve the best parts of it for future use in study and teaching. Further, while entering into the details we have discovered that the chief characteristics of this General Methodology are the distinctness and unity of purpose, the Causal Relation of things, the means of proof (प्रमाण), the enumeration, classification and definition of categories or topics of discussion. and the organization of subordinate and principal elements or parts and the whole of a subject. These features have been found by comparison to be common to the Eastern as well as Western Methodology, which has, therefore, been prominently analytico-synthetic (अन्ययञ्चतिरेकासक). It will, therefore, be frequently noticed that all the preceding sections dealing with the methods of various ands (न्याय, व्याकरण, मीमांसा, वेदान्त, साहित्य, etc.) and with the mental process of verbal comprehension (शान्द्रवीघ) described by them are, as it were, united with the

common bond of this analytico-synthetic aspect or अन्वयव्यक्तिक into an organized whole.

We have then shown that both the Eastern Logic and the Western Logic have largely contributed to the development of the General Methodology, though the comparison of the Eastern and Western psychology has disclosed to us the fact that psychology has played no small part in that development. We have then seen that the Eastern psychology compares favourably with the Western psychology, has conduced to the development of the principles of education according to the Oriental outlook, and has contributed much to the theory of 'literary criticism' and the appreciation of literature by propounding the theory of the as in Htm's Histories. In this context we have also suggested that some of yag's tenets of dramaturgy can be applied with advantage to the science of teaching.

In the comparison of the Eastern and Western Logic we have discovered that Induction is not the privileged province of the Western Logic alone, but that it corresponds to the satisfiasing (the process of arriving at the invariable concomitance) and is implied in the 'deductive-inductive' syllogism as propounded by the Indian Logic (squa). Then after showing that corresponding to the general methodology some common methodical forms of literature have come into existence in Sanskrit, we have turned to the methods of the square, affinies and again, which exemplify the common characteristics of the general methodology. Those methods have, as we

have demonstrated, been developed according to the nature (Nominal Style) of the Sanskrit language and the divergent theories upheld by each system of thought. Again, we have been able to disclose that even though those methods are ancient, they bear a striking resemblance to the psychological methods of studying and teaching language as recently developed and recognized by all in the West. In India too Logic is particularly found to have helped the methodical development of the linguistic principles of पर, बाब्य and प्रमाण, which are not sectarian or limited to the sugs that propound them, but have been accepted as the general principles of the method of interpretation even by the sugs like the वेदान्त,साहित्य,etc.,which have again derived for their own exposition much assistance from the Eastern psychology. Particularly the वेदान्त and the साहित्य have harmonized the logical principles of पद (व्याक्रण), बाक्य (मीमांसा) and प्रमाण (न्याय) as well as the psychological principles of the Upanishads, the माइस्पsystem and the dramaturgy of and into a synthetic whole. Both these are, therefore, the mas prominently advocating the Synthetic Method of study. Since the न्याय, as a general science (सर्वशास्त्रेपकारक) with its deductive-inductive aspect, has influenced all other sness, it has been shown to conduce to the development of the critical or scientific methods of study. Again, the combination of qs, वावय and त्रमाण has itself made for the correlation of studies and testifies to the existence of the Comparative Method in ancient India, though the modern Historical Method does not seem to have existed in ancient times.

Almost all the sugs recognize the four games of the नैयायिकड, viz., प्रत्यक्ष, अनुमान, उपमान and शब्द, of which the शुक्रव्यमाण (verbal testimony) is emphasized more than others in the General Science of Interpretation, formulated by the mutual help of the theories of पद, बाक्य and प्रमाण. The common linguistic principles included under the wider शब्दममाण have not only been theoretically dealt with in detail by the Indian systems of thought, but have been practically employed in the oral explanations (teaching) and written explanations (commentaries) of intellectual and emotional subjects (i.e. शास्त्र and काल्य). The practical application of the interpretative methods of पद, बाक्य and प्रमाण is evidenced by the Sanskrit commentaries following the methods of दण्डान्वय and खण्डान्वय, the various characteristics of which have been revealed by us in the light of the modern principles of language-teaching, so that the ancient method of खण्डान्यय in its modified form should find general acceptance in schools and colleges as the reformed method of teaching Sanskrit.

As the खण्डान्यपद्धि is distinctly analyticosynthetic in character, culminating naturally in the 'telling of the purport' (बालपंक्यन), the preliminary linguistic principles that fall under the शब्द्रमाण and lead us to the 'बालपंक्षि' have been presented in detail, discovering at each step their philosophical, psychological and educational implications in comparison with the Western psychology, principles of language-study and their educational bearings. It is with this particular end in view that the linguistic theories (Sections XI-XVI) of the वैयाकरणs, वेदान्तिन्ड, मीमांसकड (अन्विताभिधानबादिन्ड and अमिहितान्वयवादिन्ड), नैयायिकड and आलङ्कारिकड have been set forth after organizing and bringing out in relief their scattered psychological thoughts about the process of verbal comprehension (शाब्दबोध) and omitting their hair-splitting distinctions unnecessary for the purpose in hand. Various views of the Hindu schools of thought about the 'अभिया' (Expression) and 'the import of words ' have then been detailed and compared with similar ones as explained by the Western psychology, so as to accentuate their importance in languageteaching. In all this treatment sufficient attention has been paid to the difference to be observed while teaching Sanskrit to the students of elementary, intermediate and advanced stages. The principles of span and verbal comprehension being based on the mental process of analysis and synthesis lead usultimately to the principles of सालपंत्रिक (Purport), which is predominantly concerned with the synthesis of the individual meaning of words. Hence the psychological process involved in this synthesis according to the Hindu schools of thought has been expounded after harmonizing the divergent viws. It has also been pointed out that all these linguistic principles including the arraight are as much useful in understanding the unified sense of the prose material studied at the school stage as in determining the purport of the highly intellectual subjects dealt with in sigs and studied at the higher stage; and they can be employed as much in the teaching of language and literature at both the stages as in the self-study of the same.

The understanding of the purport depends much on the uninterrupted comprehension of the unified sense of words, which convey either an express (ব্যাহ্ম) or implied (ভজ্ম) meaning. After the freatment of आभिया and नाल्यं, therefore, we have dealt with इञ्चा, which arises from the incompatibility of ascertaining the purport (तात्वयांनुपपत्ति). That all Hindu schools of thought except that of the areas-Ras recognize only the two functions of safara and कक्षणा, is the indication of the fact that the knowledge of both of them is indispensable to the study and teaching of the inner meaning of prose works and बाह्य But as उद्या has also been accepted by the आङ्कारिकs, we come to know that ङक्षणा involves even a subtler aspect of 'fancy' and emotion. It naturally leads us to use some figures of speech and partly culminates in squar, which is prominently an emotional process, and which has been recognized only by the anegiftes as the third function. The knowledge of इक्षणा and of the mental process behind its employment is as much necessary for the appreciation of the inner aspects of poetry as

for the understanding of intellectual subjects and suss. These intellectual and emotional aspects of ways have, therefore, been purposely set forth, since the knowledge of both is very useful in the study and teaching of literature and sugs. Further, the special function of व्यञ्जना (व्यक्ति) and the realization of the have been treated of in detail, with examples, in the light of the Western psychology and Eastern philosophy, after taking into account the fact that their knowledge is indispensable to the synthetic study and teaching of poetry. We have shown that though both the functions of areque and व्यञ्जना result in the synthesis of meaning, they differ widely in their effects on the readers' or students' minds. The effect of the former is intellectual, while that of the latter is manifestly emotional, since the latter develops into re. The detailed treatment of खिन and रसांड, therefore, calculated to contribute to the successful teaching of poetry as well as to the synthetic criticism of Sanskrit literature. We have attempted in this context to describe, as far as possible, the psychological process of the realization of ze or the sesthetic experience by comparing the views of the Eastern and Western critics. It has then been suggested that 'synthetic literary criticism' in Sanskrit would assume a definite form, if the theories of the and safa with their originally synthetic character would be supported by psychology as well as philosophy, Eastern and Western.

These aesthetic principles of literary criticism, which are particularly useful at the advanced stage

of studying Sanskrit literature, are also calculated to enlighten the teachers of Sanskrit on the emotional approach to be made by them while teaching the appreciation of poetry. In other words, the teachers of Sanskrit, being equipped with the knowledge of तासप, सम्भा, स्यमना and रस, should be keenly alive to the implied (लक्ष्य) and suggested (अङ्ग्य) senses of words in sentences or passages, should either tell them to students or explain them through questions as framed according to the खण्डान्यपद्वि, and should always aim at the synthetic teaching of literature and the enhancement of re. Much, of course, depends on the general appreciative attifude, keen sensitiveness and liveliness, which are inborn in some teachers, but which can be developed to some extent in others by the deep, continuous and varied reading of Sanskrit literature in the light of Poetics. It is with this practical aim that सञ्जा, ब्युज़ा and रस have been particularly discussed along with their psychological bearings, which have been newly revealed here.

Now the theories of अञ्चलाभिषान and अभिहितान्यय previous to the above topics will show teachers how Sanskrit should be taught at the initial and advanced stages respectively; those of the relation of 'Thought and Language' and of अभिषा will elucidate how the 'direct bond' between a word and an idea can be established according to the Hindu outlook, and how words should be taught; and those of and will be helpful in the teaching of the synthetic meaning of literary passages. One

thing must be borne in mind that the principles of अभिषा and सामये will facilitate only the understanding of literature, while those of अञ्चला partially, and those of अञ्चला and रह wholly, will help the appreciation of the same. Thus we have not only detailed the methods of teaching Sanskrit at the initial stage, but also those of teaching the शास्त्र and literature at the higher stage, so as to maintain the continuity of the psychological method of ख्याहरूव at all the stages.

It is worth remembering that the commonly recognized linguistic principles of पद, बाक्य and व्रमाण as formulated by the वैयाहरणड, मीमांसदंड and नैयापिकड respectively have been accepted and synthesized by the agrifages for the interpretation of the Upanishadic philosophy, and also by the answerfers for the practical purpose of the appreciation of poetry. With the same practical end in view the आलड्यारिइड have not only availed themselves of the theories of the above schools of thought regarding sifting and esawi, but after harmonizing them they have made original contributions to the linguistic science in general and to the Poetics in particular by expounding the theory of the and safe in the light of the साङ्ख्य and बेदान्त systems. Again, it is noteworthy that side by side with the exposition of the theory of poetry the specialities have also dealt with the practice of poetry by writing works on the training of poets, in whose pursuit of composing poetry they desire to offer aid by means of the practical hints embodied in their own works, though it is doubtful whether a poet can be made in this way. Among such works on the training of poets (कविशिया) we find the भौचित्यविचारचर्या and कविक्ष्यामस्य of क्षेमेन्द्र, the काव्यकस्यलवावृत्ति of आरिष्ट् and अमरचन्द्र, the कविकस्यलवा of देवेशर and the काव्यमीमांगा of राजशेखर.

When all these attempts have been made by our worthy ancestors in Sanskrit literature, it is not unnatural for us to proceed a step further and extend the practical applications of all the above theories to the science of teaching, by suggesting reforms in Sanskrit teaching on the fundamental basis of the Hindu Methodology in its combination with the Western Methodology. It is with this practical purpose in view that by mainly adopting the Comparative Method and the psychological approach we have so far traced the principles of the Hindu Methodology, which is traught with educational implications. The aim of all this comparative and psychological approach is manifestly to supply a sound theoretical and practical basis for the study and teaching of Sanskrit from beginning to end. We have here attempted to supply this basis after taking into account the nominal (मुक्तप्रधान) character of the Sanskrit language. It is now high time to bring this Hindu Methodology into practice for the purpose of the intensive and extensive study and teaching of the Sanskrit language and literature, when we see similar attempts being made in the West to base language-teaching on psychology and linguistics.

There is no doubt that the above principles of अभिषा, ताल्प्ये, स्थाणा and स्युजना are already known by the Shastris and that they are studied by higher students of Sanskrit in Arts Colleges. Now in the case of the Shastris it may be said that though they possess in general a comparative outlook with regard to the Hindu शास्त्र like the ज्यादरण, मीमांसा, न्याय and others, many of them being unacquainted with English are not in a position to compare their own principles with similar Western principles and realize the value of their own ones; while though the Sanskrit students in Arts Colleges or graduates of the University study in outline the above linguistic principles in works like the काञ्चलकार, they do not seem to have realized their psychological and educational implications, since many of them study and teach them only for the sake of examinations, and do not know the Western psychology and principles of language-study so as to adopt a comparative view of the Eastern and Western principles. Even though some people possess the knowledge of the Western psychology and science of teaching, yet on account of general apathy towards Sanskrit, they have not cared to study Sanskrit with interest and assiduity and to see whether the Eastern principles can be compared with the Western ones. It is due to this general apathy that even the lovers of Sanskrit have perhaps been carried away by the general impression that Sanskrit literature contains scanty material as regards methodology and methods of teaching. Nor have they found it worth while to

investigate into the details of the oral traditions of the Shastris. This is why the lovers of Sanskrit have tried, with good intentions of course, to introduce reforms with favourable results in Sanskrit teaching on the lines laid down by the Western authorities for the reformed teaching of classical languages like Greek and Latin. There is, of course, no harm in adopting foreign methods (e.g. the Conversational or Direct Method) if they are beneficial particularly to pupils; but it is certainly better to draw upon the natural resources of our own soil, to amalgamate them with good things in the West for the purpose of achieving better results, than to resort exclusively to the foreign things. Although the public in general is sceptical about the efficacy of those Western methods, we aver, on the basis of the Hindu Methodology detailed so far and of our own experience, that some of those methods, with modifications suited to the nature of the Sanskrit language and our culture, are calculated to prove beneficial. We shall, of course, support the modern methods of teaching Sanskrit in many respects by suggesting a similar procedure to be adopted by teachers in their lessons in the class; yet the main unattempted work that we have done so far is that of supplying a sound theoretical basis for that practical procedure from the Oriental point of view. We have, therefore, attempted so far to trace similar methods in the oral traditions of the Shastris as well as in the actual records of them (e.g. equipment) in the commentary literature in Sanskrit. Moreover, we have supplied the theoretical

and psychological background of these methods as found in the common linguistic theories of the various Hindu schools of thought.

Our comparison of the Eastern Methodology with the Western one will, doubtless, prove that the former is in no way inferior to the latter, but is more fundamental and subtle from the philosophical and psychological points of view. Again, it will be repeatedly noticed that the Hindu schools of thought too have their own psychological ideas, on the basis of which they propound their linguistic theories and try to explain the process of verbal comprehension. We have therefore, not solely depended upon a particular Sanskrit work in the task of our comparison, but have assiduously searched for such linguistic psychology in the Sanskrit works of different schools of thought by selecting similar topics in all, by noting similarity or contrast in their treatment, and at last by comparing those topics with the Western thoughts corresponding to them. Much matter, therefore, that is not given in the usual text-books will be found to have been revealed by us from a new point of view. The general manner of treatment of the Eastern principles of language and poetry as adopted here is first to reproduce them with examples in close conformity with the different Hindu schools of thought and then to reveal, along with our own thoughts, their psychological and educational implications in the light of the psychology, principles of language-study and theories of

poetry in the West. It will thus be noticed that though the same old principles of us, sieu and प्रमाण or अभिया, लक्षणा and व्यवना have been reproduced by us, yet they have been looked at from a new (comparative) and practical point of view. Of course, no small amount of mental effort is required for a thorough grasp of those abstruse Eastern principles and also for their organized reproduction with the view of preserving the rich thoughts of the past for future use and facilitating the understanding of novices. Yet a more distinctive feature than their reproduction would perhaps be their persistent study, concentrated reflection and mental organization with a definite purpose, i. e., with the comparative, psychological and educational outlook, and also their presentation from the same standpoint, so as to obtain fruitful results in Sanskrit teaching. It is for the worthy judges to decide how far we have succeeded in this attempt.

READING AND RECITATION

Sanskrit literature evinces tendencies towards minute analysis of facts and logical organization of thoughts. These characteristics of methodology are distinctly observable in all the branches of knowledge to which Hindus have substantially contributed. The development of the different Shastras was chiefly due to this methodology; for, the word 'Shastra' signifies nothing less than systematization of knowledge. Although the Shastras are logical in their treatment, we need not suppose that the province of psychology altogether escaped the attention of Hindus. Many works in Sanskrit literature bear testimony to scattered thoughts on psychology, which, however, were not unified into a separate science in the modern sense of the term. psychology of the group also received due attention in the science of dramaturgy, which had highly developed and specially treated of the practical principles and ways of heightening the interest of the audience. The attitude of apathy towards Sanskrit language and literature is responsible for the general impression that Hindus had little to contribute to methodology and methods of teaching. The study of original Sanskrit works, however, sometimes reveals to us illuminating ideas on methods of teaching. Practical psychology has found enough scope in the treatment of emotions and sentiments in dramaturay.

The science of phonetics developed in the unfamous and finans to such an extent in Sanskrit that it has been instrumental in preserving the pristine purity of that language up to the present day. If correct pronunciation is not properly attended to in the teaching of Sanskrit, it is not Sanskrit that is taught but a corrupt form of language like grads, which owed their rise to corrupt pronunciation. The importance of correct pronunciation and good reading was much stressed by Sanskrit writers on phonetics, and valuable suggestions on expressive reading and recitation were made in detail in the पाणिनीवशिक्षा, the नाट्यशास्त्र of सरत and the काल्पमीमांसा of राजशेखर. They compare favourably with similar suggestions in English books on phonetics, and are as helpful to teachers of languages as to actors on the stage. It must, however, be remembered that though dramatic aptitude is a great asset to a teacher, it is needless for him to aspire after as much proficiency in histrionics as is demanded of actors on the stage, प्राम्बन in his आक्रमाम्बन clearly distinguishes between urfages or reciters who represented the feelings by words alone and sinfies (सोमिन्ड) who were teachers of actors and managers of the stage. Teachers are here to assume the role of प्रात्यिक्ड, not of शोभनिक्ड, and conduct their reading and recitation in an expressive manner. It is here proposed to put together those stray suggestions in an organized form for the use of teachers.

The पाणिनीपशिक्षा after dealing with the internal and external effort required for producing sounds locates the letters of all classes in the mouth and shows how they are to be correctly articulated. According to the बाद्यबाद्ध, the matter to be read or recited consists of words which are divided into several parts, as vowels, consonants, Sandhis or euphonic combinations, case-terminations, nouns, verbs, prepositions, indeclinables, compounds, and nominal as well as verbal derivatives. Gestures performed in combination with these words in sentences are called affections.

Now, the characteristics of good reading and recitation, according to भारत, are seven musical notes, three places or organs of utterance, four accents, two varieties of intonation, six embellishments and six auxiliaries.

I. Notes—The seven musical notes are प्रज्ञ, अस्यम, गान्सार, मध्यम, प्रज्ञम, भवत and तियाद, which are used as basic sounds to express different sentiments. For instance, प्रथम and प्रज्ञम are employed as basic notes to express humour and erotic sentiment (हास्य and राज्ञार), पर्ज and अपम to represent the sentiments of heroism, wrath and surprise (शिर, रोह amd अर्मुत), गान्सार and निमाद to reveal pathos (अस्य), and धेमत to show terror and repulsion (भ्यानक and बीमत्स).

II. Places-The three places or organs of utterance are the chest or lungs, the threat or glottis and the head or palate in which originate the low (मन्द्र), middle, (मन्द्र) and high (बार) pitches while modulating the voice. When a person is at a distance, we speak with him in a high pitch; when he is not far off, we speak in a middle pitch; and when he is very near, we speak in a low pitch. In ordinary speech we make use of the middle pitch; but in reading and recitation, a sentence should generally start in a low pitch from the chest, should rise to a high pitch towards the palate or head and should stop at the middle pitch in the throat. In reading poetry possessed of gegs, the voice should be brought to a low pitch; in reading poetry containing shap, the voice should be raised to a high pitch and the poetry of a general type should be read with lower and higher pitches in succession.

III. Accents-The four accents are उदाच, अनुदाच, स्वरित and कृतिवत. As their use prevails particularly in the Vedic Sanskrit, their consideration is of little concern to those who are more interested in Classical Sanskrit.

IV. Intonation-एवज्ञेखर, who in his काल्प्पीपांडा has dealt with intonation in detail, says that intonation or कालू is a quality of expressive reading and that it serves to bring out the proper sense of a literary passage. The reader should make use of intonation in his reading with due attention to the three styles of composition, viz., the ब्रेट्सी which is lucid and perspicuous, the ब्रेड्सी which is full of lengthy compounds, and the प्रजाही which is mid-way between the two. There are two main varieties of intonation, viz., सामाह and निरामाह. The intonation which expresses expectancy

on account of the incompleteness of sense in a sentence, is called 可和言. It shows that the sense of a sentence is not complete unless another sentence is assumed to follow it. When another sentence is thus supplied, the intonation required to show that the sense is complete, is called निराहाल. The साहाल Fig. (Expectant intonation) can still further be subdivided into three varieties to express an objection, a question and a conjecture; while the August काक (Non-expectant intonation) also can be subdivided into three varieties to denote a statement, an answer and a decision. The same sentence can be shown to be complete or incomplete simply by the use of proper intonation. Thus the change of intonation employed in the same sentence implying an objection, a question and a conjecture, can be made to imply a statement, an answer and a decision respectively. This being the case, the two main varieties of intonation with their subdivisions are mutually related. There are other countless varieties of intonation, which are devoid of any mutual relation, but which express several subtle emotions. They denote acceptance, entreaty, ridicule, consent or approval, affirmation, concealment of feelings, sorrow or repentance, roughness, encouragement, ardent desire or eagerness, despondency or disgust, etc.

More than one of the various intonations can be combined in several ways in a sentence or verse to express various emotions. The use of intonation is not only prevalent in ordinary speech, but it reigns supreme even in Shastras, and it is, as it were, the life of poetry. Intonation allied with flawless pronunciation not only reveals change in meaning, but also unfolds the skill of a trained person in expressive acting. A poet, therefore, should compose poetry and an intelligent reader should read it in such a manner, that the reading would shed a new light on the minds of hearers. An adept in the poetic art might compose poetry in any way he likes; but he, whose speech is accomplished, knows how to read. Just as good voice is the result of repeated practice in the previous birth, so excellence in reading is attained after many births.

V. Embellishments— The six embellishments (पाठ्यालङ्कारङ) which lend charm and colour to reading and recitation are the varieties of the three pitches तार, मन्द्र and मध्य, as stated above. Thus, the high pitch (वार) can be subdivided into उच्च and दीत, the low pitch (मन्द्र) into मन्द्र and नीच, and the

middle one (मध्य) into हुत and विलिधित.

The 32 sound, which is synonymous with the an or high pitch, is produced in the head or palate and is used while speaking with a person at a distance or calling him, while expressing astonishment or frightening another person, and in an exchange of hot words. The dia sound also rises from the head or palate, but being higher than 32 or an, it is used to act a quarrel, debate, rejutation, delirium, violence, expostulation, anger, heroism, haughtiness or harshness of words.

The low sound (मन्द्र) comes out of the chest or lungs and is used to express despair, fatigue,

hesitation or fear, anxiety, pitiable condition, unessiness, sickness, wounded state, swooning or drunkenness. The state sound which also comes out of the chest is lower than the ask. It represents speech in a normal state of mind or signifies sickness, fatigue, fear, swooning, etc., in an extreme form.

The 3d sound, a variety of the middle pitch (1924), is produced rapidly in the throat or glottis to show stumbling, whirling sensation, lust, tear, shivering, vexation, etc., and to communicate an affair which is not secret. The other variety of the middle pitch is the faction tone, which is produced slowly in the glottis to express amour, conjecture, deliberation, indignation, jealousy, lisping, bashfulness or shame, anxiety, threatening, wonder, fault-finding, and prolonged displeasure.

Several permutations and combinations of these embellishments of pitch and intonation can be made in reading to show blendings of different sentiments. Thus while dramatizing the sentiments of love, humour and pathos, intonation with the agree pitch should be used; while expressing the sentiments of heroism, wrath and wonder, the pitch of intonation should be and and and while suggesting fear or disgust, the pitch should be and and and and the combinations being too minute to be enumerated, mere theorizing in this matter is of less value than practice. Keen observation of human behaviour and repeated practice in this imitative art can impart skill in the blendings of different sentiments.

VI. Auxiliaries—Lastly, the six auxiliaries of reading (पाञ्चाह्नs) are the break or pause (विन्छेद-विराम), resonance (अर्थेग), emphasis (विस्त), phrasing (अनुसम्), the upward glide of voice (दीपन) and the downward glide (प्रशमन).

(1) Pause—The break or pause is to be made with a definite purpose according to the completion of meaning either in a sentence or after letters varying in number from one to four. These purposeful or psychological pauses, which are the exponents of meaning, should be differentiated from the pauses (48s) in prosody. So, not only in the reading of prose but also in the recitation of poetry, attention should be paid more to the psychological than to the metrical pauses.

Effort should be made to show these pauses along with gestures (वाचिकाभिन्य), since gestures bring out the sense of a passage in full relief. Gestures in reading and recitation consist of the movement of hands and eyes along with the pauses indicating the sense. While expressing the sentiments of heroism and wrath, the hands should be in the position of striking with a weapon; while showing the sentiments of repulsion, they should be contracted as if in contempt; while representing humour and pathos, they should be stretched according to the respective purpose of those sentiments; and while acting the sentiments of astonishment and fear, they should stand still as if in amazement and terror respectively. Thus the movement of

hands allied with pauses and embellishments aids the definite comprehension of meaning.

We may then say in general that pauses are to be used either after inflected words or after the completion of meaning. In the case of an unusually lengthy compound, however, breaks or pauses are to be made after as many members of that compound as can be uttered in a single breath. Care, of course, must be taken to utter the compound in such a way that its individual members are distinctly shown without breaking their unity as a compound. But in all other cases, the sense alone would decide where one should pause.

The next question arises as to how long the reader should pause according to the sense. Broadly speaking, if despair, intolerance or change of mood is to be shown, and if a question is to be put, the pause should be made for about eight seconds. But in the case of other sentiments, the length of the pause should vary according to the sense from eight to forty-eight seconds. Even if a letter before the pause is long (रीप), the pause should not be unduly lengthened; i. e., the length of that pause should not exceed forty-eight seconds. In the recitation of poetry, the pauses in a particular metre should be decided with due attention to the sentiments therein. The reader should not corrupt words in his reading, nor recite a verse in a wrong metre, nor pause where he ought not to, nor read without emotion and intonation. In good recitation verses are set to proper tunes, with due regard to the length of the pause, beats of time (ताल), rhythm (लव) and completeness of sense.

- (2) Resonance-The voice should be so used with ease and charm that the whole place, where reading or recitation is going on, should resound with it. This is, of course, to be accomplished with due consideration to the other characteristics of good reading and to the heightening of the main sentiment (स्थापिमाद) with the help of fleeting emotions (अभिचारिमाद).
- (3) Emphasis (feet)—Words as well as clauses in a sentence should be read with proper emphasis according to their relative importance, so that monotony in speech or reading might be avoided. Forethought will have to be devoted to the ascertainment of the places needing emphasis.
- (4) Phrasing (अनुवास)—A word or words are to be grouped with the preceding or following ones to suit the meaning of a passage. This is called 'phrasing' in English; and it depends as much upon the grammatical construction of a sentence as upon breath. For instance, adjectives should be grouped with their substantives and adverbs with their verbs. Generally, in the construction of a Sanskrit sentence the agails compound, doing the work of an adjective, predominates. If many such adjectives of considerable length follow one another, the grouping of words, as shown above, will not be possible. The reader should, in this case, stop a little and connect the preceding

adjective immediately with the following one in such a way that all such adjectives together might be taken to belong to the same part of speech and then grouped with their substantive.

In 'phrasing' the question of observing Sandhis sets in. In the reading of poetry many Sandhis are observed for lear that the rules prosody might be violated. But in the reading of prose as well as in Speech, affers are rarely observed even by the reputed Shastris. This practice of the Shastris is corroborated by a well-known Sanskrit verse t which says that the Sandhi, in an inflected word, between a root and its prefix, and in a compound, is compulsory ([त्र); while in a sentence it is optional, i. e., it depends upon the speaker's will. From this rule we may generalize that gags between the different words of a sentence need not be observed, in order that our reading might be intelligible to the hearers. We may still further say that in the reading of a passage, the quick and ready separation of effect in the words of a sentence testifies to the better understanding of the reader. नित्यसन्प्रित, however, must be observed in reading and speech, since the idea of organic unity or oneness is always implied in an inflected word, a root with its prefix, and a compound. In the observation of familiarys, assimilation of sounds takes place and it further helps the reader to simplify the articulation of letters (vowels and consonants). The separa-

[†] संहितैकपदे नित्या नित्या धात्पसर्गयोः । नित्या समासे वाक्य तु सा विवधानपेशते ॥

tion of effets, even in the three varieties above specified, is artificial and not much conducive to the comprehension of meaning. It may then be stated in general that effets are useful more for the purpose of writing than that of reading and speaking.

(5-6) Inflexion—Both हीवन and प्रशासन together are called 'Inflexion' in English. हीवन is the upward glide of voice. In हीवन, the reader or speaker should take particular care that his voice glides upwards through the pitches पन्ह, मध्य and तार, and does not rise abruptly in a discordant manner. On the other hand, in प्रशासन the voice should glide downwards through the तार, मध्य and मन्द्र pitches in succession.

While expressing humour and erotic sentiment, a sentence should be read with the use of resonance, pauses, upward glide and downward glide; while representing pathos, heroism and wonder, it should be read with pauses, downward glide, resonance, upward glide and phrasing; and while showing repulsion and lear, it should be read with pauses and resonance.

Conclusion—In short, sweetness and distinctness of letters, proper separation of words, good
voice, composure (gravity) and rhythm or assimilation of sounds are the six qualities of reading. The
reading, which is accompanied by intonation,
where pauses are made with due attention to the
sense, and where articulation of individual letters
is distinct and pleasing to the ear, is graceful.
Again, depth of voice, harmony, proper finish of

high and low sounds, and charming utterance of conjunct consonants are the characteristics of good reading. A tigress while carrying her young ones in her jaws does not hurt them with her tusks, yet holds them fast lest they should fall; letters should be articulated in the same manner. In other words, letters should neither be articulated indistinctly nor stressed unduly. One who properly articulates letters is held in great esteem. In good reading case-terminations are distinctly shown, compounds are not deformed and gogs are not unnaturally split. An intelligent reader, therefore, should not unite detached words, separate compounds or mutilate the verbal form. The essence of good reading is, then, the making of pauses suited to the sense and the articulation of letters according to the five varieties of utterance, viz., accent, time-measure, pitch and internal as well as external effort.

On the other hand, reading in a sing-song fashion, unnecessary haste, purposeless nodding of the head, mere repetition of what is written, non-comprehension of meaning and feeble voice are the six faults of reading. Again, the reading, which is too speedy or too slow, loud or inaudible, too harsh or too mild, and in which words are separated wrongly and uttered indistinctly, is faulty.

पाणिनीयशिक्षा, verses 25 & 31-37; काव्यमीमांसा, Chap. VII;
 भरतनाव्यशासम्, अ. १९.

Part III-Practice

THE STUDY AND TEACHING OF

SANSKRIT LITERATURE.

Now that a sound theoretical basis of the Hindu Methodology has been supplied here for Sanskrit teaching, the teachers of Sanskrit should not be sceptical about the methods to be adopted by them. The only thing that is required of them is genuine love for Sanskrit (language and literature), constant study of it, and inner urge for the improvement of its teaching. The Sanskrit teachers (in schools and colleges) must, therefore, remember that no halfhearted attempts from the sole point of view of examinations will do here. Their sole aim must be to try heart and soul to popularize the study of Sanskrit irrespective of material gain; and that aim will, it is hoped, be achieved, if the theory of Sanskrit teaching as detailed in the former part and the practical procedure, that will be demonstrated in this part in the light of that theory, will be known and employed in actual teaching. Hence, for the proper application and general adoption of the खण्डान्यपद्धि in secondary schools, it would not be out of place to suggest here an improved procedure, which, in combination with the Western methods of language-teaching, could be advantageously followed as supplementary to the method of खण्डान्त्र, while giving explanations.

In the study of Sanskrit literature it is advisable to begin with prose rather than with poetry, if the principle of gradation should be attended to. At the initial stage, pupils, who are generally more familiar with the language of prose in the mother-tongue than that of poetry, can grasp and master Sanskrit prose easily, though occasional use of simple guiffigs may be recommended for the sake of variety. Now, lessons in Sanskrit prose mostly comprise descriptions, stories, dialogues or passages from dramas and rarely reflective passages, the story-form of literature predominating over others.

The first requisite, therefore, is that of a good text-book containing a variety of such interesting prose lessons graded in vocabulary and other linguistic aspects. Good Sanskrit text-books must be written for the elementary and intermediate stages, after taking into account the Hindu culture in which the pupils are brought up and also the recognized principles according to which good text-books are written in the West. The subject-matter of textbooks should be connected, varied and interesting, and not monotonous or representing only one type of literature. It should embody descriptions of Nature, dialogues, mythological stories, folklore and fairy tales, stories of animals and a few reflective passages, all of which are to be culled from the vast range of Sanskrit literature. For the initial stages, lessons will have to be newly written in

simple prose, so as to suit the capacities of beginners. Topics in text-books should be familiar to students, yet they should not be childish, since students, when they begin to learn Sanskrit, are comparatively advanced in age and understanding. Select mythological stories from the महामास्त and the रामायण; folk-lore, fairy-tales and legends from works like the क्यासरित्सागर, भोजप्रक्य, वेतालपञ्जविश्वति, etc., humorous animal stories from the पञ्चतन्त्र and the हितो-प्रेश, and descriptions of Nature, in various seasons, from the रामायण may be taken and kept as models for re-writing the same in easy prose. Although a few reflective and moral passages from the works like the मन्स्मृति, चाणक्यनीति, etc., may be taken and transformed into prose, they should not be abstruse or abstract, but should bear upon the life of students. Readers for beginners should contain more prose than poetry, which should be gradually introduced, in accordance with the development of students. understanding. Simple and concrete सुमाधितं and miss containing graphic descriptions of deities may be introduced at the initial stage, after which original poetic passages from the रामायण, महाभारत, रववंश, etc., should be included in the text-books for the intermediate and advanced stages, along with original and simple extracts from the दशकुमार-चरित, कादमारी, etc. No passages from the Vedas, बाह्मणड or उपनिषद्ध should be taken in the text-books for schools, since the Vedic Sanskrit is an antiquated form of language, while the Classical

Sanskrit is akin to the vocabulary, idiom and structure of the mother-tongue. It is also seen that the commentaries on the Vedic literature have been written in Classical Sanskrit, which is naturally the main door through which the Vedic literature can be approached. If any interesting matter for school pupils is to be culled from the Vedic literature, it should be presented in the garb of Classical Sanskrit. The language, therefore, that must be used in the text-books for schools should be after the models of Classical Sanskrit. For beginners, easy and interesting descriptions and dialogues bearing upon the experience of students will have to be newly written in imitation of good descriptions in Sanskrit literature and of the colloquial style of classical dramas; while in the readers for advanced pupils original, descriptive and dramatic passages from His. कालिदास, बाण, मबमृति, etc., may be inserted. The lessons to be read at the initial stage should be short, and those at the advanced stage may be a little longer.

The language of Sanskrit text-books should proceed from easy specimens to difficult ones and approach Classical Sanskrit in a graded manner. It should be graded in matters of vocabulary, grammatical points, idiom and syntax, and not more than ten new words should be introduced in each lesson. Due attention to the high frequency of words in the descriptive and story forms of literature should be paid, and those frequent words may be used in lessons along with pure Sanskrit (तरम)

words current in the mother-tongue. Particularly in the first lessons in Sanskrit no afras should be observed, and there should be a large proportion of तलम words. When सन्दिह and समाप्त are to be introduced in lessons, they should be used gradually. New words in a passage should be judiciously distributed over a number of lessons, and the same words should recur in different contexts. Necessary grammatical facts should also be distributed over various lessons; but they must be made subservient to the literary charm of ideas. Some irregularities in language are indispensable and may find their way in text-books so as not to allow pupils to form an impression that Sanskrit language is composed only of regular forms; yet those irregularities must be much less in number than regularities. The types of sentences to be employed in the text-books for beginners should generally consist of simple and compound sentences, complex ones being used in those for advanced pupils.

Sanskrit text-books should, moreover, be supplied with intelligent questions and exercises on the subject-matter and language of individual lessons. Illustrations, that would be helpful in explaining the text and would aid the imagination of pupils, may, of course, be presented to add to the attractiveness of books. At the end of every reader organized lists of ready-made grammatical forms of nouns and verbs may be appended for frequent reference. In this way, there should be

three or four graduated readers that would make a gradual approach to Classical Sanskrit.

Before beginning the study of textbooks one fact must be taken into consideration that pupils are generally found to possess a vocabulary of more than 800 aren words," as frequently met with in the mother-tongue, by the time they begin to study Sanskrit in English schools. It is worthy of note here that the vocabulary of Basic English consists of 850 words only. Owing to this advantage, Hindu pupils, after the learning of Sanskrit inflexions and their functions, can grasp at least the general sense of a simple Sanskrit passage, story, or verse, though not its details, even before its explantion or translation is attempted. Again, even if translation from Sanskrit into the mothertongue be attempted, the translation of area words is the translation of inflexions rather than that of those words, as many of them are, and can be, retained in the vernacular translation without any change. These are some of the reasons why Sanskrit can be used with advantage as a medium of instruction and why the exclusive use of the Translation Method is superfluous in the teaching of Sanskrit. Thus Sanskrit is not a foreign language like English to Hindu pupils, who have

We have given this approximate number after counting Sanskrit words in Marathi text-books studied by pupils from the primary to the secondary stage. This number has not, however, been corroborated by giving vocabulary tests to pupils.

an aptitude for picking up its general sense; and the more they are advanced in the study of their mother-tongue, the more their general understanding of Sanskrit is developed.

We shall now see how this general understanding of Sanskrit is further developed in the various purposeful steps of prose lessons.

The teaching of literature is conducted through three successive types of lessons, viz., (1) General Study, (2) Detailed Study, and (3) Review or Revision. The division of procedure in each lesson into three main stages, viz., (1) Preparation or Introduction, (2) Presentation or Development, and (3) Application or Conclusion, is more practicable and elastic than the rigid process of the five formal steps of Herbart. Let us now turn to the 'Preparation' stage—

I. PREPARATION

(a) Introduction of words-At the elementary stage all the concrete and unknown words in a new lesson are introduced by the teacher in direct association with objects, actions, sketches, diagrams or pictures. Personal proncuns, 'action' verbs, concrete nouns, concrete adjectives and concrete indeclinables can be well introduced by using these concrete devices. Such words are to be introduced by the teacher in the context of a familiar topic and not in a disconnected manner. As the unit of language is a sentence, these words are to be used in sentences which should all be mutually connected to form a link or association of ideas.

These short sentences develop, as it were, into a series of little descriptions of objects and actions shown, If proper association of ideas with objects, etc., is formed, pupils can easily learn and recollect new words and phrases thus introduced, since suitable context is a good aid to memory. This is really a relieving feature of the new method and it serves to develop a proper mental attitude of pupils towards Sanskrit. On the other hand, pupils taught by the Translation Method have to undergo the tedious process of memorizing lengthy wordlists, which are, of course, unsuccessfully recollected and reproduced by them for want of context. In addition to the association of ideas enough sense-appeal is made to give a concrete aspect to the subject-matter, as follows-

The teacher after using a new word in a sentence and establishing a direct bond between the word and its underlying idea, repeats that word thrice and asks the pupils to hear and repeat the same thrice after him in chorus. Here, the Sanskrit teacher is naturally expected to be an expert in Sanskrit phonetics. Particularly from the point of view of Sanskrit phonology, distinct articulation of sounds representing letters, their differentiation, and location of places in the mouth are quite necessary. While a word is thus being drilled in chorus, the teacher writes it on the black-board and then checks the correct pronunciation of it by making the pupils repeat it individually. In writing new words on the black-board he should follow a pre-arranged plan. He should write-

- (a) Nouns with their nominative singular forms so as to show their different genders and endings,
- (b) Adjectives in their original form without any gender to show that they agree with nouns in all genders, and
- (c) Verbs with their third person singular forms of the present tense to suggest their different conjugations (गणड). Again, words of different parts of speech may be arranged in separate columns. Pupils are to take down in their note-books these new words in the same order in which they are being written by the teacher on the black-board, so that along with hearing and repeating, they can practise the correct writing of those words with their hands. Accuracy must be observed in pronunciation, writing, and semanticizing, proportionate attention being devoted to each of these aspects.† This process involves hearing or reception, repetition or ready reproduction, and unconscious assimilation of language, for which spontaneous capacities of pupils for learning language are utilized. Briskness in this oral drill helps proper assimilation of language, as pupils are given no time for mental translation. In this process three senses, viz, ears, tongue and eyes are appealed to, and the principle of 'Gradation' is followed by making use of(a)ears before eyes,(b)reception before reproduction, (c) oral reproduction before reading, (d) immediate memory before prolonged memory, (e) chorus work before individual work, and (f) drill work before free work. † In chorus work pupils'

[†] Read * Principles of Language-study by H, E. Palmer.

instincts of gregariousness, self-assertion and pugnacity are awakened and their imitative tendency is revived. Pupils spontaneously imitate their teacher and actively participate in the lesson by confidently expressing themselves with the spirit of play and emulation. A sort of rhythm is felt in the chorus repetition after the teacher, and pupils instinctively like this rhythmic repetition which also serves as an aid to their memory. This give and take between the teacher and the taught heightens the interest of pupils who begin to feel a sense of achievement in their work. The immediate memory of pupils is tested every now and then by asking them to show actions, objects or pictures and repeat the words signifying them. Repeated appeal to this immediate memory strengthens associations and gives satisfactory results when prolonged memory is appealed to after a number of days. The use of connected Sanskrit speech in sentences instead of disconnected words creates proper Sanskrit atmosphere, which helps the pupils to develop a proper mental attitude towards the subject. The actual use of the language makes an impression on their minds that Sanskrit can be better learnt by use than by tedious and abstruse rules. Thus the oral drill in Sanskrit goes on until all the new words in the lesson to be read are introduced. One thing should be noted here that while introducing new words in a lesson, the teacher should ascertain which Sanskrit words have been met with by pupils in their mother-tongue, so that he might not be required to introduce them as new words. Care will, however, have to be taken to drill some of those words for removing the vernacular influence, for introducing their correct Sanskrit forms and for showing their proper pronunciation. But under no circumstances should the teacher try to elicit new words from the pupils, supposing that they might be known to them. Nobody should here suppose that exclusive use of Sanskrit is to be made throughout the whole lesson. The use of the Sanskrit medium is to be made for cultivating good language habits which will ultimately serve as a means to good reading.

Importance of oral drill had been already recognized by the reciters of the Vedas, and the sequence of stages in it had been actually followed by them in their recitation, long before the Vedas were committed to writing. In spite of the existence of their printed texts at present, oral reproduction of the Vedas is still held in great esteem. Thus the oral drill in 'language lessons' is just like the 'sagar' or 'संबा' of Vedic students, who are still found repeating after their preceptor in chorus as well as individually. The first lesson in the study of the Vedas begins with the recitation of the गायशीमन्त at the time of the उपनयन ceremony. The गृह्यसूत्रs describe the procedure of this recitation in the following way: "The pupil, after invoking the deities for bestowing Memory (मेघा) and spiritual power (तेबस्) on himself, requests the preceptor to teach him the भावत्री, which also contains an invocation for developing understanding. The preceptor is to recite the

to enable the pupil to follow him well, the teacher should stop after every quarter of the verse, then after every hemistich and lastly he should recite the whole verse, the pupil every time repeating after him. According to the capacity of the pupil, the preceptor should, in the beginning, cause him to recite even four letters each time". This clearly shows that the Vedic teachers did not desire their pupils merely to repeat mechanically but wanted them to be coupled with understanding, since the deities were invoked for the gift of Understanding, Memory and Spiritual power.\$

The ऋषेद्रप्रतिशास्त्र, which sets down minute phonetic rules relating to the recitation of the ऋषेद, gives a detailed procedure for recitation. The important part of it is as follows:-In order to give a clear suggestion to his pupils the teacher should pronounce particular words twice. Generally a collection of three ऋष्ड (verses) to be studied is called a प्रश्न. If the प्रश्न contains a compound, the teacher should pronounce one word only; and if there is no compound, he should recite two words.

अयारमे साविशीमन्वाह पच्छोऽर्धर्चशः सर्वो च तृतीयेन सहावर्तयन् ।
- कात्यायनसूत्रम् २।३।

^{\$} मिष मेषां मिष प्रजां मय्यग्रिस्तेजो दधातु इत्युपस्याय ब्रूयात्—अघीई मोः सावित्री मो अनुब्र्हीति ... साविजीमन्बाह पच्छोऽ धर्चश्चः सर्वाम् । यथाशक्ति बाचयीत । (आश्व. य. सू. १।२१।४-६); (आप. य. सू. प. ४, स्व. ११,८-१०); -तस्मा अन्वाह 'तत्सिवतु' रिति । पच्छोऽधर्मश्चसत्ततस्तर्वाम् (९-१०) ।

Then the first pupil on his right side should repeat the first word and others should repeat the same after him in chorus. After thus finishing one gar, all the pupils should repeat the whole of it again and again". Here, the part and whole methods of memorizing seem to have been combined. (कक्माति. १५।५-६,९, १३-१४) †

Again, the modern introduction of words in direct association with actions and objects bears much resemblance to the sacrificial procedure followed by priests, who perform successive actions in a sacrifice in association with HFAS and sentences describing those actions. If the Sanskrit teacher adjusts the same procedure to the instincts and capacities of children for teaching them Classical Sanskrit, he will find almost no difference between that procedure and the modern one for introducing words. Moreover, in language-learning the Hindu schools of thought have already taken account of the tendency of imitation. They have given due prominence to the usage of elders (बुद्धव्यवहार) in the denotative function of words and acknowledged the principle that language can be better learnt by use (अवहार). No one should, therefore, form an opinion that this procedure is foreign to Sanskrit or that the Direct Method of teaching Sanskrit is a blind imitation of the Westerners-

^{ां} गुरुः शिष्यस्य पदमाह मुख्यं समासभेदसमासो यदि हे । एतेन कल्पेन समाप्य प्रश्नं प्रत्यामायुस्तत्युनरेव सर्वे ॥ -ऋग्वेदपातिशाख्यम् १५।९

(b) Other ways of introducing a lesson—This oral practice of new words in a lesson before its reading is quite in keeping with the principles of language-study. If new words in a lesson are thus independently introduced at the 'preparation' stage, the whole lesson to be studied becomes automatically intelligible to the pupils, and the reading of that lesson can be conducted without repeated interruptions of explanation. It must be here remembered that only concrete words can thus be introduced at the initial stage. At the edvanced stage, however, this device proves to be inadequate, and ideas contained in abstract words or subtle shades of meanings of peculiar expressions cannot be explained except in the proper context of the passage to be studied, since difficulties of classical authors are rooted in their context.

So, at the intermediate and advanced stages familiar experiences of pupils might be awakened and connected with the unknown ones by starting at the 'preparation' stage an oral discussion bearing upon the topic of the lesson. If, for instance, the story 'adda was ded' is to be studied, the general characteristics (residence, food, appearance, nature, etc.,) of the hare and the lion may be elicited through questions in Sanskrit or the mother-tongue. It possible, a few unknown words in the story may be introduced through a suitable picture and be drilled as above indicated. But the more the pupils are advanced, the less there is an occasion for independent word-practice. Sometimes the oral discussion may be based on a familiar topic

relating to the theme of the lesson, without in the least revealing the main theme, and merely making it serve as an 'introduction' to the lesson. If the subject-matter be prematurely disclosed in the introduction, the pupils would lose interest in the 'presentation' stage. Such an introductory talk may sometimes be continued in the mother-tangue. In any case, the teacher should try his best to raise a problem in the introduction and awaken the curiosity of pupils, so that they might be well prepared to receive the new matter at the 'presentation' stage.

II PRESENTATION

(a) Story-telling-At the elementary and intermediate stages the general study of a prose lesson begins with story-telling or oral reproduction of a passage, in simple Sanskrit. Since the principles of language-study lay down that hearing must precede reading, and since language can be more effectively mastered by ear-imitation than by actual reading or rules, pupils must be given opportunities of hearing language before reading. The oral reproduction of a story with the teacher's living voice, gestures, emphasis, etc., is doubtless more enlivening than the reading of the same from the cold print. Moreover, a clear idea of the story as a whole being given with economy of time, the pupils can afterwards begin their reading with the synthetic view of the whole and with more understanding. At the advanced stage this story-telling will become unnecessary,

but it may be attempted in the general study, only if a story is unduly lengthy.

What should be the medium for story-telling depends upon the individual ability of the teacher. If he feels quite at home in Sanskrit and is possessed of the art of expressive 'telling', he should use Sanskrit alone for story-telling. On the other hand, if he feels diffident of using the Sanskrit medium, or if the difficult nature of a particular story or passage demands the use of the mother-tongue, he may freely employ the medium of the mother-tongue. The use of the Sanskrit medium for story-telling is, however, not beyond the capacities of average teachers, who will be able to acquit themselves well after a little practice.

A story is generally told twice for deepening its impression. If the teacher wants to use the mother-tongue, an improvement can be suggested. The teacher may make a gradual approach to the language of the original by first telling it in the mother-tongue and then in simple Sanskrit, so that Sanskrit atmosphere is preserved in the lesson. In case a story is already known to the pupils, one of them should be encouraged to tell it in the mother-tongue and then the teacher should tell it in Sanskrit. In such cases the subject-matter being known, the form of the language is the unknown, which is to be studied.

The interest of the pupils in the novelty of a story need not slacken, even if it is told more than once. It a story is really good and if its telling is expressive enough, the pupils are not tired of two hearings at least. On the contrary, if story-telling is merely a cold reproduction of the original, and if the teacher cannot use the Sanskrit medium confidently, story-telling is bound to be a failure; and the pupils, instead of hearing it, are naturally tempted to read it in their text-books. It may be noted here that the pupils are not to be allowed to open their text-books while the story is being told.

It is worthy of note that many Shastris are endowed with this skill in 'expressive telling', particularly story-telling, to such a high degree that they can make their pupils spell-bound by it. Sanskrit teachers must, therefore, do their best to acquire or develop this skill. They must also realize that the expressive telling of a story is certainly more impressive than even the expressive reading of the same from a book. For, the teacher, with a keen dramatic sense, being free from the impediment of a book, gets full scope for making his living presence felt more, and enabling the pupils to live in the actual atmosphere of the story. For example, if there are several characters in a story or a dramatic piece, a skilful teacher in his 'telling' can play their role and reproduce their speeches effectively. This skill stands him in good stead even in reading and explanation.

(b) General questions-In order to test how far the pupils have followed or understood the story, general questions may be asked either in the mothertongue or in Sanskrit. Those questions may be based on the main stages of the story, so that the teacher can elicit the general drift of the whole. The number of questions may depend upon the main stages of the story; yet generally it should not exceed three. Under no circumstances should teachers waste their time asking pupils to repeat correct answers to general questions.

(c) Model Reading S by the Teacher-General questions will be followed by the teacher's model reading, which must be practised by him beforehand, if it should be a model one. After the pupils have received enough oral practice in new words and after they have got the opportunity of hearing the story, oral (loud) reading by the teacher is quite necessary; for, the teacher does all this oral work for ultimately approaching the language of the text-book, i. e., as a preparation for, or a means to intelligent reading. Pupils should here be asked to open their books and follow the model reading silently in them. The teacher, simply by his expressive reading, can now give his pupils indirect instructions in correct pronunciation, phrasing, pause, emphasis, intonation, etc., so as to facilitate understanding. In his reading the teacher should dissolve all giffs in a passage, as the observation of wifes is optional in Sanskrit † and as the splitting of sits facilitates understanding. This reading by the teacher is a sort of explanation, and it helps the pupils to make an intelligent approach to the

^{\$} See ' Reading and Recitation '.

[†] संहितैकपदे नित्या नित्या वात्पसर्गयोः।

नित्या समासे वाक्ये तु सा विवद्यामपेक्षते ॥

original language of a lesson in the light of their general understanding of the same in oral reproduction.

- (d) Chorus reading—At the elementary stage the model reading by the teacher is sometimes followed by chorus reading, which the teacher may give at his discretion either before detailed questioning, or after it. If it is given before detailed questioning, it enables the pupils to reproduce orally the language of the story; and thus bringing them in closer touch with the language of the text, it prepares them well for giving intelligent answers in the detailed study. This chorus reading may be dropped at the advanced stage.
- (e) Silent reading-The model reading by the teacher may be followed by the silent reading of pupils. This silent reading is suitable for the advanced stage only, as pupils at the elementary stage prefer self-expression and self-activity to silence and passivity. To be able to tackle a problem well in its details, it is first necessary to observe hurriedly its main aspects. Hence, the purpose of this silent reading is to cause the pupils, at the beginning of a lesson, to get the proper perspective or general trend of a passage as a whole by going through it rapidly; i. e., the pupils are to discover the main points of a lesson, their mutual relations and the proper place of each point in the whole scheme. They are also to note important and difficult portions of the lesson and distinquish between essentials and non-essentials. They

can thus be made to tackle the general meaning of a passage by themselves and are trained in self-help. If the general idea of the whole is to be obtained by noting the essentials, briskness is quite necessary in silent reading. It has been experimentally proved that understanding depends much upon speed in silent reading. It is, therefore, very desirable to develop this practice gradually among the pupils, since 'silent reading' is the only variety of reading calculated to be of much use in later life. This rapid silent reading of a passage well prepares the pupils to enter upon the detailed study of the same in an intelligent manner.

If 'silent reading' is to go on methodically and yield good results, it should proceed along the central theme of a passage or a comprehensive problem, for the solution of which two or three objectives may be given. With this end in view the teacher, after thorough preparation at home, should find out the main points, determine their interrelations, anticipate the linguistic difficulties of pupils and frame questions for study in the class. The objectives, which are based on the main divisions of a theme or problem, may be presented in the class before the silent reading commences, so that pupils should read the lesson with a definite purpose.

When the silent reading is going on, the teacher should move about in the class and help the pupils to solve their common difficulties by supplying the meanings of a few unknown words

orally or on the black-board. The meanings may be given either in the form of simpler Sanskrit equivalents or words in the mother-tongue, or both. Later on, the use of a small Sanskrit dictionary may be recommended to pupils for looking up unknown words. After the silent reading is over, answers to the objectives may be elicited either immediately or in the detailed questioning. If they are elicited immediately, the teacher should take particular care to connect the objectives properly to the detailed questioning in such a way that the detailed study might be a natural development of the objectives indicating the main problem. Better still to reserve the answers to the objectives for the 'recapitulation stage' which unifies all the salient features in the form of a summary and maintains the organic unity of the whole lesson.

(f) Detailed questioning and explanation—
The detailed study of a passage commences after all this preparation in the 'general study', which facilitates general understanding and gives the synthetic idea of the passage as a whole. If the lesson is unduly lengthy, it may be divided into convenient units for detailed study. What then remains for the teacher to do is to draw the attention of his pupils, in the light of general understanding, to important words, phrases or expressions which are elicited, through questions, by him in the form of answers from the pupils and then explained fully in Sanskrit. This explanation is to be supplied not hurriedly but distinctly and slowly,

Explanation in Sanskrit is not an innovation imported from the West; for, almost all the commentaries on Sanskrit works are in Sanskrit and not in the mother-tongue. Those who advocate exclusive use of the mother-tongue for explanation, should sufficiently account for the absence of commentaries in the mother-tongue from ancient times up to the present day. Thus explanation in simple Sanskrit is quite in consonance with the traditional method of teaching language.

It must be remembered here that questions in 'language lessons' are to be employed not only for testing the understanding of pupils, but also for eliciting difficult and important portions in the text with a view to supplying their clear explanation. Of course, the teacher should allow his pupils to keep their text-books open for enabling them to find out and note important points and supply them in the form of answers to questions. This process of questioning involves the anticipation of the difficulties of pupils and eliciting them for the purpose of giving explanation. In following this procedure we can quote no better authority than that of the Sanskrit commentaries written according to the खण्डान्वयपद्धति, in which detailed explanations are supplied after eliciting the words of the text through typical questions. Furthermore, as already stated, the खण्डान्यपद्धि is mainly based on the psychological principle of expectancy or curiosity(आकाङ्सा)which is to be awakened(उत्याप)by

the teacher among his pupils by raising a problem or creating the need for explanation through questions. When pupils are thus employed in the activity of finding out important things in the text through such questions, the need for explanation being naturally created in their minds, the teacher can give his explanation at the psychological moment. There are, therefore, two types of questions, viz., (1) Testing Questions and (2) Teaching Questions. Testing Questions do not aim at the search for new knowledge, but are used for awakening the 'apperception masses' of pupils, i.e., for reviving and ascertaining their former knowledge and for connecting it with the new one. Thus questions for testing the understanding of pupils are asked either on portions previously studied by them or on those already intelligible to them. They are, therefore, asked mostly in the 'Preparation' and 'Application' stages of a new lesson, and in Revision Lessons. On the other hand, Teaching Questions awaken the curiosity of pupils to acquire new knowledge and are generally employed in the 'Presentation' stage or detailed study. The instinct of curiosity is roused at the sight of new things or situations that cannot be fully grasped, and induces one to search for further information or fuller knowledge of the same. Teaching questions, therefore, concentrate the attention of pupils on the novelty of situations or aspects, and prepare their mental attitude to receive thorough explanations of new facts from the teacher in the form of his 'telling'. The teacher should, therefore, utilize 'teaching questions' for drawing the attention of

students to the prominent linguistic aspects of the text, for distinguishing between simple and difficult portions or essential and non-essential points and for causing the students to study the important parts more minutely. It is then obvious that 'teaching questions' are asked on the portion yet to be studied, with the view of helping students to find out important things and answer them in the wording of the text. Moreover, 'teaching questions' help the teacher and the taught to analyse a complex whole into its component parts, a difficult problem or expression into several smaller units and a comprehensive thought into its subsidiary ideas. This analysis through questions leads to the simplification, reorganization and solution of the whole problem. Every thought contains several constituents denoting persons or things, attributes, actions, time, place, manner, purpose, cause, effect, etc., and those constituents can be elicited by using in questions interrogative pronouns, adjectives and adverbs in Sanskrit (forms of किम्, कीह्य, कथम्मृत, कदा, दुन, कथम्, किमर्थम् etc.,) as employed in the engrayard. Corresponding to the thoughts in a literary passage to be studied, every sentence is composed of words of several parts of speech which are mutually related. The important or difficult ones of them are to be 'singled out for special attention and associated with the words in questions ' (B. Dumville) in such a way that they would also be included in the answers of students. These questioning devices, when they

are thoroughly known to pupils serve a very useful purpose in the successful development of a 'literature ' lesson and can be widely used for the teaching of literature and even speed. A teacher, if he is a good judge of the capacities of his students, can anticipate their difficulties and can enunciate them as problems in the class in the form of statements and 'teaching questions' with a view to eliciting from the students the words of the text and supplying their explanation. For learning the idioms and different constructions in any unknown language and even in the detailed study of the mother-tongue the teacher is bound to utilize 'teaching questions' more than 'testing questions'. The form of 'teaching questions' and 'testing questions' is, however, the same, although the purpose in asking them varies as the matter taught is a new lesson or a 'review' lesson.

As indicated above, every sentence involves a thought process indicating doubt, discrimination, causal relation, sequence, etc. Thus every thought process represents a problem, the solution of which depends upon its analysis into minor paris. This analysis or simplification of the problem is facilitated by 'teaching questions'. The thought process indicating a causal relation or sequence of facts generally involves an inference (अनुमान), which can be expressed in a five-membered (पंचावयव) syllogism; and questions of a logical type are to be asked for clarifying the nature of that inference. It is thus ascertained whether the inference is

made of an effect from its cause (पूर्ववत् अनुमान), or of a cause from its effect (श्रेपवत), or of common experience (सामान्यतो इष्ट). The problem approaches solution, when the inference involved in it is stated in the form of a syllogism with its five distinct members, the third member or the concrete example (उदाइरण) helping most the clarification of an abstract thought. Such 'thought questions 'for eliciting the separate members of a syllogism can, of course, be used at the advanced stage in the teaching of gress, where thought is predominant. Shastris are still found making the statement of a five-membered syllogism instead of questioning on it, when a knotty problem or an important proposition of any sper is to be well impressed on the minds of their students. It must be remembered here that questions bringing out the synthesis, or the summing-up of thoughts are as much necessary as those used for the analysis of the same into their component parts; and they may be asked every now and then for ascertaining how far pupils have grasped the idea of the whole or the former portions of the text. Instead of asking questions on the synthesis of ideas, the teacher may sometimes express the synthesis or Purport (कार्य) of ideas in the form of his own statement.

In the teaching of literature, however, 'thought questions' occur in a very small degree, and 'psychological questions' enhancing the 'emotional content' of a passage and appealing to the emotions and imagination of pupils are generally to be em-

ployed. Particularly, at the elementary stage, where a new language is to be learnt, there is less scope for 'thought questions' than for 'drill questions', which doubtless make for the assimilation of that language. For, in language-learning the subjectmatter or thought generally is, and ought to besimpler than that in other branches of knowledge, the linguistic expression being the unknown and more important aspect of study. This, however, does not mean that there is no scope in languagestudy for 'thought questions', which may occasionally be asked in the mother-tongue for removing vagueness in the understanding of a theme and for eliciting the causal connection of successive incidents in a lesson. In language lessons 'drill questions ' are more predominant, los course, at the initial stage than at the advanced stage, and answers to them are given and repeated by several pupils in the wording of the text, so as to improve articulation and pronunciation, and to assimilate the language of the text. This assimilation of language in the preceding stages gradually enlarges the understanding of pupils and enables them to take up more difficult language for study in the succeeding stages.

As language is inseparably connected with ideas or thought, the linguistic peculiarities to be taught in 'a language lesson' cannot be dissociated from ideas contained in them. Hence, questions in 'language lessons' will have to be framed in such a way that linguistic expressions and their corresponding ideas might be grasped side by side with each other. The formal study of a language divorce-

ed from ideas in it tends to be tedious. On the other hand, linguistic expressions taught in association with ideas in literature arouse interest. The general policy in the teaching of language and literature should, therefore, be to connect language-material with thoughts or ideas, though in the study of a language at the elementary and intermediate stages, linguistic expression will predominate over ideas; and in the teaching of literature at the advanced stage ideas will be more attended to than their linguistic expression. At the advanced stage also particular idioms, phrases or constructions will have to be explained; yet they cannot be properly explained, exemplified, and fixed in the mind, unless they are elicited through questions in their original wording. It is not here necessary that each and every word of the textual expressions included in the answers of pupils should have been fully understood by them. For, in the general study of a passage, pupils are previously given the idea of the whole; and hence, the meaning of the textual expressions being broadly known by them, they are in a position to answer the questions of the teacher in the detailed study by intelligently including those expressions in their answers. This process should not, therefore, be considered mechanical or unintelligent, detailed explanation of the words just elicited is immediately to be given by the teacher. Moreover, in answering such questions, pupils have actively to think over the form of the question, the matter to be included in the answer

and the wording of that answer. Again, the general sense of the passage as a whole having been already grasped, and even the meanings of some unknown words being guessed by the pupils in the light of the general context, there is no reason why the answers given by them should be supposed to be unintelligent or 'mechanical'. One thing must be noted here that the highly inflexional nature of Sanskrit facilitates quick grasp of questions containing interrogative words with particular inflexions. Those interrogative words naturally give a clue to the words with similar inflexions in the text, which can be readily reproduced by pupils in the form of answers. If the questions and answers thus going on appear hackneved, stereotyped, or mechanical to some, then along the same line of reasoning, the inflexional character of Sanskrit itself is to blame; and further, all the Sanskrit commentaries written according to the खण्डान्वयपद्धति, which best suits this character of Sanskrit, will have to be called mechanical! Those who will take into account this nature of Sanskrit and the distinguishing features of the लण्डान्वयपदति, will be less prone to call these questions and answers 'mechanical.'

We, however, admit that questions and answers may sometimes tend to be mechanical, as pupils are generally inclined to include in their answers unessential words in the text, or reproduce, without understanding, the whole sentence instead of the required expressions. The teacher should, therefore, take every possible care to check this tendency by putting pointed questions and accepting nothing but pointed answers; in other words, he must never allow his pupils to repeat unessential words or expressions. Experienced teachers know well enough where pupils are expected to give mechanical answers, and they can check this mechanical repetition either in the manner shown above, or by asking pupils to translate Sanskrit expressions or sentences thus repeated. But in all cases, translation as a test of understanding is to follow detailed explanation in Sanskrit.

When the teacher, as previously dealt with, tries to facilitate the understanding of pupils through the different stages of the lessons for 'general study and 'detailed study', will it not be sheer misrepresentation of facts to say that questions and answers in Sanskrit lessons are merely mechanical? Typical question-forms with interrogative words like 'who' (南: or 新), 'which' (豫明), 'how' (कथम), 'when' (कदा), 'where' (कुत्र), 'why' (क्सिपंस्), etc., have always to be settled and utilized in the methods of teaching any language. for the proper organization of the material to be taught. If the material thus organized in the form of questions and answers be called 'mechanical' then all the new methods and formal steps of teaching will have to be called 'mechanical', and consequently 'Translation' would be the only intelligent and natural method of teaching languages!

Thus, if the pupils can grasp the general sense of a passage on account of the reasons stated above, they cannot be presumed to answer all questions mechanically. It should, however, be acknowledged that this understanding is not perfect; and hence the need for filling in the gaps in the understanding of pupils in the detailed study by supplying detailed explanation through questions.

One reason why questioning in Sanskrit appears to be rigid or stereotyped is that average teachers cannot use the Sanskrit medium with facility, for want of practice or constant touch with Sanskrit literature. For being able to use the Sanskrit medium with ease, it is necessary for teachers to have at their fingers' ends the case-forms (विमक्तिड) of nouns which are imperfectly known even by themselves. Such teachers try to conceal their own ignorance by finding fault with the method, and after being trained are more inclined to follow the Translation Method than the Question-and-Answer Method, even if they are allowed to use the mother-tongue occasionally. Much hue and cry has been raised by such teachers against the Question-and-Answer Method, and the Sanskrit Medium has been a much vexed problem. These teachers say that real understending is possible through the medium of the mother-tongue or English, and that the mothertongue may freely be used for asking questions, though they cannot show how questions are to be framed in the mother-tongue on a Sanskril passage. There is much plausibility in saying that the mothertongue undoubtedly helps real understanding; but it appears strange when it is also said that the English medium helps real understanding ! If the unnatural English medium for teaching and understanding Sanskrit appears to be natural to some, it is simply the force of habit and constant touch. If it is admitted that Sanskrit is more akin to the mother-tongue than the foreign English medium. is it not advisable to develop practice in the Sanskrit medium rather than in the English one for Sanskrit teaching? If the English medium appears to be natural by the force of habit, why should the Sanskrit medium not appear natural after constant practice and habit? Sanskrit explanations are well followed by pupils, if they are gradually accustomed to them. If it is really beneficial to use the Sanskrit medium for enriching the vocabulary of pupils, Sanskrit teachers may be well advised to study original Sanskrit works more assiduously. for cultivating facility of Sanskrit expression.

It is then obligatory on every teacher of Sanskrit to be in close contact with Sanskrit literature and imbibe the Classical Spirit in the sole interest of his pupils. No amount of the technique of teaching mastered in Training Colleges would be of any avail, unless Sanskrit teachers drink deep at the original fountain, develop their individuality by imbibing that Classical Spirit in themselves and are well equipped with the knowledge of Sanskrit to arouse intrinsic interest and supply Sanskrit explanation in the manner of Sanskrit commentaries. Much of the hue and cry raised against the Sanskrit

medium would be silenced if it would be perfectly realized that commentaries on Sanskrit works are in Sanskrit, even if Sanskrit has ceased to be a spoken language. Sanskrit speech is more apt and easy than any other medium for teaching Sanskrit literature; and it can mastered after some practice. The crux of the whole situation is that teachers are reluctant to study Sanskrit literature afresh, with particular attention to the beauty of expression, and to put in more effort in their lessons. Why should Sanskrit teachers not condescend to understand the importance of being more and more efficient and of not remaining simply average teachers? For a teacher to continue to be always an average teacher is really a low aim. The needs of average teachers should, of course, be taken into account, but to adapt the method of teaching to the needs of average teachers is certainly to lower our aim of Sanskrit teaching. If then Sanskrit questioning appears to be stereotyped or mechanical to some, it is not the fault of the method but of individual teachers, who do their work half-heartedly, and fail to acquire sufficient knowledge of Sanskrit, which every Sanskrit teacher is expected to possess. In short, specialists are required for teaching Sanskrit, just as specialists are required for other subjects.

The Sanskrit teacher must, therefore, possess, in the first place, sound knowledge of Sanskrit language and literature. Secondly, he must know the mother-tongue of his students, so as to be able

to seek with advantage parallelism between Sanskrit and the mother-tongue in his teaching, and to use it at times for explaining abstruse ideas. Thirdly, he must know the stock of Sanskrit vocabulary possessed by his pupils and their capacity for understanding the new 'language material'. Fourthly, he must be thoroughly acquainted with the technique of teaching which he should use as a means to the achievement of interest. Being equipped with all these qualifications he must plan in advance the lesson to be taught. In this planning he will have (1) to decide the successive steps of his lesson, (ii) to determine what material is to be supplied by 'telling' and what by questioning; (iii) to distinguish between 'teaching' questions and 'testing' questions, essential and unessential points of the lesson, and logical and psychological aspects of the 'language material', (iv) to settle what linguistic expressions should be more stressed than others for assimilation, (v) to observe the principle of 'proportion' in drill-work and free-work, and in questioning and telling, (vi) to decide what words or expressions are to be taught by the use of direct association, translation, definition or context, (vii) to devise good application exercises and assignments for the self-study of students, and (viii) to attend to other important aspects of the lesson. In all cases he will have to adapt his teaching to the needs and capacities of his pupils and bear in mind that the quality of teaching matters more than the quantity of matter taught. After being thus fully prepared, the Sanskrit teacher will be able toconduct his lesson well in the class.

Now, in the detailed study of the lesson all the aspects as mentioned above are taken for class discussion, which is mainly based on the text-book. The method of using the text-book for class discussion is as follows—

"The testing purpose is entirely absent and the pupils should understand it so. If the discussion is on the text-book, books may, and probably will, be open... The activity is intended as directed, co-operative study-not as testing. The teacher takes a prominent part, pointing out main divisions and important points, asking questions, giving incidentally considerable supplementary information, or re-stating and clarifying the statement of the text-book. The pupils follow in their texts by reading silently sentences or passages as directed from time to time, answering questions to show their understanding, and raising questions when they do not understand... This class-period explanation-and-study method may also be intentionally adapted to the pupils' consciously acquiring important elements in study technique. ... This type of discussion period may be used in connection with various other general methods, or it may be thought of as a general method of instruction to be followed from day to day as a distinctive type. The class-discussion, directed by the teacher, is a common phase of the inductive-development method, and... the question-and-answer devicemay be used in each of the five formal steps of the

induction...Perhaps the most constant and most important phase of the discussion period is the use of questions and answers. Their use for teaching instead of testing will considerably modify the questioning technique."

Progressive Methods of Teaching,
 by Martin J. Stormzand.

We shall now see how in the class questions should be asked and explanations should be supplied according to the different stages of pupils' development. At the elementary stage, it is advisable to deviate as little from the wording of the text as possible. As the third person of nouns, pronouns and verbs predominates in descriptions and stories, it is easy to frame suitable questions on them. But as sentences in dialogues or dramatic passages mostly contain pronouns and verbs in the first two persons, there is less scope for suitable questions in them than in the passages of other types. This difficulty can be got over either by using in questions the third person for the remaining two persons or by asking the pupils to quote the actual words of a particular character in the dialogue. At the initial stage, questions may be asked almost on every word of a sentence for the purpose of fixing in the minds of pupils the function of cases (विमक्ति) and interrelations of words in that sentence. Briskness in questioning and repetition of correct answers by pupils train them in the immediate comprehension and unconscious assimilation of language. If text-books are pro-

perly graded, they help this assimilation without giving the least chance for 'translation'. A small passage may suggest a large number of questions; but the teacher must have enough sense of proportion and judgment as to which words or expressions should be elicited through questions. For instance, questions on the words already known by pupils may be dropped, and those on important or unknown words or expressions may be asked, so as to supply immediately their explanation. In this way it would be wise to lessen the number of questions gradually in proportion to the development of pupils' understanding. At the advanced stage, it would be more intelligent to ask questions bringing out the causal connection of different incidents in a story or the various stages of the development of a theme than base them merely on the wording of the book. It is most desirable for the teacher to frame his guestions from the viewpoint of his pupils rather than that of his own, and also to rouse, in his explanation, their interest by quoting concrete examples designed to make a striking appeal to their familiar experience. Here, questions may and can be framed in the mother-tongue for eliciting the experience of pupils and for relating it to the life-situations described in lessons. Such questions add to the interest of the class and make the lesson more graphic. Sometimes imaginary and probable life-situations may be placed before the class as examples and pupils may be asked how they would meet those situations.

Teachers under training believing that 'telling' is a sin, are inclined to ask too many questions without supplying explanations. Again, teachers, who feel diffident of expressing themselves with ease in Sanskrit, ask mere questions or supply meagre explanation in the form of disconnected equivalents. This is how they make their own lesson mechanical! It must be remembered that too much of analysis through questions disturbs the unity of the theme and thus spoils the charm of the whole lesson. The central idea of the lesson, on which depends the organic unity of a theme, should never be lost sight of, and questioning must be made subservient to it, so as to maintain synthesis through analysis. This synthesis is maintained as much by 'telling' as by moderate questioning. Judicious 'telling' is, therefore, never to be under-rated, since inspired 'telling' requires much skill, which is inborn in some, but which can also be developed by practice. As has been shown previously, introduction of words in direct association with objects, story-telling, descriptions of situations, easy equivalents supplied to unknown words and connected explanations of sentences or paragraphs are the various forms of 'telling' usually resorted to by the teacher, as the matter in all these cases is new to the pupils. All new information should, therefore, be supplied by 'telling'. The time unnecessarily wasted in the detailed process of questioning can be saved by instantly telling the meanings of words or by presenting an idea in a few sentences. The pupils should then be asked to think over what is

told and sometimes they may be encouraged to give connected accounts of their experiences and observations. They may be given scope also for asking their own questions in the manner of the ancient Hindu students, who put comprehensive questions (परिपक्ष) to their teachers when they were in doubt about a knotty point. After the students have thus asked their own questions, the teacher is to supply the required information by telling. This 'telling', when it becomes continuous in the absence of questions by the teacher, is transformed into 'lecturing', which is suitable for the advanced stage. At the initial stage, however, too much of 'telling' or lecturing bores young pupils, and hence the need in their case, for alternating 'telling' with questioning. As the pupils advance in understanding, 'telling' may be more resorted to than questioning by making repeated statements and giving detailed explanations. Particularly in the teaching of literature at the advanced stage inspirational 'telling' becomes more effective than questioning. In 'telling' or giving explanations simple language suiting the capacity of pupils must be employed, and the statements of important things must be distinct, slow, clear and impressive, so that pupils would get more time for careful listening and assimilation. Here, proper use of intonation, stress, pause and emotion accompanied by a few gestures conduces to bring in suitable atmosphere and interest.

Imagination of pupils can be stimulated by supplying graphic descriptions of concrete things

and by placing before their mental vision imagery or word-pictures which enable them to visualise the whole situation. Such word-pictures can be presented as concrete illustrations to abstract ideas in a passage by appealing to the experiences of pupils.

There are two kinds of 'illustrations', viz., 'material' illustrations and 'verbal' illustrations. Material illustrations are models of objects, pictures, diagrams etc., which should be used particularly at the initial stage, as they contain the element of 'perception' rather than that of the 'imagination'. Use of the black-board may preferably be made to draw sketches and diagrams, which can be used also at the advanced stage for clarifying inter-relations of ideas. Verbal illustrations appeal mainly to the imagination and can be supplied in the form of parables, legends, myths, descriptions, word-pictures, familiar expressions, allusions, etc. They are useful at the initial as well as advanced stages of pupils. The mental process involved in quoting concrete illustrations is that of comparison of things that have the element of similarity. Many times verbal illustrations with the element of similarity or identity are expressed in a literary passage itself in the form of figures of speech as उपमा (simile), उत्पेश्चा (poetical fancy), was (metaphor) etc. These figures can be explained by a distinct mention of aging (object of comparison), उपमान (standard of comparison), and सामान्य वर्म (common quality), and by showing

parallelism between उपमेष and उपमान with the help of diagrams drawn on the black-board. This method of explanation undoubtedly leads pupils to the clear understanding of ideas in a passage. For intensifying impressions of ideas ' contrast' of things having the element of 'difference' may sometimes be shown. The ideas of contrast are, at times, expressed in a literary passage itself, for bringing vividness in descriptions. The distinguishing marks of recognizing comparison and contrast are ' gq ' and ' E-E ' respectively in Sanskrit, and students should be made to know the definite use of those words. Of course, all these literary devices and their explanations are suitable for the advanced stage rather than for the elementary one. Mythological or scriptural allusions can also be told as illustrations for introducing 'human interest' in the lesson and for showing their cultural aspect. These allusions might appear to be digressions; but they are very useful for broadening the cultural outlook of students. Care should, however, be taken to make those digressions proportionate to the central idea of the lesson and to sait them to the understanding of pupils. Quotations from Sanskrit literature containing parallel ideas may be cited, if they can be easily understood by pupils without explanation.

Over-analysis of ideas or excess of explanation should be avoided, and what is quite essential and subservient to the understanding and appreciation of the whole should be presented, so as to maintain the unity of the topic and help the assimilation of

ideas and language. It must be remembered here that understanding and appreciation go hand in hand and are not to be dealt with as separate aspects. Explanations should, therefore, be such as would induce pupils to enjoy and imitate the best models placed before them. Appreciation coupled with understanding means the enjoyment and admiration of the aesthetic elements of a passage. Excellence of thought (अयं) and perfection of form (size) are the two things to be taken into account in appreciation. The comprehensive term 'thought' includes in itself the implied sense of words (अव्याधे). sentiments (रस), ideas with their suggestion (ध्वति). descriptions of Nature, characterization, etc., while the term 'form' comprises linguistic aspects, such as, style (fife), felicity of words and phrases, rhythm, metre, etc. When the unification of all these elements. into a harmonious whole dawns upon the mind, pupils are led intensely to feel literary delight or aesthetic pleasure, which is predominantly emotional rather than intellectual. This delight is experienced by pupils, when the teacher, with intrinsic interest in literature, keenly feels the beauty of a passage and exhibits his excited emotions in his effective speech. The real appreciation of a passage is possible, if the teacher enters into the spirit of his lesson or is deeply absorbed in the sentiments expressed. This mental attitude of the teacher, particularly in.

Read : इहान्ययमुखेनैव [दण्डान्ययमुखेनैव] सर्वे व्यास्थायते मया ।
 नामूळं लिख्यते किञ्चिनामपेक्षितमुख्यते ॥ —मिल्लिनामः ।

the case of subtle emotions and suggestions, baffles his verbal expression, and is instinctively caught by students, who are then led to appreciate the niceties of the passage. It is not, however, possible to appreciate all the inexplicable subtleties of emotions and suggestions in the class. They can be fully appreciated in later life, when one recollects the situations described in the passage, and ponders over them in the light of one's own experience in similar situations. These remarks apply both to the appreciation of poetry and prose, since Sanskrit literature contains a good deal of poetic prose wherein the versified form matters little, and feelings and ideas dominate. In the appreciation of all poetic literature, discussions on style (रीति), choice of words, qualities (河町), figures of speech (असंबार) etc., should mainly subserve the subtle suggestion (ध्वनि) and heighten the predominant sentiment (रस). Although the 'prose' in works like the पञ्चतन्त्र, हितोपदेश etc., is comparatively simple and lacks in high flights of imagination, yet it abounds in subtle humour suggested at several places. This humour may be brought out by conducting discussions on the behaviour of several characters in the stories of those works, and human interest may thus be awakened among students even at their initial and intermediate stages.

All such literary discussions can be conducted successfully, when the students are sufficiently grown-up in understanding. Many of these discussions are suitable for advanced pupils in schools

and colleges. They fall flat unless students have mastered the fundamentals of the Sanskrit language, and are so much acquainted with the language as to get over, by self-help, at least a few difficulties met with. At the elementary and intermediate stages, therefore, linguistic difficulties of a passage will have to be discussed in detail, in combination, of course, with its emotional aspect and human interest. For maintaining this interest explanation will have to be given with teeling and modulation of voice, and not in a cold, prosaic or monotonous way. Simple matter may be skipped over by making short statements to connect the main thread of the topic, or simply by reading the matter in an expressive manner. It is quite essential to realize the importance of good reading, modulation of voice, and dramatization with suitable gestures as explanatory devices, which are more effective than verbal explanations.

Verbal explanation' means the clarification, in simple language, of the inter-relations of sentences and ideas corresponding to them through the analytico-synthetic process. Inter-relations of words with clauses, of clauses with a larger sentence, of sentences with paragraphs, of each preceding paragraph with the succeeding one, and of paragraphs with the central idea of the whole passage are to be explained through analysis and synthesis. All discussions of the linguistic aspects of a passage should be conducted, as indicated above, mainly in relation to the ideas in it, so as to humanize the lesson; and recourse to synthesis or purport (areq.)

may repeatedly be had at the end of each paragraph and of the lesson, for the purpose of establishing organic unity of the whole. Sometimes it is preferable to give the idea or purport (apply) of a paragraph at the beginning of its discussion; for, when the idea of the whole is comprehended, it is possible for the pupils to gather the meanings of difficult words in their proper context and association. Association and context are of great help in explaining the meanings of individual words. Of course, the meanings of difficult words and phrases must be told by the teacher in the proper context. Explanation of words, phrases, clauses, etc., should generally be supplied in the form of complete sentences rather than disconnected or isolated equivalents. If equivalents alone are required, they should be familiar to pupils and be simpler than unknown words in the original; and if no simpler Sanskrit equivalents are available, the teacher should not hesitate to give equivalents in the mother-tongue. In order to test whether the meanings of difficult words have been understood by pupils, the teacher should ask them to use the same words in sentences of their own.

Sentences in paragraphs are simple, compound, complex or mixed. Clauses in complex sentences are, of course, more closely related than those in compound ones. Hence the co-ordination of compound sentences and close relations of subordinate clauses with the principal one should be clearly stated. Transformation of a complex sentence into several simple sentences is an effective explanatory

device. In the case of a complex sentence in the text, the principal clause in it should first be elicited through questions and explained, subordinate clauses being afterwards taken for discussion in their due order. Sometimes the same idea may be expressed in a variety of forms with the view of developing the vocabulary and expressive power of pupils, who should have many occasions to hear language in its various forms. Simple Sanskrit paraphrase may here be given to show how the same idea can be expressed in various ways. For instance—

- (a) Two ideas compressed into one sentence by the use of 'इय' may be expressed in two separate sentences by using यथा and तथा.
- (b) Passive constructions should be changed into active ones and vice versa. For this purpose, sentences in the passive construction should be elicited by asking questions in the passive construction, and those in the active should be elicited through questions in the active.
- (c) A sentence containing absolutives (क्लान्तड and त्यवन्तड) may be split into several sentences by using finite verbs for absolutives, आदी-प्रथम् पूर्वम् in the preceding sentence, अन्तरम् प्रधात् in the succeeding sentence, and the indeclinable च ' at the end (if necessary); e. g. अहं मुखं प्रश्नास्य दुन्धं पिवामि=(आदी) अहं मुखं प्रधालयामि, (अनन्तरम्) दुग्धं पिवामि । or . . . प्रधालयामि च ।

- (d) Nouns in the dative case can be substituted for infinitives (तुसुझलाड); e. g. सन्तुम्=समनाय.
- (e) E Genitive and Locative Absolutes (अनादरे पाठी and सति सप्तमी) can be replaced by the use of बदा—तदा or बदापि—तयापि according to the suitable context.
- (f) Attention of pupils should be drawn to the independent use of चया, यत्, चेत् and to that of यत्-तत्, यथा-तथा, यावत्-तावत्, यदि-तर्हि etc., as correlatives of each other in sentences. Their respective meanings with examples should be supplied according to their contexts.
- (g) Gerunds in 'आम्' or जमुल्ड can be paraphrased as follows—
- (i) तमारं तमारं (बारंबारं तम्ला) नमितः (ii) त्वादुकारं (त्वादु कृत्वा) भुङ्केः (iii) नामग्राहम् (नाम ग्रहीत्वा) आह-यतिः (iv) उदरपूरं (यावदुदरं पूर्येते तावत्) सुङ्केः (v) पाद-वातं (पादेन or पादस्य वातेन) हन्ति, उदपेषं (उदकेन) पिनष्टिः (vi) हत्तग्राहम्=हरतेन ग्रहीत्वा etc., etc.

The ways indicated above are not exhaustive but merely suggestive. In addition to these, certain types of sentences, constructions, idioms or phrases must be learnt intensively. Particularly, the idiomatic use of areas and particles must be explained and illustrated in the context of the text by supplying several examples of similar constructions in different contexts; and then the pupils should be led to arrive at generalization. It should be borne in mind that such syntactical peculiarities are to be

elicited and explained only in 'prose' lessons and not in 'poetry' lessons, wherein pupils have to be led to visualize situations through questions and answers making sense-appeal. The examples quoted in 'prose' lessons may be introduced by saying वया at the beginning of all of them; and then they may be connected with the construction in the text by saying agr. Mere repetition of such examples helps pupils to frame the rule by themselves. As oral work must precede written work according to the accepted principles of language-study, all syntactical peculiarities will first be introduced purely through oral work, and only important things may afterwards be written on the black-board. If examples of a similar construction have to be cited, they should be newly composed by the teacher in simple language and on the basis of familiar experiences of pupils, since examples selected from the classical literature are beyond their comprehension.

Compounds in Sanskrit are a stumbling block in the understanding of students. After they have been inductively taught in independent lessons, students may be made to dissolve them orally in literature lessons. The teacher should, of course, help his students when unusually lengthy and difficult compounds are met with. Typical compounds in a passage may be written on the black-board with their dissolutions and names. It must here be borne in mind that compounds can be mastered more by constant practice in their dissolution than

by rules. In short, the linguistic aspects to be dealt with in literature lessons are syntax and the form, function and etymology of words. Etymology of words is sometimes helpful in understanding their real meanings; yet it should not so exceed its limit as to bore the pupils and spoil interest. The most important linguistic principle that will always guide the teacher is that the distinguishing features of a language are learnt mainly through the imitation of varied and connected models of the best style, and that it is needless for the teacher to account for each and every form of a word or construction with the help of rules.

Though the use of the Sanskrit medium is predominantly to be made for story-telling, questions, answers and explanations in literature lessons, it is not obligatory on every teacher to employ that medium alone in his teaching. If the aim of teaching is ultimately to facilitate understanding or clarify meaning, it is advisable, in the interest of pupils, occasionally to make free use of the mother-tongue (1) for explaining syntactical peculiarities, (ii) for supplying mythological allusions and other incidental information, (iii) for quoting concrete

Quoted by Jespersen: "How to teach a Foreign Language, \$

^{\$} It is worth noting what Goethe says about his own way of learning Latin: "I had learned Latin only through practice, without rule and without system. I neglected the grammar as well as the rhetoric; everything seemed to come naturally to me. I retained the words, their formations and transformations in my ear and in my mind, and I employed the language with ease for writing and talking.

illustrations from life, (iv) for elucidating abstract ideas, and (v) for comparing and contrasting idiomatic usages and colloquial expressions in Sanskrit with the corresponding ones in the mother-tongue. At such places free translation should be preferred to the literal one. Recourse will, however, be had to literal translation when a Sanskrit sentence might be very difficult or might contain a linguistic peculiarity. While thus making a rytional use of 'Translation,' more attention will have to be paid to the idiom of the mother-tongue, so that the translated matter would read like an original passage in the mother-tonque. The translation of a बहुत्रीहि compound, for instance, into a complete sentence is always to be preferred to the literal translation of the same. Every care must, however, be taken to see that the translation is faithful to the original Sanskrit passage, and not merely the sum and substance of it.

(g) Recapitulation—Thus after the detailed study of a 'literature' lesson from the viewpoint of ideas and language, the teacher should recapitulate the whole in a few sentences for creating a synthetic impression of the same. This recapitulation is just like the 'तस्पर्यक्षन ' of Shastris, who tell the purport (तस्पर्य) of the matter taught at the end of their lessons. A topic can be recapitulated by asking questions to pupils or by 'telling'. The teacher may, therefore, resort either to questions or 'telling' at his discretion. This तस्पर्य or recapitulation is, however, useful only in 'prose' lessons. It

it is adopted in 'poetry' lessons, it mars the poetic beauty. This recapitulation of the subject-matter may be followed by a second reading of the lesson by the teacher, if necessary.

III APPLICATION

After recapitulation the 'application' stage begins. Here, various 'language exercises' based on the matter of the text are to be attempted by pupils as 'fixing devices.' There are several exercises that are introduced at this stage. The teacher may devise varied and new exercises; or according to the nature of the textual matter, the capacity of pupils and the time at his disposal he may choose any one of the following exercises:—

- (1) The reading of a story, etc., or dramatization of a dialogue by pupils is a kind of application exercise calculated to fix in their minds the language of the text in its connected form. At the end of a revision lesson pupils may be asked to reproduce the story orally in Sanskrit. If questioning has been well conducted in previous lessons, the reproduction of the story by pupils proves yery successful.
- (2) Words in the singular number may be changed into the plural, and vice versa.
- (3) Verbs in the present tense may be changed into the past or future and vice versa.
- (4) Words in the first person may be changed into the second or third, and vice versa.

- N. B. In exercises 2,3 and 4 it is necessary to see whether the changes made are possible and sensible according to their context. Particular Sanskrit sentences selected for changes may then be repeated with the above changes.
- (5) Active constructions may be changed into passive ones, and vice versa. This exercise can be attempted in the case of isolated sentences.
- (6) Subordinate clauses are changed into co-ordinate ones, and vice versa.
- (7) Synthesis of sentences may be attempted by making use of यदि, यावत्, यत्, यतः, चेत् etc., or of क्लान्तड, स्यक्तड and तुमझन्तड.
- (8) A complex event may be separated into its component parts or a series of actions, in the manner of the 'linguistic series' given by F. Gouin in 'The Art of Teaching and Studying Languages.'
- (9) Synonyms of a word as are scattered in different lessons of the text may be collected by pupils. In addition to this exercise the अम्मकीश may be used for finding out other synonyms.
- (10) Particular sentences may be given for 'transformation'. Pupils may be asked to express each of such sentences in as many ways as possible. Here, the Sanskrit explanation given previously by the teacher becomes helpful.

- (11) Pupils may decline and conjugate important nouns and verbs in the passage studied. In the conjugation of verbs pupils should use suitable pronouns as subjects before verbs.
- (12) Pupils may collect scattered examples of the same syntactical peculiarity from various lessons. Each peculiarity may be taken at a time. A separate page in the note-book should be reserved by pupils for collecting sentences containing the same peculiarity. In this way different pages may be devoted to different peculiarities.
- (13) 'Substitution tables' in Sanskrit may be constructed on the lines laid down by H. E. Palmer in his '100 Substitution Tables'. Such tables may be used as application exercises.
- (14) Crude forms of nouns (प्राविपदिस्ड) may be given, and pupils may tell their genders.
- (15) Pupils may fill in the gaps in sentences by using appropriate words or phrases.
- (16) Pupils may use present, past, future and potential passive participles (য়নু, যানৰু, ক, কৰবু, বন্ধন্, প্ৰনীষ্ etc.) for finite verbs in sentences.
- (17) Genitive or locative absolutes may be used in sentences containing the words ব্যা-ব্যা, ব্যাদি-ব্যাদি etc. Care must be taken to see that the subject in the absolute construction is different from that in the principal sentence.

- (18) Various compounds (समास्त) of separate words in sentences may be formed.
- (19) spas of separate words in sentences may be formed, or words joined with spas may be split.
- (20) An exercise for 'translation into Sanskrit' may be given. For this purpose, sentences or a passage should be so composed in the mother-tongue that the pupils would be required to use in their Sanskrit translation the same syntactical peculiarities as already learnt by them in the text.
- N. B.—All exercises are to be conducted through the medium of Sanskrit. Of course, for many of the above exercises the teacher should select complete sentences from the text rather than disconnected words.

CONCLUDING REMARKS-

Proper understanding of literature depends much on the knowledge of idiom and syntax, which must be explained by the teacher inductively in the context of the text. This procedure need not be supposed to be a promiscuous mixing of grammar and literature in one lesson, since syntax and idiom cannot be explained and understood except in the proper context of literature. This is why Shastris explain and adapt the syntactical parts of

प्रयोगाः (^a) कर्नुकर्मिकयायुक्तः प्रयोगः स्यात्मकर्मकः ।
 अक्मैकः कर्मश्रन्यः कर्महन्हो हिक्मैकः ॥

grammar, side by side with literature rather than in an isolated manner. Furthermore, 'syntax' must be distinguished from 'accidence' (declension and conjugation) which may admit of treatment independent of the context of literature.

But unlike Shastris the followers of the Grammar-translation method in secondary schools are found to devote their time and energy more to accidence than to syntax, more to the conjugation of verbs than to the verbal derivatives, more to the second group of conjugations than to the first group, and more to the formation of nouns and verbs than to their ready-made forms. While attempts are being made to popularize the study of English through the Basic Vocabulary, short-sighted Sanskrit teachers do not as yet condescend to realize the urgency of minimizing the burden of unnecessary grammatical portions and making grammar subservient to the teaching of literature. If Sanskrit is to be popularized, the idea of Basic Sanskrit will have to be worked out. If, again, practical approach to grammar is to be made in the interest of average pupils, the irrational order

-समासचकम्।

⁽ b) प्रयोगाः पञ्चविधाः । सकर्मकोऽक्रमंकः कर्मणि भावे दिक्रमेकश्चेति भेदात् । सकर्मकप्रयोगो यथा-कृष्णोस्तः भक्तान् रक्षति ॥१॥ अकर्मकप्रयोगो यथा-कृष्णस्तः इति ॥२॥ कर्मणि प्रयोगो यथा-विष्णुना प्रपञ्चः क्रियते ॥३॥ भावे प्रयोगो यथा-कृष्णेन स्थीयते ॥४॥ दिक्रमंकप्रयोगो यथा-यो घरामत्रं दुदोइ ॥५॥

of grammatical portions, as above, will have to be reversed, and an appropriate measure of attention will have to be paid to syntax, verbal derivatives, formation of the first group of conjugations and the ready-made forms of regular nouns and of some verbs of the second group.

Up to this time Apte's Guide to Sanskrit Composition has been prescribed in the school course for the study of syntax; but despite the fact that it is an indispensable guide to Sanskrit teachers in the teaching of syntax, it is beyond the capacity of average pupils in respect of language, treatment and difficult examples from classical literature. Students cannot, therefore, proceed further than understand imperfectly a few first lessons of this book, and are generally left in the dark about Sanskrit syntax and idiomatic use of the language. Another mistaken policy in this respect is to divorce the teaching of syntax through this book from the regular study of literature. Sanskrit teachers may here be well advised to use this book for their own constant reference, and revive their knowledge of Sanskrit idiom and syntax. Instead of teaching this book in the class they may utilize the information contained in it for pointing out syntactical peculiarities in the proper context of literature actually studied by pupils in the class. For introducing 'Sanskrit composition' in schools and colleges on systematic lines, the latter part of this book is a valuable guide. But this part is rarely read either by teachers or by students! In

short, the information in this book should be made subservient to the teaching of Sanskrit literature and composition. The natural result of all the malpractice described above is that pupils are kept away from real literature, and even after the completion of their school course they are unable to tackle the meaning of a normal Sanskrit passage ! The learning of Sanskrit thus defeats its own end! On the other hand, pupils taught by the ' quantity' method, as the Shastris assure, are able to construe by themselves passages of normal style from the पञ्चतन्त्र or the हितोपदेश and from the रामायण and the महामारत, after the intensive study of only one canto from any of the five uprepays. Nay, they can attain as much proficiency in literature (equagi) as a graduate can, only in four years which are ordinarily required for completing the course preliminary to the study of sigs. The point, therefore, that needs reiteration is that the teaching of syntax in the proper context of literature must receive due attention.

SYLLABUS IN SANSKRIT

1

After observing and comparing in detail the courses in different subjects prescribed at present in various पाठशासाड and Sanskrit colleges all over India, we have been able to ascertain that the course in Literature (ज्युलिस and साहित्र) is not stand-

ardized, but varies in point of duration and the relative importance attached in it to grammar. In spite of this difference, it is possible to note in it the common features, which will lead us to standardization.

Generally the course in literature extends over a period varying from four to six years. We can, therefore, safely deduce that approximately five years are quite sufficient for acquiring mastery of the language. In the 'course' of four years' duration the man but is studied for the knowledge of grammar, and it really serves all the practical needs of those who do not want merely to specialize in grammar, but desire to devote their attention to the special study of one of the several gress like the and, the मीमांना or the चेदान्त. If the course is extended to live or six years, the Riginaling is generally studied for the last two or three years, which are required for finishing the major portion of that work. In the four years' course the मध्यकीमदी is generally studied in the second or third year, either simultaneously with literature or after the reading of a fair amount of it for three years. In the course lasting for five or six years the sashari is taught by some Shastris in the second year after the learning of preliminary grammar (स्पावृद्धि, समास्वक, etc.) and a few cantos from a महाकाव्य, the विदान्तकीयुदी being taught in the last two or three years, as indicated above. Here, the ल्युकीमुदी is taught with the view of acquainting the pupil with the Paninian terminology

in a broad outline; but this teaching of the againgt is not prevalent all over India. Many Shastris are inclined to exclude the इन्होन्दी from the six years' course and teach the विदान्तकीयुरी after the study of the umuniques. In any case we find that the study of grammar 'as a science' is mostly not commenced unless pupils have studied a sufficient amount of literature. In some parts of India (Bengal and N. India) setting aside the difficult treatment of the Paninian system in the alegis, simpler grammatical treatises like the कातन्त्र (कलाप), सारस्वत or मुख्योच are widely used, since they are specially designed for those who want to learn grammar as a means to the study of literature. Cases, however, are not rare where pupils could study literature and even spes, only with the minimum of grammatical knowledge of the ready-made forms of nouns and verbs, caserelations (कारक) and compounds (शब्दरूपावित, बातु-रूपावित and समासचक). This clearly indicates that all Shastris were not necessarily वैयाकरणंड (specialists in grammar), even though they were proficient in Literature or other sigs; and this state of things well accounts for the existence of amusing मुमाचित्र purporting the censure " of grammarians by the

 (अ) स्त्रैः पाणिनिनिर्मितैर्बहुतरैर्निष्पाद्य शब्दाविष्ठं वैकुण्डस्तवमक्षमा रचित्तं मिष्याश्रमाः शाब्दिकाः ॥

⁽आ) प्रायः कान्यैर्गमितवयसः पाणिनीयाम्बुरावोः सारशस्याप्यपरिकलितन्वायशास्त्रस्य पुंतः । वादारम्भे वदितुमनसो वाक्यमेकं समायां प्रहा विहा भवति कियती पश्य कंशमवस्थाम् ।

नेपाणिक and गीपांसक, and the refort † of the प्रेवाकरणड to both of them. Those who lay undue stress on the teaching of grammar in English schools should note this fact.

The different types of literature studied in the पाठशासाह are poetry, prose,drama and चम्पृड. In 'poetry' five or six cantos from each of the पञ्चमहासाब्दड (रघुदेश, कुमारसम्भव, किरातार्जुनीय, बिद्युपालवध and नैपघ) are studied; in 'prose' fables and romances like the Ranga, पञ्चतन्त्र, दशकुमारचरित, इपंचरित and कादम्बरी are read; in 'drama' four or five select plays are prescribed. the choice mostly falling on the वेणीसंहार, मुख्डकटिक, शाकुलाल, उत्तररामचरित and मुद्राराञ्चस; and the 'mixed types' of literature like the भारतचापू, विश्वगुणादर्शचापू, etc., which are more difficult than other types, are taught at the end. Thorough understanding of the above representatives of Classical Sanskrit is mostly coupled with appreciation, for which a standard work on poetics like the चन्द्रास्त्रोक, कुवस्त्यानन्द, साहित्यदर्पण or कार्यप्रकाश is studied at the advanced stage. The dangers of narrow specialization are avoided in this literary course by prescribing along with literature (साहित्य) manuals (प्रकरणप्रत्यक) on the न्याय, मीमांसा and बेदान्त as the तर्कसङ्ग्रहदीपिका or सिद्धान्तमुकाविह, अर्थसङ्ग्रह or आपदेवी and बदान्तसार, वेदान्तपरिभाषा or पञ्चदशी

⁽इ) नैयायिका वा ननु शान्दिका वा वयीशिरः मु श्रमशालिनो वा। वादाइवे विश्वति वैमिनीयन्यायोपरोधे सति मौनमुद्राम् ॥ शब्दशास्त्रमनधीला यः पुमान् वक्तुमिच्छति वचः समान्तरे। यन्द्रमिच्छति वने मदोत्कदं हस्तिनं कमलनाष्ट्रतन्तुना ॥

respectively, which maintain the principles of continuity and correlation of studies, and pave the way for specialization in different speas at the higher stage. For the first four or five years this course in literature is common to all pupils alike, and is followed by special courses in speas for four

or five years more.

From the psychological point of view this course is not much faulty except in the case of prober gradation. As literature prominently appeals to senses and emotions, its reading at the lower stages, as designed by the Shastris, is quite suited to the capacities of young pupils. Yet attention must he baid by them to the necessity of prescribing easy books at the elementary stage and approach to more difficult works must be gradual. Here, the Shastris seem to have almost ignored the reading of the प्रमायण and the महामारव which have greatly influenced the whole of the later literature in its ideas and form, and the language of which is more natural, living and suited to the capacities of beginners than that of the latter. Perhaps, the Shastris do not think it desirable to place before their pupils the language of the great epics, as it deviates from the rules of qifig at some places. Such deviations, however, not being rare even in the literature written after पाणिति, there is no adequate reason why selections from the रामायण and the HETHIRG should not be studied in the beginning, before the study of the quartities. Again, in a few cases, the early beginning of the study of grammar as a science makes the curriculum of the पाउद्यालां more logical than psychological, and hence unsuited to the capacities of children; yet this practice is not current everywhere. Broadly speaking, this curriculum in literature is more psychological than logical, and is made more logical only at the advanced stage. It being, moreover, concentrated, there is almost no wastage which is found in the University curriculum. Within the period of four or five years a student of the पारवास masters much more Sanskrit than a gradue ate can within double the time allotted to it in the universities. One thing must be borne in mind here that the pupils of grangers and Sanskrit colleges belong mostly to advanced classes, learn Sanskrit for its own sake, and have to study nothing but Sanskrit throughout their course; whereas English schools and colleges Sanskrit is taught as one among many subjects in the curriculum to the pupils of all classes without any distinction.

Nowadays in quantum and Sanskrit colleges, exclusive use of the 'Lecture Method' is made everywhere in teaching, and the ancient method of quantum of instruction in them is the mother-tongue at the elementary and intermediate stages, and Sanskrit in some cases at the advanced stage. Side by side with Sanskrit explanation at the advanced stage, Sanskrit commentaries of original works are sometimes read in the class, so as to encourage students to read them independently and get their

difficulties solved by self-help. In the teaching of literature clear understanding of the texts is more almed at than the synthetic appreciation of their poetic beauty. Complete memorizing and repeated revision of the books prescribed are the only methods of study adopted by students. Thus the methods of study and teaching are predominantly intensive, though the comparative, critical and historical methods of extensive study are conspicuous by their absence. We must, therefore, admit that there are in the Shastri method a few flaws which will have to be removed for the purpose of making it suit the present conditions. But its merits in other respects, as mentioned above, far outweigh its demerits; and in spite of its flaws it is far superior to the methods of teaching Sanskrit as employed in English schools and colleges. Of course, taking into account the modest position of Sanskrit in the University curriculum, we think that the merits of the Shastri methods can be retained with advantage even in English schools and colleges. The Sanskrit books prescribed for the University examinations are almost the same as mentioned above; yet reform in the methods of teaching Sanskrit is a desideratum.

П

Improvements are, therefore, suggested here in the Sanskrit syllabus for high schools, so as to enable intelligent as well as average pupils to receive the maximum of the benefit of their study of Sanskrit. Up to the Matriculation Examination the

course should be common to all types of pupils alike, and the standard of Sanskrit to be attained by them should be the understanding, with the help of a Sanskrit Dictionary alone, of the type of Sanskrit prose contained in the Raigran, users and a few simpler portions of the suspinsation and signal, of Sanskrit verse in the suspinsation and signal, of Sanskrit verse in the suspinsation and a canto from the suspinsation and of a drama of specific and efforts in schools should, therefore, be concentrated on developing gradually the practice of pupils in construing and understanding themselves passages from literature with the thorough knowledge of as much grammar as is required for understanding literature.

GRAMMAR

The most essential grammatical portions to be mastered are—the declension of regular nouns and pronouns, conjugation in frequent tenses and moods of the verbs of the First Group (1st, 4th, 6th and 10th conjugations) in detail, and of the Second Group in a broad outline; Compounds, case-usages () and a few verbal and nominal derivatives.

^{*} It is worthy of note what Lok, Tilak, the great Sanskrit scholar, writes in a letter addressed to the teacher of his sons about the teaching of Sanskrit, particularly Sanskrit Grammar—

[&]quot;Instead of taking the boys to learn dry rules or difficult portions e.g. frequentative or desiderative, etc., confine to ordinary declensions and simple tenses—like the present, past and futures, imperative and potential. The knowledge of other portions may be more or less accurate: but those

This grammar should generally be taught on inductive lines, the deductive method being resorted to in a few inevitable cases (viz., numerals, declension of nouns ending in consonants, and some conjugations of the second group), where there is an ultimate saving of time and energy. The thorough learning of the ready-made forms of nouns in the शब्दस्यावित may be recommended, since it is the most effective and time-honoured way of learning necessary grammar. In the learning of similar grammatical forms, use of analogy (in the forms of words) may advantageously be made. More stress should be laid on the teaching of caseusages (明末), compounds and syntax than on that of verbs and their formation with the help of rules. We must remember here that Sanskrit literature is distinguished for its Nominal rather than for the Verbal Style. It is, therefore, necessary to

indicated above should be thorough and accurate, so that a student should find no hesitation in answering any question in them. A few rules of ATER (cases Govt.) should be added from Apte's Guide or the Kaumudi. Along with these, I would continue the reading of Hitopadesh-not continuous—but selected portions and learning by heart the important verses therein which improves Sanskrit composition. Care should be taken that whatever the boys know, they know it thoroughly and definitely. It matters not if they omit the complicated portions of Grammar; but they must be able to thoroughly answer the ordinary questions. "

-हो. टिळक यांच्या आठवणी व आस्थायिका, खंड २ रा, ए. २०६-२०९. economize the labour of the datailed teaching of verbs. Frequent conjugations, tenses, moods, verbal derivatives (present, past, future and potential participles) and nominal derivatives with important nominal suffixes may be taught inductively in the proper context of literature, using discretion in differentiating forms for recognition from those for formation. In the examination papers, questions on grammar should consist of exercises in syntax, i.e., exercises in substitution, synthesis, transformation, change of voice and use of grammatical forms in sentences rather than the isolated forms of words.

Here those, who object to the learning of readymade grammatical forms and favour the teaching of their detailed formations with the help of rules, assert that training the pupils in the habit of accounting for the grammatical forms of words met with in the language, awakens thought in them and thus has a high educational value. From the logical point of view all this is well and good only in the case of intelligent and advanced students; but psychological considerations hardly allow us to agree with these people. As modern psychology propounds, the treatment of a subject in the case of beginners or young pupils must be psychological rather than logical, if it should awaken intrinsic interest in the subject and love for it. Undoubtedly, awakening of thought among pupils must always be kept in view by a teacher; yet it ought to be an end rather than a means. Every conscientious teacher

attending to the instincts, individual differences and needs of pupils must bear in mind that thought can be awakened gradually and not prematurely. Although the purely deductive method of teaching the grammatical formation of words might be the only scientific method from the adult point of view, long experience has sufficiently proved it to be laborious and disgusting in the eyes of young pupils. Of course, the learning of readymade grammatical forms is much less laborious and more economical than the formation of words with the help of rules. Again, in the teaching of grammar, the empiric method, which proceeds from the particular to the general, from the concrete to the abstract, or from examples to the rule, and which, if properly organized, develops into the 'Inductive Method', is not only far from being unscientific, but it positively conduces to the pupils' interest, better understanding and gradual awakening of thought.

We are at a loss to understand why the learning of ready-made forms in the equal, the speedy means of learning Sanskrit, should be so much disfavoured by some. If it be supposed that the repetition of words in the equals is mechanical, the same charge can be laid upon abstract grammatical rules which the pupils learn by heart with little understanding. According to the modern principles of language-study a language can be better learnt by use and intelligent repetition than by rules. If this principle is translated into practice, there should be no objection to the learning of ready-

made forms in the aggas. We aver that reputed Shastris are in perfect agreement with us on this point, and that the see quals can very well serve the need of those pupils who want to be early introduced to Sanskrit literature. Moreover, old manuscripts of space quality belonging to the beginning of the 17th centry A. D., are extant and testify to the fact that the practice of teaching ready-made forms of nouns is current among us at least for three hundred years. Again, it is heard that there are Jain manuscripts of शब्दरूपाविष्ठ and बात्रूपाविष्ठ, which are still older than those mentioned above. It may, therefore, be concluded that this practice might not have continued for so long a period, unless it had been of utility and advantage. It may be suggested in passing that while learning by heart the specycliffe, irregular nouns of rare occurrence may safely be dropped.

Some people being led by philological and etymological considerations and influenced by area's principle that nouns in the language are derived from roots, lay undue stress on the teaching of verbs in the 'school course'. But what is the good of carrying average pupils, who want something humanistic rather than formal, through the meandering and bewildering process of the detailed formation of verbs? To enable pupils to account for verbal forms in the light of rules, so as to encourage thought, might possess a high educational value in the opinion of some people; but this is a process involving ratiocination and is suitable only for the

pupils that have reached the conceptual level. We must here admit that some tenses and moods are simpler and more useful than others. Yet it is advisable, for all practical purposes, to observe a clear distinction between detailed formation and recognition, and to acquire mastery over important portions. For instance, the Second Group of Conjugations, Perfect (third person only), Aorist, etc., should be reserved for recognition only, as they are found difficult by average pupils. If, however, the claims of etymology as furthering the cause of the mother-tongue be strongly put forth, the following compromise may be effected.

As the roots of the First Group of Conjugations far outnumber those of the Second Group and are more frequent in literature, they are more important than the latter. The verbs of the 'second group' may be broadly learnt for recognition; yet for some of the verbs of that group, verbs of the

\$ According to the যান্ত্ৰণাত of पाणिनि the number of roots in each of the two groups is as follows:—

First Group		Second Group	
Conj. 1 4 6 10	No. 1035 140 156 410	Conj. 2 3 5 7 8 9	No. 72 24 35 25 10 61
		975- 9	227

Thus the total number of roots in the Sanskrit language is 1968.

'first group' having the same meaning may be used by pupils in their composition. For all these reasons the formation of the verbs of the First Group, which is also comparatively easy, may be advantageously taught in four or five lessons with the help of rules, so as to give pupils the idea that many words in Sanskrit and deep words in the mother-tongue can be derived from Sanskrit roots. It may incidentally be remarked here that the 'first group of conjugations can be taught inductively and in a manner more simplified, organized and synthetic than in that adopted at present. This teaching of the 'first group' will further prepare ground for the teaching of verbal derivatives, which are more important and frequent in Sanskrit than the actual forms of finite verbs. knowledge of the verbal derivatives of the 'first group 'will stand pupils in good stead while forming, from the roots of the 'second group', participles, which may preferably and idiomatically be used by average pupils for the finite verbs of the same. This is one of the reasons why the 'second group' of conjugations should be prescribed for recognition only. Thus, the knowledge of the 'first group' of conjugations might, no doubt, help one to carry on the etymological study of the mother-tongue. Yet, so much etymological aspect to the teaching of grammar is quite sufficient in schools, and intelligent students, if they have any aptitude for etymology or philology, might give it free scope in their 'college course' as well as in later life. But in the case of average pupils, who generally do

not want to bother themselves about this kind of work, the learning of ready-made forms in the भात्रपावलि may be recommended without hesitation. Nobody can expect, even if he wishes, all pupils to turn out philologists under the present system. Why should then the majority of pupils, who are in need of the flesh and blood of ideas in literature be ridden to it, without any distinction, and beforced against their will to test satisfied with the dry bones of formal grammar? If the whole school course in Sanskrit be framed with the view of developing the tendency of pupils to analyse words and derive them from their root-stems, it is bound to be rigid and tiresome, and the pupils, in that measure, being kept away from the reading of literature, are naturally inclined to bid farewell to Sanskrit. Language-learning in the school course, at least, should be synthetic rather than analytic, if it should be interesting and really beneficial.

In order to give a definite idea of what has been said above in general about the teaching of grammar and to enable one to ascertain what portions are quite necessary for the understanding of literature, it is proposed here to set down in a graded manner fixed grammatical portions to be finished in each standard. Though the portion prescribed for Std. III is very small, the procedure to be followed in it is purposely described in detail, so as to remove vagueness as to how one should proceed at the beginner's stage. In the case of the conjugation of the 'second group' and the declension of irregular words, actual lists of important roots and

words, whose ready-made forms should be learnt, are given. Those roots and words are quite sufficient for all practical purposes. Stress on those roots and irregular words and on the third person of the Perfect will, it is hoped, relieve pupils of the drudgery and tedium of learning unessential things. The other more important or less important portions are indicated at their proper places. The portions of grammar to be done in each standard are as follows—

STANDARD III

In order to create a proper attitude towards the subject and to supply a systematic basis for its learning, it is advisable to begin Sanskrit in Std. III of High Schools. The teaching of Sanskrit should start with complete sentences in conversational lessons on familiar topics, through which the pupils will be acquainted with the structure of a Sanskrit For this purpose nouns, pronouns, adjectives, verbs and indeclinables of frequent occurrence will be used gradually as parts of sentences for conversation. The inflexional nature of Sanskrit will be made known by introducing in sentences the forms of words in all funfes in a graded way and by making clear their sitsrelation through actions. The ERE-relation will be the most important fact to be impressed on the minds of pupils and the ready-made forms of 'action'-verbs in the present tense will occupy a modest position in a sentence so as to subserve the gree-relation. Thus the idea of the

structure of a Sanskrit sentence is to be given exclusively through conversational lessons, by introducing gradually several syntactical parts of that sentence. Of course, behind those conversational lessons there will be a graduated grammatical scheme; yet the lessons will be composed and conducted in such a way that the pupils will learn the fundamentals of the language without being conscious of the fact that there is a grammatical scheme behind what they learn. After a fair number of such lessons have been finished, the pupils will be acquainted, bit by bit, with the grammatical scheme underlying them. Here, the function of cases (विमक्तिs) in a Sanskrit sentence is the most important aspect to be taught, and first of all, the functions of the nominative and accusative cases serving the purpose of the subject and the object respectively will be well impressed on the minds of beginners. The pupils' grammatical knowledge of the mother-tongue should here be advantageously utilized for comparison and contrast, since the mother-tongue contains the same number of faufas as Sanskrit, and differs only in respect of the number of agas. Then the ready-made forms of words as already learnt in the conversational lessons will be classified and tabulated, with the help of pupils, according to their different विपृक्तिs and व्यवह. When

^{*} I myself have composed about twenty such conversational lessons, which were conducted in Std. III by B. T. students under my guidance in the S. M. T. T. College, Kolhapur, for four consecutive years with much success.

this will be done, the pupils will realize the utility of learning by heart the ready-made forms in the standard. After such conversational lessons and the explanation of their grammatical (syntactical) scheme, lessons comprising connected descriptions and stories may be begun. The grammatical scheme that will underlie the conversational lessons for beginners will be as follows—

- (a) Nouns—Nouns denoting concrete objects and ending in a (masc. and neuter) and a (femi.) should be taken at this stage. Or, if they are abstract nouns, they should preferably be away words (Sanskrit words used in the mother-tongue). While declining those nouns the principle of analogy may be adopted for pointing out the similarity of forms and thus facilitating memory-work. Nouns should be taught in association with the forms of 传真,
- (b) Pronouns—All the forms of एतर and किए are very useful for conversational purposes; yet the forms of तर्, पर् and सर् in all विभक्तिs can also be taught easily by using the principle of analogy in the case of similar forms. Only very common and useful forms of अस्मर् and सुन्तर may be taught in conversation.
- (c) Adjectives—Common adjectives ending in as may be selected. Pupils may be shown that adjectives in Sanskrit agree with the nouns they qualify in case, gender and number unlike those in the mother-tongue. Here, adjectives in the mother-

tongue may be contrasted with those in Sanskrit. Numerals from one to ten can be taught by actually counting things. Forms of ge, fk, fk, egg in the nominative case and all genders can be used in conversational lessons and easily learnt. Declension of these in the other fants is unnecessary. Adjectives may be taught in association with words like 常知, 宋明明, etc.

- (d) Verbs—As verbs denoting physical actions can be taught by actually performing actions, they may first be introduced. Distinguishing features of different conjugations need not be observed at this stage. The present tense and imperative mood (2nd person only) of the ready-made forms of such verbs may be introduced so as to give a broad idea of verbs. Such verbs may be conjugated by analogy, without entering into the details of formation. The forms of 氧 (present tense and imperative mood) may be used along with the forms of 氧 in questions asked to elicit verbs.
- (e) Indeclinables— The most common ones should be used in lessons. Concrete adverbs can be taught by performing actions and by modulation of voice in conversation. They may again be taught in association with बुद्दा, कुन्न, क्यम्, किम्प्यम्, कुतः etc.

From this grammatical scheme of conversational lessons it need not be supposed that the course for the third standard would be made too much grammatical. In this scheme the formation of verbs has no place. The verb in its ready-made form will occupy in a sentence the modest position of subserving the size-relation of several words which are of different parts of speech. It will, therefore, be found that in a Sanskrit sentence inflected words other than the verb-particularly the subject and the object-will hold a prominent position. For understanding those words and their relations nothing more is required than the simpler aspects of the grammar of the mother-tongue, viz., the knowledge of different parts of speech, fauftes, gender, number and person. Pupils beginning to learn Sanskrit must be presumed to possess at least this much knowledge of the grammar of their mother-tongue. Thus the grammatical scheme set forth here is mainly based upon the fundamentals of the grammar of the mother-tongue. Unless it is ascertained that pupils possess this minimum of the vernacular grammar, Sanskrit cannot be begun well. The grammatical scheme given above is, therefore, not at all beyond the capacity of pupils. What the beginners in Sanskrit have here to do. after the conversational lessons are finished, is simply to classify, tabulate and learn by heart, according to विमस्तिs and वचनड, the forms of words already drilled in lessons. This slight grammatical, or rather syntactical, aspect will prepare a good grounding for the study of Sanskrit. Moreover, all the forms of far and other interrogative words being introduced in conversation, will acquaint the pupils, from the very beginning, with the typical question-forms in Sanskrit. Those questionforms are,according to the खण्डान्वयपद्धति,indispensa-

ble to the methodical development of 'literature' lessons through the medium of Sanskrit; and if they are introduced from the beginning, pupils, instead of giving mechanically Sanskrit answers to Sanskrit questions, will give them with understanding and intelligence. As the beginner's stage in the study of Sanskrit is so very important, things to be taught in Std. III have been given here in detail.

STANDARD IV

- (a) Declension of regular nouns and adjectives ending in vowels, of the pronouns अत्मद, युष्पद्, अदस्, and इदम्, and of the first five numerals.—The शब्दagrafe may be freely used for learning the readymade forms of all of them.
- (b) Conjugation of roots of the 'first group' (1st, 4th, 6th and 10th mus-both the ags) in the present and past (अनवतनभूत) tenses, and in the imperative and potential moods.—This may be taught in detail with the help of rules on inductive lines. The first and the sixth sigs may be taught together for comparison and contrast. Forms of other roots of the same गणड may be learnt from the चात्रसपाविह.
- (c) The passive voice and squas may be taught just after the teaching of the present tense in both the qas.
- (d) Past passive participles (with a), indealingble participles (with ला, य, तुम्)—Past passive participles may be introduced after the teaching of अनुब्रतनभूत, After broadly knowing the rules for their

formation, their ready-made forms may be learnt from the धातुरुपाविहे.

(e) स्वरविषय, विस्तेसिक्य and a few अवस्मिन्य change of म to म्.—सन्य may be begun after the pupils have been able to recognize readily the forms of nouns, verbs and क्रान्य , since the knowledge of the grammatical forms of words is quite essential to the splitting of सन्य . Examples of सन्य may be selected from the 'reader' and rules may be derived inductively from them. It must be remembered here that the knowledge of सन्य is required more for splitting than for making them. Moreover, सन्य are optional in a Sanskrit sentence."

STANDARD V

- (a) Declension of nouns ending in consonants, and of numerals from 5 to 20.—Formation of अवज्ञानन nouns may be taught in a broad outline with the help of व्यञ्जनसन्धिः. After this the ready-made forms of व्यञ्जनान्त nouns may be learnt from the शब्दक्षाविक.
- (b) Conjugation of roots of the 'second group' (2nd, 3rd, 5th, 7th, 8th and 9th ησε).—In the case of all these ησε except the second, formation may optionally be taught; but it may be taught in a broad outline, assigning one lesson to each ησ. In the case of the 2nd ησ, however, no formation should be taught, as it involves many intricacies

संदितकपदे नित्या नित्या घात्पसर्गयोः ।
 नित्या समासे बाक्ये तु सा विवक्षामपेक्षते ॥

baffling the pupils. It may be said in general that the ready-made forms of only the following common roots of the second group may be learnt by pupils from the aggagas.

2nd गण-अद, अस्, आस्, अधि + इ, बाय, दुइ, बू, विद्, श्रास्, शी, स्त and इन्.

3rd गण-दा, भी, भू and हा.

5th राण-आप्, चि, शक्, and अ.

7th गण-छिद्, मुज्, सुज्, and रुष्.

8th गण-क and तन्.

9th गण-की, ग्रह्, ज्ञा and बन्ध्.

- N. B.—The number of these roots may be lessened by dropping those for which roots of the 1st group, having the same meaning, can be used.
- (c) Past active participles, present participles and potential passive participles.
 - (d) gra and drysy compounds; use of at and an.
- (e) Examples of the idiomatic use of surfaces, as they occur in literature, may be collected and their rules may be inductively framed. A small beginning should be made in this respect.

STANDARD VI

(a) Declension of only the following irregular words—पति, सिल, भू, धी, स्त्री, सेनानी, गो, नौ, दुह्, पूषन्, युवन्, पथिन्, विद्रस्, प्राञ्च्, राज्, जाप्तत्, पुंस्, गिर्, अप्, and अहन्.

Ready-made forms of these words may be

learnt from the शब्द ह्यावित.

 (b) सेंट्-अनिट्-बेट् (a broad idea may be given, कारिकाड regarding them need not be learnt); first future (a broad idea should be given); second future and conditional; perfect or quanta (only the third person should be taught, as the remaining two persons are of rare occurrence in literature); important causals.

- (c) कर्मधारय, द्विग, बहनीहि and अञ्चयीमाच compounds.
- (d) Perfect and future participles; other important numerals (particularly, every tenth one); use of ईयस-इष्ठ and तराम्-समाम,
- (e) Idiomatic use of বিশক্তিs should be taught as before in the context of literature.

STANDARD VII

- (a) Aorist (सामान्यम्त), desiderative, denominative, benedictive and frequentative forms (all for recognition only).
 - (b) Common aga affixes and feminine bases.
- (c) Idiomatic use of 同知語s and particles, and other important aspects of syntax. These should be taught in the context of literature as before.

N. B.—It must be noted here that all 'grammar' lessons are to be conducted through the medium of the mother-tongue.

LITERATURE

In the teaching of literature, use of Sanskrit questions and Sanskrit explanation, in the manner of Sanskrit commentaries following the कार्यसम्बद्धि, should be made, the mother-tongue being, of course, freely used for explaining abstruse ideas or linguistically difficult portions, and for the teaching of grammar. Students' comprehension of Sanskrit texts may be tested in the examination by asking

them partially to translate into the mother-tongue and partially to paraphrase in Sanskrit like the Sanskrit commentaries (at the advanced stage only).

MEMORIZING

Good and loud reading of connected passages from literature should be encouraged from the beginning; and select passages from literature should be got learnt by heart, so that assimilation of language, would be facilitated and vocabulary be fixed. It must be remembered here that for acquiring command over a language the intelligent memorizing or 'learning by heart' of select passages (prose and poetry) from literature is one of the best means.* The present educational policy of discouraging any kind of memorizing is certainly not wise. Although unintelligent memorizing may be discouraged, there is no plausible reason why intelligent memorizing may not be resorted to as a method of study. In this case, students must be led to know what things should be learnt by heart. They may be encouraged to memorize connected passages rather than disconnected, lengthy wordlists. The mastery of Sanskrit can be acquired more easily by this intelligent memorizing than by 'Translation into Sanskrit', which is an up-hill task for a majority of pupils.

^{*} It is worthy of note what I-tsing, a Chinese traveller of the 7th century A. D., says about the Indian method of memorizing—

[&]quot;In India there are two traditional ways by which one can attain to great intellectual power. Firstly, by repeatedly

TRANSLATION INTO SANSKRIT

This translation, which is rarely attempted in the examination by pupils for want of sufficient knowledge of Sanskrit vocabulary, idiom and syntax, which results in the mechanical process of putting word for word, and which did not at all find its place in the Shastri method, should be dropped. If the University, with the purpose of testing the students' knowledge of Sanskrit, still requires them to translate into Sanskrit, this translation should not be taught until the pupils have mastered a sufficient amount of Sanskrit vocabulary and syntax. It should be taught only during the last two years of the school stage on the following approved lines:—

In the beginning, an original and connected Sanskrit passage suiting the capacity of pupils may be translated by the teacher into the mother-tongue or English and may be given for re-translation into Sanskrit. The pupils may read this English or vernacular passage silently once or twice for catching its general drift. Two or three questions in Sanskrit may be asked by the teacher to prepare ground and ascertain whether the pupils have

committing to memory the intellect is developed; secondly the alphabet fixes one's ideas. By this way, after a practice of ten days or a month, a student feels his thoughts rise like a fountain, and can commit to memory whatever he has once heard [not requiring to be told twice]. This is far from being a myth, for I myself have met such men."

- A Record of the Buddhist Religion.

understood the general drift. Pupils may then be asked to translate the passage mentally for a short time; and while they are doing so, a few Sanskrit equivalents or phrases may be supplied by the teacher at the places where the pupils cannot use proper expressions. Here, the difficulties of pupils must be anticipated by the teacher and solved then and there. After this mental translation the pupils may be led to make a co-operative effort to translate the passage orally. The teacher should now guide the pupils and conduct detailed oral discussion in the mother-tongue on various aspects. Each sentence will now be attempted in the light of the general sense. This oral discussion would comprise the use of particular idioms and compounds, synthesis of clauses, various ways of expressing the same idea, use of participles, particles, passive voice, the locative or genitive absolutes, etc. In this way all important aspects of Sanskrit syntax may be discussed in the class. This oral discussion will be followed by the writing of translation in a connected form by pupils under the supervision of the teacher. Or the teacher should write the translation on the black-board, the pupils supplying sentences of their own one after another. After the translation of the whole passage is finished, the teacher should present the original Sanskrit passage for comparison. In this comparison better expressions of the original will be noted by pupils. Thus two or three periods may be devoted to the translation of each passage. A few such exercises would give pupils insight into the art of translationIt would be better if the teacher would in the beginning select for re-translation a Sanskrit passage already studied by pupils in the text. This would induce pupils to assimilate the language of the text. After sufficient practice in this retranslation, independent passages in the mother-tongue or English may be given for translation into Sanskrit. Here also oral discussion will play an important part as before.

SANSKRIT COMPOSITION

In the place of Translation into Sanskrit, Sanskrit composition, imitative of the textual language and helping its proper assimilation should be beneficially introduced in schools. This composition in the school stage should be of the nature of exercises reproductive of the passages studied in the text and should mainly consist of descriptions, stories, summaries and expansion of ideas in सुभाषितं in a graded form. Use of Sanskrit questions should amply be made for the oral development of the theme, and several pupils should be made to repeat correct answers so as to facilitate their 'written' composition, which would naturally follow detailed oral discussion. At the elementary stage suitable pictures may be used as aids to the oral development of the theme. In colleges free or original composition may be attempted. People, who have never tried such exercises, can have no idea as to how it is simpler than Translation into Sanskrit. more conducive to the learning of idiomatic language, and a sure test of the pupil's knowledge of Sanskrit. Of course, in examinations, answers to questions on composition will have to be written in Sanskrit. Even if we suppose that students will, as usual, cram such Sanskrit material ready-made from their examination guides, it will ultimately be beneficial and not harmful, since they will learn by heart so much of connected Sankrit matter.

Reform in the Sanskrit syllabus for schools should thus be effected, so that intelligent and average students would both profit by it, would retain the knowledge of Sanskrit, though limited, as the surrender value of their school course, and would use that knowledge for advanced study at home or in colleges.

III

Here, some enthusiasts assert that the teaching of Sanskrit up to the Matriculation Examination will have thus to be adapted to the needs of average pupils, and hence this low standard of the knowledge of Sanskrit will be detrimental to the production of great Sanskrit scholars with genuine 'Classical spark'. They further add that this low standard of Sanskrit will be quite inadequate to the higher Sanskrit studies to be continued in colleges.

The upholders of this view, being taught Sanskrit by the Grammar-translation Method, which is now out of date in Europe, are generally ignorant of the efficacy of the Shastri method even under changing conditions. They, moreover, profess to maintain progressive spirit by advocating the historical, critical and comparative methods or the Sanskrit studies. They, however, are so conservative in their attitude towards the methods of juvenile teaching, that they betray their ignorance of the natural and psychological methods of teaching classical languages, as recently developed in Europe! They are further found to emphasize the use of the English medium in Sanskrit studies! It is inconceivable, under these circumstances, how great Sanskrit scholars with genuine 'classical spark' are to be produced, when the predominance of the English medium is still to be continued at the sacrifice of the intensive study of original Sanskrit works!

Really, the standard of Sanskrit set up above in the syllabus for the Matriculation Examination need not be considered low, if a matriculate, who has undergone that course, would be able to understand by self-help verses from the रामायण and महाभारत, or prose passages of the type of the पञ्चतन्त्र, हितोपदेश, etc. If this level would really be reached, it would be a sound preparation for the higher study of Sanskrit in the college stage. To be true to facts we cannot help remarking that even this level is not reached by the matriculates of the present day, more time being devoted to obscurities in grammar than to the practice of reading and understanding literature. This undue stress on unnecessary grammatical portions dissipates the energy of pupils in attending to non-essential things, obstructs them from mastering even the minimum of the most

essential portions of grammar, and keeps them ignorant of even the basic facts I People, who have no perspective of the things that can and must be known in the school stage, who do not take into account the secondary place and short time allotted to Sanskrit, who have no definite idea of the standard of Sanskrit to be reached in the school course, and who have vague notions about the aim of Sanskrif teaching and the chief points to be more stressed than others for achieving that aim, are bound to take a low view of the syllabus given above. But, if definite views about the problem of Sanskrit teaching ought to be formed, a definite standard of Sanskrit to be reached in the school stage ought to be set up; and thoughtful persons will, of course, agree to our proposed syllabus. It is futile to set up, only in imagination, a high standard, which has never been reached nor can be reached in actuality by average students; and it is certainly criminal to concentrate one's energy only upon intelligent students at the expense of so many average ones, the 'surrender value' of whose study of Sanskrit is negligible under the present circumstances. The Problem of Sanskrit Teaching is concerned more with average pupils than with intelligent ones, and we here seek to popularize the study of Sanskrit by searching for more interesting, more intensive and more economical ways and means of learning it within the allotted period. If need be, individual study or special coaching may be resorted to by intelligent students desiring to be

specialists. But in schools as well as in colleges methods of 'group teaching' being more important than those of individual study, it is highly imperative under the existing order to adopt the former ones for supplying the needs of average students. If the aforesaid standard of Sanskrit will be actually reached by average pupils along with intelligent ones, then and then only the aim of Sanskrit teaching in the school stage will have been achieved. The mistake usually committed in setting up a high standard is that of generalizing from the specialist's point of view about average students also, and of presuming what is easy for intelligent students to be equally so for average ones. This mistake is the sole cause of making Sanskrit a detested and unpopular subject.

We shall, however, set aside the case of so many average students, who somehow pass their Matriculation Examination without developing their power to understand a normal Sanskrit passage in prose or verse and discontinue their higher education, being destitute of the joy of Sanskrit literature. We shall now see how higher Sanskrit studies are conducted in Colleges. The Indian Universities resting mostly on the European methods of extensive study have given undue prominence, as indicated above, to the medium of English in Sanskrit studies, though in the school stage the mother-tongue can now be used as the medium of instruction. It is no use denying the fact that this foreign medium has given a serious set-back to

real Sanskrit scholarship or to higher Sanskrit studies.\$In order to meet the University requirements Sanskrit text-books with English notes, English translations and English introductions are being supplied in plenty, with the inevitable result that students acquit themselves well in the University examinations by simply reading this English material to the exclusion of the study of original texts. Even if we suppose that Sanstrit texts are read, the attention of students is directed more to the sum and substance than to the detailed study of the original. Thus the intensive study of Sanskrit fexts being thrown overboard, sense for the subtle suggestion and beauty of Sanskrit expression is rarely developed among students. Needless to add here that Sanskrit commentaries, which many times illuminate one on important points and help one to enter into the spirit of the original, are rarely read. Why should partial use of the Sanskrit medium be not made in Arts Colleges for the explanation of Sanskrit Texts, so as to encourage the

^{\$ &}quot;In this [British] system of education the 'place of prominence is naturally assigned to the study of English Literature and of European Science and Philosophy, the medium of instruction for which was English. Indian Classical languages and Indian Vernaculars were admitted only to a secondary place in the curriculum; and as..... the medium of instruction even for these Indian languages was required to be English, it is easily conceivable that not much progress in the higher Sanskritic studies was normally possible under the system."

⁻ Dr. S. K. Belvalkar-Poona Sanskrit College : Prospectus.

atudy of Sanskrit commentaries among students? Those who know the psychological principle that oral use of a language makes for its unconscious assimilation and develops a proper attitude of students towards learning it, and those who have witnessed some reputed Pandits effectively using the Sanskrit medium in Arts Colleges, will realize the importance of this point. But in the eyes of many educated people, to use the Sanskrit medium for Sanskrit teaching even in the higher studies is 'to flog a dead horse'!

In the Sanskrit examinations of the University those who know English more than Sanskril are found to gain an advantage over those who know Sanskrit more than English. Students are generally expected to know external facts about a text book rather than the text itself. Loud and good reading in Sanskrit is scarcely attended to from the lowest to the highest stage; and it is only occasionally that one comes across even University graduates who can read aloud a Sanskrit passage with confidence, flawless pronunciation and fluency. There is very little scope for original Sanskrit composition, and the translation of unseen passages in examination papers, if it can be called 'composition' at all, is rarely attempted by a majority of students thinking it to be a hard nut to crack.

The study of grammar as a science, which may advantageously be commenced in colleges rather than in schools, is scarcely cared for in the higher studies, though the University of Bombay has

recently prescribed three gards from the fearer-कीमरी, viz., संज्ञा, परिमाधा and कारक for the B. A. (Hons.) Examination. As the wiregers is very useful for the intelligent reading of Sanskrit literature and for correct Sanskrit composition, there is much propriety in prescribing it. There is also propriety in prescribing the संज्ञायकरण, as it serves the purpose of an introduction to the whole grammatical science, though it contains a few gas, the full implications of which cannot be understood by students, unless they have read the major portion of the विदान्तकीयरी. But there is no propriety in prescribing the परिभाषाप्रकरण, for the understanding of which many ans from the portion not prescribed have to be quoted with examples; and even though such unknown gas are quoted and explained in detail, it is natural for the students not to understand them perfectly and be somewhat bewildered. परिभाषाड are the rules of interpretation having a wider application to many unknown ags. It can, therefore, be affirmed that they cannot be clearly comprehended, unless the students have gone through the major portion of the सिद्धान्तकीमदी. point ought to have been considered well before the परिभाषात्रकाण was prescribed. Moreover, in prescribing nothing of grammar during the first two years of college education and in abruptly prescribing three प्रकरणंड from the सिद्धान्तकीमुदी for the B. A. Examination, there is no gradation or continuity of any kind. We think that students would be more benefited, if the

लघुकोसूरी or the मध्यकोसूरी would be prescribed continuously from the beginning of the college course up to the B. A. Examination, and would be finished by instalments in four years. Of course, परिमाधाड occur in the लघुकोसूरी or मध्यकोसूरी also; yet they can be studied there in the proper context of the सुब्र to which they apply. Hence the students are expected to understand them at their proper places.

From all that has been said above it will be found that the study of grammar is, on the whole, neglected in the college course. It is not then astonishing that many students are not found to possess an accurate knowledge of the structure and idiom of the Sanskrit language. Thus the University graduates can supply a fund of information about Sanskrit literature, even though they have a little knowledge of Sanskrit itself | A strange convention has been established at the university examinations that answers to all questions except the one for Translation into Sanskrit' have to be written in English ! Why should students be not expected to answer a few questions in Sanskrit composition and not asked to write their own Sanskrit commentary on the portions in the text, in imitation of reputed commentators? Why should thus the study of Sanskrit commentaries along with original texts be not encouraged to raise the standard of real Sanskrit scholarship? But without fully judging how Sanskrit composition is easier than 'Translation into Sanskrit,' and how the Sanskrit medium is more natural. congenial and akin to the mother-tongue than

English, every such reform in Sanskrit teaching is thought to be ambitious and unnatural.

At the beginning the intentions of the Indian Universities in framing the higher Sanskrit courses were to train students in the Western methods of extensive study, otherwise named-"the critical, historical and comparative methods." The students were expected to apply those methods to Oriental learning and become research scholars. It must be remembered here that the number of such scholars is bound to be very small, and that the majority of students are more interested in the appreciation of the beauties of Sanskrit literature than in research work. The date and history of a particular author, the sources and literary merits of his work, the relative place and value of that author in the whole literature, the propriety of various readings in the text, the discrimination between the true and false statements of that author, the comparison of the views of different authors, and the interpretations supplied by different commentators-are the chief topics of study, on which questions are usually asked at the university examinations. There is nothing to find fault with them except the fact that undue importance is attached to them in examinations and that the details of those topics are supplied in colleges through ready-made English notes without doing full justice to the Sanskrit texts. This is principally the training in methods rather than the learning of the language and the subject-matter of text-books. Even in the case of the subject-matter, students aer

more acquainted with the views and interpretations of Western scholars than with the traditional Hindu outlook, which is generally discarded as narrow and irrational. Judicious use of the views and criticism of Western scholars must, of course, be made to keep oneself abreast of them and to have a wider outlook of things. But as the case stands, it seems that the detailed and exact knowledge of Sanskrit, as is possessed by the Shastris, is not supposed to be necessary for carrying on chronological researches in Sanskrit literature. The research scholars who are more equipped with the historical, critical and comparative outlook of things than with the Sanskrit language judge what Shastric works or authors of a particular period would be useful for their research in a particular branch, and the Shastris are asked to explain the definite portions of those works, calculated to be important, to the research scholars, who afterwards build their edifice on all the facts collected. This tendency is, perhaps, due to the importance attached more to the chronology of Sanskrit literature than to the other aspects of its study in the first three or four decades of Oriental research. The natural result of this undue stress on the Western methods of extensive study is the negligence of the Oriental methods of intensive study. This fact leads the research scholars to seek the substantial help of the Hindu Pandits, whose intensive study of original Sanskrit works ever enables them to elucidate the niceties of original texts. But for the aid of the Shastris \$ it would almost be impossible for the research scholars to understand difficult Sanskrit texts and to collect the raw material or data of their research work, which passes for 'original work' simply on account of the English medium employed. It is, however, a great pity that the humble Shastris are rarely credited with this research work, only because they are usually taken to be bigoted, ignorant of modern critical methods and hence unable to reach generalizations of wider application. The modern research scholars thus get wide publicity, while the poor Shastris, who help them in solving textual difficulties and supplying material from various original sources, have to remain in obscurity!

With due deference to the modern methods of critical and historical research and with due credit to the valuable dictionaries, indexes, catalogues of MSS., principles of textual criticism, deciphering of

^{\$ (}a) "They (the Shastris) have a depth of knowledge, which a modern scholar does not possess. They study one subject, go deeply into it, and can give substantial help to modern scholars."

—Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar.

⁽b) "Any modern Savant will admit that but for the Pundit his own achievements would have been impossible,"

—Dr. Ganganath Jha.

⁽c) "From a purely scientific point of view, it is desirable to preserve living specimens of the traditional system of learning, as long as possible, as they are of distinct interest and can help us to understand many points, which will become real puzzles, when once the tradition is lost."

inscriptions, synthetic literary criticism, etc.,—the modern aids to research—it can be asserted that

(d) "How often have I heard Buhler speak most appreciatively of the Pandits who helped him in his Sanskrit researches I He often said that it was quite impossible for a Western scholar to enter into the spirit of the Shastras, more especially the grammatical and the philosophical treatises, without the help of a Pandit."

-M. Winternitz-Poona Sanskrit College: Prospectus.

(e) "Sanskrit literature, apart from the Vedas, may be roughly divided into the philosophic and scientific portion on the one side, and the poetical and dramatic on the other. A to the latter, I make bold to say, that in the understanding and appreciation of it native scholars can derive almost no help from European scholars... As to the former class, I challenge any European, who has not himself studied, say, the Vedanta-Bhashya, or the Siddhanta Muktavali, or even the Kaumudi under a native Shastri, either to teach it satisfactorily to native students, or even to stand an examination in it himself. In truth, it is notorious that the 'Eminent Orientalists' themselves learnt the greater and more important portion of what they know of Sanskrit in our country and under our scholars. Dr. Buhler knew nothing of Dharma Shastra until he studied it with the late Vinayaka Shastri Divekar, and Dr. Kielhorn knew nothing of the Vyakarana Shastra until he learnt it under the late Anant Shastri Pendharkar ... But this I do say, that for a real appreciation of our Kalidasas and Bhavabhutis, and for a real understanding of our Nyaya and Vedanta and Vyakarana, neither England nor Germany can suffice. You may learn the Rigveda in Europe and America; and I think, Indeed, that a European or American scholar has a considerable advantage over us in that department of learning. But as regards our non-Vedic

sanskrit scholarship.† In the first place, the intensive study of Sanskrit has been shelved and a sceptical attitude towards Sanskrit language and literature has been formed. A mischievous tendency, therefore, that is rampant among many educated Indians is to pin their faith on what is Western and to take at a discount what is Oriental!\$ Some Westernized scholars, who pride themselves upon their modern methods, hold the Shastris, the

literature, we entirely deny the superiority claimed for, not by, our European brethren. And I would add this, too, that as regards our classical literature, the greatest purely European names must 'pale their ineffectual fires' before those of the scholars who have studied Sanskrit under our old Shastris."

—Justice K, T. Telang.

ं प्रत्यहं विस्तारमुपगच्छत्मु शास्त्रार्थविषवेषु समुपनायमानतया शब्दार्थ-विशेषबाहुत्यस्य, मर्यादिततया च मानुषस्य मनसो घारणाशकेर्बुदेश्च विषयाकलनशकेर्यथा यथा वृद्धि समुपयान्ति शास्त्रार्थविषयास्तथा तथा प्रगुणीभवति प्रयोजनमपि कोशादिश्वन्थानाम् । शास्त्रग्रन्थोपस्थिति प्रति प्रतिदिनमधिकतरं वायमानोनादरोपि बद्धादरं कोशादिकपर्यालोचने कुरुते जनमित्यपि प्रत्यक्षीकुमेंहे । —म. म. अन्यक्करोपाह्—बासुदेवशास्त्रिणः—

(न्यायकोशोपोद्धातः)

\$"The belittling of the value of the traditional Shastric learning which was commenced by the classic tirade of Macaulay, and continued by the writings of more than one missionary, was soon taken up by a generation of ardent reformers' who, by practice and precept, did not a little to bring the traditional learning into disrepute."

Dr. S. K. Belvalkar— Poona Sanskrit College: Prospectus, repositories of Oriental learning, in low estimation. and are indirectly helping the gradual degeneration of real Sanskrit scholarship and precious Shastric traditions. This tendency has nowadays been turned into the policy of rarely appointing Shastris for teaching Sanskrit in schools and colleges, and of depriving them of the Government and public support. It need not be supposed here that all Shastris at present are of the same high calibre es before. But how can the Shastris be expected to maintain a high calibre under disheartening circumstances? How can the deceneration of the Shastris be stopped, unless the attitude of wilful negligence be changed, and unless they appointed to Government posts? A wide gulf of ill-feeling and distrust thus lies between the modern scholars and the public on the one hand and the Shastris on the other.

Those people, who know how for rejuvenating Shastric learning, proposals were made forty years ago for the establishment of a Government Sanskrit College at Poona and a branch of Oriental studies in the Bombay University, and who also remember how they went to airy nothing on the pretext of the inability of the Shastris to conduct original research work without a sufficient knowledge of English, will understand the attitude of the Westernized Indians towards Shastris and Sanskrit learning. A person with a probing eye can easily discern how many 'original' research scholars trained in Western methods have substantially contributed to Oriental learning and why the Shastris are incapacitated for

any kind of original work! While the Oriental faculties have already been instituted in other Universities and while Government Sanskrit Colleges already exist in other provinces, the apathy shown by people in the province of Bombay to Sanskrit studies is certainly not creditable. Why would Sanskrit be not called 'a dead language', when we thus willingly let it die?

Although the modern critical methods have been useful in the historical and philological research, they have proved insufficient for the intelligent and unbiassed grasp of the spirit of Hindu culture, to understand which the analytico-synthetic or intensive methods developed in ancient India are most useful. § Again, all the results of the

^{§ &}quot;It is in the nature of things that we in the West must approach the problems connected with Indian civilization by means of modern Western methods. And for our purposes such methods are excellent, as long as our aim is to carry on an historical or comparative study. But they often prove defective when we want to grasp the depth of Indian thought and Indian mentality. The picture is often coloured by western notions To the historical scholar it is necessary to analyse, to systematise and to compare, and to create exact methods. But every ancient civilization comprises a substratum which we cannot grasp by mere reasoning. We must feel it and we must 'see' it. It seems to me that only the old-fashioned S'astrin here really can help us, we may be Indians or Europeans What we really want is the S'astrin who is able to tell us how the ancient leaders of Indian civilization thought and approached the problems." -Dr. Sten Konow-Poona Sanskrit College: Prospectus.

modern research work being published through the English medium are almost lost to the Sanskritists ignorant of English. In the matter of chronology, there has been, as it were, a tug of war between the Western and Hindu scholars, the former trying to establish that a particular Sanskrit author flourished after Christ, and the latter wasting their energy in refuting the arguments of the former, or bestowing a remote antiquity upon that author I When the proper perspective of the research scholars themselves is lost in this overwhelming mass of literature, people interested in the reading and enjoyment of Sanskrit literature and in the appreciation of the literary and cultural value of original Sanskrit works are bound to be bewildered.

Let it not be misunderstood that the Western methods of research are here being underestimated, and that the defects of the Shastris are being connived at. We are fully conscious of the conservative tendency of the Shastris. This tendency, though it prompts them to preserve our righ cultural heritage, stops them from maintaining progress, and from acquiring adaptability to changing times and the spirit of toleration. Moreover, even though they are equipped more or less with the critical and comparative outlook, on account of their study of logic and allied sugs, yet it must be admitted that they have neither the historical sense in them nor interest in chronology. They are more interested in the minute analysis of literary problems than in their synthesis, though in philosophi-

cal matters they can establish a wider synthesis, and can exhibit a comparative outlook and comprehensive view of life. Removing, therefore, these defects and warding off the danger of the Western methods, as indicated above, they must accept the good contained in them, and learn to arrive at wider conclusions, as scientifically as the European scholars do, in the matter of the different branches of knowledge, such as, history, sociology, textual criticism, synthetic literary criticism, comparative philology, interpretations of the Vedas, etc., though they need not concern themselves much with questions of chronology for want of aptitude. When they can devote their lives to the mastery of abstruse syss, it is certainly not beyond their capacity to master and employ these Western methods of extensive study in their own writings. For this purpose it is highly essential that treatises putting together in a nutshell the principles of those methods should be written by Hindu scholars in Sanskrit as well as in the mother-tongue. Furthermore, concentrated and long-continued efforts of studying only ब्याहरण (one of the six बेटाजुड) divert the Shastris from the main study of the Vedas; and their perspective is lost in mistaking the means for the end. Let it not be forgotten that Pandits knowing the essentials of important sness and establishing their correlation are as much necessary as those well-versed in one mug only. Let it still be remembered that the knowledge of the Western methods of extensive study will be of no avail without the intensive study of original Sanskrit

works. In short, a happy combination of the intensive and extensive methods of study is a desideratum; and Sanskrit scholars, who, with the profound study of ancient Sanskrit works and the intelligent use of the modern critical methods, are able to wield the weapon of the Sanskrit language, are overdue. and of the control of

INDEX: A

Abhyankar Vasudeoshastri (MM.) 654.

Absolutes, Genitive & Locative, 219, 223, 604, 610, 640. Accents, 547, 548, 557.

Adjectives, 343, 347, 352, 412, 414, 554, 555, 564, 566, 581, 629, 631, 632, 634.

Aesthetic experience, 108, 109, 140-143, 156, 333-335, 339, 340, 484, 512-530, 537, 599; Psychological stages in, 521-525,

Affection (Feeling), 98, 127, 128, 136, 138, 140, 156, 158, 481-483, 525-527, 529, 600, 601.

Allusions and quotations, 20, 32, 40, 42, 50, 68-70, 597, 598, 606.

Analogy, 116, 160, 343, 622, 631, 632,

Analysis, 105, 123, 157-164, 170, 185-190, 199, 208, 209, 211, 237-239, 247, 308-311, 322, 332-335, 340, 376, 385, 404, 405, 422, 432, 454, 535, 545, 581-583, 592, 595, 598, 601, 628, 656, 657.

Analytico synthetic Method (Process), 105, 157, 159-163 244, 282, 306, 308, 310, 312, 336, 376, 405, 422, 423, 426, 454, 531, 532, 534, 535, 595, 600, 601, 656.

Answers, 358, 359, 576, 578, 579, 581, 584-588, 605, 606, 641, 542; mechanical, 586-588, 634; Repetition of, 593, 641.

Apperception, 428, 580.

Application, 33, 163, 564, 580, 591;—Exercises 562, 608-611, 641; Substitution tables 610.

Appreciation and understanding, 333-340, 366, 433, 512, 520, 521, 523-525, 532, 536-539, 577, 578, 584, 588, 598-600, 606, 611, 617, 620, 621, 650, 653, 657.

Articulation, 189, 342, 555-557, 565, 584

Arts, Fine, 109, 520 Useful; 110, 130.

Assimilation (subconsolous), 23, 119, 371, 377, 395, 401, 406, 555, 556, 566, 584, 591, 593, 594, 596, 598 638, 641, 647.

Association (mental), 40, 175, 210, 211, 264, 380, 382, 396, 408, 425—428, 443, 458, 459, 466-468, 476, 477, 481, 564, 565, 567, 570, 581, 585, 591, 595, 631, 632,

Attention, 96, 97, 99, 581.

Belvalkar, Dr. S. K., 226-228, 646, 654.

Ben Jonson, 340.

Bhandarkar, Dr. R. G., 2, 3, 9, 58, 116, 224, 225, 227, 323, 333, 652.

Briskness, 566, 577, 593,

Buhler, Dr., 653.

Capacity of a student, 122, 293, 303, 310, 315, 357, 391, 569, 570, 573, 582, 591, 608, 618, 619, 633, 639; spontaneous, 63, 119, 395, 401, 405, 406, 566; studial, 119, 395, 402, 405, 406.

Categories, 124, 155, 167, 169-171, 185, 200-202, 238-243, 313, 316, 452, 455, 531.

Causal Relation, 97, 124, 141, 142, 155, 160, 162, 163, 171-173, 175, 177-180, 182, 200, 202, 243, 248, 296-298, 322, 325, 327, 380, 384, 447, 448, 468, 472, 473, 513, 519, 520, 531, 582-584, 594.

Cause, 171; efficient, 172, 296; intimate, 172; material, 173, 296; non-intimate, 172; causality of Brahman 294, 295.

Central theme, idea or problem, 577, 578, 580-583, 595, 598, 601.

Chronology, 48, 49, 319, 320, 322-327, 330, 331, 334-650, 651, 657, 658.

Classicists, 94-112.

Classification, 153, 158, 167, 170, 171, 194, 243, 246 282, 531.

Cognition (Thinking), 127, 128, 140, 155-158, 167, 389 390, 418, 419, 427, 437, 438, 441, 449, 450, 452 455, 481, 516, 522, 525, 527, 529; collective 437-439

Coleridge S. T. 527, 529.

Commentaries (Sanskrit), 23, 29, 32, 42, 43, 48, 64-80, 86, 88, 92, 116, 166, 184, 185, 187, 205, 285, 335, 338, 339, 352, 361—365, 371, 375, 391, 395, 398, 492, 403, 454, 531, 534, 542, 561, 579, 586, 589, 590, 619, 637, 638, 646, 647, 649, 650.

Communication, 380, 424, 428, 449, 452, 456, 457, 48 0 482, 494, 497, 498.

Comparison, 392, 422, 597, 598, 640.

Comparative Method, 14, 15, 48, 77, 78, 95, 283-286, 302, 315, 316, 325, 326, 328, 331, 332, 531,535, 537, 540, 541, 543, 544, 620, 642, 643, 650, 651, 656, 657; in Poetry 48; & Shastris, 286.

Composition (Sanskrit), 6, 10, 213, 215, 366, 405, 613, 614, 622, 641, 642, 647, 649, 653; Descriptions 641; Expansion of ideas, 641; Stories 641, Summary, 641.

Compounds, 32, 41, 57, 71-73, 87, 88, 117, 118, 219, 222, 224, 235, 341, 359, 360, 367-370, 547, 548, 553-555, 557, 562, 569, 605-607, 611, 616, 621, 622, 636, 637, 640.

Comprehension, 593, 605; of a sentence 437.

Conation (Willing), 127-131, 138, 140, 156, 158, 482-526, 527, 529.

Concept, 158, 159, 387, 388, 425-428; Conceptual aspect, 421-424; Conceptual level, 626.

Concreteness, 18, 103, 157, 210, 423-425, 428, 433, 528, 564, 567, 571, 583, 594, 597, 606, 631, 632.

Concrete devices, (Pictures, etc.), 21, 293, 336, 358, 425-428, 565, 567, 570, 597.

- Configuration, 201, 413 415-417, 425.
- Conjugation (verbs), 220, 405, 610, 612, 613, 621, 622, 632, 636, 637; of the 1st group, 621, 626-628, 634, 636; of the 2nd group, 621, 622, 626-628, 635, 636.
- Context, 64, 119, 245, 246, 259, 260, 367, 371, 372, 393, 396, 398, 399, 402, 425-427, 433, 446-448, 450, 451, 453, 455, 457, 460, 463, 493, 496, 499, 501, 505, 510, 562, 564, 565, 571, 586, 591, 602, 601, 611-614, 623, 637, 649.
- Conversational (Direct) Method, 2, 3, 18-20, 21 24, 26-28, 41, 60, 62, 63, 70, 88, 113, 119, 211, 358, 364-366, 372, 373, 375, 394, 396, 397, 401, 402, 405, 406, 414, 428, 542, 565, 570; First lessons according to, 115, 629-633; Limitations of, 373.
- Correlation of Studies (knowledge), 98, 133, 284, 285, 325, 400, 403, 449, 530, 533, 618, 658,
- Critical Method (Scientific), 14, 15, 48, 77, 78, 159, 160, 173, 181, 182, 283, 284, 321-323, 326, 331, 332, 334, 533, 620, 642, 650-652, 656, 657, 659.
- Criticism, 156, 516, 529, 530, 532, 651; Synthetic literary, 334, 335, 338-340, 484, 537, 653, 658; textual, 327, 650, 652, 658.
- Critics, literary, 529; Sanskrit, 521, 530, 537; Western, 528, 530, 537.
- Culture, 1, 19, 21, 94, 103, 107, 108, 319, 320, 323, 325, 326, 328, 542, 559, 598, 656, 657.
- Curriculum, 6, 10, 93, 94, 106-108, 619; ancient, 284; in Pathashalas and Sanskrit Colleges 614-620,
- Declension, 218, 405, 610, 612, 621, 622, 628, 631, 632, 634-636
- Deduction, 125, 157, 160-162, 167, 178, 180, 202, 203, 205, 206, 210, 240, 241, 532, 622, 624.
- Deductive-inductive process, 125, 158, 160, 167, 173, 202, 203, 205, 206, 210, 240, 533.

Definition, 125, 153, 167, 169, 201, 296, 373, 531, 591, Descartes, Rules of method, 163-164.

Dialectic Method (Dialogue Method or Question-and-Answer Method), 70, 73, 76, 88, 165, 166, 186, 205, 224, 238, 250, 357, 358, 362-364, 366, 374, 406, 588, 592, 593; Dialogues of Plato 166.

Dictionary, 59, 63, 73, 90, 91, 104, 114, 119, 120, 190, 371, 372, 391, 395, 398, 402, 478, 492, 621, 652,654, Direct bond, 119, 210, 371, 373, 392, 395, 426, 538,

S65.

Discipline (intellectual or mental), 96, 98, 99, 326, 328, 330; historical, 326, 327.

Divekar, Vinayakshastri, 653.

Drama, 46, 135-140, 144-151, 153, 512, 515, 520-525 545-547, 617, 621, 653.

Dumville, B., 581.

Education, 118, 126-133, 135, 155, 164, 327, 378, 532, 535, 540, 541, 543, 544; alm of 127; Personality, 156; Realization of self, 127, 128, 135.

Emotions, 136, 139-143, 481, 482, 486-488, 492, 506, 507, 511-513, 516, 518, 519, 521, 525, 526, 528, 536-538, 545, 549, 553, 583, 596, 599-601, 618.

Emphasis, 39, 342, 552, 554, 556, 572, 575, 596-

English, Position of, 102, 103, 107, 363; as medium 540, 588, 589, 633, 643, 645-647, 649, 650, 652, 655, 657; Basic, 563, 612.

Establishment of Adwaits, 287-288-

Etymology & Philology, 57, 69, 72, 73, 85, 88, 95, 103-106, 118, 184, 189, 190, 199, 205, 224, 238, 239, 245, 252, 262-264, 340, 399, 429-433, 464, 465, 606, 625-628, 658-

Evolution theory. 171, 328, 329.

Examples (in teaching), 59, 70, 81, 116, 125, 167, 173 178, 204-207, 236, 358, 597, 604, 605,

Experiment, 70, 160, 181-183, 298.

Explanation (Exposition), 31, 32, 39, 42, 43, 48, 51-55, 73, 75, 80, 88, 119, 159, 162, 184, 185, 187, 204, 233, 305, 333, 335, 337, 338, 340, 341, 350, 358-360, 362, 363, 367, 368, 370-375, 398, 399, 402, 449, 464, 531, 534, 559, 563, 571, 574, 575, 578-607, 609, 611, 619, 630, 637, 646; of Karaka, 604, 605; Paraphrase, 603, 638; Scientific, 161-163, 171, 173, 283, 287.

Formal steps (teaching), Herbartian 122, 125; of Hindu Logic, 123-125; Junctures of the drama as, 149-151; of literature, 341, 564; of Meemansa & Vedanta, 75, 123, 265, 342, 367, 368, 375, 377, 592.

Formation of nouns and verbs, 57, 88, 96, 105, 116, 231, 232, 235, 239, 240, 612, 623-627, 632, 635.

Generalization, 125, 157, 160-162, 167, 173-176, 180, 199, 202-207, 210, 236, 322, 327, 328, 515, 522, 604, 652.

Genus (class-aspect), 201, 245, 412-416, 418-420, 424, 426, 427,

Gestures (dramatization), 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 62, 63, 119, 425, 427, 441-443, 491, 492, 503, 511, 546, 547, 551, 552, 570, 572, 574, 596, 601, 608, 629,632, Goldstucker, 362,

Goethe, 606

Gouin, F., (linguistic series), 414, 609.

Gradation, 18, 26, 35, 40, 114, 116, 118, 121, 164, 226-229, 234, 293, 295, 305, 306, 374, 405, 406, 418, 425, 559-562, 566, 594, 618, 628-630, 641, 648.

Grammar, 4, 10, 34, 35, 57-59, 63, 64, 68, 71, 72, 76, 77, 87, 88, 95, 97-100, 114, 116-120, 124, 125, 184-186, 188-240, 283, 284, 286, 287, 291, 312, 313, 340, 366, 385, 391, 395, 402, 493, 562, 604, 610-613, 615-619, 629-637, 643, 644, 647-649, 653; analytical method of, 199, 208, 211, 236-239; ancient course in, 214-216; arrangement of topics in, 226-231, 238, 240; concentric method in, 234; examination questions in, 623; formation and recognition in, 623, 626; functional and formal, 98 99, 628; influenced by Nyaya and Meemansa, 197, 200, 202, 203. its position in the Shastri method, 615, 616; necessary portions in, 226-228, 231, 343, 349, 562, 621-623; necessary portions for stds. III to VII in 629-637. nominal part to be taught first in, 229-230; Syntax stressed in, 611-614.

Grammar-translation method 2, 22, 25, 34, 35, 116, 120, 342, 563, 565, 587, 588, 612, 642.

Greek and Latin, 20, 101, 103, 542.

Guide to Sanskrit Composition, V. S. Apte, 613, 614, 622. Heredity and environment, 111, 112.

Historical Method, 14, 317—335, 534, 620, 642, 650, 652; application of 326; H. discipline, 320-322, 325-327; H. lacts, 334; H. investigation 321, 324-327, 331 333, 656; merits and dements of, 331-334; H. outlook, 327, 651, 657; in poetry, 317-335; H. sense, 317, 318, 329, 330; to be accepted by shastris 327, 656.

History, 650, 658; historian, 182; and literary appreciation 334; philosophical meaning of, 320; philosophy of, 319, 327-330, 332; place of, 319; and sheatris 330-331; Science of, 327, 328; sources of 319, 321, 323, 324, 653; task of, 320, 322, 326, 327, 332.

Human activities, 130, 131, 135, 307, 319, 320, 325, 326, 328, 329; religious and secular, 129-

Humour, 547, 551, 552, 556, 600.

Hypothesis, 160, 161.

Idea, 584, 585, 597-603, 607 628, 637, 640, 641-

Idealization, 142, 515-518, 522, 523, 525-

Idiom, 374, 582, 585, 604, 607, 611, 613, 627, 636, 637, 639-641, 649; idiomatic use of cases, 636, 637

Illustrations, 237, 239, 240, 305, 309, 336, 428, 562, 583, 585, 594, 597, 598, 607.

Imagination, 36, 40, 108, 123, 148, 157, 163, 319, 335, 360, 361, 492, 507, 515, 516, 520-523, 525, 527-529, 562, 583, 594, 596, 597, 600; image (generic), 424; image (individual), 424, 425; imagery, 360, 516, 522, 523, 526, 528, 597, 605; Fancy, 335, 528, 536.

Imitation, 119, 395, 406, 425, 481, 551, 567, 570, 572, 599, 606

Indeclinables, 1+0, 343, 348, 547, 564, 629, 632, 634-Indian schools of thought, 118-120, 378, 385, 420, 421, 429, 463, 531, 534—536, 543.

Individual differences, 93, 111, 181, 337, 624-

Induction, 125, 157, 160—162, 167, 173, 175, 176, 178-180, 196, 199, 202, 203, 205, 206, 210, 238, 240, 298, 322, 532, 592, 593, 605, 611, 622-624, 634-636.

Inference, for oneself, 97, 124, 125, 155; for others, 124, 125, 155, 158, 159, 161, 162, 165, 167, 169, 242; mental stages in, 173-175, 180, 182; in the teaching of Shastras, 582; 583; Syllogism, 124, 125, 165-167, 173, 177, 321, 326, 391, 392, 394, 511, 513, 514, 516, 520, 532, 534, 582, 583.

Inflexion (Glide of voice), 39, 552, 556, 586,

Instincts, 126, 135, 137, 518-520, 522-524, 570; of construction and curiosity, 482, 483; of curiosity, 136, 149, 353-357, 358, 365, 572, 579, 580; of gregariousness, 481, 567; of pugnacity, 567; of self-assertion, 131, 140, 567; of submission, 140, 482, 483.

Interest, 97 99, 135, 136, 144, 149, 154, 340, 365 416, 433, 545, 567, 573, 585, 589, 594, 596, 598-601, 605, 606, 623, 624, 644.

Interpretation, 68, 78-80, 86, 88, 91, 123, 124, 195, 204, 240, 241, 244-246, 253, 263, 269, 278, 281, 282, 290, 313, 327, 328, 336, 432, 452, 453, 533, 534, 539, 648, 650, 651, 658.

Intonation, 217, 342, 427, 442, 492, 547-550, 551, 553, 556, 575, 596.

I-tsing, 77; on grammar, 212-216; on memorizing, 638, 639, Jespersen, O., 606.

dba, Ganganath (M M), 652,

Judgment, 158, 159, 180, 332, 333, 528.

Kane P. V. (MM), 228.

Keilhorn (Dr.) 653.

Keith A. B. (Dr.), 362, 363.

Krishnamachariar R., 339.

Language, 381, 384; function of, 420; fundamentals of, 99, 100, 630; irregularities in, 562; laws of, 188; mastery of, 615; poetic, 514, 518; thought and language, 538.

Language (learning or teaching), 118, 167, 246, 283 363-366, 396, 401, 402, 405-407, 424; 425, 4, 8, 432, 442, 456, 511, 514, 518, 533, 535, 536, 538, 540-543, 546, 558, 562-564, 570, 572, 574, 579, 584, 585,

- 589-591, 605, 624, 628; corrective course in, 395; eight modes of, 119, 391; Formal side of, 99, 100, 344; graded course in, 405, 406; humanistic side of, 99, 344, 352; Western thinkers on, 395, 396, 628.
- Learned assemblies and oral discussions, 68-70, 74, 166, 183, 184, 186, 197, 241.
- Learning process, 157, 391-393, 395, 398; languagelearning process, 60-64, 391-394.
- Lesson-plans, 24, 30-32; drill work and free work in 591; in poetry, 49-56; logical and psychological aspects of, 591.
- Linguistic-ability, 93, 111; aspects, 581, 584, 585; difficulties and peculiarities, 577, 579, 581, 582, 584, 585, 591, 594, 599, 601, 605, 607, 637; theories, 531, 543; topics, 378.
- Linguistics, 118, 184, 245, 246, 253, 263, 266, 269, 282, 283, 539, 540, 543.
- Literature, 1, 4, 5, 99, 100, 108, 116, 118, 214-216, 225, 227, 244, 278, 281, 312, 317, 322, 327, 333, 335, 336, 340-342, 344, 345, 369, 372, 375, 396, 432, 443, 453, 454, 464, 512, 513, 520, 521, 529-532, 536-541, 545, 559, 561, 566, 582, 583, 585, 588-590, 596-600, 605-607, 611-623, 625, 626, 628, 634, 636-638, 643, 645, 648-651, 653, 657.
- Logie (Indian), 95, 97, 98, 124, 153, 155, 180, 181, 188, 203, 211, 213, 215, 243, 290, 306, 311, 321, 322, 331, 532, 533, 545, 619, 657, and grammar, 124, 195; and Vedant, 165, 290; Indian and Western, 164, 165, 167, 172; Process of judgment, 180; Syllogism, 166, 241, 290, 582, 583.

- Logic (Western), 98, 99, 156-158, 163, 164, 172, 173, 321, 328, 332, 429, 532, 535, 623; Aristotle and his syllogism, 166, 167, 171; Bacon, 160; function of, 158; Logic and Psychology, 156-158, methodology of, 159; Mill, 160, 177, 180.
- McDongall, on affective-constive element in emotion, 138; on primary emotions, 137, 140; on suggestion, 482.

Max Muller, 191.

- Meaning (Sense) 118-120, 200, 204, 210, 245, 246, 341, 353, 356, 367, 368, 370, 378, 380-387, 389-394, 396-400, 402, 407-400, 416, 420, 422-424, 428-436, 438-441, 443-447, 449-452, 455-460, 492, 505, 510, 511, 522, 535-538, 550, 552-554, 556, 571, 577, 578, 585, 586, 599, 602, 606; conventional, 430-433; derivative, 430-432; generic, 398-400, 422, 424, 425, 440, 504, 507, 516; Siddha and Sadhya, 508, 509; Specific, 398, 424, 504, 506, 507; unified or synthetic, 259, 398, 400-403, 440, 445, 452-454, 492, 496, 504, 506-510, 536.
- Medium of instruction, 6, 20-24, 27, 32, 57, 63, 102, 217, 358-360, 370, 371, 374, 375, 563, 567, 568, 571-574, 578, 579, 587-590, 595, 603, 606, 611, 619, 634, 637, 646, 647, 649.
- Memorizing, 6, 68, 69, 74, 75, 114-116, 121, 184, 213, 215, 233, 342, 372, 408, 565, 568-570, 622, 624, 625, 631, 633-636, 642.
- Memory, 115, 127, 180, 310, 372, 377, 428, 438, 441, 443, 458, 507, 511, 512, 516, 568, 569, 631, Aid to memory, 565-567; collective recollection, 437-439; rote memory, 96, 97, 115; true memory, 96, 97.
- Method, complete and comprehensive, 21, 103, 159, 305, 308; concentric, 234, 239, 240; eclectic, 23, 113, 375;

empiric, 624; general, 106, 112, 558, 592, 650, 654; heuristic, 358; lecture, 357, 619; logical, 155-157, 161, 290, 321, 322, 336; of Mill, 177; of proof, 125, 169, 170, 305; of study in Pathashalas, 620; oriental method of intensive study, 14-16, 338, 620, 651, 654, 656, 658, 659; Part and whole, 377, 570; psychological, 155-157, 336, 365, 406, 533, 643; rules of, 163, 164; Scientific, 159-162, 298; Western method of extensive study, 113, 375, 643, 645, 650, 651, 654-659.

Methodology, 65, 67, 73, 75, 78, 79, 92, 124, 125, 153, 159, 162-165, 168, 171, 181, 183, 185, 187, 188, 194, 202, 211, 242-244, 246, 263, 264, 281, 283, 287, 312, 313, 317, 531, 532, 540-543, 545, 558,

Metres (Prosody), 44, 45, 552, 553, 555, 599.

Mimesis, 481-483; imitation,481-482; suggestion, 481, 482; sympathy, 481-483.

Modernists, 94-112.

Modulation, 547, 601, 632.

Mother-tongue, 20, 32, 57, 97, 104-108, 343, 346, 358, 359, 369, 370, 373-375, 395, 426-428, 559, 561-564, 567, 571-574, 578, 579, 582, 584, 588-591, 594, 602, 606, 607, 611, 619, 626-628, 630, 631, 633, 637-641, 645, 649, 658-

Motivation, 99.

Musical notes, 547.

Nomenclature, 153, 158.

Nouns, 57, 87, 190, 239, 343, 346, 412, 414, 415, 426, 547, 554, 564, 566, 604, 610, 612, 621, 629, 631, 634, 635.

Object, 132, 133, 143, 154, 301, 422, 529; psychical, 421, 422, 424, 428.

Objective, 577, 578.

Observation, 96, 97, 125, 153, 157, 159, 160, 163, 167, 174-178, 180-182, 199, 202, 206-210, 238, 391, 392, 394, 422, 520, 551, 596

Oral tradition 66, 72, 73, 78, 79, 86, 88, 90, 130, 241, 317-319, 337, 357, 403, 531, 542, 605.

Oral work, 22, 23, 27, 28, 67-70, 75, 116, 184; chorus drill, 428, 565-568, 570, 571, 575, 591; discussion, 57, 75, 371, 571, 592, 593, 600-603, 640, 641, 647; literary aspect of, 600; method, 67, 68, 70, 405, 406, 428, 454; repetition, 565, 566, 568; reproduction, 568, 572, 576; Vedic recitation, 568-570.

Organization, 153, 157-160, 162-164, 187, 404, 531, 535, 544, 545, 581, 587, 656,

Palmer H. E., 366, 372, 373, 395, 406, 566, 610.

Participles, 219-221, 224, 225, 231, 239, 345, 346, 603, 604, 610, 627, 634-637, 640.

Particles, 604, 606-

Pause, 39, 342, 552, 553, 556, 557, 575.

Pendharkar, Anantsbastri, 653.

Perception, 157, 175, 242, 319, 321, 326, 384, 387, 405, 407, 410, 425-427, 437, 441, 442, 457, 458, 476, 516, 534, 597; Perceptual aspect, 421-424; simultaneous ,383.

Phenomena, 130, 134, 155, 171, 173-177, 179, 180, 188, 287, 292, 296-299, 301, 448,

Philosophy, 133, 134, 315, 319, 327, 328, 332, 378, 468, 514, 529, 530, 535, 537, 539, 543, 653, 657.

Phonetics (Shiksha), 68, 71, 72, 77, 118, 184, 189, 190, 232, 380, 546, 550, 557, 565, 568, 569, 575, 584, Phrasing, 39, 342, 552, 554-556, 578.

Pictures, diagrams, etc. 26, 27, 30, 38, 61, 62 425, 426, 428, 564, 567, 571, 597, 598, 641.

Pitch of voice, 39, 342, 547, 548, 550, 551, 556 557-

Places of utterance, 547. Poetry, 35-56, 94, 109.

- Poetry, 35-56, 94, 109, 360, 361, 365, 366, 384, 453, 481-483, 489, 490, 492, 499, 508, 507, 511, 512-514-516, 518-520, 521, 523-529, 534, 536-539, 543, 544, 548, 550, 552, 553, 555, 559, 560, 600, 605, 608, 617, 638, 653; aim of, 36; lesson-plan in, 46-56; nature of verses to be taught in, 37; charm in, 511, 620; function of words in, 45, 55, 429; poetic language, 514, 518; and philosophy, 529, 530; and prose, 36, 37, Sanskrit poetics, 530, 539; theory of Rasa applied to, 151.
- Poets, 148, 149, 334, 335, 482, 492, 497, 516, 527-530, 540, 580; appreciation of, 339, 340; training of, 539, 540.
- Preparation, 26-28, 38, 50, 564-572, 575, 580; From the known to the unknown, 118; Introduction of words, 564, 565, 570, 571; Preliminary discussion, 571, 572.

Presentation, 28-33, 39-45, 152, 153, 562, 572-608,

Principles of language.study. 18, 19, 22, 23, 26, 28, 56, 57, 78, 79, 87, 88, 115, 116, 118, 244, 246, 253, 283, 357, 364-367, 378, 385, 395, 397, 406, 407, 531, 533-536, 539, 541, 543, 566, 571, 572, 605, 606, 624, 647; Eastern, 67, 69, 118, 452; Eastern, and Western 425-429.

Probability, 86, 179, 297, 318, 321, 326, 531.

Procedure (Practical), 158, 159, 163, 540, 542, 558.

Pronouns, 343, 346, 367, 564, 581, 610, 621, 629, 631, 634.

Pronunciation, 116, 121, 189, 232, 366, 387, 546, 550, 565, 566, 568, 575, 584, 647,

Prose, 121, 372, 556, 559, 560, 564, 572, 600, 605, 607, 617, 618, 620, 638.

Psychical object, 421-424, 428.

Psychological process, in appreciation, 521-523, 525-527; in Bhavana, 247-248; in a poet, 527, 528; in expectency, 356, 357, 365; in Implication, 458-460, 466, 467, 495; in inference or judgment, 180; in Purport, 511; in speech, 380, 388-390; in Suggestion, 481, 484-486, 495, 507, 511; in the realization of Rasa, 512, 513, 521-525, 528, 531, 535-537, 597.

Psychology (Indian), 60, 126-135, 153, 155, 158, 167, 380-382, 386, 387, 390, 391, 407, 426, 437, 458-460, 466, 467, 484, 512, 514, 517, 519-523, 525, 528-530, 532, 533, 535, 537, 545, 577, 579; conscious and unconscious in, 133; four functions of mind in, 126-128; of Sankhyas, 171, 313; sentiment of the group in, 523.

Psychology (Western), 60-62, 88, 93, 96, 98, 99, 111, 115, 118, 125, 129, 132, 133, 138, 155, 156, 158, 206, 210, 234, 308, 327, 328, 343, 335, 337, 338, 343, 358, 364, 374, 377, 378, 395, 421, 423, 481-483, 513, 516, 520-523, 525-530, 532, 537, 538, 540, 541, 543-545, 580, 618, 619, 623, 647;

Experimental, 96, 98, 114; Faculty (transfer of training), 96, 98, 126; Instinctive level, 482; of the Group, 129, 132, 133, 135, 136, 144, 151, 155, 481, 482, 523, 545; Psycho-analysis, 128; and Philosophy, 133, 134, Schem (pattern), 423; Ultra-Behaviourists, 132; Unconscious level, 127, 128, 133, 135, 380, 529.

Pupils | average | 93, 97, 99-101, 105, 110-112, 114, 115, 117, 120-122, 156, 157, 404, 206, 210, 226, 230, 231, 234, 237-239, 250, 336, 337, 340-344, 349, 353, 358, 365, 369, 372-374, 376, 396, 402, 404, 405, 426-428, 432, 454, 542, 559-563, 565-567, 569-571, 582, 584-587, 590-594, 596-598, 603, 608, 612, 613, 618, 620, 623-628, 633, 634, 638-645, 650.

Purpose, sim or intention, 151, 152, 163, 187, 243, 247, 248, 266, 270, 282, 289, 334, 381, 382, 416, 445-452, 455, 456, 464-468, 476-480, 481, 490, 491, 493, 494, 497, 498, 502-506, 511, 531, 544, 552, 577, 582.

Questionnaire on Sanskrit Teaching, 3-6.

Questions, 22, 23, 28-32, 39-43, 50-56, 70, 73, 76, 349-352, 355, 357-361, 365, 368, 375, 376, 455, 538, 553, 562, 571, 574-588, 590-596, 603, 605-608, 632, 634, 637, 639, 641, 642; at the advanced stage, 360, 594; at the initial stage, 360, 593; comprehensive, 358, 596; drill, 584; formal, 349, 359; in the mother-tongue, 358, 584, 594; logical, 582; mechanical, 586-588, 590; on a dialogue, 593; on compounds, 360; on the causal connection, 594; peculiarity of Sanskrit q8, 586, 590, 591, 595; Psychological, 583; Question forms, 351, 352, 581, 587, 633, 634; questioning devices, 581; teaching, 579-582,

591, 593; testing, 579, 580 582, 591-593; thought, 583, 584; variety in, 352, 360.

Racial character, 320, 326.

Reading and recitation, 19, 21, 28, 33, 38, 39, 45, 46, 50, 56, 116, 342, 374, 405, 545-557, 566, 568, 571, 572, 574, 575, 608, 638, 643, 647; auxiliaries of, 552; chorus, 576; expressive, 546-548, 550, 556, 557, 574, 575; faults of, 557; model, 575; of poetry, 548, 552, 553, 555; of prose, 552, 555; silent, 51, 576-578, 601, 639.

Readymade forms of words, 57, 59, 88, 105, 116, 235, 236, 239, 240, 562, 612, 613, 616, 622-626, 628-632, 634-636.

Reason and reasoning, 96, 97, 126-129, 155, 167, 181 290, 380, 481, 492, 506, 510, 514, 522, 656.

Recapitulation, 157, 578, 607, 608.

Reception and reproduction, 566, 575.

Records of the past, \$17-319.

Relation, 174, 175, 200, 202, 204, 210, 245, 360, 365 366, 376, 378, 382, 386, 390, 392, 393, 397, 399, 400, 408, 409, 416, 422, 428, 433-436, 442, 444, 445, 450, 452, 458-462, 468, 472, 474-476, 483, 484, 501, 504, 507, 508, 511, 513, 514, 517, 526, 527, 538, 576, 577, 593, 597, 601, 633

Research, 322, 331, 650-653, 655-657.

Resonance, 39, 552, 554, 556.

Revision, 564, 580, 582, 608, 620.

Richards I. A. (Dr.), 526, 527, 529.

Sanskrit, aim of studying and teaching, 19, 21, 108, 112-113; Basic Sk; 612, 618; Brahmanic Sk., 217, 218, 324; Sk. & Civics, 95; classical, 185, 189, 190, 214, 218, 219, 223, 225, 226, 282, 324, 327, 548, 561.

563, 564, 570, 617, 654; compulsory or optional, 110-112; cultural value of, 93-95, 103 107-109; dead or living 20-21, 101-103, 215-217, 656; diffi ult or easy, 99-100, 102, 103; & English, 102, 106-107; formal study of, 99, 100; fundamentals of, 601, 630; growth of, 216, 238, 323, 324; Govt. Sk. Colleges, 16, 619, 642, 655,656; graded course in, 401-402 405; & Hindi, 104, 106-108; humanistic study of, 99, 100, 103; importance of, 1, 48; inflexions, 562; & law, 95-96; Matriculation std. of, 10, 104-106, 108, 112, 113, 620, 621, 642-645; & medical science, 95; & modern Indian languages, 103, 104, 118; & modern problems, 100-101; & mother-tongue, 103-107, 115-116, 118, 121; & politics, 95; popularization of, 558, 612, 629, 642, 644, 647; position of Sk, in ancient times, 216, 217; position of Sk. in the modern currieulum, 35, 106-108, 644; & Prakrits, 104, 106; public attitude towards Sk., 13; & science 95, 109-110; spoken Sk., 58, 68, 101, 198, 202, 215, 218; atructure of, 117, 222-223, 407, 586, 629, 649; utilitarian value of, 93, 95, 107; Vedic Sk., 119, 189, 190, 198, 199, 324, 548, 560,

Sanskrit studies, deplorable condition of, 2, 6-9, 13-14; remedies for the improvement of, 10-11, 16-18.

Science, scientific aspect or method, 133, 158, 159 162, 164, 171, 173, 181, 182, 199, 200, 204 206, 210, 211, 234, 238, 282-284, 308, 312, 316, 322, 326-328, 331 407, 464, 506, 530, 533, 536, 616, 619, 624, 643, 647, 653, 658; ancient, 95, 109, 183; modern, 95, 182, 183, 294, 298, 308; scientific explanation, 161-163, 171, 173, 204, 210, 283, 287, 316, 407, 643.

Science of teaching, 79, 122, 123, 149-154, 234, 283, 336, 337, 340, 341, 352, 357, 358, 361, 363, 374, 394,

531, 532, 534, 535, 538-542, 545, 558, 587, 589-591, 623, 624; group teaching, 365, 374, 375, 377, 645. Self-expression, 576, 577.

Sense appeal, 37, 42, 55, 56, 70, 565, 566, 594, 605, 618-

Sentence (construction), 115, 211, 222, 223, 231, 237, 245, 246, 282, 283, 346, 347, 352, 353, 367, 368 385, 390, 391, 393, 394, 401-405, 426, 442-444, 450, 452-454, 492, 496, 504, 507-511, 522, 538, 547-549, 552, 554, 555, 562, 564, 565, 581, 582, 585, 593, 595, 601-605, 607, 611, 612, 623, 629, 630, 633, 640; Active, 220, 345, 346, 348, 603, 609, 611; Order of words in, 222, 345, 385; passive, 220, 221, 346, 348, 603, 609, 611, 634, 640,

Sentiments, 136, 137, 140, 142, 143, 486, 488, 503, 514, 516, 518-525, 545, 547, 551-554, 590, 600.

Shastris and their method, 2, 3, 7, 9, 12-15, 38, 40, 42, 47, 57, 77, 78, 88, 101, 113-114, 116-118, 120, 121, 327, 330, 331, 335, 337, 338, 340-344, 349, 361, 363, 367, 369-372, 374, 375, 335-377, 454, 455, 531, 541, 542, 555, 574, 583, 607, 611, 612, 614-620, 625, 639, 642, 647, 651-658.

Shelley on poetry and philosophy, 529.

Speech and thought, 61-62, 158, 159, 200, 378-386, 395, 398, 402, 538, 599; Sequence in speech, 389.

\$tage,—advanced, 100, 103, 105, 106, 155, 342, 344, 357, 360, 363, 370, 371, 375, 395, 398, 401, 402, 405, 406, 428, 433, 434, 443, 535-539, 560-562, 571, 572, 576, 583-585, 594, 596-598, 600, 617-619, 624, 638; elementary, 99, 105, 114, 117, 1.1, 341, 343, 357, 360, 363, 370, 371, 375, 395, 401, 402, 405, 406, 426-428, 432-434, 535, 538, 539, 559-562, 564, 571, 572, 576, 584, 585, 593, 596—598, 600, 601, 618,

619, 628, 634, 641; first lessons, 115-117, 629-633.

Standardization, 340, 615.

Stein, O. (Dr.), 652.

Sten Konow (Dr.), 656,

Stimulus and response, 141, 437, 519, 520.

Stormzand, Martin J., 593.

Study,—detailed, 454, 455, 564, 576—578, 580, 582, 585, 587, 588, 592, 646; extensive, 15, 540, 620, 650, 651, 658, 659; general, 564, 573, 578, 585, 587; higher S., 113, 363, 642, 643, 645, 647, 650, historical method of, 327; intensive, 15, 338, 365, 454, 540, 614, 620, 638, 643, 644, 646, 651, 654, 656, 658, 659; Self-study, 536, 591, 620, 644, 645,

Style —nominal 57—59, 73, 88, 116-117, 184-186, 199, 216-226, 229, 230, 231, 238, 240, 533, 540, 622; of Shastras, 223-224; verbal, 116, 216, 217, 230, 231, 238, 622,

Subject, 132, 133, 143, 153, 154, 301, 529,

Sublimation, 128, 135, 156, 328, 521.

Suggestion, 358, 360, 409, 466, 467, 476-478, 480-483, 489-491, 496, 502, 511, 518, 519, 523, 600, 646.

Syllabus, of colleges 35, 642, 643, 645-650; of high schools, 614, 620-645, 647; of Shastris, 286, 614-620; Wider specialization, 284, 286, 337-617, 618.

Synonyms and homonyms, 5, 209, 371, 373, 491-492, 609-Syntax, 186, 196, 222, 235, 247, 256, 258, 274, 281, 342' 348-351, 359, 360, 460, 561, 604 - 606, 619-614, 622' 623, 630, 631, 633, 637, 639, 640; syntactical peculiarities, 604-605, 610, 613, 614; syntactical rules, 344-348.

Syntactical unity, of Pada, 443, 444; of Vakya, 264, 279, 288, 443 - 445.

Synthesis, synthetic method, 14, 35, 42, 43, 47—49, 105, 122, 123, 146, 153, 157, 159—163, 187—188, 244, 281, 282, 286—316, 322, 325, 328, 332—335, 338–340, 372, 375—377, 383, 384, 387—390, 399, 404, 405, 422, 433, 437, 438, 440, 452, 455, 484, 484, 524, 525, 527, 533, 535, 537—539, 572, 576–578, 583, 595, 598, 599, 601, 602, 607, 609, 620, 623, 628, 040, 657; higher or wider synthesis, 292, 657; in poetry, 42, 43, 47–49, 338—340.

System, 158, 159, 162, 164, 165, 184, 187, 244, 282, 285, 293, 312, 313, 315, 316, 545; ancient Indian, 165, 166, 168, 170, 171, 173, 182, 185, 188, 198; Condensation, 187—188; expansion, 187—188; organization, 187—188,

Tagore, Rabindranath, 339.

Teachers (of Sanskrit), 11—13, 21, 23—25, 32, 37, 40, 87, 89, 90, 154, 250, 264—266, 303, 313, 336, 337, 342, 343, 358, 360, 265, 374—376, 395, 432, 442, 449, 454, 455, 538, 542, 546, 558, 564, 565, 567–570, 574, 577—578, 582, 587–592, 595, 599, 600, 612, 613, 640; ancient, 336; Vedic, 569.

Teaching of literature (Sanskrit), 11-12, 25-34, 278, 340-344, 432, 433, 564, 581-583, 585, 596, 607, 611, 612, 614, 616, 620, 634, 637; connected passages, 26, 120; course in, 614, 618; descriptions & stories, 33, 220, 281, 559-561, 572-574, 593, 606, 631; dialogues or drama, 34, 46-47, 100, 220, 559, 561, 593, 617; dramatic rules applied to 150-153; emotional approach in, 150; general policy in, 105; life-situations in, 594; literature in high schools, 637-638; literature, taught at the Pathashalas, 617; parallelism in, 598; similarity & contrast, in 598; use

of Purport in, 454-455; variety in, 100; Word-pictures in, 596-597.

Telang K. T. (Justice), 654.

Telling or lecturing, 23, 40-42, 186, 224, 357, 361, 376, 454, 538, 572-574, 580, 583, 591, 592, 595-596, 607, 619; statements, 601; story-telling, 572-574, 606.

Text-books, 5, 26, 559-563, 574, 575 578-582, 584, 586, 592-594, 604, 605, 608, 611,620, 637, 641, 646, 647, 650-652

Theory & Practice, 79, 87, 112, 148-150, 153, 154, 406, 534, 539, 540, 542, 544, 551, 558.

Thibaut G., on Shankaracharya, 306-307.

Thinkers or scholars, Eastern, 14, 15, 133, 182, 322-325, 395, 396, 651-653, 657-659; modern, 13, 330, 331, 334, 654, 655; Western, 14, 100, 108, 133, 125, 323, 324, 326, 338, 395, 542-544, 651-654, 657, 658.

Thorndike, 96.

Tilak, B G (Lok.), on necessary portions of grammar, 621-622.

Time & space, 95, 131, 298, 312, 319, 320, 325-327, 423, 447, 453, 463, 491, 492, 522, 523; idea of Yugas, 329.

Training in methods, 650; of poets & teachers, 539, 540. Transformation, 602, 609, 623.

Translation, 4, 10, 22, 24-25, 32, 94, 96-98, 342, 363, 372-375, 405, 427, 563, 566, 587, 588, 591, 594, 607, 638-641, 647, 649; Translation method, 563, 565, 587, 588; translations of Sanskrit works, 94, 98; translation into Sanskrit, 611, 639-641, 649,

Truth, 158, 159, 161, 162, 165, 167, 285, 290, 293, 306, 308, 332; absolute or ultimate, 307, 330, 331;

historical, 317, 319, 321, 322, 324, 330, 331; literary, 319; Scientific, 322.

Unbiassed attitude, 163, 182.

Understanding, 575, 584, 587-589, 594, 599.

Uniformity of Nature, 172, 174, 175, 178-180.

Unit of language—a sentence, 115, 119, 120, 246, 385, 393, 394, 401, 403-405, 425, 564

University,—curriculum, 104, 619, 620; examinations, 8, 10, 541, 558, 620, 623, 637-639, 642, 647-650; graduates, 340, 342, 343, 364, 541, 619, 647, 649; requirements, 639, 645-647, 650, 655, 656; students, 363.

Utilitarianism, 107; utilitarian subjects, 93, 95, 106, 107, 110, 112; utility & culture, 108.

Vedic literature, 68-72, 183, 199, 241, 287, 313, 317, 329, 448, 449, 452, 456, 560 561, 568, 653; Aranyakas, 183; Brahmanas, 69-72, 76, 183, 217, 241, 279, 317, 324, 560; Samhitas, 68, 69, 183, 190, 241, 300, 324.

Verb, 57-59, 105, 116, 190, 218, 239, 240, 246, 271, 343, 348, 397, 411, 414, 432, 547, 554, 555, 564, 566, 608, 610, 612, 613, 621-623, 625-629, 632, 634, 635; as the principal word, 247-248, 396, 404; position of the finite verb, 117, 219, 220, 225, 627, 629, 632, 633,

Vocabulary, 371, 372, 401, 559, 561, 563, 589, 591, 603, 638, 639; Tatsama words, 121, 563.

Winternitz M., 318, 653.

Words, 26-28, 38, 56-57, 120, 121, 185, 188, 189, 200, 201, 204, 210, 211, 245, 246, 341, 343, 367, 371, 373, 378, 381-385, 390, 391, 393, 397-403.

405, 407-412, 414-429, 434, 437-443, 446, 447, 451, 452, 455, 457-459, 483-485, 490-492, 495-498, 504-508, 510, 511, 515, 522, 524, 525, 535, 536, 538, 547, 553-555, 557, 561-568, 570, 571, 575, 578, 581, 532, 585, 586, 591, 594, 595, 598-602, 606, 608, 610, 623, 627, 629, 631, 633, 636; equivalents, 602; frequency of, 561; inflected, 633; interrogative, 585, 587; introduction of, 27, 38; 564, 565, 570, 571, 595; new words, 562, 566, 567, what is a word, 201.

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

AND STREET OF THE STREET

ACCUMENTS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

WHITE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE

ANALYSIS CONTRACTOR OF SELECT ST. E. L.

THE RESERVE TO BE STORY OF THE PARTY OF THE

Yuan Chwang, 77, 217, 337.

INDEX: B

अलग्दवानपार्थ, 61, 394, 403, 404 अग्निपुराण, 228.

अतिदेश (चोदक), 82, 258-259; अतिदेशसूत्र 194, 197, अस्थि अदृष्टकराना, 270, 389.

अधिकरण, 75, 81, 123, 185, 198, 241-242.

अधिकार,-विचि, 69, 251, 265-266. -सूत्र 75, 194, 198.

अधिशन, 132, 133, 291, 293, 296, 298-299, 300, 329, अध्यारोपापनाद, 303, 307,

अध्यास, 132,289,200-303,314,316,471-473,478,489;- माध्य, 302, 314, 316

अध्याहार-अर्थ. 410-441;-पर 75, 204, 440, 442, 443, अनुबन्ध,-व्याकरण 193, 194;-च्युश्य 121-122.

अनुभन, 169, 287, 290, 291, 307-311, 314, 319, 387, 422, 435, 436, 520, 524, 571, 593-595, 597, 600, 605,

अनुमान,136, 139,141-143, 485, 488, 489, 513-519, 521-524. अनुकृति, 198.

अस्वय (संसत), 341, 344-349, 355, 368, 371, 397, 399, 400 402-405, 435, 436, 441, 449, 460-462, 504, 507, 508. अन्वयपनीय (ओक्ट्रास्टास), 344, 349, 350.

अन्यक्वतिरेक (आवापोद्राप), 19, 60, 61, 91, 125, 155, 172, 175, 177, 207-210, 238, 242, 308-312, 392, 393, 426, 531, 532,

अम्बयानु । पति 450, 460, 462-464,

अस्विताभिषानवाद, 27, 29, 61, 92, 119, 245, 393-394, 396, 397, 401, 402, 405-407, 425, 429, 434, 535, 538.

अपक्रमं, 198.

अपवाद, 91, 192, 203.

अपूर्व, 243, 244, 252, 276_.

अपूर्वविधि, 266-268.

अपोद्धार, 404.

अवमा, 158, 159, 167.

समिधा-नाच्यार्थ, 120, 399, 407-433, 457-451, 463, 465-470, 472-477, 479-481, 484-508, 510, 514-516, 518, 519, 522, 524, 525, 535, 536, 538, 539, 541, 544,

अभिनवगुत, 143, 285, 338, 484, 489, 512, 513, 515, 517-528, 530; अभिनवभारती, 512, 513, 517, 520-522; ध्वन्या-सोक्सोचन, 489, 508-510, 513-515, 517, 518, 525.

अभिदितान्त्रपराद, 29, 92, 119, 245, 281, 396-407, 433, 434, 504, 535, 538,

अमेदप्रतिपत्ति, 467, 469, 474, 476-480, 501, 502, 597, अम्बुद्द्य, 129-131, 294, 328,

अमरकोछ, 59, 73, 90, 114, 120, 371-372, 609.

अयंत्रकृति, 144, 145, 147.

अर्थवाद, 69, 70, 247, 249, 270, 279-281, 317, 330, 396, 443, 444, 453; अनुवाद, 69, 276, 280, 444; गुणवाद, 69, 280; भुतायवाद, 69, 280.

वर्षशास (कोरिहर), 68, 76-78, 80-86, 95, 219.

अन्दक्कार, 42-44, 47, 53-54, 59, 449, 338, 340, 474, 484, 489, 490, 496, 514, 516, 518, 536, 597-598, 600, अमतरिक्षका (आनन्दिगिरि), 42-43, 53, 54, 376, 377, 454.

विशा, 289, 291, 300, 301, 523,

अष्टचातु, 212, 235.

अहङ्कार, 126, 127, 131, 132.

आकाङ्का, 29, 41, 87, 120, 249, 250, 255, 259-261, 353-358, 376, 400, 433-435, 442, 443, 445, 446, 504, 579; इतिकतंत्र्यता, 249, 354; उत्थाप्प, 70, 90, 358, 579; उत्थित, 70, 90, 358; साधन, 249, 354; साध्य, 249, 354.

आत्मन्-जीवात्मन्, 127, 129, 132, 287, 289, 301, 303, 304, 309-311, 316, 379, 380, 382, 417, 470, 471, 523.

आनन्दवर्षन (व्यन्वालोक), 149, 150, 338, 339, 483-489, 491-495, 497, 501-502, 504, 512, 517.

和感要形象,78, 118, 120, 335, 338, 369, 393, 409, 415, 429, 460, 463, 464, 470, 471, 494, 505, 530, 535, 536, 539.

इतिहास-पुराण, 70, 317, 327, 329.

(MT, 295-297, 309, 310, 313, 471.

उत्पत्तिविषि, 69, 251, 252,

बत्सर्ग, 91, 203.

उदाहरण, 125, 167, 173, 206, 583.

उद्देश-कश्रण-परी**वा**, 81, 125, 153, 167, 169, 170, 195.

उपचार (भक्ति), 356, 467, 468, 473, 494, 497, 501, 502.

उपनिषद् , 70, 134, 143, 166, 171, 183, 217-218, 244, 287-289, 292-295, 298, 304, 308, 310, 311, 357, 362, 378, 386, 533, 560; कट, 294, 300, 308; केन, 309; कीपीतक, 308; छान्दोग्य, 71, 294, 300, 308, 300; वैचिरीय, 144, 294, 309; प्रम, 300; वृद्धारण्यक 70, 126, 300, 308, 309, 311; मण्डूक्य 128, 288, 300, 303, 304, 308, 312; मुण्डूक, 71, 296, 300; अंताम्बदर, 300.

उपमान 59, 70, 81, 90, 91, 119, 125, 242, 325, 326, 336, 358, 422, 473, 474, 534, 597.

उपसर्गः 634, 637.

उपस्थार, 276-278.

उपाचि, 174, 176-178, 295, 302, 309, 412, 413,

करण, 171, 202, 249-251, 438, 509,

कम-उगसना-शान, 292, 313.

कविरहरूप (इलायुच), 58, 237.

कातन्त्र (कलाप, कीमार), 58, 225-230, 232, 233, 238, 616; . धर्ववर्णन् , 225-227.

कालायन, 199, 202, 361, 362.

和刊等, 28, 35, 36, 40, 57, 59, 72, 87, 88, 115, 117, 202, 219, 235, 236, 239-240, 250, 343, 345-347, 369, 370, 396, 442, 563, 593, 611-614, 616, 621, 622, 629, 630, 633, 636, 648,

कालिदास, 11, 20, 21, 40, 48, 325, 336, 339, 561, 653; जुमार-सम्मन, 486-488, 521, 617; मास्रविकामित्र, 11, 89, 336; मेमदूत, 339; रमुवंदा, 34, 120, 121, 560, 617, 621; शाकुरतक, 521, 617.

कार्यविन्ता (कार्बीपदतकाँचार्य), 339,

काशिकावृत्ति (वामन-वयादित्य), 58, 211-214, 216; न्यास (विनेन्द्र-इद्वि), 212,

कुमारिकाइ, 242, 445, 474, 475.

क्ष्वजातिगादिस् , 188, 224, 263, 284, 286, 291, 410-413, 415-420-423, 425-427,

बेबसव्यक्तिबादिन्, 410, 415, 420, 421.

कमावस्था (नाट्य), 144-147.

क्षमेन्द्र, 540.

खण्डवाक्यायं, 403, 404.

खण्डान्वय, 23, 28, 29, 32, 39, 41, 48, 70, 87, 89, 90, 205, 250, 349-367, 374-377, 403-406, 434, 534, 538, 539, 542, 558, 559, 579, 581, 586, 619, 633, 637; खण्डान्वयः काव्यदास्त्रणि च 361, 365, 534; खण्डान्वयो मीमांसा च, 352-354; सुणाः , 365-366.

स्पातिपन्चक, 298-300. 302.

गुण (काव्य), 149, 338, 484, 516, 518, 548, 600, गुणविधि, 251, 252,

मीदपादाचार्य (माण्डूकंपकारिका), 128, 288, 300, 303, 304, 308, 312.

गौतम, 76, 166, 167, 170, 195, 241, 242.

चरक्संहिता, 68, 80, 166,

चित्र, 126, 127, 520,

चिट् संविद्-चेतन्य, 298, 301, 302, 306, 309, 310, 390, 523. बगनाय, 39, 143, 519; आवरणभन्न, 523-524, 530.

जामत् 128, 130, 131, 305, 307-310, 313, 314, 379, 524; जामञ्जामत्, 128; जांग्रस्थुपुति, 128, 299; जाम्रस्थम, 128,

बातकमाना, 213, 215.

जाति-व्यक्ति, 175, 200, 201, 245, 387, 410,-422, 425-427-जातिविद्यष्टव्यक्तिवादिन्, 415-417, 420, 421, 424, 425-जात्यदिवादिन्, 413-415, 420, 421, 424, 425, 429, जैन, 134, 168, 185, 216, 284, 313, 323.

बेमिनि, 74, 76, 241.

तस्वसङ्ग्रह (शान्तरश्वित), 285.

तन्त्रयुक्ति, 68, 78-66.

वर्क, 177-179, 289.

तक्षड्मइ—दीविका, 19, 353, 355, 408, 434, 443, 445, 617-ताल्य, 32, 36, 74, 75, 87, 120, 123, 281, 287, 288, 292, 293, 304, 315, 341, 342, 360-361, 375-378, 396-400, 405, 433-458, 460-464, 475, 504-511, 522, 534-539, 541, 575, 583, 601-602, 607-608, 639-640; ताल्योनेयामक, 447.

तालपांस्त्रि, 124, 288, 452-453.

तात्वर्यानुपपचि, 460-464, 536.

देश्वान्त्रम्, 29, 41, 87, 89, 344–350, 352 353, 358, 361, 363, 365, 370, 403–406, 434, 534.

दिण्डन्, काव्यादर्श, 369; दशकुमारचरित, 36, 560, 617, 621. दयानन्दसरस्वती, 232-233, 239.

इष्ट्रानि, 389.

वर्ष, 240, 241, 282, 289; वर्षशास्त्र, 76, 77, 95, 244, 269, 453, 653.

बमांकृत (ज्यम्बन्सयमितन्), 339.

बाद्यरपावित, 59, 114, 116, 235, 236, 616, 625, 628, 634-636,

व्यनि, 335, 338, 340, 381, 384, 481, 483, 484, 489, 492-495, 497, 501, 502, 507, 510-512, 515, 517, 537, 539, 599-600; अत्यन्तितरस्कृतवाच्य, 499, 501; अभिषा व्यनिश्च, 484, 491-493; अभिषामूङ, 490; अर्थान्तरसङ्क्रमित-वाच्य 499-501; अल्झारध्यनि, 489, 515; अविवक्षितवाच्य, 498-503; असंलक्ष्यकमञ्चल्य, 485, 487—489, 525, 526; ध्वनि-भंक्तिश्च, 494, 501; रसध्यनि, 484, 485, 489, 495, 506, 512, 515, 517, 525; लक्षणा ध्वनिश्च,493-498; लक्षणामूल, 491, 494, 498; बस्तुध्वनि, 489, 515; निवक्षितान्यपरवान्य, 485, 498, 502; संलक्ष्यकपर्व्यक्ष्य, 485-487, 489, 526.

नागेश, 196, 285, 356, 390, 447; परमञ्जूमा कुम, 356, 381,

391, 447; परिमापेन्दुशेखर, 186, 191, 192, 196, 203. नाट्यमन्द्रि, 144, 146-151.

नामध्य, 346, 270.

नामस्य, 293-295, 297, 298, 301, 309, 311, 320, 378, 379, 382.

नासदीयस्कत, 300.

नियम,-विधि 266-269:-सूत्र, 194, 197.

निकक-बास्क-निकार्ड, 62, 69, 71-73, 75, 91, 118, 184, 189, 190, 199, 238, 430, 625; तुर्गाचार्य, 62, 73.

नि:अयस-मोस, 129-132, 167, 289, 292, 294, 297, 328.

निषेष 247, 270-275, 279, 443; स्त्र, 197.

न्याय, बलेकपोत, 438: प्रधानमछनिबहेग, 316; शाखाचन्द्र, 291.

न्यायदर्शन—नेवाविक, 68, 76-78, 91, 118, 164-173, 175, 179
181, 186-188, 195, 196, 200, 202, 224, 238, 241-243, 283, 284, 286, 291, 296, 302, 308, 313, 316, 337, 352, 353, 382, 383, 385, 393, 397-399, 403, 404, 407, 409, 410, 415-417, 433, 436-441, 443, 445, 448-450, 455, 456, 460, 464, 470, 513, 530-533, 535, 539, 541, 615-617, 653.

पर्व, 124, 166.

पतः जिल्ले (व्याकरणमहामाध्य), 58 63-64, 75-77, 89, 90, 186-189, 196, 199-211, 214, 224, 232, 233, 238, 361-364 382, 413, 546.

पदच्छेद, 71, 75, 190, 341, 343, 368.

पदपाठ, 71, 190 (झानस्य).

बद्-बाक्व-प्रपाण, 67, 68, 76-73, 86, 91, 92, 185-186, 283, 286, 287, 292, 313, 327, 335, 337, 340, 533, 534, 539, 544

बद्संहकार, 91. 191, 208, 211, 236-239, 385.

पदार्थ, 81 124 155, 167, 428;-इथन 341, 367, 370-375.

पुण्यतन्त्र 10 34, 112, 120, 121, 214, 220, 221, 560, 600, 614, 617, 621, 643.

प्रश्नित्त हैं।, 10, 11, 32, 37, 57, 117, 121, 344, 352, 614-618-परिमाण, 76, 194-196, 229, 232, 648, 649;-प्रकार, 196, 203; -पुत्र, 76, 194, 195, 229

परिसङ्ख्या, 203, 266-269-

प्युदास, 273-278.

♥||河南 552.

पाठ्य कार, 550-551,

बाधित (अशब्द की) 58, 71, 72, 74-77, 185, 189-193, 195-199, 201, 202, 206, 211, 212, 214, 216, 218, 225-227, 229, 230, 232-235, 238, 362, 615, 616, 618; अशब्दाकी-रचना, 192-193; बातुसठ, 626; प्रशासर, 193-194; सूत्र-स्थ्रम 194.

पूर्णवरस्तती, भेनसंदेश-वियुक्तता, 339.

कीत्रिक, 169, 318.

बकरण, 88, 252, 257-261, 447; - प्रंथ, 617.

बहति, मीमांश 258-260; साङ्ख्य 296

बहति- प्रस्य 57, 87, 88, 90, 105, 120, 188, 190, 191, 199, 207-209, 211, 237, 404, 431, 432, 435

ब्रक्रिया, 305.

प्रक्रियाकीमुदी (रामचन्द्र), 58, 228, 229, प्रण्व, 378, 386. प्रतिग्रा-नियमन, 124, 377. प्रतिग्रच, 277. प्रतिग्रच, 273, 274, 276-278. प्रत्यमित्रा, 61, 386, 387. प्रत्याहार, 193, 194, 226. प्रयोधचन्द्रिका (वेजलभ्यति), 236, 237. प्रमा, 158, 159, 167, 171, 179, 182, 319, 321.

प्रमाण, 86, 91, 125, 158, 165, 167, 168, 170, 171, 182, 186, 188, 242, 252, 253, 265, 283, 284, 286, 287, 291, 301, 302, 313, 315, 318, 326, 332, 335, 432, 531, 534; अनुमन, 287, 290, 291, 314; अनुमान (तके), 289-290, 321, 326; इतिहासे प्रमाणानि, 326; प्रतिका, 318, 326; प्रतका, 318, 326; प्रतका, 318, 326; प्रतका, 318, 326; प्रतका, 318, 321, 326; प्रमाणमर्थाटा, 291; पीपांसकान। प्रमाणानि 242; शब्द (श्रृति), 91, 92, 242, 289, 290, 311, 314, 315, 318, 321, 326, 534, 643; समन, 86, 179, 297, 318, 326, 531; सर्वदार्शनिकान। प्रमाणानि, 168-169,

प्रमाता, 301, 302, प्रमेष, 158, 167, 168, 301, 302.

प्रयोगविधि, 251, 264-265.

प्रयोजन, 82, 121, 151-152, 163, 167, 187, 243, 464. प्रयोजनाभिद्धि, 450, 463.

मातिकास्य, ७१, ७२, १८७, ५४६, ५६९-५७०.

थाण, 20, 21, 56; कादम्बरी, 36, 560, 617, 621; हर्भचरित, 36, 284, 285, 318, 617.

1 (2 - 1 - 4) 44 (9) 198 2

बाब, 275.

पुदचरित (अश्वषोप), 215

बुद्धि, 96, 97, 126-129, 155, 167, 181, 290, 380, 481, 492, 506, 510, 514, 522, 626; समस्तप्रत्यवमधिनी बुद्धि, 387-390, 440

बीद, 77, 134, 168, 185, 211, 212, 214, 216, 217, 235, 238, 284, 299, 302, 311, 313, 316, 323; अपोह, 417, 420, 421-

अधान-वरमाधनन्, 132, 165, 209, 244, 287, 289, 291-300, 303, 304, 306, 309, 310, 313, 314, 316, 329, 378, 417, 453, 470, 523, 524.

महनायक, 513-519.

भटिकाल्य, 58, 237; जयमङ्गलाटीका, 237.

भरत (नाट्यकाल), 39, 46, 135-139, 140, 144-151, 153, 338, 512-515, 517, 518, 522-525, 532, 533, 545-547, 557, 617, 621, 653,

मबभ्वि, 48, 337, 339, 561, 617, 653.

भाव, 136-138, 142, 481.

भावना, 69, 247-252, 271, 279, 354, 514, 515; शान्दी आर्थी च, 248, 272, 280.

भावविकार (पड्), 320.

माषापरिच्छद, 354, 355, 409, 445, 459.

माध्य, 65, 75, 92, 183-187, 224, 324; ब्रह्मच्चांक्रमाध्य, 186, 224, 290, 291, 295, 302, 313-316; बाल्यायनभाष्य, 186, 224; बावरमाध्य, 186, 224, 362, 363.

भास, 561, 621,

मण्डूकप्लुति, 198.

मन्त्र, 246, 252, 270;-बाख, 131.

मनस्, 126, 127, 129, 380, 423.

मामाट (काल्यपकाचा), 36, 37, 61, 400, 412, 464, 475, 479, 484, 491, 492, 494, 505, 506, 508-510, 513, 541, 617-

मिलिनाम, 11, 23, 29, 42, 363, 599-

महाभारत, 10, 37, 48, 95, 112, 121, 317, 329, 338, 339, 357, 560, 614, 618, 621, 623.

महाभारततारपर्यनिगंप, ३३९.

महाबावप, 87, 264, 287-288, 309, 439, 443, 445.

माया, 288, 291, 295, 296, 298-301, 309.

मिध्यासब्दार्थ, २९९-३००.

मीमांचा-मीमांचक, 27, 29, 41, 61, 68-70, 74-79, 88, 91, 92, 118, 123, 181, 185-188, 196, 197, 200, 201, 203, 220, 240-248, 252, 255, 264, 270, 271, 274, 278, 281-284, 286, 288, 289, 302, 313, 397, 352-354, 361, 382, 385, 386, 397, 403, 404, 410, 412, 413, 416, 418, 425, 432, 443, 444, 448, 449, 452, 453, 505, 508, 513, 530-532, 535, 539, 541, 615-617, अर्थसङ्ग्रह भाषदेशी च, 77, 187, 617; इतरबास्त्रणि मीमांचा च, 244, 245, 281-283; व्यापो मीमांचा च, 241-243; पामाकर-मीमांचक, 69, 168, 242, 243, 245, 302, 393, 396, 397, 440; माहमीमांचक, 69, 168, 242, 243, 245, 302, 398, 399, 403, 404; व्याकरणं मीमांचा च, 196-198, 203,

मुम्बनेष (बोपदेव), 58, 228, 232, 616-

मुख्यहरिक, 46.

योगदर्शन, 126, 134, 185, 284, 292, 313, 316

योग-योगिकार्थ, 57, 88, 254, 429, 431-433, 465; बोगरूदि, 429-431 योगिकरुदि, 429, 431; योग (वाक्पयोजना), 81.

योग्यता, 29, 41, 87, 120, 353, 355, 356, 358, 376, 400

433-435, 443, 445, 446, 504

18, 42, 47, 48, 136-144, 148-151, 154, 335, 338, 340, 484, 486, 488, 489, 495-497, 514, 516, 517, 519-521, 523-525, 528, 529, 532, 537-539, 599-600;

अद्मुत, 137, 547, 552; अनुमितिबाद, 514; अक्षेक्सिस, 142, 519-525; अवित्तवाद, 513; करण, 137, 514, 547, 550-553, 556; बीमत्स, 137, 547, 552, 556; मयानक, 137, 523, 547, 550; मुक्तिबाद, 514-516; रसध्यिन, 338, 484, 485, 489, 506, 512, 515, 525; रसस्त्र, 140, 513, 514, 517, 518; रीड, 137, 547, 550-552; क्षेक्सिस, 519-520; बीर, 137, 547, 550-552, 556; व्यक्तिबाद, 517-518; बान्त, 137; बुङ्गार, 137, 488, 547, 551, 556; दास्य, 137, 547, 551, 552, 556.

रायबमह, ३३९.

राजतरङ्किणी (बस्दण), 318.

राजकेस्तर (काल्यमीमांसा), 65-66, 73, 540, 546, 548, 557-

रामायण, 10, 37, 48, 112, 121, 317, 329, 560, 614, 618, 621, 643.

रावणार्जुनीय (भीमक), 58, 237.

रीति, 149, 338, 484, 599, 600; गोरी, 73, 219, 369, 548; पाजाली, 548; बैदर्भी, 219, 369, 548.

कदि—रुदार्थ, 57, 88, 254, 429-433, 464, 465, 493, 507, 508 रुपमाला (विमत्तसंस्थती), 58, 228, 229.

डखग-तरस्य, 296; स्वरूप, 296.

कश्यम—बहद (व्याकरण), 57, 58, 64, 114, 116, 189, 236-237, 239, 240.

डडणा-डक्पायं, 120, 270, 273, 276, 279, -291, 339, 409, 412, 413, 436, 457-481, 483, 493-499, 501-507, 514, 536-539, 541, 544, 599; उपादान (अबहत्स्वायों), 310, 469-471, 476-479; गोणी, 467, 468, 473-476, 479, 494, 502; जहदस्त्वस्था, 310, 470-471; निरुद्धा, 464, 465; प्रयोधनवती, 464-480; बक्षण (बहत्स्वायों), 310, 469, 470, 476-479;

गुद्धा, 467, 468, 470-472, 475-479, 494; साध्यवसाना, 469, 472, 473, 476-479; सारोपा, 469, 471, 473, 476-479-विद्य, 88, 174, 252-256, 432, 490.

बेहर, 513,

बरदराज, 239; मध्यकीमुरी, 10, 35, 230, 231, 615, 649, स्युकीमुरी, 10, 35, 230, 231, 234, 615, 616, 649; सारकीमुरी, 230, 231.

बर्णवादिन् (उपवर्ष), 386, 389; वर्ण, 382-390.

बलमदेव, 29, 364.

बस्तु, 145, 150, 335, 484, 496; आधिकारिक, 144; प्रासन्तिक, 144. बाक्, ध्वन्यात्मक, 379; परा, 379, 380; पश्यन्ती, 379, 381; पश्यमा,

379, 381, 384, 386; वणात्मक, 379, 384; वेसरी, 379, 381, 384,

बास्प, 88, 186, 240, 244—246, 252, 255—259, 283, 286-287, 313; अवान्तरवास्प, 439, 443, 445; सण्डवास्प, 439. वास्पपदीय (भतुंदरि), 91, 211, 224, 378.

वाक्यमद, 270.

बाक्यभेष, 64, 82, 91, 92, 273, 274.

बाद-अवाति, 330; अवच्छेद, 304; आमात, 304; आरम्म, 296, 313; इष्टिस्टि, 306; परिणाम, 171, 173, 296-298; प्रतिबिच, 305; बिवर्त, 173, 296, 298, 302-306, 314, 315, 378, 417.

बारवचक्यार, 58, 235, 236.

बार्तिक, 65, 66, 75, 183, 186; कात्यायन, 199, 202.

विकल्प, 85, 127, 255, 273-278.

विकृति, 258-260.

विक्रमाङ्कदेवचरित (बिट्हण), 318.

विद्या, 70; अपरा, 70, 129, 130, 292, 293; परा, 129, 292, 293. विद्यारम्प, पद्भवती, 187, 304, 311-312, 617; सर्वदर्शनसङ्ग्रह, 285. विधि, 69, 246, 247, 251, 263, 266, 270, 275, 276, 279, 396, 443, 444; विधिस्व, 194, 195, 197.

विविवास्य, 271, 272, 289, 396-397.

विनियोगविधि, 69, 88, 251-264, 432.

विभाव, 141-143, 485, 488, 489, 513-519,521-524, आसम्बन, 141, 488; उद्दीपन, 141, 488.

विश्वति, 64-80; अनुव्याख्यान, 70; कारिका, 65-66,75; चूर्णि, 66; टीका, 64,-65; पश्चिका, 65-66; पद्धति, 65; इत्ति, 65, 75; व्याख्यान, 41, 65, 70; सङ्ग्रह, 65-66; समीक्षा, 65-66.

रचि, 65, 75, 390, 399, 408-410, 428, 436, 442; गीर्गी, 474-475, 494, 503.

र्ड व्यवहार, 19, 27, 60-64, 88, 90-92, 119, 204, 205, 245, 246, 250, 366, 389-394, 396-398, 405, 406, 424-427, 429, 433, 567, 570, 647.

बंदाक, 71, 74, 76, 77, 184, 189, 658.

वेदान्त-वेदान्तिन्, 70, 75, 77, 165, 168, 173, 185-187, 242, 282, 284, 286-316, 337, 385, 386, 393, 397, 417-420, 441, 460, 464, 464, 470, 511, 524, 530-532, 535, 539, 615, 617, 653; तार्स्पविषये वेदान्तिनः, 448-453, 455-456; वेदान्तशास्त्रमितरशास्त्राणि च, 314; व्यव्यनाविषये वेदान्तिनः, 511.

वदान्तपरिभाषा, 77, 169, 353—355, 390, 409, 418—420, 441 444, 449, 454, 464, 470, 617.

बेदान्तसार, 121, 187, 617.

बेशेपिक, 91, 168-170, 185, 313; समपदायी:, 91, 124, 155, 170, 171; समपदायी वेपाकरणै: स्वीइता:, 91, 200-202, 238; कगाद, 77. स्वितिवेदक (महिममह), 514.

व्यव्यना-व्यह्म्यार्थ, 39, 40, 360-361, 409, 466-467, 480-512, 515, 517-519, 523-525, 536-539, 541, 544, 600; अभियागृह,

491; आर्था, 492; तात्पर्य, व्यवताच, 504-511; व्यवणामूल, 491, 494, 498; वकोक्तिवर्यवनाच, 501; व्यवताविशिष्ट्यम्, 503; व्यवताविश्वयं वेदान्तिनः, 511; शाब्दी, 491.

217

व्यभिचार, 161, 174, 177-178, 411, 415.

व्यभिचारादर्शन, 172, 175, 176.

न्यभिचारिमाव, 38, 136, 139-143, 486-488, 513-519, 521-524, 554.

व्यष्टि, 127, 129-133, 135, 301, 310.

व्यक्तिम-नेपाकरम, 71, 72, 76-79, 87, 90, 91, 118, 120, 125, 181, 184, 186-240, 245, 282-284, 286, 313, 337, 352, 353, 381, 382, 384, 385, 389, 394, 404, 413-415, 430, 440, 483, 530-532, 535, 539, 541, 616-617, 653, 658

ब्याख्यान, 65, 70, 73, 76, 84, 90, 203, 204.

व्यातिमह 173-180, 511, 532: अन्वयव्याति, 174, 177, 179; व्यतिरेकक्याति, 174,178, 179; व्यातिमहोपाय, 532.

श्रीत-सङ्क्ष्य, 56, 57, 86, 87, 118, 119, 200, 201-202, 378, 382, 383, 391, 393, 397, 399, 400, 408-413, 417-420, 423, 429, 434, 436, 449-452, 455.

244, 285—293, 295—296, 298—300, 302, 303, 305, 306, 308, 310, 312-316, 357, 362, 376, 386, 389, 390, 418, 440, 453, 470, 653; —सण्डनपद्रति, 315—316.

朝更要事, 513.

बाबरस्वामी, 75, 76, 89, 186, 224, 362.

सन्द, 125, 242, 378, 386, 534, 599.

बान्द्ररूपावति, 4, 57, 59, 114-116, 118, 235, 236, 343, 615, 616,-622, 624-625, 631, 634-636.

शाकटायन, 72, 190, बैनशाकटायन; 228.

बान्द्रवीच, 407—409, 435—439, 441—443, 445—448, 450 452—454, 457, 531, 535, 543. शास्त्र, 66, 76-80, 124, 153, 155, 162, 165, 171, 180, 183, 185-188, 215, 223-224, 233, 259, 263, 266, 269, 281, 284, 294, 303, 314, 327, 335-337, 352, 357, 361, 432, 454, 464, 505, 510, 511, 514, 515, 530, 531, 533—537, 539, 541, 545, 549, 582, 583, 614-618, 653, 654, 657, 658; भाकरमध्य, 183, 186; प्रकरणमध्य, 183, 186-187, 617; चादमस्य, 183, 186, 187.

शिवभारत (परमानन्द), 318.

श्रेपश्रिमान, 241, 243, 246.

भृति, 88, 252-255, 258, 289-291, 295, 297, 311, 312, 314,315। कोक्योजनिकोपाय (रशुराम), 344, 350.

षद्वर्धनसमुच्चव (इरिमद्र), 285.

षड्दर्शनानि, 76, 77, 185.

सङ्ग्रस्प, 126, 127, 129-131.

सङ्गति (विषय), 74, 123, 185.

सजनन्द्रप्रयोगकस्पद्रम, २३६, २३७.

संवासत्र, 72, 194, 195, 256, 648,

क्वा-पारमार्थिक, 165, 169, 171, 173, 244, 287, 288, 290, 292, 293, 298, 299, 305-307, 313, 314, 319, 417, 418, 511; प्रातिमाक्षिक, 365-307, 524; न्याबदारिक, 165, 168, 173, 290, 291, 293, 294, 298, 299, 365, 307, 315, 319, 320, 331, 380, 418, 511.

सम्ब, 71, 72, 91, 118, 190, 191, 341, 343, 368, 547, 555-557, 562, 575, 611, 635.

सम्प्यक्रानि, 147-149, 151-152.

समिषि—आसपि, 29, 41, 87, 91, 119, 120, 260, 353, 355, 356, 358, 376, 400, 433-437, 443, 445, 446, 490, 504.

चम्भापाविधि, 68, 166.

समवाय, 300.

8年度, 127, 129, 130, 132, 133, 135, 301, 309, 310, 523,

हमाल्या, 88, 245, 252, 254, 261-264, 432.

स्मासचक, 4, 57, 59, 114, 118, 344, 369, 612, 615, 616, 670.

सप्रचय, 29, 85, 255, 405-407, 434.

संयोग, 300, 458, 459, 468, 490, 505, 513, 517,

सर्वदर्शनसङ्ग्रह (माधव), 285.

संदिवापाठ, 71, 190.

साविन् –इष्टा, 129-133, 143, 291, 307-312, 523, 524; साविभास्य, 524, 530.

सार्च्य, 126, 134, 168, 171, 173, 185, 284, 296, 313, 316, 385, 410, 514, 530, 533, 539.

चात्विकमाव, 136-143.

साहस्य, 468, 469, 472-475, 479, 494, 501, 502, 597-

साधारणीकरण, 142, 515-518, 523, 525.

साब्य, 124, 166, 174, 176, 249, 252, 508, 509, 511, 513.

सामानाधिकरण्य, 200, 201, 478.

सामान्यप्रशासति, 175.

सामीप्य, 458, 459, 468, 492.

सारस्वतन्याकरण, 58, 228-230, 232, 616.

साइचप, 40, 174-176, 178, 468, 490.

बाहित्य, 37, 78, 143, 245, 282, 286, 337, 338, 340, 531, 533, 538, 539, 614, 617.

साहित्यदर्पण, 369, 443, 465, 491, 492, 617.

विद्वान्य, 289, 396-397.

स्थितन्तकीवृती (महोबी दीक्षित), 57, 58, 114, 187, 192, 193, 195-198, 228-233, 238, 239, 615-616, 622, 648, 653.

विद्धान्तमुक्ताविह, 64, 119, 173, 187, 438-441, 443, 464, 617, 653.

सुगमकीमुदी (गङ्गासहाय), 224.

सुमापित-स्तोत्र, 34, 37, 114, 121, 559, 560, 616, 641,

मुश्रुतसंहिता, 68, 78, 80, 86, 89,

सपुति, 128-130, 306-310, 379.

यत्र, 70, 73-75, 125, 183-187, 191, 192, 194, 196-198, 218, 230, 324; रहा, 568, 569; न्याय, 167, 195; ब्रह्मसूत्र, 288, 295; मीनांसा, 196, 197, 363.

सीमिक-प्रान्थिक, 546,

स्यान, 88, 252, 260-262.

स्याविमान, 38, 136, 137, 140, 142, 143, 486, 488, 516, 518-525, 554,

स्कोट, 91, 120, 201, 211, 237, 313, 381, 382, 384-385, 393, 394, 403, 404, 440, 483; स्कोटखण्डन, 386-390.

खप्न, 128, 130-131, 299, 305, 307-309, 314, 379, 524

हितोपदेश, 10, 34, 112, 120, 121, 220, 560, 600, 614, 617, 621, 622, 643.

हिरण्यगम, 130.

हेत, 124, 161, 166, 174, 176, 177, 202, 511, 513; हेल्बर्य, 81, हेमचन्द्र, 285, 465; कान्यानुशासन, 465; द्र्याश्रयकान्य, 58, 237; सिंद्रहेमचन्द्रशन्दानुशासन, 228.

वाङ्मयीन प्रकाशने

1	जिवाचं मैतर (लघुक्या) प्रो. ना. सी. फडके	शा रु.
7	माझा धर्म (कादंबरी) ,, ",	३। ह.
3	उन्माद (द्वितीयावृत्ती) (कादंबरी) ,,	9 F.
8	गुप्त प्रायश्चित (द्वितीयावृत्ती) (कादंबरी) ,,	4 6.
4	समुद्रकांठीं (कादंबरी) बाळासाहेब शिंदे	१1 ₹.
Ę	कांचन (कांद्वरी) माधव कामत	२। ह.
9	देवता कोण ? (लघुकथा) रा. शि. लिमये	१॥ ह.
6	कातणीचें घर (ल्बुनिबंध) राजकवि यशवंत	२ ह
9	हिंदुस्थान व जग पं. जवाहरखाल नेहरू	₹11 ₹.
0 9	विद्यार्थी डॉ. रा. ना. अष्टपुत्रे	₹ ₹.
	पुष्पांजलि (टीकालेख) प्रो. द. सी. पंगु	₹11 ₹.
१२	माझा जीवनविहार गी. स. टेंबे (आत्मचरित्र)	80 €.
23	श्री सार्थ ज्ञानेश्वरी	
and.	(प्रासादिक साखेर सांप्रदायिक शुद्ध प्रत.)	१५ ह.
68	ज्ञानेश्वरी अध्याय १६ वा भो. द. सी. पंगु	३। ह.

- १५ कु ८ हु ८ ५ (काञ्यसंग्रह) मुरेशबाव् भौतिले २ ह.
- १६ समराइञ्चकहा of हरिभद्रस्रि (बीओ भवी)३॥। रु.
 With introduction, full translation, and notes by an
 Experienced Professor.
- १७ The Problem of Sanskrit Teaching (संस्कृतानुशीलनविवेदः) १२॥ इ. by Prof. G. S. Huparikar, M. A., B. T.
- १८ डॉ. अबेडकरांना उत्तर ले. चनवर्ति राजगोपालाचारी १० आणे.
- १९ ही उपासमार कां ? मिनू मधानी का निका है आणे.

बालवास्त्रय ।

- (१) एक होता राजा रा. वा. शबडे ६ आणे.
- (२) मंगला (गांधीवादावर आधारलेली कादंबरी) रा. वा. वेबडे १० आण.
- (३) बर्फावरचीं बाळें रा. वा. शेवडे ४ आण.
- (४) ऑलिंपिक सामने हे. गो. ना. पुरंदरे ४ आणे.

दि भारत बुक्त स्टॉल पॅलेसरोड, कोल्हाप्र. s. m. c.





CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL LIBRARY NEW DELHI

Borrower's Record

Catalogue No. 375 .8912/Hup - 7672.

Author- Huparikar, G. S.

Title- Problem of Sanskrit Teaching

Borrower So.

Date of Lenne

Date of Ratura

"A book that is shut is but a block"

A book that to

ARCHAEOLOGICAL

GOVT. OF INDIA

Department of Archaeology

DELHI.

Please help us to keep the book clean and moving.